

Cloud Service Approval Request

Date Submitted: 11/14/2021

Team Hobby:

Colin Creasman

Daniel Bribiesca

Team Lead: Jacob Delgado

Long Nguyen

Rifat Hasan

Appendix

1 Introduction	2
2 Analysis of Available Cloud Service	2 - 4
2.1 Amazon Relational Database Service Pros and Cons	
2.2 Microsoft Azure SQL Database Pros and Cons	
2.3 Google Cloud SQL Pros and Cons	
3 Discussion	5 - 6
3.1 Pricing	
3.2 Database Hardware	
3.3 Encryption	
3.4 Data Transfer cost	
4 Conclusion	6 - 7
5 References	8 - 9

1 Introduction

"Hobby Project Generator" is a web application that will be suggested different projects to the users base on their preferences. Being a web application, it will need to be hosted on a backend server to provide consistent access to the users. In addition, it will also need to store the data of users who create an account with our web application.

It will also need to store links to external projects that will be pulled from other websites as mentioned in our Project Proposal and Business Requirement Documentations. And it will also need to log information of events that are happening whether successful or unsuccessful for debugging and maintenance purposes. In order to satisfy the functionality above, a cloud relational database will be needed.

2 Analysis of available cloud services:

From our research, the main relational database cloud services providers include Amazon Relational Database Service (RDS), Google Cloud SQL, and Microsoft Azure SQL Database Service.

2.1 Amazon RDS Pros and Cons

Amazon is the largest cloud service provider with currently the most market share.

Pro:

- Supports multiple database engines includes:
 - Amazon Aurora
 - PostgreSQL
 - MySQL
 - o MariaDB up to version 10.5.12, 10.4, 10.3, 10.2
 - Microsoft SQL Server Standard, web, and Express edition version 2012, 2014, 2016, 2017
 - Oracle database
- Provide micro instances with minimal resources at no cost to the users with hardware including 1 virtual CPU, 1GB RAM, and 30GB SSD storage
- Can communicate with our backend server which will be hosted on Amazon EC2 easier due to being in the same Cloud service provider as well as the same Virtual Private cloud
- More stable service with higher uptime compare to Google Cloud
- Great technical supports that provide responses within 24hr time frame.
- Provide encryption for data when being stored as well as when communicating with a back-end server.
- Can scale automatically if the need arises in the case of increase in usage from our users of "Hobby Project Generator", or manually scale vertically or horizontally by changing tiers or creating more instances.
- Low monthly cost to maintain and operate a relational database with their "micro" tier at \$0.0016 / hour (MariaDB) [1]
- Monthly cost for operating database is \$15.86 (MariaDB). [5]

Cons:

- Since RDS is a managed database service, root access to the server is not possible
- Only provide "micro" instances as a free service for up to 720 hours (1 month)

2.2 Microsoft Azure SQL Database Pros and Cons

Pro:

- Similar to Amazon RDS, Azures also provides many options in database engines including both relational and non-relational databases:
 - Microsoft SQL Database
 - PostgreSQL
 - MariaDB version 10.2 and 10.3
 - CosmosDB(non-relational)
- Fully-managed database servers reduce server maintenance responsibility on our team when developing "Hobby Project Generator" since database software are kept up to date by the service provider
- Storage available with Solid State Drives that is much better than magnetic drive when it comes to read and write speed.
- Supports manual vertical and horizontal scaling includes (CPU, Memory, IO, and storage)
- Azure pricing for MariaDB with 1 vCPU at the cost of \$0.0340/ hour and a one time cost of \$0.100 / GB
- The monthly cost for relational database operation per month is \$27.82 (MariaDB)

Cons:

- Autoscale is not a built-in feature for Azure SQL Database and will require the user to create a script to adjust between the different hardware tiers.
- Managed relational database does not allow root access to the instance.

