

–B. Sc. Thesis Proposal*

Evaluation of requirements and implementation of a modern UI Editor

Freie Universität Berlin

Institute of Computer Science

Human-Centered Computing (HCC) Research Group

Matthias Kind

Student Number: <Your matriculation number>

matthias.kind@fu-berlin.de>

Date of Proposal Submission: <Month, DD, YYYY>

Version: <#>

Supervisor:

<First name Last name>[†], Freie Universität Berlin, Germany

Examiner:

Prof. Dr. Claudia Müller-Birn[‡], Freie Universität Berlin, Germany

<Prof. Dr. Second Examiner[§]>, Freie Universität Berlin, Germany

*Template Version 2.0 — 2021-07-14

[†]Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Human-Centered Computing Research Group

[‡]Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Human-Centered Computing Research Group

[§]Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, <Name of Research Group>

Summary

The goal of the proposed thesis is to conceptualize and develop an UI Editor to create and configure Apps and Web-Apps for digital publishers. These Apps are based on an existing domain specific web framework, developed by <https://sprylab.com>. Apps are configured via dynamic resources, which contain all the styles, scripts and configs needed to render the customer's app on client devices. To enable the targeted user groups like internal developers, customer support and the customers (news and magazine publishers) to work more productive, I want to collect their current painpoints and ideas for improvement through various HCI methods and develop a new web-app to fulfill their requirements better.

As a baseline, this means a fast and easy to use interface which prevents user errors from happening or gives feedback on their actions, while also giving more advanced users the abilities they need to do their changes. The editor to configure the Apps UI should be procedurally generated from the source files of the framework and be performant enough to handle large configurations.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Motivation	2
1.2	Goal	3
2	Background	5
2.1	Context of the Project and Problem Description	5
2.2	Related Work	5
2.3	Research Questions	6
3	Methodology	7
3.1	Analyze	8
3.2	Design for Usability	8
3.3	Construct (Implementation)	8
3.4	Evaluation	8
4	Project Plan	9
4.1	Milestones	9
4.2	Timeline	11
5	Preliminary Outline	12
	Bibliography	13

1 Introduction

The company Sprylab provides an software platform to publishers to provide their print- and digital content to their users. The user-facing part of that platform is an web framework based on Angular, which is rendered in Apps or as a Website and provides the customers components and data sources usually required by apps in this domain.

The app specific data is stored on "dynamic resources", which utilize a specific folder structure and contain common files used by web-apps, like static images, CSS and Javascript files, and the configuration files that declare the UI rendered by the app.


1.1 Motivation

Editing these dynamic resources by hand is tedious and error-prone, as the manual workflow consists of downloading a ZIP file, editing the contents and uploading without any validation before the resources are deployed to the app.

This requires a deep knowledge of the setup, what files and keys to put where, and still experienced users of the framework can easily introduce errors by misspelling a filename or putting a wrong component name inside the UI declaration files.

An existing attempt to have a web-based editor were not pursued with much ambition or proper requirements analysis to provide a pleasant user experience to users besides the original framework developers. Thus, current "non-power-users" often struggle with slow performance, missing explanations or cryptic error messages.

Besides the often unpleasant user experience, it also suffered from bad developer experience, like non-optimal project setups and the limits of existing libraries that were used, e.g. to edit the UI declarations based on specific schemata.



An example of the current editor UI

1.2 Goal

The goal is to give the different identified possible user groups an editor which enables them to work more productive, make less errors and get more interactive feedback from the system, so that there is less support needed by other entities like the framework developers.

This includes evaluating different HCI methods to evaluate the current state as well as the different needs of the users, and then using an agile development process to build a web based editor for the Purple Experience framework. The core of this will be an editor to edit the JSON files describing the App's UI, respecting JSON schema definitions and fitting the users different knowledge and skill levels.

The contributions I aim to produce with this bachelor thesis are:

- software an web app and backend that serves and present the editor to clients, possibly con
- HCI discoveries documentation to the different methods and approaches used to gain the insight in
- user base knowledge better knowledge about what the different user groups of the proposed editor are an

Research question (proposals)

How does an editor for dynamic resources for users with different levels of expertise look like?

- What pain points can be solved by existing libraries and tools, which require new development or enhancement.
- How can we improve the user experience for all groups.

2 Background

Please add a sentence that summarizes what this section is about and what the reader can expect.

