

UV-VISIBLE SPECTROSCOPY

Student Name: Shlok Upadhyay

Experiment date.: 26th August

Student ID: 2023B2A71166G

Group No.: 2B


Aim`	To learn about the UV/visible spectrum, its fundamental concepts and how adsorption follows the law of additivity.
Theory	<div> <p style="text-align: center;">VISIBLE SPECTRUM</p> </div> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Beer-Lambert's Law: This law establishes a linear relationship between a solution's absorbance and its concentration. • $A = \epsilon cl$ • The above formula indicates absorbance (A) is directly proportional to the molar absorptivity (ϵ), concentration (c), and the path length of the light (l). Essentially, the more concentrated a solution is, the more light it absorbs. • Electronic Transitions: The distinct colors of these compounds, deep purple for permanganate and orange-yellow for dichromate, are not due to typical d-d orbital transitions. Instead, the color arises from a ligand-to-metal charge transfer (LMCT). In this process, an electron is excited and transitions from a molecular orbital predominantly localized on the oxygen atoms (the ligands) to an empty d-orbital on the central metal ion (manganese in MnO_4^- or

chromium in $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7^{2-}$). This specific transition absorbs photons in the visible region of the electromagnetic spectrum, resulting in the observed color.

Franck–Condon Principle: This principle states that an electronic transition occurs on a timescale significantly faster than the nuclei can move. As a result, the molecular geometry remains essentially constant during the transition. The absorption bands observed in the spectrum are broadened because the electronic transition can occur from various vibrational energy levels within the ground electronic state to different vibrational levels in the excited electronic state, leading to a continuum of closely spaced absorption energies.

Isosbestic Point: This is a specific wavelength at which two or more chemical species have the exact same molar absorptivity. In a solution containing these species, the total absorbance at this wavelength remains constant, regardless of the relative concentrations of the components. Isosbestic points are particularly useful in studying chemical equilibria or reactions where one species is converted into another.

Colour wheel:



Apparatus	Beakers Cuvette Standard volumetric flasks Measuring cylinder Funnel Spectrophotometer
Chemicals required	Potassium permanganate Potassium dichromate Sulfuric acid (0.1 M) Distilled water
Procedure	<p>Step 1: Preparing a Permanganate Solution</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Accurately weigh out 8 mg of potassium permanganate (KMnO_4). Using a volumetric flask, dissolve this in enough distilled water to bring the final volume to exactly 100 mL. This will create a stock solution with a concentration of 5×10^{-4} M. • <p>Step 2: Preparing the Acid Solution</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prepare 100 mL of 0.1 M sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4). This is done using a standard volumetric flask to ensure the correct concentration. This acid will be used to dissolve the next compound and to prepare the final mixtures. • <p>Step 3: Preparing a Dichromate Solution</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Now, weigh out 3 mg of potassium dichromate ($\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$). You will dissolve this solid in the 0.1 M H_2SO_4 solution you just prepared, bringing the final volume to 100 mL in a volumetric flask. This will result in a stock solution with a concentration of 1×10^{-4} M. • <p>Step 4: Creating the Mixtures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using the two stock solutions you've made, you will now prepare four different mixtures in separate 10 mL volumetric flasks. The total volume in each flask should be 10 mL. The specific volumes for each mixture are: • <p style="margin-left: 40px;">Mixture 1: 8 mL of KMnO_4 + 2 mL of $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ Mixture 2: 6 mL of KMnO_4 + 4 mL of $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$</p>

Mixture 3: 4 mL of KMnO_4 + 6 mL of $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$

Mixture 4: 2 mL of KMnO_4 + 8 mL of $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$

Step 5: Measuring the Absorbance

- Place each of the four mixtures into a cuvette and use a spectrophotometer to measure their absorption spectrum. The data from these measurements will be recorded and analyzed.