2.3 Google Cloud SQL Pros and Cons

Pros:

- Available database engine that is supported by Google Cloud Service includes:
 - o MySQL version 8.0, 5.7, 5.6
 - o PostgreSQL version 13, 12, 11, 10, 9.6
 - SQL Server
 - 2017 (Express, Web, Standard, Enterprise)
 - 2019 (Express, Web, Standard)
- Automate backup to prevent data loss
- Managed server relieve many administrative tasks from the development team
- Supports vertical and horizontal scaling for hardware
- At 1 virtual CPU, 3.75GB RAM (db-standard-1) instance, and running either MySQL or PostgreSQL, the pricing for Google service is 0.0483 / hours (1vCPU, 1GB RAM) and a 0.170 GB / month per GB of SSD

Cons:

- More unstable with lower availability compared to its competition Amazon RDS and Microsoft Azure SQL Database.
- Limited amount of database engine compare to its competitor
- Similar to Azure SQL Database, automatic scaling is not a built-in feature and will require the development team to provide script to automatically scale the database up or down.
- More pricey than its competitor with the smallest instance specification with the price of \$54.41 / month.

<u>Table 1: Analysis between Amazon RDS, Microsoft Azure SQL Database, Google Cloud SQL</u>

Metric \ Language	Amazon RDS	MS Azure SQL Database	Google Cloud SQL
Pricing (0.4) (higher is better)	2	1.2	0.8
Hardware Spec (0.3)	0.6	1.2	0.9
Hardware Scaling (0.15)	0.6	0.45	0.45
Encryption (0.1)	0.5	0.5	0.5
Data transfer cost (0.05) (higher is better)	0.2	0.25	0.15
Total (weighted) = 1:	3.9	3.6	2.8

Legend:

Each metric is assessed on a scale of 1 - 5.

3 Discussion

3.1 Pricing

This metric is the most important to Team Hobby due to budget constraints given that we are a small team of 5 with a very limited budget. Therefore, a cloud service

provider that provides the most suitable budget solution for hosting our application would be ideal. The cost of maintaining a relational database server on Amazon RDS is so far the cheapest given the hardware specification of 1 vCPU, 1GB RAM, and 30GB of storage per month at \$15.86 USD / month running MariaDB. We are only comparing database servers running MariaDB and MySQL since they come at a lower cost than Microsoft SQL Server which requires licensing fees and is available at a higher cost than MariaDB and MySQL. Azure SQL Database with the same specification will cost \$27.82 USD / month to operate. Google Cloud SQL is at the highest cost of the three with the cost of \$40.359 USD / month running the same hardware configuration. From the afore mention price, Amazon RDS will be beneficial for "Hobby Project Generator" since users' amount will be less when our web application started, and having a lower operation cost will be very beneficial especially since most of our team members are students. Furthermore, since our resources can be scaled vertically, meeting our users' demand will be also simple but for the time being, running the lowest hardware specifications will be sufficient.

3.2 Database Hardware

In terms of hardware, all three services provide many options for computing and storage in either the form of a package or custom for vCPU and memory. Storage options also include Solid State Drive (SSD), magnetic drive, and SSD IOPs for all three services to provide different options for different use cases.

Amazon RDS: (t2.micro)

vCPU: 1RAM: 1GB

Storage: 30GB SSD storage

Microsoft Azure SQL database: (standard Series gen 5)

vCPU: 2RAM: 10.2 GB

• Storage: Separate storage options (SSD)

Google Cloud Service:

vCPU: 1RAM: 3.75GB

• Storage: Separate storage options (SSD)

Listed above are the smallest instances available for each of the cloud services. Team Hobby is only interested in the least resources instance that still performs well for a budget reason. Amazon is the only one that includes the storage into their free tier instance. Microsoft Azure SQL Database receives a 4 for this metric since it provides more hardware resources at this tier. Google would come in second with a score of 3 since it provides more RAM for computing compared to Amazon RDS. Amazon RDS provides the least resources for computing with their t2.micro instance.

