COL106
Data Structures and Algorithms

Subodh Sharma and Rahul Garg

Height Balanced Binary Search Trees

AVL Trees

Height Balanced Binary Trees

- Ensure that the left and right subtrees are always "balanced"
- Height balanced trees
- For all u, | height(u->left) – height(u->right) | ≤ 1

AVL Trees: Examples



Figure courtesy: Douglas Wilhelm Harder, University of Waterloo, Canada

AVL Trees: Examples



Figure courtesy: Douglas Wilhelm Harder, University of Waterloo, Canada

AVL Trees: Examples



Figure courtesy: Douglas Wilhelm Harder, University of Waterloo, Canada

AVL Trees: Examples

The root node is height balanced

- Left subtree height is 4
- Right subtree height is also 4



Figure courtesy: Douglas Wilhelm Harder, University of Waterloo, Canada

AVL Trees: Examples

- Verify for other nodes also



Figure courtesy: Douglas Wilhelm Harder, University of Waterloo, Canada

Bounding the Height of AVL Trees

- Prove that the maximum height of a balanced (height or weight) tree with N nodes will be O(log(N))

Bounding the Height of AVL Trees

Let N(h) be the smallest number of nodes in a tree of height h

$$N(0) = 1$$
$$N(1) = 2$$
$$N(2) = 4$$



What will be a suitable recurrence for N(h)?

Bounding the Height of AVL Trees

The smallest AVL tree of height h would have:

- A smallest AVL tree of height $h-1$ on one side,
- A smallest AVL tree of height $h-2$ on the other, and
- The root node



We get: $\mathrm{N}(h) = \mathrm{N}(h-1) + 1 + \mathrm{N}(h-2)$

Bounding the Height of AVL Trees

This is a recurrence relation:

$$N(h) = \begin{cases} 1 & h = 0 \\ 2 & h = 1 \\ N(h-1) + N(h-2) + 1 & h > 1 \end{cases}$$

Solution?

Height of an AVL Tree

- **Theorem**: The ***height*** of an AVL tree with n nodes is O(log n).
- **Proof**: Let **n(h)** represent the minimum number of internal nodes of an AVL tree of height h. We will obtain a lower bound for n(h).
- We have: n(0) = 1 and n(1) = 2
- For h > 1, an AVL tree of height h contains the root node, one AVL subtree of height h-1 and another of height h-2.
- That is, n(h) = 1 + n(h-1) + n(h-2)
- Also since, n(h-1) > n(h-2), we get n(h) > 2n(h-2). So
  - n(h) > 2n(h-2), n(h) > 4n(h-4), n(h) > 8n(n-6), … (by induction),
  - $n(h) > 2^i n(h-2i)$
- Solving the base case we get: $n(h) > 2^{h/2-1}$
- Taking logarithms: h < 2log n(h) +2
- Thus the height of an AVL tree is O(log n)

Slide adapted from Shweta and Amit, Department of CSE, IIT Delhi

A Tighter Bound

- Can we obtain a tighter bound?
- $n(h) = 1 + n(h-1) + n(h-2)$; $n(0) = 1$; $n(1) = 2$;
- Use induction to prove that $n(h) \geq c^{h-1}$ for some constant $c > 1$.
- Base case: $h = 1$ ➔ $n(h) = 2 > 1 = c^{h-1}$
- Induction hypothesis: Assume $n(h) \geq c^{h-1}$ for all $h < k$
- Induction step: Show that $n(k) \geq c^{k-1}$
- $n(k) = 1 + n(k-1) + n(k-2)$
- $n(k) \geq 1 + c^{k-2} + c^{k-3}$

A Tighter Bound

- From induction hypothesis, $n(k) \geq 1 + c^{k-2} + c^{k-3}$
- If we can find a $c > 1$ such that,
- $c^{k-2} + c^{k-3} \geq c^{k-1}$ then we can say that
- $n(k) \geq c^{k-1}$ [thereby proving the induction step]
- Such a c must satisfy: $c^2 - c - 1 \leq 0$
- The above quadratic equation has roots $\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$
- Any solution between these roots will satisfy the induction step
- We take $c = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \cong 1.62$
- Thus $n(h) \geq 1.62^{h-1}$
- $h \leq 1 + \log_{1.62}(n)$

Structure of AVL Trees



- An AVL tree with n nodes
- Min height: ceiling [$\log_2(n+1) - 1$]
- Max height: ceiling [$1 + \log_{1.62}(n)$]
- Consider a leaf which is closest to the root
- Suppose this leaf is at level k
- We will show that the maximum height of the tree is at most 2k-1

Structure of AVL Trees



Structure of AVL Trees

- By an inductive argument along the path from the root to the closest leaf node in the AVL tree, it may be proved that the maximum height of the tree is at most 2k-1



Maintaining a Height Balance

- Insert may increase the height of a subtree by 1
- Remove may decrease the height of a subtree by 1
- The BST may no longer be height balanced after these operations

Maintaining Balance



Image source: Douglas Wilhelm Harder, University of Waterloo, Canada

Maintaining Balance

Insert 15

How do the heights of subtrees change?