Calculations	<p>• We use this formula to calculate no. of moles of H₂SO₄</p> $M = \frac{\% \text{ purity} \times \text{specific gravity} \times 1000}{100 \times \text{Mol. Weight}}$ <p>% purity = 97 Specific gravity = 1.835 Molecular weight = 98.08 Thus, M = 18.148</p> <p>Now,</p> $M_1V_1 = M_2V_2$ $V_1 = 0.1 \times 100/18.148$ $= 0.551 \text{ mL}$ <p>Vol of H₂SO₄ taken = 0.551 mL [KMnO₄] = 5 x 10⁻⁴ M [K₂Cr₂O₇] = 1 x 10⁻⁴ M</p>
---------------------	---

Observations	At, $\lambda = 539$ nm, experimental values	
	Absorbance of pure KMnO_4	1.2389
	Absorbance of (8ml KMnO_4 + 2ml $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$)	1.0002
	Absorbance of (6ml KMnO_4 + 4ml $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$)	0.6510
	Absorbance of (4ml KMnO_4 + 6ml $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$)	0.4459
	Absorbance of (2ml KMnO_4 + 8ml $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$)	0.1318
	Absorbance of pure $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$	0.0185
	<p>Molar absorptivity calculations, $\lambda = 539$nm</p> <p>For KMnO_4, $A = 1.2389$, $C = 5 \times 10^{-4}$ M $A = \epsilon cl$ $\epsilon = A/cl = 2477.8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$</p> <p>For $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$, $A = 0.0185$, $C = 1 \times 10^{-4}$ M $\epsilon = A/Cl = 185 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$</p> <p>Theoretical Absorbance calculations at $\lambda = 539$ nm</p>	
	8 ml KMnO_4 + 2 ml $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$	$A_{\text{total}} = (2477.8 \times 4 \times 10^{-4}) + (185 \times 2 \times 10^{-5})$ $= 0.99112 + 0.00370 = 0.9948$ $A_{\text{total}} = 0.9948$
	6 ml KMnO_4 + 4 ml $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$	$A_{\text{total}} = (2477.8 \times 3 \times 10^{-4}) + (185 \times 4 \times 10^{-5}) =$ $0.74334 + 0.00740 = 0.7507$

		$A_{\text{total}} = 0.7507$
	4 ml KMnO_4 + 6 ml $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$	$A_{\text{total}} = (2477.8 \times 2 \times 10^{-4}) + (185 \times 6 \times 10^{-5}) =$ $0.49556 + 0.01110 = 0.5067$ $A_{\text{total}} = 0.5067$
	2 ml KMnO_4 + 8 ml $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$	$A_{\text{total}} = (2477.8 \times 1.0 \times 10^{-4}) + (185 \times 8.0 \times 10^{-5})$ $= 0.24778 + 0.01480 = 0.2626$ $A_{\text{total}} = 0.2626$
Experimental values at $\lambda = 315 \text{ nm}$		
	Absorbance of pure KMnO_4	1.0412
	Absorbance of (8ml KMnO_4 + 2ml $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$)	1.0396
	Absorbance of (6ml KMnO_4 + 4ml $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$)	0.8797
	Absorbance of (4ml KMnO_4 + 6ml $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$)	0.5125
	Absorbance of (2ml KMnO_4 + 8ml $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$)	0.2815
	Absorbance of pure $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$	0.2114
Molar absorptivity calculation at $\lambda = 315 \text{ nm}$		
a) For KMnO_4 ,		

$A = 1.0412$, $C = 5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}$ $\epsilon = A / Cl = 1.0412 / (5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M} \times 1 \text{ cm}) = 2082.4 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$

b) For $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$, $A = 0.2114$, $C = 1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}$ $\epsilon = A / Cl = 0.2114 / (1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M} \times 1 \text{ cm}) = 2114 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$

