

Assignment 5

Jul 2018

Long Thanh NGUYEN

long.nguyen2017@qcf.jvn.edu.vn (mailto:long.nguyen2017@qcf.jvn.edu.vn)

2. Question

Question 1

(Short rate/Long rate) For the pricing of a ZC bond, we consider not only the usual short-term interest rate (short rate) r but also the long-term interest rate (long rate) ℓ . We suppose they follow the dynamics (for simplicity we drop the index t in the rate and Brownian processes)

$$\begin{aligned}dr &= \beta_r(r, \ell, t)dt + \eta_r(r, \ell, t)dW_r \\d\ell &= \beta_\ell(r, \ell, t)dt + \eta_\ell(r, \ell, t)dW_\ell \\d\langle W_r, W_\ell \rangle &= \rho dt\end{aligned}$$

Let $B(r, \ell, t; T)$ be the price of a T-maturity ZC bond.

a. Use Ito's lemma to express the dynamic of B under the form

$$\frac{dB}{B} = \mu(r, \ell, t)dt + \sigma_r(r, \ell, t)dW_r + \sigma_\ell(r, \ell, t)dW_\ell$$

Provide the explicit expression of $\mu, \sigma_r, \sigma_\ell$ as a function of B and its partial derivative, as well as $(\beta_r, \beta_\ell, \eta_r, \eta_\ell)$.

Answer:

Applied Ito formula on $B(r, \ell, t; T)$ we have:

$$dB(r, \ell, t; T) = \frac{\partial B}{\partial r}dr + \frac{\partial B}{\partial \ell}d\ell + \frac{\partial B}{\partial t}dt + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial r^2}d\langle r \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial \ell^2}d\langle \ell \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial t^2}d\langle t \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial r \partial \ell}d\langle r, \ell \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial t \partial r}d\langle t, r \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial t \partial \ell}d\langle t, \ell \rangle$$

On the other hand, we got:

$$\begin{cases}dW_t^2 = dt \\dW_\ell^2 = dt \\d\langle W_r, W_\ell \rangle = \rho dt \\dt^i = 0 \quad \forall i > 1\end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{cases}
dr &= d\langle r \rangle &= \beta_r(r, \ell, t)dt + \eta_r(r, \ell, t)dW_r \\
d\ell &= d\langle \ell \rangle &= \beta_\ell(r, \ell, t)dt + \eta_\ell(r, \ell, t)dW_\ell \\
d\langle r, \ell \rangle &= dr \times d\ell &= \left(\beta_r(r, \ell, t)dt + \eta_r(r, \ell, t)dW_r \right) \times \left(\beta_\ell(r, \ell, t)dt + \eta_\ell(r, \ell, t)dW_\ell \right)
\end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow
\begin{cases}
(dr)^2 &= (\beta_r(r, \ell, t)dt)^2 + 2 * \beta_r(r, \ell, t)dt * \eta_r(r, \ell, t)dW_r + (\eta_r(r, \ell, t)dW_r)^2 \\
(d\ell)^2 &= (\beta_\ell(r, \ell, t)dt)^2 + 2 * \beta_\ell(r, \ell, t)dt * \eta_\ell(r, \ell, t)dW_\ell + (\eta_\ell(r, \ell, t)dW_\ell)^2 \\
d\langle r, \ell \rangle &= \eta_r(r, \ell, t)\eta_\ell(r, \ell, t)\langle dW_r; dW_\ell \rangle
\end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow
\begin{cases}
(dr)^2 &= \eta_r^2(r, \ell, t)dt \\
(d\ell)^2 &= \eta_\ell^2(r, \ell, t)dt \\
d\langle r, \ell \rangle &= \eta_r(r, \ell, t)\eta_\ell(r, \ell, t)\rho dt
\end{cases}$$

