

# Linear Algebra II

Hrishikesh Belagali

August 2025

## 1 Basics

### Example

For a set  $S$ , let  $\mathbb{F}^S$  be the set of all functions from  $S$  to  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then, defined over canonical addition and scalar multiplications,  $\mathbb{F}^S$  is a vector space. The additive identity is the zero function  $0$ , defined as  $0(x) = 0$ . The additive inverse can be defined as  $-f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  defined as  $-f(x) = -(f(x)) \forall x \in S$ .

Note that  $\mathbb{F}^n$  and  $\mathbb{F}^\infty$  are special cases of  $\mathbb{F}^S$ , where  $S$  is a finite set of size  $n$  or an infinite set, respectively.

Note that the empty set  $\phi$  is not a vector space, nor is it a subspace of any vector space.

### Example

The set of differentiable real-valued functions is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ . Note that in calculus, the sum of two continuous functions is continuous, and the sum of two differentiable functions is differentiable. Also, scalar multiples of continuous and differentiable functions are continuous and differentiable, respectively.

### Definition

Let  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  be subspaces of a vector space  $\mathbf{V}$ . Then, the sum of these subspaces is defined as

$$V_1 + V_2 + \dots + V_n = \{v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_n \mid v_i \in V_i \text{ for all } i\}$$

### Example

Let

$$V_1 = \{(w, w, x, x) \in \mathbb{F}^4 \mid w, x \in \mathbb{F}\}$$

$$V_2 = \{(y, y, y, z) \in \mathbb{F}^4 \mid y, z \in \mathbb{F}\}$$

Now, let  $v_1 \in V_1$  and  $v_2 \in V_2$ . Then, we can write

$$v_1 = (w_1, w_1, x_1, x_1)$$

$$v_2 = (y_2, y_2, y_2, z_2)$$

for some  $w_1, x_1, y_2, z_2 \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then, we have

$$v_1 + v_2 = (w_1 + y_2, w_1 + y_2, x_1 + y_2, x_1 + z_2) \in V_1 + V_2$$

Let  $W$  be defined as

$$W = \{(x, x, y, z) \in \mathbb{F}^4 \mid x, y, z \in \mathbb{F}\}$$

Then,  $v_1 + v_2 \in W$  so  $V_1 + V_2 \subseteq W$ .

Let  $w \in W$ . Then, we can write

$$w = (x_w, x_w, y_w, z_w)$$

for some  $x_w, y_w, z_w \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then, we have

$$w = (x_w, x_w, y_w, z_w) = (x_w, x_w, y_w, y_w) + (0, 0, 0, z_w - y_w) \in V_1 + V_2$$

$$\therefore W = V_1 + V_2$$

### Lemma 1.1

For any subspaces  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  of a vector space  $\mathbf{V}$ ,  $V_1 + \dots + V_n$  is a subspace of  $\mathbf{V}$ . It is also the smallest subspace of  $V$  that contains all elements of the form  $v_1 + \dots + v_n$  where  $v_i \in V_i$  for all  $i$ .

*Proof.* From the definition and that  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  are subspaces, Since the subspaces themselves are closed under addition and scalar multiplication,  $V_1 + \dots + V_n$  is also closed under addition and scalar multiplication. Also, the zero vector  $\mathbf{0}$  is in each of the subspaces, so  $\mathbf{0} \in V_1 + \dots + V_n$ . Thus,  $V_1 + \dots + V_n$  is a subspace of  $\mathbf{V}$ .

Note: Generally, the set theoretic union is rarely a subspace, except for trivial cases where one space is a subspace of the other. However, intersections of subspaces are generally subspaces.

