01 February 2022 21:49

An example to illustrate the computation of MSNE

theorem: A strategy profile (5, ..., on\*) is a MSNE iff  $\forall i \in N$ ,

(1) 
$$U_i(s_i, \underline{\sigma}_i^*)$$
 is the same  $\forall s_i \in S(\underline{\sigma}_i^*)$ 

(2) 
$$U_{i}(S_{i}, \underline{C}_{i}^{*}) > U_{i}(S_{i}, \underline{C}_{i}^{*})$$
  
 $\forall S_{i} \in S(\underline{C}_{i}^{*}) \quad \forall S_{i} \in S_{i} \setminus S(\underline{C}_{i}^{*})$ 

		2	1189
		A	B
1	A	<b>2</b> , <b>2</b>	<b>♥</b> , <b>②</b>
	B	2,1	1, 1

Define
$$b_{i}(s_{i}) = \begin{cases} s_{i} \in S_{i}: \\ u_{i}(s_{i}, s_{i}) > \\ u_{i}(s_{i}, s_{i}) > \\ \forall s_{i}' \in S_{i} \end{cases}$$

$$b_{1}(A) = \{A, B\}$$
  
 $b_{1}(B) = \{A, B\}$   
 $b_{2}(A) = \{A, B\}$   
 $b_{2}(B) = \{A, B\}$ 

Let us compute MSNEs by considering supports one at a time

Support {A} X {A} this leads to the MSNE ((1,0), (1,0))

Condition (1) vacuusly true Since

Condition (1) vacuusly true Since there is only one pure strategy in the support of the mixed strategies here:  $\delta(\varsigma_i^*) = \delta(\varsigma_2^*) = \{A\}$ 

Condition (2)

Player 1:  

$$u_1(A,A) = 2$$
  $A \in S(\sigma,^*)$   
 $u_1(B,A) = 2$   $B \notin S(\sigma,^*)$ 

	A	B
A	2, 2	1,2
B	2,1	1,1

Player 2:  

$$U_2(A, A) = 2$$
  $A \in S(\mathbb{Z}^*)$   
 $U_2(A, B) = 2$   $B \notin S(\mathbb{Z}^*)$ 

Note that equality is satisfied in both the cases

Thus condition (2) is satisfied. Hence (A,A) = ((1,0),(1,0)) is a MSNE

Support 
$$\{A\} \times \{A,B\}$$
  
 $\sigma_1^* = (1,0)$   $\delta(\sigma_1^*) = \{A\}$   
 $\sigma_2^* := (y,1-y)$  with  $0 < y < 1$   $\delta(\sigma_2^*) = \{A,B\}$   
Let us see if  $(\sigma_1^*, \sigma_2^*)$  leads to a MSNE

Condition (1) Vacuusly true for player 1 since  $S(\tau_1^*) = \{A\}$ Player 2:  $S(\tau_2^*) = \{A_1B\}$  A R

$$\begin{array}{l} u_2(A, A) = 2 \\ u_2(A, B) = 2 \end{array}$$

A 2,2 1,2 B 2,1 1,1

Hence condition (1) is satisfied

condition (2) vacuusly time for player 2 since  $\delta(r_z^*) = \{A_iB_j^2\}$ Player  $\underline{I}: A \in S(\underline{r}, \underline{x}); B \notin S(\underline{r}, \underline{x})$  $u_1(A, (y, 1-y)) = 2y \cdot (1-y) = y+1$  $u_1(B, (y, 1-y)) = 2y + (1-y) = y+1$ Thus Condition (2) is true for  $\forall y: 0 < y < 1$ Hence (A, (4,1-4)) is a MSNE

Support {A;B} X {A,B}

Let 
$$G_1^* = (x, 1-x)$$
  $0 < x < 1$   
 $G_2^* = (y, 1-y)$   $0 < y < 1$ 

We explore if (5,5,5,4) leads to any MSNE

Condition (1)

Player 1: 
$$S(r_i^*) = \{A_iB\}$$

$$U_1(A, (y, 1-y)) = 2y+1-y=y+1$$
  
 $U_1(B, (y, 1-y)) = 2y+1-y=y+1$   
 $U_1(B, (y, 1-y)) = 2y+1-y=y+1$   
 $U_1(B, (y, 1-y)) = 2y+1-y=y+1$ 

		A	B
1	A	2,2	1, 2
1	B	211	), +

Player 2: 
$$S(\sigma_z^*) = \{A, B\}$$
  
 $U_2((x, 1-x), A) = 2x + 1 - x = x + 1$   
 $U_2((x, 1-x), B) = 2x + 1 - x = x + 1$   
 $U_2((x, 1-x), B) = 2x + 1 - x = x + 1$   
 $U_2((x, 1-x), B) = 2x + 1 - x = x + 1$ 

Condition (2) is vacuusly true for both the players.

11-1 - 1 /u1-ull is a

to par me hand

Thus 
$$((x, 1-x), (y, 1-y))$$
 is a MSNE  $\forall 0 < x < 1 \ \forall 0 < y < 1$ 

In fact, all supports here lead to MSNE.

## Prisoner's Dilemma Problem

	NC	C
NC	-2, -2	-10,-1
C	-1,-10	-5,-5

We know that 
$$(c,c)$$
 is a SDSE.  
Let ub see if there is a MSNE:  
 $((x,1-2i),(y,1-y))$   $0  
 $0$$ 

Condition (2) vacuuosly satisfied

Condition (1)

Player 1:

Player 1:  

$$v_1(NC, (y, 1-y)) = -2y - 10(1-y) = 8y - 10$$
  
 $v_1(C, (y, 1-y)) = -y - 5(1-y) = 4y - 5$ 

If these have to be equal,

$$8y-10 = 4y-5 \Rightarrow 4y=5 \Rightarrow y=\frac{5}{4}$$

$$8y-10=4y-5 \Rightarrow 4y=5 \Rightarrow y=\frac{1}{4}$$
Impossible!

So, condition (1) can never be satisfied for any of the players.

Consider the support { C} X { C}

Condition (1) is vacuusly satisfied.

Cardition (2)

player 1:  $u_1(c, e) = -5$ 

v, (NC,≤) = -10

	NC	С
NC	-2,-2	-10, -1
<u></u>	-1,-10	-5,-5

Player 2:  $U_2(C,C) = -5$   $U_2(C,NC) = -10$ 

Strict inequality holds in both the cases