Replace back into (1):

$$\begin{aligned}
dB(r, \ell, t; T) &= \frac{\partial B}{\partial r} [\beta_r(r, \ell, t)dt + \eta_r(r, \ell, t)dW_r] + \frac{\partial B}{\partial \ell} [\beta_\ell(r, \ell, t)dt + \eta_\ell(r, \ell, t)dW_\ell] + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial r^2} \eta_r^2(r, \ell, t) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial r \partial \ell} \eta_r(r, \ell, t)\eta_\ell(r, \ell, t)\rho dt \\
&= \frac{\partial B}{\partial r} \beta_r(r, \ell, t)dt + \frac{\partial B}{\partial r} \eta_r(r, \ell, t)dW_r + \frac{\partial B}{\partial \ell} \beta_\ell(r, \ell, t)dt + \frac{\partial B}{\partial \ell} \eta_\ell(r, \ell, t)dW_\ell + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial r^2} \eta_r^2(r, \ell, t) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial r \partial \ell} \eta_r(r, \ell, t)\eta_\ell(r, \ell, t)\rho dt \\
&= \left[\frac{\partial B}{\partial r} \beta_r(r, \ell, t) + \frac{\partial B}{\partial \ell} \beta_\ell(r, \ell, t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial r^2} \eta_r^2(r, \ell, t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial \ell^2} \eta_\ell^2(r, \ell, t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial r \partial \ell} \eta_r(r, \ell, t)\eta_\ell(r, \ell, t)\rho \right] dt \\
&\quad + \frac{\partial B}{\partial r} \eta_r(r, \ell, t)dW_r + \frac{\partial B}{\partial \ell} \eta_\ell(r, \ell, t)dW_\ell
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dB}{B} &= \frac{1}{B} \left[\frac{\partial B}{\partial r} \beta_r(r, \ell, t) + \frac{\partial B}{\partial \ell} \beta_\ell(r, \ell, t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial r^2} \eta_r^2(r, \ell, t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial \ell^2} \eta_\ell^2(r, \ell, t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial r \partial \ell} \eta_r(r, \ell, t)\eta_\ell(r, \ell, t)\rho \right] dt \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial r} \eta_r(r, \ell, t)dW_r + \frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial B}{\partial \ell} \eta_\ell(r, \ell, t)dW_\ell \\
&= \mu(r, \ell, t)dt + \sigma_r(r, \ell, t)dW_r + \sigma_\ell(r, \ell, t)dW_\ell
\end{aligned}$$

b. In order to remove the (two) risk exposures, we use bonds with three different maturities, V_1, V_2, V_3 units of bonds with maturity T_1, T_2, T_3 , respectively. Show that the dynamic of the portfolio value P can be expressed under the form

$$dP = \mu_P dt + \sigma_{r,P} dW_r + \sigma_{\ell,P} dW_\ell,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_P &= V_1 \mu(T_1) + V_2 \mu(T_2) + V_3 \mu(T_3) \\
\sigma_{r,P} &= V_1 \sigma_r(T_1) + V_2 \sigma_r(T_2) + V_3 \sigma_r(T_3) \\
\sigma_{\ell,P} &= V_1 \sigma_\ell(T_1) + V_2 \sigma_\ell(T_2) + V_3 \sigma_\ell(T_3)
\end{aligned}$$

In the above equations, we have simplified the notation in writing $\mu(T_i) = \mu(r, \ell, t; T_i)$, similarly for σ_r and σ_ℓ

Answer:

Let P is a portfolio consist of three bonds V_1, V_2, V_3 units of bonds with maturity T_1, T_2, T_3 , respectively:

$$P = V_1 + V_2 + V_3 \quad (1)$$

Then, according to Lecture 5 - page 12, we got the Variation of P as below:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{DP}{P} &= \frac{V_1}{V_1 + V_2 + V_3} \frac{dB(T_1)}{B(T_1)} + \frac{V_2}{V_1 + V_2 + V_3} \frac{dB(T_2)}{B(T_2)} + \frac{V_3}{V_1 + V_2 + V_3} \frac{dB(T_3)}{B(T_3)} \\ \Leftrightarrow DP &= V_1 \frac{dB(T_1)}{B(T_1)} + V_2 \frac{dB(T_2)}{B(T_2)} + V_3 \frac{dB(T_3)}{B(T_3)} \quad \text{as (1)} \end{aligned}$$