### Definition: Direct sum

Let  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  be subspaces of a vector space  $\mathbf{V}$ . Then, the sum  $V_1 + \dots + V_n$  is called a direct sum if each element of  $V_1 + \dots + V_n$  can be written in one and only one way as  $v_1 + \dots + v_n$  where  $v_i \in V_i$  for all  $i$ . In this case, we say that the sum is a direct sum, denoted by

$$W = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \dots \oplus V_n$$

### Example

Let

$$U = \{(x, x, y) \in \mathbb{F}^3 | x, y \in \mathbb{F}\}$$

Let

$$W = \{(x, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{F}^3 | x \in \mathbb{F}\}$$

Then,  $U$  and  $W$  are subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}^3$ . Any arbitrary vector in  $\mathbb{F}^3$  can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a - b \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since this is a unique representation,  $U \oplus W = \mathbb{F}^3$ .

### Theorem 1.2

Let  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  be subspaces of a vector space  $\mathbf{V}$ . Then,  $V_1 + \dots + V_n = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_n$  if and only if the only way to write  $\mathbf{0}$  as  $v_1 + \dots + v_n$  where  $v_i \in V_i$  for all  $i$  is to take each  $v_i = \mathbf{0}$ . In other words, if  $v_1 + \dots + v_n = \mathbf{0}$  implies that each  $v_i = \mathbf{0}$ , then the sum is a direct sum.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $V_1 + \dots + V_n$  is a direct sum. Then, the additive identity can be written as the sum of additive identities from each subspace. By definition of a direct sum, this is the *only* way to write the additive identity as a sum.

Suppose that the only way to write zero is as the sum of additive identities from each subspace. Consider an arbitrary vector  $v \in V$ . Suppose that there are two different ways of writing the sum,

$$v = u_1 + \dots + u_n; u_k \in V_k$$

$$v = v_1 + \dots + v_n; v_k \in V_k$$

Then, we can subtract these two equations

$$0 = (u_1 - v_1) + \cdots + (u_n - v_n); (u_k - v_k) \in V_k$$

Since the only way to write zero is as the sum of additive identities from each subspace, we must have  $u_k - v_k = 0$  for all  $k$ . Thus,  $u_k = v_k$  for all  $k$ , and the representation is unique. Therefore, by definition, the sum  $V_1 + \cdots + V_n$  is a direct sum.

### Theorem 1.3

Let  $U$  and  $W$  be subspaces of a vector space  $\mathbf{V}$ . Then, the sum  $U + W$  is a direct sum if and only if  $U \cap W = \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $U + W$  is a direct sum. Let  $v \in U \cap W$ . Then,  $v \in U$ , and  $-v \in W$

$$0 = v + (-v)$$

Since the representation is unique, we must have  $v = 0$ . Thus,  $U \cap W = \{0\}$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $U \cap W = \{0\}$ . Let  $u \in U$  and  $w \in W$ . Then, we can write

$$u + w = 0$$

From the previous result, it suffices to show that  $u = w = 0$ . This implies that  $w$  is the additive inverse of  $u$ , meaning  $u, w \in U \cap W = \{0\}$ . Therefore,  $u = w = 0$  and  $U + W = U \oplus W$ .

### Lemma 1.4

Suppose  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is a linearly dependent list in  $\mathbf{V}$ . Then there exists  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that

$$v_j \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{j-1})$$

If this condition holds, then

$$\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m) = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_m)$$

*Proof.* Since  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is linearly dependent, there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$  not all zero such that

$$a_1 v_1 + \cdots + a_m v_m = 0$$

Let  $k$  be the largest index such that  $a_k \neq 0$ . Then,

$$v_k = -\frac{a_1}{a_k} v_1 - \cdots - \frac{a_{k-1}}{a_k} v_{k-1}$$

Therefore,  $v_k \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1})$ .

Suppose  $v_k \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1})$ . Then, we can write

$$v_k = b_1 v_1 + \cdots + b_{k-1} v_{k-1}$$

for some  $b_1, \dots, b_{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}$ . Let  $u \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ . Then,

$$u = c_1 v_1 + \cdots + c_m v_m \text{ for some } c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{F}$$

Substituting for  $v_k$ , we get

$$u = c_1 v_1 + \cdots + c_{k-1} v_{k-1} + c_k (b_1 v_1 + \cdots + b_{k-1} v_{k-1}) + c_{k+1} v_{k+1} + \cdots + c_m v_m$$

Therefore,  $u \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_m)$ . Proving the other direction is trivial, you can set the coefficients of higher indices than  $k$  to zero.