3.3 Database Hardware Scaling

RDS, Azure SQL Database, and Google Cloud SQL also support scaling of database servers both horizontally and vertically. Although all three services support vertical manual scaling, out of the three, only Amazon RDS has built-in features that support vertically automatic scaling between the hardware tiers. In order to use automatic scaling with Azure SQL Database and Google Cloud SQL, team Hobby will need to develop a script that uses their API to enable vertical and horizontal scaling. This put Amazon RDS ahead of Azure SQL Database and Google Cloud SQL with a score of 4 since it will reduce the amount of work for team Hobby when scaling the "Hobby Project Generator" to match with the demands of future users. Team Hobby will have more time to fix bugs as well as design and develop new features instead of managing the server resources.

3.4 Encryption

Team Hobby also considered the security aspects of data that we keep. Amazon RDS provides storage encryption in order to protect data at rest. Similar to its counterparts, Azure SQL Database also provides data encryption both at rest and in transit using AES and 3DES. Similar to Azure SQL Database, Google Cloud SQL also uses AES encryption to protect data at rest. This put all three services on the same page when it comes to protecting "Hobby Project Generator" data. Since Amazon RDS, Microsoft Azure SQL, and Google Cloud SQL all implement encryption algorithms that meet the industry standard for securely encrypting data, they will all have the same score for this metric.

3.5 Data Transfer cost

Last but not least would be data transfer cost from and to our "Hobby Project Generator". This metric weighted the least since we are not expecting a large influx of users for the first few months of operations. However, it is considered since traffic to our web application might increase in the future. On this aspect, Microsoft Azure SQL Database has the highest score since they allow up to 5GB of transferring data out to the internet for free monthly. With Amazon RDS receiving a score of 4 with 1GB of data transfer out to the internet free monthly. Both cloud services provide more than enough data transfer rate for "Hobby Project Generator" during our start-up which reduce our cost to operate our back-end server. Google Cloud SQL is charged for transferring data to the internet regardless of the amount of data being transferred therefore only receives a score of 3. Table 2 presents data transferring rate between the three cloud service providers.

4 Conclusion

In conclusion, Amazon RDS is the best option for "Hobby Project Generator" given the metrics discussed above. On the other hand, Microsoft Azure SQL Database is also a very promising alternative if a change in cloud service is required during the development of the product. Google would be Team Hobby least favorable option as a cloud service provider for our "Hobby Project Generator" web application.

Table 2: Data transfer rate for cloud service provided by Amazon, Microsoft, and Google.

Metric \ Cloud Service	Amazon RDS	MS Azure SQL Database	Google Cloud SQL
Data transfer in from the internet	Free	Free	Free
Data transfer out to the internet	Free 1GB / month \$0.09 USD / GB for next 10 TB	Free 5GB / month \$0.0875 USD / GB next 10TB	\$0.11 USD / GB for 0 -10GB

5 References:

- Amazon RDS DB instance storage docs.aws.amazon.com. (n.d.). Retrieved November 12, 2021, from https://docs.aws.amazon.com/AmazonRDS/latest/UserGuide/CHAP_Storage.ht ml
- 2. AWS Pricing Calculator. (n.d.). Retrieved November 12, 2021, from https://calculator.aws/#/createCalculator/RDSMariaDB.
- 3. Daly, D. J., & Daly, D. J. (1987). *Economics 2: EC2*. Amazon. Retrieved November 12, 2021, from https://aws.amazon.com/ec2/pricing/on-demand/.
- 4. Encrypting Amazon RDS Resources docs.aws.amazon.com. (n.d.). Retrieved November 12, 2021, from https://docs.aws.amazon.com/AmazonRDS/latest/UserGuide/Overview.Encryptio n.html.
- 5. Engdahl, S. (2008). *Scaling Your Amazon RDS Instance Vertically and Horizontally*. Amazon. Retrieved November 12, 2021, from https://aws.amazon.com/blogs/database/scaling-your-amazon-rds-instance-vertic ally-and-horizontally/.
- 6. Google. (n.d.). *All networking pricing* | *virtual private cloud* | *google cloud*. Google. Retrieved November 12, 2021, from https://cloud.google.com/vpc/network-pricing.
- 7. Google. (n.d.). *Elastically scaling your mysql environment* | *cloud architecture center*. Google. Retrieved November 12, 2021, from https://cloud.google.com/architecture/elastically-scaling-your-mysgl-environment.