2.1 Context of the Project and Problem Description

Explain all the surroundings that are necessary to understand the broader context of your work. If necessary, give a brief introduction to non-HCI research literature as background knowledge. It is best to include a specific scenario¹. If you are designing a piece of software or graphical user interface, please specify your users and the tasks the users want to perform with your software.

2.2 Related Work

This section consists of a literature review to situate your thesis in the scientific context. Which academic articles exist in your problem area, and how are they related to your work? When placing your thesis in the context of others, you need to consider other work, which uses a similar methodology or articles, who try to answer similar research questions.

Distinction between Bachelor and Master thesis

B. Sc. Thesis	A small literature review is mandatory, starting with the articles your supervisor provides. The related work part can also include a mini similar tool analysis if you are implementing a specific part of a software.
M. Sc. Thesis	A literature review is mandatory. If it is part of your project to choose a specific framework, then you need to conduct a short survey on existing frameworks. This also applies if you want to choose an algorithm to perform a specific task or want to design a study.

In the thesis announcement² already, relevant literature is provided. If this is not the case, please ask your supervisor for articles. Describing the state of the art is essential to identify the gap you would like to fill. Thus, the literature's description should clearly result in research gaps that lead to specific research questions.

¹Scenarios are defined as an *"informal narrative description"*. *"It describes human activities or tasks in a story that allows exploration and discussion of contexts, needs, and requirements. It does not necessarily describe the use of software or other technological support used to achieve a goal. Using the vocabulary and phrasing of users means that scenarios can be understood by stakeholders, and they are able to participate fully in development."* [2].

²Thesis announcements are descriptions for "Open Theses" on the HCC website: <https://www.mi.fu-berlin.de/en/inf/groups/hcc/theses/open/index.html>

2.3 Research Questions

In this section, you should name your research questions. Your research question should be based on the observation that prior research has a gap and some misconception. You can use words such as *but* or *however* to indicate this. Make sure that you emphasize the significance of your research.

3 Methodology

Specify the overall methodology you want to apply in order to reach your goals and answer your research questions. We often apply the HCD process (cf. ??): 1. Vision, 2. Analyze, 3. Design for Usability, 4. Construct and Deploy (Implementation), 5. Evaluate in Context, 6. Feedback. There is no predefined ready-to-use HCD process. You need to adapt the general process presented to your project. This means you need to think of specific methods in each step of the process. Please link your planned procedure to your goals. If your thesis follows a data science workflow, please adapt your methodology accordingly. It is not necessary to go through all the phases of the design process in detail, it is also possible to limit the number of iterations or focus on one particular phase. This depends on your project.

This chapter explains what method was chosen in the HCD process and why it helps to answer your research question.

- survey the users of the existing editor / dynamic resources




Figure 1: User-centered System Design process by [1]

Distinction between Bachelor and Master Thesis

B. Sc. Thesis	The implementation phase is mandatory.
M. Sc. Thesis	The evaluation phase is mandatory.

Briefly describe the relevant planned steps of your HCD process in the following sections.

3.1 Analyze

3.2 Design for Usability

3.3 Construct (Implementation)

Especially in a B. Sc. thesis, an important part is the description of the technical concept. Even though in the proposal, this cannot be done in detail, the main libraries and the general architecture of the application should be outlined. Please use diagram types from the Unified Modeling Language (UML)³ for these details.

3.4 Evaluation

³For further information, please check https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Unified_Modeling_Language.

4 Project Plan

It is useful to understand a Bachelor and Master thesis as a project. Projects are based on a plan, and each plan needs milestones⁴ and a timeline. Thus, in this section, you will break down your thesis project into manageable and specific milestones to realistically estimate the time you need. Especially if you use methods for the first time, we recommend to discuss this timeline with your supervisor. Please describe each milestone, what do you exactly do in that phase, in what order, what is the result or outcome of each step, and how does it contribute towards the goal of your thesis. As a result, you will outline a detailed timeline for your upcoming research.

According to the exam regulations: a Bachelor thesis⁵ takes about 360 hours (12 LP) and a Master thesis⁶ is calculated with 900 hours (30 LP).

- ☐ Calculate the hours you can effectively work on your thesis per week.
- ☐ Write down the planned date of handing in your thesis.
- ☐ Include up to 40 % buffer in case of unforeseen problems (e.g., sickness, vacation).
- ☐ Include a Gantt-Chart.