**Theorem 1.5**

Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional vector space. Suppose that  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  is linearly independent in  $V$  and  $w_1, \dots, w_n$ . Then,  $m \leq n$ . In other words, any linearly independent list is smaller or the same size as any spanning list.

**Theorem 1.6**

Suppose  $U$  is a subspace of a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$ . Then there exists a subspace  $W$  of  $V$  such that  $V = U \oplus W$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  be a basis of  $U$ . Since  $U$  is a subspace of  $V$ , we can extend this basis to a basis of  $V$ , say  $u_1, \dots, u_m, w_1, \dots, w_n$ . Let  $W = \text{span}(w_1, \dots, w_n)$ . Then, we have

$$V = U + W$$

since any vector in  $V$  can be written as a linear combination of the basis vectors. Now, we need to show that the sum is direct. Suppose that

$$u + w = 0; u \in U, w \in W$$

Then, we can write

$$u = a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m; a_i \in \mathbb{F}$$

$$w = b_1 w_1 + \dots + b_n w_n; b_i \in \mathbb{F}$$

Therefore, we have

$$a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m + b_1 w_1 + \dots + b_n w_n = 0$$

Since the basis vectors are linearly independent, all coefficients must be zero. Thus,  $u = w = 0$ , and the sum is direct.

**Theorem 1.7**

If  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are finite-dimensional subspaces of  $V$ , then

$$\dim(V_1 + V_2) = \dim(V_1) + \dim(V_2) - \dim(V_1 \cap V_2)$$

*Proof.* Let  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  be a basis of  $V_1 \cap V_2$ . We can extend this basis to a basis of  $V_1$ , say  $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_k$ . Similarly, we can extend the basis of  $V_1 \cap V_2$  to a basis of  $V_2$ , say  $u_1, \dots, u_m, w_1, \dots, w_l$ . We claim that the list

$$u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_k, w_1, \dots, w_l$$

is a basis of  $V_1 + V_2$ .

Since the dimension of  $V_1$  is  $m + k$  and the dimension of  $V_2$  is  $m + l$ , the dimension of  $V_1 + V_2$  is at most  $m + k + l$ . Therefore,

$$\dim(V_1 + V_2) = m + k + l = (m + k) + (m + l) - m = \dim(V_1) + \dim(V_2) - \dim(V_1 \cap V_2)$$

It remains to show that the list spans  $V_1 + V_2$  and is linearly independent.

Let  $v \in V_1 + V_2$ . Then, we can write

$$v = v_1 + v_2; v_1 \in V_1, v_2 \in V_2$$

Since  $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_k$  is a basis of  $V_1$ , we can write

$$v_1 = a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m + b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_k v_k; a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{F}$$

Similarly, we can write

$$v_2 = c_1 u_1 + \dots + c_m u_m + d_1 v_1 + \dots + d_l v_l; c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{F}$$

Therefore, we have

$$v = (a_1 + c_1)u_1 + \cdots + (a_m + c_m)u_m + b_1v_1 + \cdots + b_kv_k + d_1w_1 + \cdots + d_lw_l$$

Thus, the list spans  $V_1 + V_2$ .

To show that the list is linearly independent, suppose we have a linear combination

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i u_i + \sum_{j=1}^k \beta_j v_j + \sum_{l=1}^l \gamma_l w_l = 0$$

for some scalars  $\alpha_i, \beta_j, \gamma_l \in \mathbb{F}$ . We need to show that all coefficients must be zero.

