

PF of Thm 4.2

~~(\*)~~  $\phi_{LR}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & t(x) > t_0 \\ 0, & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$

$t_0$  is determined by  $W_{LR}(0_*) = \alpha$

(1) Because InLR,  $\phi_{LR}$  doesn't depend on  $\theta_1$ , we can ~~say~~ by N-P Lemma,  
we can say that

$$W_{LR}(\theta_1) \geq W_{\phi_1}(\theta_1) \text{ for all } \theta_1.$$

for all  $\phi'$  with  $W_{\phi'}(0_*) \leq \alpha$ .  
~~not =~~

(2)  $W_{LR}(\theta)$  is non-dec. monotone fa  
of  $\theta$ .

PF: ~~(\*)~~ Let  $\theta_2 < \theta_1$ ,

Considering  $H_0^*: \theta = \theta_2$  vs  $H_1^*: \theta = \theta_1$ ,

$$\text{Let } \beta = W_{LR}(\theta_2)$$

Let  $\phi^*(x) = \beta$  for all  $x \in \Theta$ ,

$$W_{\phi^*}(\theta) = \beta \text{ for all } \theta.$$

By N-P Lemma,  $W_{LR}(\theta_1) \geq W_{\phi^*}(\theta_1) = \beta$

We therefore prove that

$$W_{LR}(\theta) \leq \alpha \quad W_{LR}(\theta_0) = \alpha$$

for all  $\theta \leq \theta_0$

(3) For any test  $\phi'$  with  $W_{\phi'}(\theta) \leq \alpha$

for all  $\theta \leq \theta_0$ , we immediately

have  $W_{\phi'}(\theta_0) \leq \alpha$ .

By N-P Lemma,

$$W_{LR}(\theta_1) \geq W_{\phi'}(\theta_1) \text{ for}$$

all  $\theta_1 > \theta_0$ .

Putting all (1), (2), (3) together.

(1)  $W_{LR}(\theta) \leq \alpha$  for all  $\theta \leq \theta_0$ .

(2)  $W_{LR}(\theta) \geq W_{\phi'}(\theta)$  for all  $\theta > \theta_0$ .

and for all  $\phi'$  with  $W_{\phi'}(\theta) \leq \alpha$

when  $\theta \leq \theta_0$ .

That is,  $\phi_{LR}$  is UMP test for  
 $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0$  vs  $H_1: \theta > \theta_0$ .