Feedback Experiment - GLAMICA

Every participant gets this questionary in advance.

Instructions:

You will be testing a smart glasses-based support system designed to assist people with dementia. You will perform the following tasks in the listed order:

- 1. "Make a Glass of Water"
- 2. "Make a Cup of Tea"
- 3. "Cook Rice"
- 4. "Cook Pasta"

Please ask more questions than you normally would during these tasks (e.g., about the process, item locations, or what you can cook with available items) to test the system's capabilities.

Note: Do not use voice input to preserve your anonymity.

After completing all tasks, please rate the following statements from 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree). You may also provide reasons for your ratings and add general notes at the end.

After completing all tasks, you will be asked to:

- Rate a series of statements from **1 (Strongly Disagree)** to **5 (Strongly Agree)**, with the option to provide reasons.
- Answer several Yes or No questions about your experience.
- Provide additional feedback notes at the end.

Detailed Rating Scale Explanation:

Please rate the following statements on a scale from 1 to 5:

- 1 Strongly Disagree: The statement is never or almost never true in your experience.
- **2 Disagree:** The statement is rarely true.
- **3 Neutral:** The statement is sometimes true—about half the time.
- 4 Agree: The statement is usually true.
- **5 Strongly Agree:** The statement is always or almost always true for you.

Statements:

Statement	Rating	Reason
The system always described the		Depending on the initial prompt it
steps logically and in the right order.	3	would forget some steps (like not
	_ J	telling me to cook rice and pasta
		before putting it on my plate)
The system helped me remember		It would remember which task I was
what to do next.		on but not what steps I already
	2	completed so it would most of the
		time just repeat all of the steps
		instead of just the next ones.
The system always directed me right		It was confused once when the
to find items.	4	spoon was behind me but
		otherwise it worked well.
The system correctly identified what I was looking at.	5	Worked well
The system's voice responses were		In general, I would say this is true
timely and appropriate.		but since some of the answers were
атто у атта арргортия	q	
		responses (like that the stove was turned on/off) that were no longer
		relevant to the current situation.
The system made me more aware of		When it worked yes but it didn't
potential risks (e.g., leaving the stove	3	always correctly identify these
or faucet on).		things being turned on.
Reminders were helpful without being	F	There was enough (but not too
annoying.	5	much) time before they came
The system was easy to use and	5	Nothing complex (assuming use
understand.	5	outside of testing conditions)
The system worked reliably		Really depended on the task and
throughout the tasks.	2	the questions/settings. Sometimes
	3	it would default to answers that
		were not necessarily helpful.
I did not feel patronized or talked		Very neutral tone of voice and
down to by the system.	5	strictly factual wording as well as
	၁	response to questions was good for
		that.

Yes or No questions:

Question	Yes	No
Did you feel confident using the system after a few minutes?	х	

Did the system ever confuse or distract you during a task?	Х	
Did the system support you in completing the tasks more efficiently?		Х
Did you find it hard getting used to the system?		Х
Were the answers you got precise?	х	

Other Notes:

_

Quantitative analysis (to be done by the experimenter):

Here the experimenter does a quantitative analysis of the previous experiment. For this the six main functionalities shown in the table below are analysed. Those are the rules for each category:

Part	Right	Wrong		
Item direction	Number of correct item	Number of incorrect item		
	directions every time the user	directions every time the user		
	sends a prompt.	sends a prompt.		
Gaze Target	Number of correct gaze-targets	Number of incorrect gaze-		
	every time the user sends a	targets every time the user		
	prompt.	sends a prompt.		
Recognized	Number of correctly identified	Number of items that were not		
items	items at the end of the	identified or identified		
	recording.	incorrectly at the end of the		
		recording.		
Stove State	Number of times the stove state	Number of times the state		
	has changed, or a reminder was	changed incorrectly, or a		
	spoken correctly.	reminder was not spoken.		
Faucet State	Number of times a reminder was	Number of times a reminder was		
	spoken correctly.	spoken incorrectly.		

Making a glass of water:

Total Experiment time: 2 minutes 56 seconds

Part of the system	Count	Right	Wrong
Item direction	12	11	1
Gaze Target	6	6	0
Recognized items	3	2	1
Stove State	0	0	0
Faucet State	0	0	0

Making a cup of tea:

Total Experiment time: 3 minutes 19 seconds

Part of the system	Count	Right	Wrong
Item direction	24	17	7
Gaze Target	4	4	0
Recognized items	6	6	0
Stove State	3	2	1
Faucet State	1	1	0

Cooking Pasta:

Total Experiment time: 4 minutes 27 seconds

Part of the system	Count	Right	Wrong
Item direction	37	32	5
Gaze Target	8	6	2
Recognized items	6	6	0
Stove State	5	3	2
Faucet State	0	0	0

Cooking Rice:

Total Experiment time: 5 minutes 35 seconds

Part of the system	Count	Right	Wrong
Item direction	57	45	12
Gaze Target	9	8	1
Recognized items	7	7	0
Stove State	11	5	6
Faucet State	0	0	0