Image source: Douglas Wilhelm Harder, University of Waterloo, Canada

Maintaining Balance

Heights of none of the subtrees change

The tree is still balanced



Image source: Douglas Wilhelm Harder, University of Waterloo, Canada

Maintaining Balance

- Insert 42 now



Image source: Douglas Wilhelm Harder, University of Waterloo, Canada

Maintaining Balance

Now the heights of two sub-trees have increased by one

The tree is still balanced



Image source: Douglas Wilhelm Harder, University of Waterloo, Canada

Maintaining Balance



How to create imbalance after insertion?

- Insert in a subtree where the heights of the two sub-trees differ by 1
- The insertion must increase the height of the deeper sub-tree



Image source: Douglas Wilhelm Harder, University of Waterloo, Canada

Maintaining Balance

Insert 23 into the original tree



Image source: Douglas Wilhelm Harder, University of Waterloo, Canada

Maintaining Balance

The heights of each of the sub-trees on path to the root are increased by one



Image source: Douglas Wilhelm Harder, University of Waterloo, Canada

Maintaining Balance

However, only two of the nodes are unbalanced:
17 and 36



Image source: Douglas Wilhelm Harder, University of Waterloo, Canada

Maintaining Balance

Unbalanced nodes: 17 and 36

- Fixing the imbalance at the lowest node fixes the other imbalances too



Image source: Douglas Wilhelm Harder, University of Waterloo, Canada

Maintaining Balance

Move 23 to where 17 is, and make 17 the left child of 23



Image source: Douglas Wilhelm Harder, University of Waterloo, Canada

Maintaining Balance

Thus, that node is no longer unbalanced

- The root is now balanced too



Image source: Douglas Wilhelm Harder, University of Waterloo, Canada

Maintaining Balance

Consider adding 6:



Image source: Douglas Wilhelm Harder, University of Waterloo, Canada

Maintaining Balance

The height of each of the trees in the path back to the root are increased by one



Image source: Douglas Wilhelm Harder, University of Waterloo, Canada

Maintaining Balance

The height of each of the trees in the path back to the root are increased by one

- However, only the root node is now unbalanced



Image source: Douglas Wilhelm Harder, University of Waterloo, Canada

Maintaining Balance

To fix this, we will look at the general case…



Image source: Douglas Wilhelm Harder, University of Waterloo, Canada

Creating Imbalance

- Insert in a subtree where the heights of the two sub-trees differ by 1
- The insertion must increase the height of the deeper sub-tree



Insertion: Key Observations

- The only nodes whose heights can increase are the ancestors of node **c**
- If insertion causes T to become unbalanced, then some ancestor of **c** would have a height-imbalance
- We travel up the tree from **c** until we find the first node **a** such that its parent **b** is unbalanced
- Case 1: **c** is inserted in the left subtree of the left child of b
- Case 2 [Symmetric]: **c** is inserted in the right subtree of the right child of b

AVL Tree Rotations: Case 1

- Can we locally reconfigure the nodes of the tree so that there is no imbalance?



AVL Tree Rotation

- Can we locally reconfigure the nodes of the tree so that there is no imbalance?



From the properties of the BST we have the following

$$keys(T1) \leq a \leq keys(T2) \leq b \leq keys(T3)$$



AVL Tree Rotation

keys(T1)	a	keys(T2)	b	keys(T3)



AVL Tree Rotation Summary



Case 2: Homework Exercise

- Case 2 is symmetric opposite of case 1
- In case 2, c is inserted in the right subtree of the right child of b
- Work out the details of rotation for Case 2 of AVL tree imbalance

Insertion: Cases

- Assume that c is inserted in the AVL tree
- The first node (on path from c to the root) to become unbalanced is b
- Case 1: **c** is inserted in the left subtree of the left child of b
- Case 2 [Symmetric]: **c** is inserted in the right subtree of the right child of b
- Case 3: **c** is inserted in the right subtree of the left child of b
- Case 4 [Symmetric]: **c** is inserted in the left subtree of the right child of b

AVL Tree Insertion: Case 3



keys(T1)	a	keys(T2)	b	keys(T3)

AVL Tree Rotation: Case 3

Unfortunately, the same rotation doesn't make the tree balanced. Now node **a** becomes unbalanced.



AVL Tree Rotation: Case 3

Solution: Split T2 into two sub-trees: T2a and T2b

Two subcases can be handled identically





AVL Tree Rotation: Case 3

Solution: Split T2 into two sub-trees: T2a and T2b

Two subcases can be handled identically





T1	a	T2a	d	T2b	b	T3

AVL Tree Rotation: Case 3



AVL Tree Rotation: Case 3

T1	a	T2a	d	T2b	b	T3



All the subtrees are height balanced

AVL Tree Rotation: Case 3b

T1	a	T2a	d	T2b	b	T3



All the subtrees are height balanced

AVL Tree Insert Rotations

- Case 4 [Symmetric to Case 3]: **c** is inserted in the left subtree of the right child of b
- b is the first node in the path from c to the root to have imbalance
- Homework: Work out the details of rotation for case 4 of AVL tree insertions

Thank You