Contents

| | Abst Tabl | e of Contents | i iii iv | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | U | vi | | | | |
| | | 0 | rii | | | | |
| | Ded | cation | iii | | | | |
| 1 | Intr | oduction | 1 | | | | |
| | 1.1 | Part-of-speech Tagger | 1 | | | | |
| | 1.2 | Tag set | 2 | | | | |
| | 1.3 | Formal definition | 2 | | | | |
| | 1.4 | Evaluation | 2 | | | | |
| | 1.5 | Challenges in POS tagger | 2 | | | | |
| | 1.6 | Multilingual POS Tagger | 3 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Background and Literature Review | | | | | | |
| | 2.1 | | 4 | | | | |
| | | 1 | 4 | | | | |
| | | 1 | 12 | | | | |
| | | 2.1.3 Semi-supervised | 15 | | | | |
| | 2.2 | Multilingual POS Tagger | 19 | | | | |
| | | 2.2.1 Topologically related languages approach | 19 | | | | |
| | | 2.2.2 Unsupervised simultaneously tagging approach | 20 | | | | |
| | | 2.2.3 Tag projection approach | 22 | | | | |
| 3 | Hni | versal Tagger 2 | 25 | | | | |
| | 3.1 | | 25 | | | | |
| | 3.2 | | 27 | | | | |
| | $\frac{3.2}{3.3}$ | 0 | 21 29 | | | | |
| | 5.5 | 0.7 | 29 29 | | | | |
| | | | 29 32 | | | | |
| | 9 1 | e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e | 92 33 | | | | |
| | 3.4 | 1 | | | | | |
| | | 3.4.1 Source data | 33 | | | | |

Contents

| | | 3.4.2 | Word alignment | | |
|--------------|--|--------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| | | 3.4.3 | Direct tag projection | | |
| | | 3.4.4 | Seed model and final model | | |
| | | 3.4.5 | Evaluation | | |
| | 3.5 | 3.5 Experimental Results | imental Results | | |
| | 3.6 | Concl | usion | | |
| 4 | Source Language Selection | | | | |
| 5 | Conclusion and Future Work | | | | |
| \mathbf{A} | Stuff that didn't belong in the thesis | | | | |