

# Introduction to PDEs, Fall 2022

## Homework 9 Solutions

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

1. We know that the Heaviside step function

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x > 0, \\ 0, & x < 0 \end{cases} \quad (0.1)$$

has the Dirac-delta function  $\delta(x)$  be its weak derivative. As I mentioned in class you might find in some textbooks that a Heaviside function is defined otherwise such as

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x > 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} \text{ (or any other number)} & x = 0, \\ 0, & x < 0. \end{cases} \quad (0.2)$$

However, according to Lebesgue's theory, the value of a function at a single point (or a zero measure set) does not affect its properties in general and two functions that are equal almost everywhere are considered to be identical. Accordingly, the two forms of  $H(x)$  are identical while we shall take the former in our course. Similarly, the weak derivative of a function is unique up to a measure zero, that being said, if  $f(x)$  is a weak derivative of  $F(x)$ , then  $g(x)$  is also a weak derivative, if  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  only differ on a zero measure set. This applies further.

A so-called bump function is given as  $B(x) = xH(x)$ . Show by definition that the weak derivative of  $B(x)$  is  $H(x)$ .

**Solution 1.** Let  $v(x) \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^1)$  be a weak derivative of  $R(x)$ , then we have from the definition of the weak derivative that, for  $M$  being large (or, you can just work on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ )

$$\int_{-M}^M R(x)\phi'(x)dx = - \int_{-M}^M v(x)\phi(x)dx$$

for all  $\phi(x) \in C_0^1(-M, M)$ , i.e.,  $\phi(x) \in C^1(-M, M)$  and  $\phi(x) = 0$  for  $|x| > M$ . Note that we usually send  $M = \infty$ , and the test function in  $C_0^\infty$ , however, this alternative just gives you the impression that they are equivalent in the definition. Then we have from integration by parts that

$$\int_{-M}^M R(x)\phi'(x)dx = \int_0^M x d\phi(x) = - \int_0^M \phi(x)dx = - \int_{-M}^M H(x)\phi(x)dx,$$

therefore  $H(x)$  is a weak derivative of  $R(x)$ . Finally, I want to remark that the weak derivative is unique in the sense of measure zero, i.e., out of a region of zero measure.

2. Find the weak derivative of  $F(x)$ , denoted by  $f(x)$

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} x, & 0 < x \leq 1, \\ 1, & 1 \leq x < 2. \end{cases} \quad (0.3)$$

**Solution 2.** Formally we see that the weak derivative of  $F(x)$ , denoted by  $f(x)$ , is

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 < x \leq 1, \\ 0, & 1 \leq x < 2. \end{cases} \quad (0.4)$$

To prove this by definition, we choose any  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(0, 2)$  and can easily find that

$$\int_0^2 F\phi' dx = \int_0^1 F\phi' dx + \int_1^2 F\phi' dx = -\int_0^1 \phi dx = \int_0^2 f\phi dx,$$

with  $f$  given above. This is done. Note that function  $F(x)$  is define, in this example, over  $(0, 2)$ , therefore the test function must be compactly supported over  $(0, 2)$ , not  $(-\infty, \infty)$  any more. I wish this logic is not too difficult to follow.

3. It is necessary to point out that in the definition of a weak derivative, some textbooks require that both  $F$  and  $f$  are  $L_{\text{loc}}^1$  (here "loc" means being locally integrable in the sense that it is integrable over any compact subset of  $\Omega$ ). Let  $\Omega = (0, 2)$  and define

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} x, & 0 < x \leq 1, \\ 2, & 1 \leq x < 2. \end{cases} \quad (0.5)$$

Show that  $F' = f$  does not exist in the weak sense by the following contradiction argument: suppose that the weak derivative  $f$  exists, show that for any test function  $\phi(x) \in C_0^1(0, 2)$  (some textbooks use  $C_c^\infty$ , where "c" denotes compact) we have

$$\int_0^2 f\phi dx = \int_0^1 \phi dx + \phi(1).$$

Now choose a sequence of test functions  $\phi_m(x)$  satisfying

$$0 \leq \phi_m(x) \leq 1, \phi_m(1) = 1, \phi_m(x) \rightarrow 0, \forall x \neq 1, m \rightarrow \infty$$

and then obtain a contradiction from the identity above.

**Solution 3.** We argue by contradiction and assume that the weak derivative exists. Then choose a sequence of test functions  $\{\phi_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  above, and then we have

$$1 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \phi_m(1) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_0^2 f\phi_m dx - \int_0^1 \phi_m dx \right) = 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

4. Assume that  $F_n(x)$  converges to  $F(x)$  weakly, and let  $f_n(x)$  and  $f(x)$  be their weak derivatives respectively. Prove that  $f_n(x)$  also converges to  $f(x)$  weakly.