Since  $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_k$  is a basis of  $V_1$ , we can write

$$v_1 = a_1 u_1 + \cdots + a_m u_m + b_1 v_1 + \cdots + b_k v_k; a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{F}$$

Similarly, we can write

$$v_2 = c_1 u_1 + \cdots + c_m u_m + d_1 v_1 + \cdots + d_k v_k; c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{F}$$

Complete ts later

## 2 Invariant subspaces

### Definition: Invariant subspace

Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{V})$ . A subspace  $U$  of  $\mathbf{V}$  is called invariant under  $T$  if

$$u \in U \implies T(u) \in U$$

In other words,  $U$  is invariant under  $T$  if  $T(U) \subseteq U$ .

### Definition: Eigenvalues and eigenvectors

A number  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$  is called an eigenvalue of  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{V})$  if there exists a non-zero vector  $v \in \mathbf{V}$  such that

$$T(v) = \lambda v$$

Such a vector  $v$  is called an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

### Definition: Polynomials of linear operators

Let  $m$  be a positive integer. Define  $T^m$  as  $T \circ T \circ \cdots \circ T$  ( $m$  times). Define  $T^0 = I$ . Define  $T^{-m} = (T^{-1})^m$  if  $T$  is invertible. Then, let  $p(z) = a_m z^m + a_{m-1} z^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 z + a_0$  be a polynomial with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then, we can define the polynomial of the operator  $T$  as

$$p(T) = a_m T^m + a_{m-1} T^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 T + a_0 I$$

### Lemma 1.8

If  $p, q$  are polynomials and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{V})$ , then  $(pq)(T) = p(T)q(T) = q(T)p(T)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p(z) = a_m z^m + a_{m-1} z^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 z + a_0$  and  $q(z) = b_n z^n + b_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \cdots + b_1 z + b_0$ . Then, we have

$$p(T) = a_m T^m + a_{m-1} T^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 T + a_0 I$$

$$q(T) = b_n T^n + b_{n-1} T^{n-1} + \cdots + b_1 T + b_0 I$$

Now, we can compute the product  $p(T)q(T)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} p(T)q(T) &= (a_m T^m + a_{m-1} T^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1 T + a_0 I)(b_n T^n + b_{n-1} T^{n-1} + \cdots + b_1 T + b_0 I) \\ &= a_m b_n T^{m+n} + (a_m b_{n-1} + a_{m-1} b_n) T^{m+n-1} + \cdots + (a_0 b_0) I \end{aligned}$$

This is exactly the polynomial  $(pq)(T)$ , where  $(pq)(z) = p(z)q(z)$ . Since multiplication of polynomials is commutative, we also have  $p(T)q(T) = q(T)p(T)$ .

### Theorem 1.9

Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{V})$  and  $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ . Then,  $\text{null } p(T)$  and  $\text{range } p(T)$  are invariant under  $T$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u \in \text{null } p(T)$ . Then, we have

$$p(T)(u) = 0$$

Applying  $T$  to both sides, we get

$$p(T)(Tu) = (p(T)T)u = (Tp(T))u = T(p(T)u) = T(0) = 0$$

Thus,  $T(u) \in \text{null } p(T)$ , showing that  $\text{null } p(T)$  is invariant under  $T$ .

Now, let  $v \in \text{range } p(T)$ . Then, we can write

$$v = p(T)(w)$$

for some  $w \in \mathbf{V}$ . Applying  $T$ , we get

$$T(v) = T(p(T)(w)) = p(T)(T(w))$$

Thus,  $T(v) \in \text{range } p(T)$ , showing that  $\text{range } p(T)$  is invariant under  $T$ .

## 2.1 Characteristic polynomials

### Definition: Monic polynomial

A polynomial  $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$  is called monic if the leading coefficient is 1.

### Definition: Minimal polynomial

Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{V})$ . A monic polynomial  $p$  of smallest degree such that  $p(T) = 0$  is called the minimal polynomial of  $T$ .

### Theorem 1.10

Let  $\mathbf{V}$  be finite dimensional. Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{V})$ . Then, there is a unique monic polynomial  $p$  in  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$  of smallest degree such that  $p(T) = 0$ . Furthermore, the degree of  $\deg p \leq \dim \mathbf{V}$ .

