

# Abstract Algebra I HW1

Chengyu Hsieh, B13201053

- 1) From now on, for simplicity's sake, we let  $f_i f_j$  denote  $f_i(f_j(x))$ .
- (a) By Calculation we find that  $f_2, f_3, f_6$  satisfy the required property.
  - (b) Note that  $(12)(12), (13)(13), (23)(23)$  all equal to  $(1)$ . Also see that  $f_6 = f_2 f_5, f_3 = f_2 f_5 f_5, f_4 = f_5 f_5$ . Furthermore,  $(13) = (12)(123)(123), (23) = (12)(123), (132) = (123)(123)$ . Our correspondence should have the property that if  $f_i$  corresponds to  $x$ ,  $f_j$  corresponds to  $y$ , then  $f_i f_j$  corresponds to  $xy$ . By this rule, we let  $f_2$  correspond to  $(12)$ , and  $f_5$  correspond to  $(123)$ . We may check via calculation that this satisfy the mentioned rule. Hence one correspondence is for  $f_2$  to correspond to  $(12)$ ,  $f_6$  to correspond to  $(13)$  and  $f_3$  to correspond to  $(23)$ .
  - (c)  $f_2 f_6 = f_4, f_6 f_2 = f_5, f_2 f_3 = f_5, f_3 f_2 = f_4, f_3 f_6 = f_5, f_6 f_3 = f_4$ . Hence for two distinct  $f_i, f_j$  obtained in (a), we have  $f_i \neq f_j$ . In (b) we already have that if  $f_i$  corresponds to  $x$ ,  $f_j$  corresponds to  $y$ , then  $f_i f_j$  corresponds to  $xy$ . It follows that for  $x, y \in \{(12), (23), (13)\}, x \neq y$ , we have  $xy \neq yx$ .
  - (d)  $f_5$  corresponds to  $(123)$ , and  $f_4$  corresponds to  $(132)$ .
- 2) We assume 3) is already proven. By Claim 1, we will list the elements that are coprime with  $n$ . Since the calculations are too trivial and repetitive, we do not show it here.
- (a)  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$
  - (b)  $\{1, 5\}$
  - (c)  $\{1, 3, 5, 7\}$
  - (d)  $\{1, 7, 13\}$
  - (e)  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12\}$
- 3) (a) **Necessity:** Assume that  $x \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ . Then by definition, there exists  $y \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $xy \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . Hence  $xy = \beta n + 1$  for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If we let  $a = y$  and  $b = -\beta$  then  $ax + bn = 1$ .

**Sufficiency:** Assume that there exists integers  $a, b$  such that  $ax + bn = 1$ . Let  $a = \alpha + \beta n, 0 \leq \alpha \leq n - 1, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Thus  $0 \leq \alpha \leq n - 1$  and is in  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha x + (b + \beta)n &= 1 \\ \Rightarrow x\alpha &= -(\beta + b)n + 1 \\ \Rightarrow x\alpha &\equiv 1 \pmod{n}\end{aligned}$$

By our definition we have  $x \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ .

(b)

**Claim 1.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a, b > 0$ . If  $\exists c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $ca + db = 1$ , then  $(a, b) = 1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $(a, b) = k > 1$ . Let  $a = k\alpha$ ,  $b = k\beta$ . Let  $c, d$  be integers such that  $ca + db = 1$ . Substitute in  $k\alpha$  and  $k\beta$  we obtain ‘

$$k(c\alpha + d\beta) = 1$$

Since  $k$  and  $c\alpha + d\beta$  are integers, we have  $(k = 1 \wedge c\alpha + d\beta = 1) \vee (k = -1 \wedge c\alpha + d\beta = -1)$ . But we assumed that  $k > 1$  and so we arrived at a contradiction. Hence  $(a, b) = 1$ .

**Claim 2.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a, b > 0$ . If  $(a, b) = m$ , then exists  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $ca + db = m$ .

*Proof.* First note that if  $d|a \wedge d|b$ , then  $d|m$ . Now let  $M = \{ca + db | c, d \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .  $1a + 0b = a > 0$ , so  $M$  has positive integers. Let  $M^+ = \{ca + db > 0 | c, d \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , which is non empty. Clearly  $\min M^+$  exist. Let  $m' = \min M^+$ . Then  $m' = c'a + d'b$  for some  $c', d' \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Now for any  $x = ca + db \in M$ , let  $x = m'q + r$  with  $0 \leq r < m'$ .

$$r = x - m'q = (c - c'q)a + (d - d'q)b \in M$$

Since we have  $0 \leq r < m'$ , we have  $r = 0$ . (Otherwise  $m' \neq \min(M^+)$ .) Therefore  $m'|x \forall x \in M$ . Note that  $a, b \in M \Rightarrow m'|a \wedge m'|b$ . Also, for any  $d$  such that  $d|a \wedge d|b$  we have  $d|c'a + d'b$ , so  $d|m'$ . Hence  $m' = (a, b) = m$ . We conclude that  $\exists c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $ca + db = m$ .