**Solution 4.** Since  $f_n(x)$  is the weak derivative of  $F_n(x)$ , we have that for any test function  $\phi \in C_c(\Omega)$  that

$$-\int_{\Omega} \phi'(x) F_n(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} \phi(x) f_n(x) dx; \quad (0.6)$$

similarly, we have that

$$-\int_{\Omega} \phi'(x) F(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} \phi(x) f(x) dx; \quad (0.7)$$

Since  $F_n \rightarrow F$  weakly (in  $L^p(\Omega)$  for instance), we have that for any function  $\psi$  in its dual space (the space of all its bounded linear functionals), we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} F_n(x) \psi(x) dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} F(x) \psi(x) dx;$$

there is an advanced theory in functional analysis that  $C_c^\infty$  function is always in the dual space of probably all the function spaces we work on, therefore we can choose  $\psi = \phi'$  and obtain from (0.6) and (0.7) that

$$\int_{\Omega} f_n(x) \psi(x) dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} f(x) \psi(x) dx,$$

and this implies that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  weakly.

5. One can easily generalize the second-order operator to higher dimension, the Laplace operator  $\Delta$  over  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .

(a) We say that  $f$  is radially symmetric if  $f(x) = f(r)$ ,  $r = |x| := \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}$ . Prove that

$$\Delta f(r) = f''(r) + \frac{n-1}{r} f'(r),$$

where the prime denotes a derivative taken with respect to  $r$ .

(b) Denote that  $G(r) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln r$  for  $n = 2$ . We shall show that  $\Delta G = \delta(r)$ . For this moment, let us consider its regularization over  $2D$  of the form

$$G_\epsilon(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln(r + \epsilon), \epsilon > 0.$$

Show that  $\Delta G_\epsilon(r)$  converges to  $\delta(x)$  in distribution as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ . Hint: you can either apply Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, or use  $\epsilon$ - $\delta$  language. Make sure you have checked all the conditions when applying the former one.

(c) Denote  $G(r) := -\frac{1}{4\pi r}$  for  $n = 3$ . Mimic (b) by finding an approximation  $G_\epsilon$  and then show that this approximation  $\Delta G_\epsilon$  convergence to  $\delta(x)$  in distribution.

**Solution 5.** (a) We show this by straightforward calculations. First of all, we have that  $\frac{\partial r}{\partial x_i} = \frac{x_i}{r}$ . Then by chain rule, we have

$$\frac{\partial f(r)}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial f(r)}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial f(r)}{\partial r} \frac{x_i}{r};$$

moreover, we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} \frac{x_i}{r} \right) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial r^2} \left( \frac{x_i}{r} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{x_i}{r} \right);$$

finally, using the fact that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \frac{x_i}{r} \right) = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{x_i^2}{r^3}$$

leads us to the expected identity.

(b) By the identity in (a), we have that

$$\Delta G_\epsilon(r) = G_\epsilon''(r) + \frac{1}{r} G_\epsilon'(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \frac{1}{r+\epsilon} + \frac{1}{r} \cdot \frac{-1}{(r+\epsilon)^2} \right) = \delta_\epsilon(x) := \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi(r+\epsilon)^2},$$

and we shall show  $\delta_\epsilon(x)$  converges to  $\delta(x)$  in distribution. Note that here its distribution limit  $\delta(x)$  satisfies all the properties except that it is multi-dimensional.

To this end, we first see that formally  $\delta_\epsilon(x) \rightarrow \infty$  if  $x = 0$ ,  $\rightarrow 0$  if  $x \neq 0$ . Next, we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \delta_\epsilon(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi(r+\epsilon)^2} dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{\epsilon r}{(r+\epsilon)^2} dr = -\frac{\epsilon}{r+\epsilon} \Big|_0^\infty = 1.$$

Now, we only need to show that for any test function  $\phi(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \delta_\epsilon(x) \phi(x) dx \rightarrow \phi(0),$$

or equivalently

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi(r+\epsilon)^2} \phi(x) dx \rightarrow \phi(0).$$

To show this, we observe that for any  $\delta$  small, one can choose  $R$  large enough such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_0(M)} \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi(r+\epsilon)^2} \phi(x) dx = \delta;$$

on the other hand, one has from the dominated convergence theorem that as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\int_{B_0(M)} \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi(r+\epsilon)^2} \phi(x) dx = \phi(x_\epsilon) \int_{B_0(M)} \frac{\epsilon}{2\pi(r+\epsilon)^2} dx \leq \phi(x_\epsilon)(1-\delta) \rightarrow \phi(0),$$

since  $\delta$  is arbitrary. I would like to mention that one can also apply the standard  $\epsilon$ - $\delta$  to prove this.

(c) I skip the proof here. It follows from straightforward calculations as above.