*Proof.*

**Existence:** We will prove it by induction on the dimension of  $\mathbf{V}$ .

*Base case:*

If  $\dim \mathbf{V} = 0$ , then  $\mathbf{V} = \{0\}$ . Thus,  $T$  is the zero operator, and we can take  $p(z) = 1$ .

*Inductive step:*

Suppose that  $\dim \mathbf{V} > 0$  and suppose that the results is true for all operators on all vector spaces of dimension strictly less than  $\dim \mathbf{V}$ . Let  $u \in \mathbf{V}, u \neq 0$ . The list  $u, Tu, T^2u, \dots, T^nu$  must be linearly dependent for some  $n \leq \dim \mathbf{V}$ . Then there exists a smallest positive integer  $m$  such that  $T^mu$  is a linear combination

of the previous  $T^k u$ 's. Thus, we can write

$$T^m u = -a_{m-1}T^{m-1}u - \cdots - a_1Tu - a_0u$$

for some  $a_0, \dots, a_{m-1} \in \mathbb{F}$ . Let

$$p_1(z) = z^m + a_{m-1}z^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1z + a_0$$

Then, we have  $p_1(T)(u) = 0$ .

Since  $\text{null } p_1(T)$  is invariant under  $T$ ,  $u, Tu, T^2u, \dots, T^{m-1}u \in \text{null } p_1(T)$ . Note that from the definition of  $m$ , the list  $u, Tu, T^2u, \dots, T^{m-1}u$  is linearly independent. Therefore,  $\dim \text{null } p_1(T) \geq m$ . Then,

$$\dim \text{range } p_1(T) = \dim \mathbf{V} - \dim \text{null } p_1(T) \leq \dim \mathbf{V} - m < \dim \mathbf{V} \leq n - m$$

Since  $\text{range } p_1(T)$  is invariant under  $T$ , we can apply the inductive hypothesis to the operator  $T|_{\text{range } p_1(T)}$ . Thus, there exists a unique monic polynomial  $q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$  of degree  $\leq n - m$  such that  $q(T|_{\text{range } p_1(T)}) = 0$ . Then, for all  $v \in \mathbf{V}$ , we have  $p_1(T)v \in \text{range } q(T)$ . Thus,  $q(T)(p_1(T)v) = 0$ . Therefore, we have

$$(qp_1)(T)(v) = q(T)(p_1(T)v) = 0$$

for all  $v \in \mathbf{V}$ . Therefore,  $(qp_1)(T) = 0$ , and we can take  $p = qp_1$  as our minimal polynomial.

**Uniqueness:** Suppose  $p$  and  $q$  are both monic polynomials of smallest degree such that  $p(T) = q(T) = 0$ . Then  $(p - q)(T) = 0$ , and since  $p$  and  $q$  have the same degree and leading coefficient,  $p - q$  has degree strictly less than  $\deg p$ . Note that  $p - q$  is not necessarily monic. However, we can write  $p - q = cr$  where  $c$  is the leading coefficient of  $p - q$  and  $r$  is a monic polynomial. Then, we have

$$0 = (p - q)(T) = cr(T)$$

By minimality of  $\deg p$ , we must have  $p - q = 0$ , so  $p = q$ .

### Definition

Let  $\mathbf{V}$  be finite-dimensional and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{V})$ . Let  $p(z)$  be the minimal polynomial of  $T$ . Then,

- The zeros of  $p$  are the eigenvalues of  $T$ .
- If  $\mathbf{V}$  is a complex vector space, then

$$p(z) = (z - \lambda_1)(z - \lambda_2) \cdots (z - \lambda_m)$$

where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  are the eigenvalues of  $T$  (possibly repeated).

