

Leveraging Aging Theory in Multi-document Timeline Summarization

Chen Jie

Beijing Institute of Technology

Abstract. In order to summarize multiple documents about the same topic, it's often helpful to follow the development progress of them. We present a model based on aging theory which provides an intuitive way to show the life circle of the topic which comply with the habit of people understanding things. There are three steps in our method. First, we extract hot terms from news which report the same event according to their energy during the time interval we choose (i.e. one day). Second, we use an incremental latent semantic analysis model to recognize the semantic units of news. Third, we construct a semantic text relationship map and choose sentences both important and diverse to generate timeline summaries. Experiment results show that our method can improve the timeline summarization significantly.

1 Introduction

Important facts about the summary are those which describe the development progress of the topic. When people create summaries, they choose the sentences that contain key words such as place, people, date and so on to form a short story about the topic. Understandably in automatic summarization as well, it's useful to use those key words to represent general facts and the important factors.

Everyday thousands of news reporting different events are published on the internet. With the help of topic detection and tracking(TDT), it's easy to group news articles into different events. However, most news reports are not prepared for the progress of event, so that lots of duplication message among reports about the same event. Topic-focused multi-document summarization (TFMDS) aims to the main information from those topic-focused documents. The timeline summarization help to reorganize the order and sentences selection to get a better reading experience.

Event goes through a life cycle of birth, growth, maturity and death, and this can be reflected from special terms utilized for describing different events experience a similar life cycle. Aging theory [?] is a model exploited in event detection task which tracks life cycles of events using energy function. The energy of an event increases when the event becomes popular, and it diminishes with time. In intuition, it can also be used for summarization to help us find out the daily hot terms of events.

In the keywords-based summarization field, there are two main challenges for choosing summary sentences. One challenge lies in computing the importance

of sentences, which is decided by each word in it. Since different authors may use different words, the synonym and polysemy bring lot of noise. In order to find the core words in the news without the influence caused by them, latent semantic analysis (LSA) [?] is introduced. LSA is a robust unsupervised technique for deriving an implicit representation of text semantics based on observed co-occurrence of words to find semantic units of news.

The other challenge is how to deal with the unbalanced labeled dataset. In each topic, only a few sentences will be labeled as the summarization sentence, that is, the data set is imbalanced. When we create a classifier over this dataset, the classifier will prefer the major side [?]. SMOTEBoost [?] combines SMOTE [?] and boost technology in order to improve the precision of minority class when resampling. This has been proved effective for imbalanced data set.

In this paper, we generate timeline summary by considering both temporal and semantic characteristics from the news around the same event. We extract the features from five aspects to represent each sentence. Then, classification model is built with SMOTEBoost. Last, we choose sentences from candidates to form the summary and display them with timeline, so that people can track the progress of event easily and quickly.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 reviews related works on summarization. We discuss our approach about how to leverage aging theory to gain sentence feature and train the classification model with SMOTEBoost technology in section 3. Experiments and discusses are described in section 4. Section 5 presents our conclusions and future plans.

2 Related Work

Topic-focused multi-document summarization(TFMDS) aims at gaining main information from multiple texts about the same topic. There are two ways to achieve this, one is extract important sentences, the other one is build new sentence to express the key idea. In this paper, we focus on the former method.

One of the most popular extractive multi-document summarization methods is MEAD [?], which takes term frequency, sentence position, first-sentence overlap to present the feature of each sentence. [?] proposed an extractive approach based on manifold-ranking about the information richness and novelty. [?] used markov random walk model and cluster hits model to analysis the link relationships between sentences in order to gain the important one as the summary. [?] investigated co-training method by combining labeled and unlabeled data to train the model, which used four kinds of features can be categorized as surface, content, relevance and event features.

Timeline summarization(TS) gains enough attraction with the development of Topic Detection and Track(TDT). Lots of timeline summarization methods have been developed recently. ETS [?] formulated the task as an optimization problem via iterative substitution from a set of sentences with four requirements. [?] investigated five different sentence features and leveraged SVMRank to optimize the summarization task. [?] took social attention involved to compute the

importance. ETTS [?] utilized trans-temporal characteristics to gain the summary. [?] extracted the temporal information and surface features to train the regression model for predicting the summary sentences. [?] reused the MEAD and add the timestamp feature to implement their TS.

