Linear Algebra II

Hrishikesh Belagali

August 2025

1 Basics

Example

For a set \mathcal{S} , let $\mathbb{F}^{\mathcal{S}}$ be the set of all functions from \mathcal{S} to \mathbb{F} . Then, defined over canonical addition and scalar multiplications, $\mathbb{F}^{\mathcal{S}}$ is a vector space. The additive identity is the zero function 0, defined as 0(x)=0. The additive inverse can be defined as $-f:\mathcal{S}\to\mathbb{F}$ defined as $-f(x)=-(f(x))\forall x\in\mathcal{S}$.

Note that \mathbb{F}^n and \mathbb{F}^∞ are special cases of \mathbb{F}^S , where S is a finite set of size n or an infinite set, respectively.

Note that the empty set ϕ is not a vector space, nor is it a subspace of any vector space.

Example

The set of differentiable real-valued functions is a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$. Note that in calculus, the sum of two continuous functions is continuous, and the sum of two differentiable functions is differentiable. Also, scalar multiples of continuous and differentiable functions are continuous and differentiable, respectively.

Definition

Let $V_1, \dots V_n$ be subspaces of a vector space V. Then, the sum of these subspaces is defined as

$$V_1 + V_2 + \cdots + V_n = \{v_1 + v_2 + \cdots + v_n \mid v_i \in V_i \text{ for all } i\}$$

Example

Let

$$V_1 = \{(w, w, x, x) \in \mathbb{F}^4 | w, x \in \mathbb{F}\}$$
$$V_2 = \{(y, y, y, z) \in \mathbb{F}^4 | y, z \in \mathbb{F}\}$$

Now, let $v_1 \in V_1$ and $v_2 \in V_2$. Then, we can write

$$v_1 = (w_1, w_1, x_1, x_1)$$

$$v_2 = (y_2, y_2, y_2, z_2)$$

for some $w_1, x_1, y_2, z_2 \in \mathbb{F}$. Then, we have

$$v_1 + v_2 = (w_1 + y_2, w_1 + y_2, x_1 + y_2, x_1 + z_2) \in V_1 + V_2$$

Let W be defined as

$$W = \left\{ (x,x,y,z) \in \mathbb{F}^4 | x,y,z, \in \mathbb{F} \right\}$$

Then, $v_1 + v_2 \in W$ so $V_1 + V_2 \subseteq W$.

Let $w \in W$. Then, we can write

$$w = (x_w, x_w, y_w, z_w)$$

for some $x_w, y_w, z_w \in \mathbb{F}$. Then, we have

$$w = (x_w, x_w, y_w, z_w) = (x_w, x_w, y_w, y_w) + (0, 0, 0, z_w - y_w) \in V_1 + V_2$$

$$W = V_1 + V_2$$

Lemma 1.1

For any subspaces $V_1, \dots V_n$ of a vector space \mathbf{V} , $V_1 + \dots + V_n$ is a subspace of \mathbf{V} . It is also the smallest subspace of V that contains all elements of the form $v_1 + \dots + v_n$ where $v_i \in V_i$ for all i.

Proof. From the definition and that $V_1, \cdots V_n$ are subspaces, Since the subspaces themselves are closed under addition and scalar multiplication, $V_1 + \cdots + V_n$ is also closed under addition and scalar multiplication. Also, the zero vector $\mathbf{0}$ is in each of the subspaces, so $\mathbf{0} \in V_1 + \cdots + V_n$. Thus, $V_1 + \cdots + V_n$ is a subspace of \mathbf{V} .

Note: Generally, the set theoretic union is rarely a subspace, except for trivial cases where one space is a subspace of the other. However, intersections of subspaces are generally subspaces.

Definition: Direct sum

Let $V_1, \cdots V_n$ be subspaces of a vector space $\mathbf V$. Then, the sum $V_1+\cdots+V_n$ is called a direct sum if each element of $V_1+\cdots+V_n$ can be written in one and only one way as $v_1+\cdots+v_n$ where $v_i\in V_i$ for all i. In this case, we say that the sum is a direct sum, denoted by

$$W = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_n$$

Example

Let

$$U = \left\{ (x, x, y) \in \mathbb{F}^3 | x, y \in \mathbb{F} \right\}$$

Let

$$W = \{(x,0,0) \in \mathbb{F}^3 | x \in \mathbb{F}\}\$$

Then, U and W are subspaces of \mathbb{F}^3 . Any arbitrary vector in \mathbb{F}^3 can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a - b \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since this is a unique representation, $U \oplus W = \mathbb{F}^3$.