4.1 Milestones

Specify the milestones of your upcoming project. Please describe when you plan to achieve which milestone and what artifact(s) or outcome will result from each milestone. Also, keep in mind what the goal of each milestone is.

⁴By milestone we mean a collection of tasks, which need to be finished by a specific date. You can also call it a work package.

⁵Please read § 10 of the Study and Examination regulations for the bachelor's degree program: https://www.imp.fu-berlin.de/fbv/pruefungsbuero/Studien--und-Pruefungsordnungen/StOP0_BSc_Inf_-2014.pdf, accessed May 16, 2021

⁶Please read § 9 of the Study and Examination regulations for the master's degree program: https://www.imp.fu-berlin.de/fbv/pruefungsbuero/Studien--und-Pruefungsordnungen/StOP0_MSc_-Inf_-2014.pdf, accessed May 16, 2021

M1	Milestone — Literature Review
Due date	2021-05-26 (Week 2)
Tasks	Identifying and read other studies/thesis/papers evaluating the usability of chatbots in a medical context
Outcome	A list of relevant papers (e.g. folder in Zotero). A written summary for each paper. A final text summarizing the main findings and approaches, which might be useful for my project.
Goal	General understanding of methods to evaluate the usability of chatbots in the medical context. Having a good foundation for discussing my results in the context of other people's work.


M2	Milestone — Evaluate Wikipedia's Advanced Search Interface
Due date	2021-06-15 (Week 4)
Tasks	Prepare, conduct, and evaluate a remote usability test with four participants.
Outcome	Moderator script for conducting the usability test. Affinity diagram with thematic clusters and headlines. A list of usability issues sorted by severity.
Goal	Understanding the drawbacks of the current Wikipedia advanced search in order to (re)design a new interface.

M...	Milestone — ...
Due date	
Tasks	
Outcome	
Goal	

M5	Milestone — High-Fidelity Prototype
Due date	2021-08-15 (Week 15)
Tasks	Implement the final design and the main features with HTML and CSS.
Outcome	Repository with code and data on GitLab. Deployed on Heroy and public link.
Goal	Interactive prototype, which is deployed and ready for testing.

4.2 Timeline

Now you need to transfer the milestones into a timeline. The time for your thesis will help you to set realistic time goals and maybe reconsider milestones. Use a Gantt chart⁷ for visualization. Please consider what tasks you can do in parallel. Also indicate how you will handle the writing process within your timeline.



⁷Check out Wikipedia for an extended overview of project management software that fits your needs: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Comparison_of_project_management_software. For example Ganttproject is free of charge and open source: <https://www.ganttproject.biz/>, accessed: May 26, 2021

5 Preliminary Outline

Make the first proposal for an outline of your thesis. You can adapt the following example to your needs and the type of thesis you are writing. If your thesis focuses, for example, on data science (e.g., machine learning), you should include a separate section for *(Model) Performance Analysis* and a separate *Results* section. The theoretical background should consist of definitions of significant concepts and terms and introduces your methods, approaches, and theories. The Discussion Section must include a reflection on your main results in the light of related work and your research goal and questions.

1 Introduction

- 1.1 Motivation
- 1.2 Research goal and question
- 1.3 Research approach and methodology

2 Theoretical Background

3 Related Work

- 3.1 Related software
- 3.2 Related studies in this field

4 Analysis

- 4.1 Define the data collection methods (e.g., observation)
- 4.2 Specify conceptual models
- 4.3 Derive requirements

5 Design Process

- 5.1 Low-fidelity prototype
- 5.2 High-fidelity prototype or final design concept

6 Implementation

- 6.1 System architecture
- 6.2 Technical implementation

7 Evaluation

- 7.1 Set up the study design
- 7.2 Present study results

8 Discussion

9 Conclusion

- 9.1 Limitations
- 9.2 Future Work

References

- [1] J. Gulliksen, B. Göransson, I. Boivie, S. Blomkvist, J. Persson, and Å. Cajander. Key principles for user-centred systems design. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 22(6):397–409, Nov. 2003.
- [2] J. Preece, Y. Rogers, and H. Sharp. *Interaction Design: Beyond Human-Computer Interaction*. Wiley, Chichester, fourth edition edition, 2015.