**Proof. Forward: If  $\lambda$  is a zero of  $p$ , then  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$ .**

Suppose that  $p(\lambda) = 0$ . Then, we can write

$$p(z) = (z - \lambda)q(z)$$

where  $q(z)$  is a monic polynomial. Then, we have

$$p(T) = (T - \lambda I)q(T) = 0$$

For some  $v \in \mathbf{V}$  such that  $q(T)v \neq 0$ , we have

$$(T - \lambda I)(q(T)v) = 0$$

Since  $\deg q = \deg p - 1 < \deg p$ , such a  $v$  exists. Thus,  $T(q(T)v) = \lambda(q(T)v)$ , and  $q(T)v$  is an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

**Reverse: If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$ , then  $\lambda$  is a zero of  $p$ .**

Suppose that  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$ . Then, there exists a non-zero vector  $v \in \mathbf{V}$  such that

$$T(v) = \lambda v$$

Then, we have

$$T(Tv) = T(\lambda v) = \lambda T(v) = \lambda^2 v$$

Continuing this way, we can show that

$$T^k(v) = \lambda^k v$$

for all non-negative integers  $k$ . Then, we have

$$p(T)(v) = (T^m + a_{m-1}T^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1T + a_0I)(v) = (\lambda^m + a_{m-1}\lambda^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1\lambda + a_0)v = p(\lambda)v$$

Since  $p(T) = 0$ , we have  $p(\lambda)v = 0$ . Since  $v \neq 0$ , we must have  $p(\lambda) = 0$ .

By the fundamental theorem of algebra, if  $\mathbf{V}$  is a complex vector space, then  $p(z)$  can be factored into linear factors. Since the zeros of  $p$  are the eigenvalues of  $T$ , we can write

$$p(z) = (z - \lambda_1)(z - \lambda_2) \cdots (z - \lambda_m)$$

where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  are the eigenvalues of  $T$  (possibly repeated).

### Corollary 1.11

Let  $\mathbf{V}$  be a nonzero, finite-dimensional, complex vector space and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{V})$ . Then,  $T$  has an eigenvalue.

Note: The theorem is not true for infinite-dimensional vector spaces. For example, consider the vector space  $\mathbb{C}^\infty$  and the right shift operator  $T$  defined as

$$T(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$$

Then,  $T$  has no eigenvalues. To see this, suppose that  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$ . Then, there exists a non-zero vector  $v = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$  such that

$$T(v) = \lambda v$$

This gives us the equation

$$(0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = \lambda(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$$

Equating components, we find that

$$0 = \lambda x_1$$

$$x_1 = \lambda x_2$$

$$x_2 = \lambda x_3$$

$$\vdots$$

If  $\lambda \neq 0$ , this implies that  $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = \cdots = 0$ , contradicting the assumption that  $v$  is non-zero. Therefore, we must have  $\lambda = 0$ . However, if  $\lambda = 0$ , then we have

$$T(v) = (0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = 0$$

which again implies that  $v = 0$ . Thus, we conclude that  $T$  has no eigenvalues.

Recall that  $q(z)$  divides  $p(z)$  if there exists a polynomial  $r(z)$  such that  $p(z) = q(z)r(z)$ .

### Theorem 1.12

Let  $\mathbf{V}$  be finite-dimensional and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{V})$ . Let  $p(z)$  be the minimal polynomial of  $T$ . Let  $q(z) \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ . Then,  $q(T) = 0$  if and only if  $p(z)$  divides  $q(z)$ .

*Proof.* If  $q = ps$  for some  $s \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ , then  $q(T) = p(T)s(T) = 0$ ,  $s(T) = 0$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $q(T) = 0$ . Using polynomial long division, we can write

$$q(z) = p(z)s(z) + r(z)$$

where  $\deg r < \deg p$ . Then, we have

$$r(T) = q(T) - p(T)s(T) = 0 - 0 = 0$$



Since  $\deg r < \deg p$ , we must have  $r(z) = 0$ . Thus, we conclude that  $p(z)$  divides  $q(z)$ .

### Corollary 1.13

Let  $\mathbf{V}$  be finite-dimensional and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{V})$ . and let  $U \subseteq \mathbf{V}$  be invariant under  $T$ . Let  $T_U$  be the restriction of  $T$  to  $U$ . Thus, the minimal polynomial of  $T_U$  divides the minimal polynomial of  $T$ .