Apply the conclusion from Question a, we have:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dB(T_1)}{B(T_1)} = \mu(T_1)dt + \sigma_r(T_1)dW_r + \sigma_\ell(T_1)dW_\ell \\ \frac{dB(T_2)}{B(T_2)} = \mu(T_2)dt + \sigma_r(T_2)dW_r + \sigma_\ell(T_2)dW_\ell \\ \frac{dB(T_3)}{B(T_3)} = \mu(T_3)dt + \sigma_r(T_3)dW_r + \sigma_\ell(T_3)dW_\ell \end{cases}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} DP &= V_1 \left[\mu(T_1)dt + \sigma_r(T_1)dW_r + \sigma_\ell(T_1)dW_\ell \right] + V_2 \left[\mu(T_2)dt + \sigma_r(T_2)dW_r + \sigma_\ell(T_2)dW_\ell \right] \\ &\quad + V_3 \left[\mu(T_3)dt + \sigma_r(T_3)dW_r + \sigma_\ell(T_3)dW_\ell \right] \\ &= \left[V_1\mu(T_1) + V_2\mu(T_2) + V_3\mu(T_3) \right] dt + \left[V_1\sigma_r(T_1) + V_2\sigma_r(T_2) + V_3\sigma_r(T_3) \right] dW_r \\ &\quad + \left[V_1\sigma_\ell(T_1) + V_2\sigma_\ell(T_2) + V_3\sigma_\ell(T_3) \right] dW_\ell \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{cases} \mu_P = V_1\mu(T_1) + V_2\mu(T_2) + V_3\mu(T_3) \\ \sigma_{r,P} = V_1\sigma_r(T_1) + V_2\sigma_r(T_2) + V_3\sigma_r(T_3) \\ \sigma_{\ell,P} = V_1\sigma_\ell(T_1) + V_2\sigma_\ell(T_2) + V_3\sigma_\ell(T_3) \end{cases}$$

We finally got

$$dP = \mu_P dt + \sigma_{r,P} dW_r + \sigma_{\ell,P} dW_\ell$$

c. The NAO implies that, if we choose V_1, V_2, V_3 such that P is riskless, i.e., the stochastic terms $\sigma_{r,P}$ and $\sigma_{\ell,P}$ are all zero, then P must earn the riskless short rate. Show that:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_r(T_1) & \sigma_r(T_2) & \sigma_r(T_3) \\ \sigma_\ell(T_1) & \sigma_\ell(T_2) & \sigma_\ell(T_3) \\ \mu(T_1) - r & \mu(T_2) - r & \mu(T_3) - r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \\ V_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Answer:

We got:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_r(T_1) & \sigma_r(T_2) & \sigma_r(T_3) \\ \sigma_\ell(T_1) & \sigma_\ell(T_2) & \sigma_\ell(T_3) \\ \mu(T_1) - r & \mu(T_2) - r & \mu(T_3) - r \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \\ V_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \sigma_r(T_1) + V_2 \sigma_r(T_2) + V_3 \sigma_r(T_3) \\ V_1 \sigma_\ell(T_1) + V_2 \sigma_\ell(T_2) + V_3 \sigma_\ell(T_3) \\ V_1 [\mu(T_1) - r] + V_2 [\mu(T_2) - r] + V_3 [\mu(T_3) - r] \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \sigma_r(T_1) + V_2 \sigma_r(T_2) + V_3 \sigma_r(T_3) \\ V_1 \sigma_\ell(T_1) + V_2 \sigma_\ell(T_2) + V_3 \sigma_\ell(T_3) \\ V_1 \mu(T_1) + V_2 \mu(T_2) + V_3 \mu(T_3) - r(V_1 + V_2 + V_3) \end{pmatrix}$$

