

# derivatives2\_assignment\_2018

April 16, 2018

## 1 Instructions

1. Due: 23:59 23/04/2018.
2. Answers must be
  - named as assignment2.(pdf/ipynb)
  - uploaded directly to Google drive, under **students/YourName** folder.

## 2 Questions

Q1. (European Put-Call parity revisited) We consider portfolio  $A$ : long a call and short a put on the same underlying  $S$ , strike-price  $K$  and maturity  $T$ . Portfolio  $B$  consists of a long **prepaid** forward contract on  $S$  for the same maturity  $T$ , as well as borrowing the present value of the strike-price  $K$  to be repaid at  $T$ .

- a. What is the initial cost, intermediate cash-flow and final payoff of  $A$ ?
- b. What is the initial cost, intermediate cash-flow and final payoff of  $B$ ? (Let's denote  $F_{0,T}(S)$  for the price of a prepaid forward contract on  $S$  for delivery at  $T$ ,  $P(0, T)$  is the price of a zero-coupon bond paying \$1 at  $T$ .)
- c. Using the no-arbitrage principle to get a generic form of the Put-Call parity.
- d. Suppose the risk-free interest rate is  $r$ , provide the Put-Call parity in three specific cases: i.  $S$  pays no dividend; ii.  $S$  pays  $n$  discrete dividends  $d_i$  at  $t_i$  for  $i \in [1, n]$ ; iii.  $S$  pays a continuous dividends at the rate  $q$ .

Q2. Let's first recall that the Put-Call parity for a stock paying dividends

$$C(K, T) + Ke^{-rT} = P(K, T) + S_0e^{-qT}, \quad q : \text{dividend yield over } (0, T).$$

The **Implied Dividend** yield is the value of  $q$  such that the Put-Call parity holds true.

$$\text{IDIV}(K, T) = -\frac{1}{T} \log \frac{C(K, T) - P(K, T) + Ke^{-rT}}{S}$$

- a. Get prices of AAPL option on 01/06/2017 from the data file.
- b. Get risk-free interest rate for the same date from [this link](#).

- c. Compute the implied dividend for different maturity  $T$ , use the Actual/360 day convention - see [this page](#).
- d. Compare the IDIV with the historical dividends from [this page](#).

Q3. (Model risk in binomial tree framework) We re-consider the binomial tree model, in which the price at  $t = 1$  has the following dynamics:

$$\begin{cases} S_u = uS_0 & \text{with probability } p \\ S_d = dS_0 & \text{with probability } 1 - p \end{cases}$$

Let's suppose the risk-free rate is constant, thus from  $B_0 = 1$  we have  $B_1 = 1 + r$  for an investment in the bank account.

- a. Verify that in order to exclude the opportunity arbitrage, one must have

$$d < 1 + r < u.$$

At  $t = 0$  we sell a derivative with payoff  $g(S_1)$  at the price  $g_0$ . We would like to hedge our position in setting a self-financing strategy. The strategy consists in holding  $\Delta$  units of  $S$  and investing the rest in the bank account  $B$ .

- b. Show that  $\Delta$  and the price of this derivative are given by:

$$\Delta = \frac{g(S_u) - g(S_d)}{S_u - S_d}.$$

$$g_0 = \frac{qg_u + (1 - q)g_d}{1 + r} \text{ where } q = \frac{(1 + r) - d}{u - d}.$$

Now instead of supposing  $S_1$  takes only two values, we relax this assumption: without knowing the exact dynamics of  $S_1$ , we only know with probability 1:

$$S_1 \in [S_d, S_u].$$

We suppose further that the payoff function is **convex**, i.e., for  $y_d \leq y \leq y_u$ ,

$$g(y_d) + \frac{g(y_u) - g(y_d)}{y_u - y_d}(y - y_d) \geq g(y).$$

- c. We keep using the same hedging strategy as in b., what is the PnL of the hedging strategy in the new model?
- d. Show that with the same price  $g_0$  as in b., we have a positive PnL with probability 1.
- e. (Optional) Generalize this problem in multi-period setting: give  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = T$ ,  $t_n = nT/N = nh$ , with probability 1

$$S_{(n+1)h} \in [dS_{nh}, uS_{nh}] , \quad d < 1 + r = e^{\rho h} < u.$$

(Hint:  $\Delta$  should be the first derivative of the price with respect to the underlying.)