### Theorem 1.14

Let  $\mathbf{V}$  be finite dimensional and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{V})$ . Then,  $T$  is not invertible if and only if the minimal polynomial of  $T$  does not have a constant term.

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be the minimal polynomial of  $T$ . Suppose that  $T$  is not invertible. Then,  $\text{null } T \neq \{0\}$ . Then, 0 is an eigenvalue of  $T$ . Thus,  $p(0) = 0$ , so  $p$  does not have a constant term. Conversely, suppose that  $p$  does not have a constant term. Then, 0 is an eigenvalue of  $T$ , so  $\text{null } T \neq \{0\}$ . Thus,  $T$  is not invertible.

### Theorem 1.15

Every operator on an odd-dimensional real vector space has an eigenvalue.

*Proof.* later

## 2.2 Upper-triangular matrices

When discussing operators on  $\mathbf{V}$ , we'll fix a basis for  $\mathbf{V}$ . Then, we can represent the operator as a matrix.

### Definition: Upper triangular matrix

Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{V})$ , where  $\mathbf{V}$  is finite-dimensional. The matrix of  $T$  with respect to a basis  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  of  $\mathbf{V}$  is called upper-triangular if

$$Tv_j \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_j)$$

for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . In other words, the matrix of  $T$  has all entries below the main diagonal equal to zero.

### Theorem 1.16

Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{V})$ , where  $\mathbf{V}$  is finite dimensional. Let  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  be a basis of  $\mathbf{V}$ . Then, the following are equivalent:

1. The matrix of  $T$  with respect to  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  is upper-triangular.
2.  $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_j)$  is invariant under  $T$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .
3.  $Tv_j \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{j-1})$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (2):

Suppose  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and let  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Then, we can write

$$Tv_j = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_jv_j$$

for some  $a_1, \dots, a_j \in \mathbb{F}$ . Therefore,  $Tv_j \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$ . Since  $j$  was arbitrary, we conclude that  $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  is invariant under  $T$ .

(2)  $\implies$  (3):

From the definition of  $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_j)$  being invariant under  $T$ , we have

$$Tv_k \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$$

(3)  $\implies$  (1):

Suppose  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and let  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Then, we can write

$$Tv_j = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_jv_j$$

for some  $a_1, \dots, a_j \in \mathbb{F}$ . Therefore,  $Tv_j \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$ . Since  $j$  was arbitrary, we conclude that  $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  is invariant under  $T$ .

### Theorem 1.17

Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{V})$ , where  $\mathbf{V}$  is finite dimensional. If  $\mathcal{M}(T)$  is upper-triangular with respect to some basis of  $\mathbf{V}$ , and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are the entries on the main diagonal of  $\mathcal{M}(T)$ , then

$$(T - \lambda_1 I)(T - \lambda_2 I) \cdots (T - \lambda_n I) = 0$$

*Proof.* Let  $p(z) = (z - \lambda_1)(z - \lambda_2) \cdots (z - \lambda_n)$ . It suffices to show that  $(T - \lambda_1 I)(T - \lambda_2 I) \cdots (T - \lambda_n I)$  vanishes on  $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . For  $k = 1$ , this is true since  $Tv_1 = \lambda_1 v_1$ .

Now note  $(T - \lambda_2 I) \in \text{span}(v_1)$ , so  $(T - \lambda_1 I)(T - \lambda_2 I)v_2 = 0$ . Continuing this way, we can show that

$$(T - \lambda_1 I)(T - \lambda_2 I) \cdots (T - \lambda_k I)v_k = 0$$

for all  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Thus, we conclude that

$$(T - \lambda_1 I)(T - \lambda_2 I) \cdots (T - \lambda_n I) = 0$$

### Theorem 1.18

Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{V})$ , where  $\mathbf{V}$  is finite-dimensional. Then, the eigenvalues are precisely the entries on the main diagonal of any upper-triangular matrix representing  $T$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p(z)$  be the minimal polynomial of  $T$ . Let  $q(z) = (z - \lambda_1)(z - \lambda_2) \cdots (z - \lambda_n)$ , where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are the entries on the main diagonal of the upper-triangular matrix representing  $T$ . Then,  $q(T) = 0$ . Thus,  $p$  divides  $q$ . Then,  $p(z)$  must be of the form

$$p(z) = (z - \lambda_{i_1})(z - \lambda_{i_2}) \cdots (z - \lambda_{i_m})$$

where  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m$  are some indices in  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