- 8. Google. (n.d.). *Encryption at rest in Google Cloud* | *documentation*. Google. Retrieved November 12, 2021, from https://cloud.google.com/security/encryption/default-encryption.
- 9. Google. (n.d.). *Pricing* | *cloud SQL: Relational database service* | *google cloud*. Google. Retrieved November 12, 2021, from https://cloud.google.com/sql/pricing.
- 10. Google. (n.d.). Regions and zones | Compute Engine Documentation | google cloud. Google. Retrieved November 12, 2021, from https://cloud.google.com/compute/docs/regions-zones.
- 11. High availability (multi-AZ) for Amazon RDS Amazon ... (n.d.). Retrieved November 12, 2021, from https://docs.aws.amazon.com/AmazonRDS/latest/UserGuide/Concepts.MultiAZ.h tml.
- 12. How-to auto-scale azure SQL databases.
 TECHCOMMUNITY.MICROSOFT.COM. (2021, October 6). Retrieved November 12, 2021, from https://techcommunity.microsoft.com/t5/azure-database-support-blog/how-to-auto-scale-azure-sql-databases/ba-p/2235441.
- 13. Msmbaldwin. (n.d.). *Azure encryption overview*. Microsoft Docs. Retrieved November 12, 2021, from https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/azure/security/fundamentals/encryption-overvie w.
- 14. Omnigraphics. (2018). *Amazon RDS now supports Storage Auto Scaling*. Amazon. Retrieved November 12, 2021, from https://aws.amazon.com/about-aws/whats-new/2019/06/rds-storage-auto-scaling/
- 15. Pricing azure SQL database single database: Microsoft azure. Pricing Azure SQL Database Single Database | Microsoft Azure. (n.d.). Retrieved November 12, 2021, from https://azure.microsoft.com/en-us/pricing/details/azure-sql-database/single/.
- 16. *Pricing bandwidth: Microsoft Azure*. Pricing Bandwidth | Microsoft Azure. (n.d.). Retrieved November 12, 2021, from https://azure.microsoft.com/en-us/pricing/details/bandwidth/.
- 17. *Pricing calculator: Microsoft Azure*. Pricing Calculator | Microsoft Azure. (n.d.). Retrieved November 12, 2021, from https://azure.microsoft.com/en-us/pricing/calculator/.
- 18. Pricing azure SQL managed instance single instance: Microsoft azure. Pricing Azure SQL Managed Instance Single Instance | Microsoft Azure. (n.d.). Retrieved November 12, 2021, from https://azure.microsoft.com/en-us/pricing/details/azure-sql-managed-instance/sin gle/.
- 19. Prsandhu. (n.d.). *Azure regions and availability zones*. Azure regions and availability zones | Microsoft Docs. Retrieved November 12, 2021, from https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/azure/availability-zones/az-overview.
- 20. Regions, availability zones, and ... docs.aws.amazon.com. (n.d.). Retrieved November 12, 2021, from

- https://docs.aws.amazon.com/AmazonRDS/latest/UserGuide/Concepts.Regions AndAvailabilityZones.html.
- 21. Research Defence Society. (2007). *RDS: Understanding animal research in medicine*. Amazon. Retrieved November 12, 2021, from https://aws.amazon.com/rds/.
- 22. Research Defence Society. (2007). *RDS: Understanding animal research in medicine*. Amazon. Retrieved November 12, 2021, from https://aws.amazon.com/rds/features/#administration.
- 23. Savjani. (n.d.). Supported versions azure database for mariadb. Supported versions Azure Database for MariaDB | Microsoft Docs. Retrieved November 12, 2021, from
 - https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/azure/mariadb/concepts-supported-versions.