Theorem 1.2

Let $V_1, \dots V_n$ be subspaces of a vector space \mathbf{V} . Then, $V_1 + \dots V_n = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_n$ if and only if the only way to write $\mathbf{0}$ as $v_1 + \dots + v_n$ where $v_i \in V_i$ for all i is to take each $v_i = \mathbf{0}$. In other words, if $v_1 + \dots + v_n = \mathbf{0}$ implies that each $v_i = \mathbf{0}$, then the sum is a direct sum.

Proof. Suppose that $V_1 + \cdots V_n$ is a direct sum. Then, the additive identity can be written as the sum of additive identities from each subspace. By definition of a direct sum, this is the *only* way to write the additive identity as a sum.

Suppose that the only way to write zero is as the sum of additive identities from each subspace. Consider an arbitrary vector $v \in V$. Suppose that there are two different ways of writing the sum,

$$v = u_1 + \cdots + u_n; u_k \in V_k$$

$$v = v_1 + \cdots v_n; v_k \in V_k$$

Then, we can subtract these two equations

$$0 = (u_1 - v_1) + \cdots + (u_n - v_n); (u_k - v_k) \in V_k$$

Since the only way to write zero is as the sum of additive identities from each subspace, we must have $u_k-v_k=0$ for all k. Thus, $u_k=v_k$ for all k, and the representation is unique. Therefore, by definition, the sum $V_1+\cdots V_n$ is a direct sum.

Theorem 1.3

Let U and W be subspaces of a vector space \mathbf{V} . Then, the sum U+W is a direct sum if and only if $U\cap W=\{0\}$.

Proof. Suppose that U+W is a direct sum. Let $v \in U \cap W$. Then, $v \in U$, and $-v \in W$

$$0 = v + (-v)$$

Since the representation is unique, we must have v=0. Thus, $U\cap W=\{0\}$.

Conversely, suppose that $U \cap W = \{0\}$. Let $u \in U$ and $w \in W$. Then, we can write

$$u + w = 0$$

From the previous result, it suffices to show that u=w=0. This implies that w is the additive inverse of u, meaning $u,w\in U\cap W=\{0\}$. Therefore, u=w=0 and $U+W=U\oplus W$.

Lemma 1.4

Suppose $v_1, \dots v_m$ is a linearly dependent list in V. Then there exists $j \in \{1, \dots m\}$ such that

$$v_i \in \mathsf{span}(v_1, \cdots, v_{i-1})$$

If this condition holds, then

$$span(v_1, \dots v_m) = span(v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}, v_{j+1}, \dots v_m)$$

Proof. Since $v_1, \dots v_m$ is linearly dependent, there exist $a_1, \dots a_m \in \mathbb{F}$ not all zero such that

$$a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_mv_m = 0$$

Let k be the largest index such that $a_k \neq 0$. Then,

$$v_k = -\frac{a_1}{a_k}v_1 - \dots - \frac{a_{k-1}}{a_k}v_{k-1}$$

Therefore, $v_k \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1})$.

Suppose $v_k \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1})$. Then, we can write

$$v_k = b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_{k-1} v_{k-1}$$

for some $b_1, \dots, b_{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}$. Let $u \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots v_m)$. Then,

$$u = c_1 v_1 + \cdots + c_m v_m$$
 for some $c_1, \cdots + c_m \in \mathbb{F}$

Substituting for v_k , we get

$$u = c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_{k-1} v_{k-1} + c_k (b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_{k-1} v_{k-1}) + c_{k+1} v_{k+1} + \dots + c_m v_m$$

Therefore, $u \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, v_{k+1}, \dots v_m)$. Proving the other direction is trivial, you can set the coefficients of higher indices than k to zero.

Theorem 1.5

Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space. Suppose that $u_1, \cdots u_m$ is linearly independent in V and $w_1, \cdots w_n$. Then, $m \leq n$. In other words, any linearly independent list is smaller or the same size as any spanning list.

Theorem 1.6

Suppose U is a subspace of a finite-dimensional vector space V. Then there exists a subspace W of V such that $V = U \oplus W$.

Proof. Let $u_1, \cdots u_m$ be a basis of U. Since U is a subspace of V, we can extend this basis to a basis of V, say $u_1, \cdots u_m, w_1, \cdots w_n$. Let $W = \operatorname{span}(w_1, \cdots w_n)$. Then, we have

$$V = U + W$$

since any vector in V can be written as a linear combination of the basis vectors. Now, we need to show that the sum is direct. Suppose that

$$u + w = 0; u \in U, w \in W$$

Then, we can write

$$u = a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m; a_i \in \mathbb{F}$$

$$w = b_1 w_1 + \cdots + b_n w_n; b_i \in \mathbb{F}$$

Therefore, we have

$$a_1u_1 + \cdots + a_mu_m + b_1w_1 + \cdots + b_nw_n = 0$$

Since the basis vectors are linearly independent, all coefficients must be zero. Thus, u=w=0, and the sum is direct.