**Sufficiency:**

Suppose  $n$  is prime. Then for any  $x \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  we have  $(x, n) = 1$ . Hence by Claim 2, there exists  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $cx + dn = 1$ . Then by (a), we have  $x \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ . Since  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}$  has  $n-1$  elements and every element of  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}$  is in  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ , we conclude that  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$  has  $n-1$  elements.

**Necessity:**

Suppose  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$  has  $n-1$  elements. Clearly,  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ . By Claim 1, we have  $(x, n) = 1$  for every  $x$  such that  $1 \leq x \leq n-1$ . Therefore  $n$  is prime.

4) (a) Suppose  $e, e'$  are identity elements of  $G$ . Since  $e * x = x \forall x \in G$ ,

$$e * e' = e'$$

Since  $x * e' = x \forall x \in G$ ,

$$e * e' = e$$

Therefore  $e = e'$ . We conclude that the identity element is unique.

Now for an element  $x \in G$ , suppose that  $b, c$  are both the inverse of  $x$ .

$$b = b * e = b * (x * c) = (b * x) * c = e * c = c$$

Hence the inverse of an element is unique.

- (b) In the following discussion we let  $e$  denote the identity element of  $G$ . Also, the fact that  $ex = xe$  for  $x \in G$  is very clear and will not be checked from now on.

Case  $|G| = 1$ :

Let  $G = \{e\}$ . Trivial.

Case  $|G| = 2$ :

Let  $G = \{e, a\}$ . Trivial.

The cases from now on are not so trivial, so we introduce and prove the following claims:

**Claim 3.** For a fixed  $x \in G$  we have  $xy_1 \neq xy_2$  for  $y_1, y_2 \in G, y_1 \neq y_2$ .

*Proof.* Assume otherwise. Then  $y_1 = x^{-1}xy_1 = x^{-1}xy_2 = y_2$ , contradicting our assumption.

**Claim 4.** Let  $x, y \in G, x, y \neq e$ . Then  $xy \neq x$  and  $xy \neq y$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $xy = x$ . Then  $y = x^{-1}xy = x^{-1}x = e$ , giving a contradiction. Hence  $xy \neq x$ . Assuming  $xy = y$  gives a similar contradiction.

Case  $|G| = 3$ :

Let  $G = \{e, a, b\}$ . By Claim 3,  $a^2 \neq a$ , so  $a^2 = a$  or  $b$ .

If  $a^2 = e$ , by Claim 3 we have  $ab = b$  which contradict Claim 4.

Hence  $a^2 = b$ . By Claim 3 we have  $ba = e = ab$ . By Claim 3 again we have  $b^2 = a$ . Hence  $ab = ba$ .

Case  $|G| = 4$ :

By Claim 3,  $a^2 \neq a$ .

If  $a^2 = e$ :

By Claim 3,  $ab, ba \neq a, e$ . By Claim 4,  $ab, ba \neq b$ . Hence  $ab = c = ba$ . By Claim 3,  $ac = b = ca$ . Note that  $b^2 \neq c$  by Claim 3.

If  $b^2 = e$ :

By Claim 3,  $bc = a = cb, c^2 = e$ . In this case,  $ab = ba, bc = cb, ac = ca$ .

If  $b^2 = a$ :

By Claim 3,  $bc = e = cb, c^2 = a$ . In this case,  $ab = ba, bc = cb, ac = ca$ .

If  $a^2 = b$ :

By Claim 4,  $ac = e = ca$ . By Claim 3,  $ab = c = ba$ .

If  $b^2 = e$ :

By Claim 3,  $bc = a = cb, c^2 = e$ . In this case,  $ab = ba, bc = cb, ac = ca$ .

If  $b^2 = a$ :

By Claim 3,  $bc = e = cb, c^2 = a$ . In this case,  $ab = ba, bc = cb, ac = ca$ .

For  $a^2 = c$ , this case is analogous to case  $a^2 = b$

We conclude that if  $G$  has at most elements,  $G$  must be abelian.

- (c) For any  $x, y \in G$ , note that  $xxyy = ee = e$ . Also,  $(xy)(xy) = e$ . Then,  $xxyy = xyxy$  and so  $xy = yx$ .