Theoretical Absorbance calculation for additivity at $\lambda = 315 \text{ nm}$

8 ml KMnO_4 + 2 ml $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$	$A_{\text{total}} = (2082.4 \times 4.0 \times 10^{-4}) + (2114 \times 2.0 \times 10^{-5}) = 0.83296 + 0.04228 = 0.8752$ $A_{\text{total}} = 0.8752$
6 ml KMnO_4 + 4 ml $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$	$A_{\text{total}} = (2082.4 \times 3.0 \times 10^{-4}) + (2114 \times 4.0 \times 10^{-5}) = 0.62472 + 0.08456 = 0.7093$ $A_{\text{total}} = 0.7093$
4 ml KMnO_4 + 6 ml $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$	$A_{\text{total}} = (2082.4 \times 2.0 \times 10^{-4}) + (2114 \times 6.0 \times 10^{-5}) = 0.41648 + 0.12684 = 0.5433$ $A_{\text{total}} = 0.5433$
2 ml KMnO_4 + 8 ml $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$	$A_{\text{total}} = (2082.4 \times 1.0 \times 10^{-4}) + (2114 \times 8.0 \times 10^{-5}) = 0.20824 + 0.16912 = 0.3774$ $A_{\text{total}} = 0.3774$

Observations table

Table1: Observed values from graph

	539 nm	315 nm
Pure KMnO ₄	1.2389	1.0412
8mL KMnO ₄ + 2mL K ₂ Cr ₂ O ₇	1.0002	1.0396
6 mL KMnO ₄ + 4 mL K ₂ Cr ₂ O ₇	0.651	0.8797
4 mL KMnO ₄ + 6 mL K ₂ Cr ₂ O ₇	0.4459	0.5125
2 mL KMnO ₄ + 8 mL K ₂ Cr ₂ O ₇	0.1318	0.2815
Pure K ₂ Cr ₂ O ₇	0.0185	0.2114

Table 2: Comparision of observed vs theoretical

S.No	Vol of KMnO ₄	Vol of K ₂ Cr ₂ O ₇	Observed A	Calculated A	Observed A	Calculated A
			539 nm	539 nm	315 nm	315 nm
1	0	10	0.0185	0.0185	0.2114	0.2114
2	2	8	0.1318	0.2626	0.2815	0.3774
3	4	6	0.4459	0.5607	0.5125	0.5433
4	6	4	0.651	0.7507	0.8797	0.7093
5	8	2	1.0002	0.9948	1.0396	0.8752
5	10	0	1.2389	1.2389	1.0412	1.0412

Table 3: Molar Absorptivity at 315 nm (KMnO₄)

Sr. No	Concentration	Absorbance	Molar absorptivity 315nm
1	0.0005	1.0412	2082.4
2	0.0004	0.83296	
3	0.0003	0.62472	
4	0.0002	0.41648	
5	0.0001	0.20824	





Table 4: Molar Absorptivity at 539 nm (KCr₂O₇)

Sr. No	Concentration	Absorbance	Molar Absorptivity 539 nm
1	0.00002	0.0037	185
2	0.00004	0.0074	
3	0.00006	0.0111	
4	0.00008	0.0148	
5	0.0001	0.0185	



Results

1. ϵ Values for KMnO_4 for $\lambda = 315 \text{ nm}$ and $\lambda = 539 \text{ nm}$ were found to be


a. $\epsilon_{315} = 2082.4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$

b. $\epsilon_{539} = 2477.8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$

2. ϵ Values for $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ for $\lambda = 311 \text{ nm}$ and $\lambda = 536 \text{ nm}$ were found to be

a. $\epsilon_{315} = 2114 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$

b. $\epsilon_{539} = 185 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$



Isobestic points:

$X = 250.519, 281.979, 419.792$

Precautions

1. Be careful while handling KMnO_4 and $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ since they are strong chemicals – avoid spilling or touching them directly.
2. Make sure the cuvettes and glassware are clean, because even small smudges or dust can mess up the readings.
3. Don't leave the solutions in direct sunlight, as they can break down and give wrong results.

References	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Atkins, P. & de Paula, J. (2018). Atkins' Physical Chemistry (11th ed.). Oxford University Press. 2. Willard, H.H., Merritt, L.L., Dean, J.A., & Settle, F.A. (1988). Instrumental Methods of Analysis (7th ed.). Wadsworth Publishing.
-------------------	---