Theorem 1.7

If V_1 and V_2 are finite-dimensional subspaces of V, then

$$\dim(V_1 + V_2) = \dim(V_1) + \dim(V_2) - \dim(V_1 \cap V_2)$$

Proof. Let $u_1, \dots u_m$ be a basis of $V_1 \cap V_2$. We can extend this basis to a basis of V_1 , say $u_1, \dots u_m, v_1, \dots v_k$. Similarly, we can extend the basis of $V_1 \cap V_2$ to a basis of V_2 , say $u_1, \dots u_m, w_1, \dots w_l$. We claim that the list

$$u_1, \cdots u_m, v_1, \cdots v_k, w_1, \cdots w_l$$

is a basis of $V_1 + V_2$.

Since the dimension of V_1 is m+k and the dimension of V_2 is m+l, the dimension of V_1+V_2 is at most m+k+l Therefore,

$$\dim(V_1 + V_2) = m + k + l = (m + k) + (m + l) - m = \dim(V_1) + \dim(V_2) - \dim(V_1 \cap V_2)$$

It remains to show that the list spans ${\it V}_1 + {\it V}_2$ and is linearly independent.

Let $v \in V_1 + V_2$. Then, we can write

$$v = v_1 + v_2; v_1 \in V_1, v_2 \in V_2$$

Since $u_1, \dots u_m, v_1, \dots v_k$ is a basis of V_1 , we can write

$$v_1 = a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m + b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_k v_k; a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{F}$$

Similarly, we can write

$$v_2 = c_1 u_1 + \dots + c_m u_m + d_1 v_1 + \dots + d_k v_k; c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{F}$$

Therefore, we have

$$v = (a_1 + c_1)u_1 + \dots + (a_m + c_m)u_m + b_1v_1 + \dots + b_kv_k + d_1w_1 + \dots + d_lw_l$$

Thus, the list spans $V_1 + V_2$.

To show that the list is linearly independent, suppose we have a linear combination

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i u_i + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \beta_j v_j + \sum_{l=1}^{l} \gamma_l w_l = 0$$

for some scalars $\alpha_i, \beta_j, \gamma_l \in \mathbb{F}$. We need to show that all coefficients must be zero. Since $u_1, \dots u_m, v_1, \dots v_k$ is a basis of V_1 , we can write

$$v_1 = a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m + b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_k v_k; a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{F}$$

Similarly, we can write

$$v_2 = c_1 u_1 + \dots + c_m u_m + d_1 v_1 + \dots + d_k v_k; c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{F}$$

Complete ts later

2 Invariant subspaces

Definition: Invariant subspace

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{V})$. A subspace U of \mathbf{V} is called invariant under T if

$$u \in U \implies T(u) \in U$$

In other words, U is invariant under T if $T(U) \subseteq U$.

Definition: Eigenvalues and eigenvectors

A number $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is called an eigenvalue of $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{V})$ if there exists a non-zero vector $v \in \mathbf{V}$ such that

$$T(v) = \lambda v$$

Such a vector v is called an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue λ .

Definition: Polynomials of linear operators

Let m be a positive integer. Define T^m as $T \circ T \circ \cdots \circ T$ (m times). Define $T^0 = I$. Define $T^{-m} = (T^{-1})^m$ if T is invertible. Then, let $p(z) = a_m z^m + a_{m-1} z^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 z + a_0$ be a polynomial with coefficients in \mathbb{F} . Then, we can define the polynomial of the operator T as

$$p(T) = a_m T^m + a_{m-1} T^{m-1} + \dots + a_1 T + a_0 I$$

Lemma 1.8

If p, q are polynomials and $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{V})$, then (pq)(T) = p(T)q(T) = q(T)p(T).

Proof. Let $p(z)=a_mz^m+a_{m-1}z^{m-1}+\cdots+a_1z+a_0$ and $q(z)=b_nz^n+b_{n-1}z^{n-1}+\cdots+b_1z+b_0$. Then, we have $p(T)=a_mT^m+a_{m-1}T^{m-1}+\cdots+a_1T+a_0I$

$$q(T) = b_n T^n + b_{n-1} T^{n-1} + \dots + b_1 T + b_0 I$$

Now, we can compute the product p(T)q(T) as follows:

$$p(T)q(T) = (a_m T^m + a_{m-1} T^{m-1} + \dots + a_1 T + a_0 I)(b_n T^n + b_{n-1} T^{n-1} + \dots + b_1 T + b_0 I)$$

= $a_m b_n T^{m+n} + (a_m b_{n-1} + a_{m-1} b_n) T^{m+n-1} + \dots + (a_0 b_0) I$

This is exactly the polynomial (pq)(T), where (pq)(z) = p(z)q(z). Since multiplication of polynomials is commutative, we also have p(T)q(T) = q(T)p(T).