Let  $j \in I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be arbitrary. Since the  $j$ th diagonal of a product of upper-triangular matrix is the product of the  $j$ th diagonals of the matrices, the  $j$ th element of  $p(T)$  is

$$\prod_{i \in I} (\lambda_j - \lambda_i) = 0$$

Thus, there exists  $i \in I$  such that  $\lambda_j - \lambda_i = 0$ , or  $\lambda_j = \lambda_i$ . Therefore,  $\lambda_j$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$ .

### Theorem 1.19

Let  $\mathbf{V}$  be a finite-dimensional vector space and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{V})$ . Then,  $T$  has an upper-triangular matrix with respect to some basis of  $\mathbf{V}$  if and only if the minimal polynomial of  $T$  has the form

$$p(z) = (z - \lambda_1)(z - \lambda_2) \cdots (z - \lambda_n)$$

where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are in  $\mathbb{F}$  (not necessarily distinct).

Note: This is always true for  $\mathbb{C}$ , it becomes an issue for  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* First, suppose that the matrix of  $T$  is upper-triangular with respect to some basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ . Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be the diagonal entries of the matrix of  $T$  and  $q(z) = (z - \alpha_1)(z - \alpha_2) \cdots (z - \alpha_n)$ . Then, we have  $q(T) = 0$ . Let  $p(z)$  be the minimal polynomial of  $T$ . Then,  $p$  divides  $q$ , so  $p(z)$  must be of the

form

$$p(z) = (z - \alpha_1)(z - \alpha_2) \cdots (z - \alpha_n).$$

Conversely, suppose that the minimal polynomial of  $T$  has the form

$$p(z) = (z - \lambda_1)(z - \lambda_2) \cdots (z - \lambda_m)$$

where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  are in  $\mathbb{F}$ . We prove the result by induction on  $m = \deg p$ .

Base case:  $m = 1$

In this case, we have  $p(z) = z - \lambda_1$ . Thus,  $T = \lambda_1 I$ . Therefore, the matrix of  $T$  with respect to any basis is upper-triangular.

Inductive step:

Suppose that the result holds for all  $k < m$ . Let  $U = \text{range}(T - \lambda_m I)$ . Then,  $U$  is invariant under  $T$ . Let  $T_U$  be the restriction of  $T$  to  $U$ . Then, the minimal polynomial of  $T_U$  divides  $p(z)/(z - \lambda_m)$ . Then, the minimal polynomial of  $T_U$  has the form

$$(z - \lambda_1)(z - \lambda_2) \cdots (z - \lambda_{m-1})$$

By the inductive hypothesis, there exists a basis  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  of  $U$  such that the matrix of  $T_U$  is upper triangular with respect to this basis. Then, for  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , we have

$$Tu_k = T|_U(u_k) \in \text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_k)$$

Now, extend this basis of  $U$  to a basis of  $\mathbf{V}$ , say  $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n$  where  $n = \dim \mathbf{V} - \dim U$ . If  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then we have

$$Tv_k = (T - \lambda_m I)v_k + \lambda_m v_k \in \text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_k)$$

Therefore,  $T$  is upper-triangular with respect to the basis  $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n$ .

### Corollary 1.20

Let  $\mathbf{V}$  be a finite dimensional complex vector space and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{V})$ . Then,  $T$  has an upper-triangular matrix with respect to some basis of  $\mathbf{V}$ .

*Proof.* It follows from the fundamental theorem of algebra.