Aging theory has been proved effective to track which stage of life cycle for news. [?] [?] applied this to model the news event's life cycle and utilized the concept of energy to track it. In order to gain the summary of multi-documents of news domain, we consider aging theory is worth using to extract the feature of sentence.

3 Our Approach

3.1 Key Concepts

Topic-focused : What we value most is an event grouped from several web news articles, such as the "the missing of the malaysia airlines plane" from BBC. These articles show us the cause, the progress and the results about the event. Most of our summarization technology's application scenario is working for TDT system.

Timeline Summaries: Generally speaking, timeline is a kind of display forms for the summaries. Timeline summaries should show us the progress of this topic instead of just displaying the message according to the time sequence. Under this condition of the requirement, timeline summary of each day should describe the most important thing happened in that day.

We give the formal definition of topic-focused multi-document timeline summarization(TMTS) as follows:

Input : Given a set of documents $D = \{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n\}$ which should cover progress of the topic in the time span $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$. We segment each document to sentences and group them by the date to form sentences $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m\}$.

Output : The TMTS should output the summaries along the date and each summary is the main idea of what occurred in that day, i.e. $O = \{o_1, o_2, \dots, o_m\}$, where o_i means the summary of sentences from all the sentences of that day s_i .

3.2 Sentence Feature Selection

In order to represent the most important thing happened in that day, the summary should consider the importance, the novelty, and contains the topic hot terms. Five kinds of features are chosen as follows:

Surface feature : This contains features computed by basic statistics, such as the length of sentence, the counts of noun words and stop words, the position in this document and paragraph, and whether it contains person name or not.

Importance feature : This feature aims to represent the importance of the sentence. The weight of sentence is computed through linear combination of term weights with latent semantic analysis. The function is

$$Weight_{importance} = \sum_{w \in sentence} TF_w * LSA_w \quad (1)$$

where TF_w is the term frequency of word w and LSA_w is the weight of word in the LSA results.

Aging feature : We use this feature to show the life cycle of the sentence. The frequency of a word will change as event going on, so we use the association between word and time interval to indicate its energy which is defined as follows:

$$E_{w,t} = F(F^{-1}E_{w,t-1} + \alpha \cdot \chi_{w,t}^2) \quad (2)$$

where $E_{w,t}$ is the energy of word w in time interval t , and $E_{w,t-1}$ is the energy of word w in time interval $t-1$, α is the transfer factor, and $\chi_{w,t}^2$ is the contribution degree of word at the time interval t , which can be computed as presented in [?].

However, no words describing a special event point will retain popular forever, they will decay over time. In order to represent the word's life span realistically, we cut down the energy of word by a decay factor at the end of every time interval. And if the decayed energy value became negative, we change it to 0.

According to the description above, if the energies of some words increase greatly, we can draw a conclusion that there is a hot event spot. So we need to calculate the variance of word energy next. Here we use standard deviation:

$$Var_{w,t} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t \in period} (E_{w,t} - \overline{E_w})^2} \quad (3)$$

where N is the number of time intervals during the given period, $E_{w,t}$ is the energy of word w in time interval t , $\overline{E_w}$ is the average energy during the period, and $Var_{w,t}$ is the variance of w . Then each word will be assigned a new weight besides the traditional TF.IDF which can be defined as:

$$Weight_{aging} = \sum_{w \in sentence_i} TF * IDF_w + \mu \cdot Var_w \quad (4)$$

This kind of new weight can help us identify both central and hot information, so people can capture the main line and new changes of events simultaneously.

Topic feature : Each topic contains lots of sentences, that is, every sentence contribute some information to the whole set to express the topic. In this study, we use the link analysis to compute the latent semantic between sentences. First, topic terms and topic elements can be found through the word frequency analysis.

Then, the similarity among sentences are computed with the cosine function to construct the event map. Last, pageRank algorithm is used to assign the weight to each node in this map. We treat the pageRank value as the topic feature of the sentence. The function is :

$$Weight_{topic} = PageRank(sentence_i) \quad (5)$$

Novelty feature : In order to avoid some sentences with the same meanings be selected as the summary, the novelty of sentence is important. The novelty value is compute by the distance with the summary of last time span. The larger the distance is, the more the novelty this sentence is. In our research, we use the Jaccard similarity to gain this. The function is :

$$Weight_{novelty} = 1 - Jaccard(sentence_i, summary_{ex}) \quad (6)$$

where $summary_{ex}$ is the summary sentence in the last day.

3.3 Model Training

With the help of labled data, we convert this summarization task to pairwise classification problem. The positive data is sentences labeled to summary, otherwise is negative.