First of all, we have all the stochastic term will equal to 0 due to the riskless condition of P. Then

$$\begin{cases} V_1 \sigma_r(T_1) + V_2 \sigma_r(T_2) + V_3 \sigma_r(T_3) = 0 \\ V_1 \sigma_\ell(T_1) + V_2 \sigma_\ell(T_2) + V_3 \sigma_\ell(T_3) = 0 \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, P is riskless also means $dP = rP$. But we also got:

$$\begin{cases} dP = \mu_P dt + \sigma_{r,P} dW_r + \sigma_{\ell,P} dW_\ell = \mu_P dt \\ rP = r(V_1 + V_2 + V_3) \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mu_P dt = r(V_1 + V_2 + V_3)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow V_1 \mu(T_1) + V_2 \mu(T_2) + V_3 \mu(T_3) = r(V_1 + V_2 + V_3)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow V_1 \mu(T_1) + V_2 \mu(T_2) + V_3 \mu(T_3) - r(V_1 + V_2 + V_3) = 0$$

Then (*):

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_r(T_1) & \sigma_r(T_2) & \sigma_r(T_3) \\ \sigma_\ell(T_1) & \sigma_\ell(T_2) & \sigma_\ell(T_3) \\ \mu(T_1) - r & \mu(T_2) - r & \mu(T_3) - r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \\ V_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

d. We admit that the necessary condition for the above system has nontrivial solution is the last row is a linear combination of the first two rows. Since it holds true for arbitrary value of T_1, T_2, T_3 , there must exist two multipliers $\lambda_r(r, \ell, t)$ and $\lambda_\ell(r, \ell, t)$ such that

$$\mu(r, \ell, t) - r = \lambda_r(r, \ell, t) \sigma_r(r, \ell, t) + \lambda_\ell(r, \ell, t) \sigma_\ell(r, \ell, t).$$

Provide an plausible interpretation for $\lambda_r(r, \ell, t)$ and $\lambda_\ell(r, \ell, t)$. Deduce the governing equation for the bond price B .

Answer:

Type *Markdown* and LaTeX: α^2

Question 2

(Hull-White extended Vasicek) Hull-White proposed the following dynamics for r under \mathbb{P} , extended from the Vasicek model,

$$dr_t = [\theta(t) + \alpha(t)(d - r_t)] dt + \sigma(t) dW_t.$$

a. Using the term-structure equation show that the T-maturity ZC-bond price $B(r; t; T)$ verifies

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + \left[\phi(t) - \alpha(t)r \right] \frac{\partial B}{\partial r} + \frac{\sigma^2(t)}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial r^2} - rB = 0, \quad B(\cdot, T; T) = 1$$

Provide an explicit expression of $\phi(t)$, you can use $\lambda(t)$ for the time dependent market price of risk.

Answer:

Apply Ito formula into $B(r, t)$ we have:

$$dB(r, t) = \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial B}{\partial r} dr + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial r^2} d\langle r \rangle$$

As:

$$dr_t = [\theta(t) + \alpha(t)(d - r_t)] dt + \sigma(t) dW_t \Leftrightarrow d\langle r \rangle = (dr_t)^2 = \sigma^2(t) dt$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} dB(r, t) &= \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial B}{\partial r} \left[[\theta(t) + \alpha(t)(d - r_t)] dt + \sigma(t) dW_t \right] + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial r^2} \sigma^2(t) dt \\ &= \left[\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial B}{\partial r} [\theta(t) + \alpha(t)(d - r_t)] + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial r^2} \sigma^2(t) \right] dt + \frac{\partial B}{\partial r} \sigma(t) dW_t \end{aligned}$$

We also have SDE:

$$\begin{aligned} dB(r, t) &= rB dt + \partial B dW_t \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} rB = \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial B}{\partial r} [\theta(t) + \alpha(t)(d - r_t)] + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial r^2} \sigma^2(t) \\ \partial B = \frac{\partial B}{\partial r} \sigma(t) \end{cases} \\ \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial B}{\partial r} [\theta(t) + \alpha(t)(d - r_t)] + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial r^2} \sigma^2(t) - rB = 0 \\ \frac{\partial B}{\partial r} \sigma(t) - \partial B = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \text{proved!} \end{aligned}$$

b. Show the bond price $B(r, t; T) = e^{a(t, T) - b(t, T)r}$ where a, b verify

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial t} - \phi(t)b + \frac{\sigma^2(t)}{2} b^2 = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial b}{\partial t} - \alpha(t)b + 1 = 0$$

with auxiliary conditions $a(T, T) = 0$ and $b(T, T) = 0$.