Theorem 1.9

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{V})$ and $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$. Then, null p(T) and range p(T) are invariant under T.

Proof. Let $u \in \text{null } p(T)$. Then, we have

$$p(T)(u) = 0$$

Applying T to both sides, we get

$$p(T)(Tu) = (p(T)T)u = (Tp(T))u = T(p(T)u) = T(0) = 0$$

Thus, $T(u) \in \text{null } p(T)$, showing that null p(T) is invariant under T.

Now, let $v \in \text{range } p(T)$. Then, we can write

$$v = p(T)(w)$$

for some $w \in \mathbf{V}$. Applying T, we get

$$T(v) = T(p(T)(w)) = p(T)(T(w))$$

Thus, $T(v) \in \text{range } p(T)$, showing that range p(T) is invariant under T.

2.1 Characteristic polynomials

Definition: Monic polynomial

A polynomial $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ is called monic if the leading coefficient is 1.

Definition: Minimal polynomial

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{V})$. A monic polynomial p of smallest degree such that p(T) = 0 is called the minimal polynomial of T.

Theorem 1.10

Let V be finite dimensional. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Then, there is a unique monic polynomial p in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ of smallest degree such that p(T) = 0. Furthermore, the degree of $\deg p \leq \dim V$.

Proof.

Existence: We will prove it by induction on the dimension of V.

Base case:

If dim V = 0, then $V = \{0\}$. Thus, T is the zero operator, and we can take p(z) = 1.

Inductive step:

Suppose that $\dim \mathbf{V} > 0$ and suppose that the results is true for all operators on all vector spaces of dimension strictly less than $\dim \mathbf{V}$. Let $u \in \mathbf{V}, u \neq 0$ The list $u, Tu, T^2u, \cdots T^nu$ must be linearly dependent for some $n \leq \dim \mathbf{V}$. Then there exists a smallest positive integer m such that T^mu is a linear combination

of the previous $T^k u$'s. Thus, we can write

$$T^{m}u = -a_{m-1}T^{m-1}u - \dots - a_{1}Tu - a_{0}u$$

for some $a_0, \cdots a_{m-1} \in \mathbb{F}$. Let

$$p_1(z) = z^m + a_{m-1}z^{m-1} + \dots + a_1z + a_0$$

Then, we have $p_1(T)(u) = 0$.

Since null $p_1(T)$ is invariant under T, $u, Tu, T^2u, \cdots T^{m-1}u \in \text{null } p_1(T)$. Note that from the definition of m, the list $u, Tu, T^2u, \cdots T^{m-1}u$ is linearly independent. Therefore, $\dim \text{null } p_1(T) \geq m$. Then,

$$\dim \operatorname{range} p_1(T) = \dim \mathbf{V} - \dim \operatorname{null} p_1(T) \le \dim \mathbf{V} - m < \dim \mathbf{V} \le n - m$$

Since range $p_1(T)$ is invariant under T, we can apply the inductive hypothesis to the operator $T|_{\mathsf{range}\ p_1(T)}$. Thus, there exists a unique monic polynomial $q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ of degree $\leq n-m$ such that $q(T|_{\mathsf{range}\ p_1(T)})=0$. Then, for all $v \in \mathbf{V}$, we have $p_1(T) \in \mathsf{range}q(T)$. Thus, $q(T)(p_1(T)(v))=0$. Therefore, we have

$$(qp_1)(T)(v) = q(T)(p_1(T)(v)) = 0$$

for all $v \in \mathbf{V}$. Therefore, $(qp_1)(T) = 0$, and we can take $p = qp_1$ as our minimal polynomial.

Uniqueness: Suppose p and q are both monic polynomials of smallest degree such that p(T) = q(T) = 0. Then (p-q)(T) = 0, and since p and q have the same degree and leading coefficient, p-q has degree strictly less than $\deg p$. Note that p-q is not necessarily monic. However, we can write p-q=cr where c is the leading coefficient of p-q and r is a monic polynomial. Then, we have

$$0 = (p - q)(T) = cr(T)$$

By minimality of deg p, we must have p-q=0, so p=q.