As the result of the number of summary sentence is much less than the ordinary sentences, the train data set is unbalanced. In order to reduce this reflect, SMOTEBoost method is used to train the classification model.

4 Evaluation

4.1 Evaluation metric

Here we use ROUGE toolkit [?] , which is officially applied by Document Understanding Conference (DUC) for document summarization performance evaluation, to evaluate the experimental results and compare these algorithms with each other. The summarization quality is measured by counting the number of overlapping units, such as N-gram, word sequences, and word pairs between the auto-generated summary and the manual summary. Several automatic evaluation methods are implemented in ROUGE, such as ROUGE-N, ROUGE-L and ROUGE-W, each of which can generate two scores (recall (R), precision (P)). The function is:

$$R = \frac{\sum_{s \in manual} \sum_{N-gram \in s} Count_{match}(N-gram)}{\sum_{s \in manual} \sum_{N-gram \in s} Count(N-gram)} \quad (7)$$

$$P = \frac{\sum_{s \in auto} \sum_{N-gram \in s} Count_{match}(N-gram)}{\sum_{s \in auto} \sum_{N-gram \in s} Count(N-gram)} \quad (8)$$

Where N stands for the length of the $N - gram$, $Count_{match}(N - gram)$ is the maximum number of N -grams co-occurring in the auto-generated summary and the manual summary. For all the training data are labeled data, the precision and recall rate can be computed easily, these two metrics are helpful to get a quick understanding about the performance.

4.2 Methods to compare

We start our experiment with some preprocessings like indexing, filtering out the stop words and segmenting news documents into sentences. Then we perform our method to the data set and generate a timeline for each event we choosing. We implement some widely used multi-document summarization methods as the baselines.

Centroid extracts sentences based on centroid value, positional value and first-sentence overlap.

Cluster considers that there are different themes in an event, so it first clusters similar sentences together into different clusters and then selects one representative sentence from each main cluster.

Allan is a similar timeline system from different aspects, which dividing sentences into on-event and off-event while ranking them with useful and novelty.

Wong combined the supervised and semi-supervised learning and used co-training method to train the labeled data and unlabeled data.

L2RTS considered the summary task as optimistic task and leaved the SVMRank to gain the summary.

4.3 Experiment

We use multi-document inputs from TAC2011, which contains 906 documents around 46 topics from the New York Times, the Associated Press, the Xinhua News Agency newswires, and the Washington Post News Service. Each topic has two sets of documents, A and B, each containing 10 documents. The difference is that documents in B were published later than A.

In order to evaluate the performance, we design two experiments, respectively is top-1 and top-3. Top-1 means each day we only choose one sentence as the summary, relatively top-3 means three sentences are choosed as the summary.

From the experiment results, we can get the information that summarization methods based on machine learning are performance better than others. The result of *Centroid* are better than *Cluster*, mainly because this method use some surface feature. The *Cluster* method is the worst in our experiments, since this method cluster the same meaning sentences and choose one from cluster, which makes the result ignore the novelty. The *Allan* method's performance better than *Centroid* cause this method consider the novelty and importance. Our method *TMTS* performed better than *Wong* and *L2RTS* on Top-1 summary mainly because we use the SMOTE method to train the model, which makes the minority class can be classified better. But when testing in Top-3, the *L2RTS*

Table 1. Performance on manual labeled data set

Method	Precision(1)	Recall(1)	Precision(3)	Recall(3)
Centroid	0.129	0.076	0.228	0.129
Cluster	0.057	0.045	0.185	0.133
Allan	0.143	0.083	0.232	0.184
Wong	0.214	0.085	0.363	0.135
L2RTS	0.221	0.095	0.394	0.157
TMTS	0.233	0.090	0.391	0.159

wins the match, because the SVMRanking can obtain a better classification model.

5 Conclusion and Future

In this paper, we present a novel approach of which involved aging theory and SMOTEBoost to timeline summarization. In our approach, we firstly construct features of each sentence, which contains surface feature, importance feature, aging feature, novelty feature and topic feature. Then we treat the multi-document summarization task as pair-wise classification task and generate the training data. At last SMOTEBoost is used to train the model. Experiment results show that our approach performs better compared with other widely used methods.

In the future, we will identify semantic units using other methods since *LSA* can process synonym but is unable to handle polysemy. And we will also extend our approach to short text such as microblogs and comments.