Answer:

Let look at the $dB(r, t)$, we have:

$$dB(r, t) = \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial B}{\partial r} dr + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial r^2} d\langle r \rangle$$

Then:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = \left(\frac{\partial a}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial b}{\partial t} r \right) e^{a(t,T)-b(t,T)r} = \left(\frac{\partial a}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial b}{\partial t} r \right) B \\ \frac{\partial B}{\partial r} = -b e^{a(t,T)-b(t,T)r} = -bB \\ \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial r^2} = b^2 B \end{cases}$$

In Question 2.a. we also got:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial B}{\partial r} [\theta(t) + \alpha(t)(d-r)] + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial r^2} \sigma^2(t) - rB = 0 \\ & \Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{\partial a}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial b}{\partial t} r \right) B + bB [\theta(t) + \alpha(t)(d-r)] + \frac{1}{2} b^2 B \sigma^2(t) - rB = 0 \\ & \Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{\partial a}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial b}{\partial t} r \right) + b [\theta(t) + \alpha(t)(d-r)] + \frac{1}{2} b^2 \sigma^2(t) - r = 0 \\ & \Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{\partial a}{\partial t} + b\theta(t) + b\alpha(t)d + \frac{1}{2} b^2 \sigma^2(t) \right) + r \left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial t} + b\alpha(t) - 1 \right) = 0 \\ & \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} + b\theta(t) + b\alpha(t)d + \frac{1}{2} b^2 \sigma^2(t) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial b}{\partial t} + b\alpha(t) - 1 = 0 \quad \text{As } r \neq 0 \end{cases} \\ & \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} + \phi(t)b + \frac{1}{2} b^2 \sigma^2(t) = 0 \quad \text{let } \phi(t) = \theta(t) + \alpha(t)d \\ \frac{\partial b}{\partial t} + b\alpha(t) - 1 = 0 \end{cases} \\ & \Leftrightarrow \text{Proved!} \end{aligned}$$

c. Solve for a, b in terms of α, ϕ and σ .

Answer:

Type *Markdown* and LaTeX: α^2

d. To avoid the estimation of α and ϕ in the dynamic of r , it is desirable to express $a(t, T)$ and $b(t, T)$ in terms of $a(0, t)$ and $b(0, t)$, show that the new set of governing equations is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial b}{\partial t} \frac{\partial b}{\partial T} - b \frac{\partial^2 b}{\partial t \partial T} + \frac{\partial b}{\partial T} = 0 \\ & b \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial t \partial T} - \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} \frac{\partial b}{\partial T} + \frac{\sigma^2(t)b^2}{2} \frac{\partial b}{\partial T} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

with auxiliary conditions $a(T, T) = 0$ and $b(T, T) = 0$.

Answer:

e. From the above equations, show that:

$$\begin{aligned} b(t, T) &= \frac{b(0, T) - b(0, t)}{\frac{\partial b}{\partial T}(0, T)|_{T=t}} \\ a(t, T) &= a(0, T) - a(0, t) - b(t, T) \frac{\partial a}{\partial T}(0, T)|_{T=t} - \frac{1}{2} \left[b(t, T) \frac{\partial b}{\partial T}(0, T)|_{T=t} \right]^2 \int_0^t \left[\frac{\sigma(u)}{\frac{\partial b}{\partial T}(0, T)|_{T=0}} \right]^2 du \end{aligned}$$

Answer: