Contents

Ta	able of con	tents
1	Modeling	
		es
		Cubic Hermite Interpolation
	1.1.2	More than 1D
	1.1.3	Cubic blossom
	1.1.4	Bernstein polynomials
	1.1.5	General spline formulation
	1.1.6	Orders of continuity
	1.1.7	Cubic B-splines
		Bezier vs R-spline

1 Modeling

1.1 Splines

1.1.1 Cubic Hermite Interpolation

Each point is defined by its position h_n and slope h_{m+n} , m being the number of control points. To simplify calculations, it is assumed that $t_0 = 0$ and $t_1 = 1$.

The goal is to convert from a monomial basis

$$\phi_0(t) = 1$$

$$\phi_1(t) = t$$

$$\phi_2(t) = t^2$$

$$\phi_3(t) = t^3$$

to a hermite basis

$$H_0(t) = 2t^3 - 3t^2 + 1$$

$$H_1(t) = -2t^3 + 3t^2$$

$$H_2(t) = t^3 - 2t^2 + t$$


$$H_3(t) = t^3 - t^2$$

so that instead of having to manipulate polynomial coefficients

$$f(t) = a\phi_3(t) + b\phi_2(t) + c\phi_1(t) + d\phi_0(t)$$

an easier point slope method can be used:

$$f(t) = h_0 H_0(t) + h_1 H_1(t) + h_2 H_2(t) + h_3 H_3(t)$$



$$P(t) = at^3 + bt^2 + ct + d$$
$$P'(t) = 3at^2 + 2bt + c$$

$$h_0 = P(0) = d$$

 $h_1 = P(1) = a + b + c + d$
 $h_2 = P'(0) = c$
 $h_3 = P'(1) = 3a + 2b + c$

Unknowns in this equation are a, b, c, and d, so a matrix can be used to solve the systems of equations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h_0 \\ h_1 \\ h_2 \\ h_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

a, b, c, and d can be obtained from h values by inverting the matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} h_0 \\ h_1 \\ h_2 \\ h_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix}$$

From these solved h values, P(t) can now be converted to a form that is easier for a user to manipulate, in terms of h values:

$$P(t) = at^{3} + bt^{2} + ct + d$$

$$= (2h_{0} - 2h_{1} + h_{2} + h_{3})t^{3}$$

$$+ (-3h_{0} + 3h_{1} - 2h_{2} - h_{3})t^{2}$$


$$+ h_{2}t + h_{0}$$

$$= h_{0}(2t^{3} - 3t^{2} + 1) + h_{1}(-2t^{3} + 3t^{2}) + h_{2}(t^{3} - 2t^{2} + t) + h_{3}(t^{3} - t^{2})$$

Each equation in $P(t) = h_0(2t^3 - 3t^2 + 1) + h_1(-2t^3 + 3t^2) + h_2(t^3 - 2t^2 + t) + h_3(t^3 - t^2)$ that is multiplied by an h value is called a cubic hermite.

1.1.2 More than 1D

A parametric curve described by $\vec{\gamma}(t) = (\gamma_0(t), \gamma_1(t))$ can be converted into hermite basis like this:



where cubic hermite interpolation can be done for both dimensions.

Cubic blossom 1.1.3


The cubic blossom of a function $\vec{f}(t)$ is $\vec{F}(t_1, t_2, t_3)$. Cubic blossoms have three properties:

- 1. Symmetric
 - $\vec{F}(t_1, t_2, t_3) = \vec{F}(t_1, t_3, t_2) = \vec{F}(t_3, t_1, t_2) \cdots$
- - $\vec{F}(\alpha u + (1 \alpha)v, t_2, t_3) = \alpha \vec{F}(u, t_2, t_3) + (1 \alpha)\vec{F}(v, t_2, t_3)$
 - If only one of \vec{F} 's arguments $t_c = \alpha u + (1 \alpha)v$ is changing between different points on \vec{F} , then any value $\vec{F}(t_c, t_2, t_3)$ in between $\vec{F}(u, t_2, t_3)$ and $\vec{F}(v, t_2, t_3)$ is scaled equivalently with α like t_c is scaled between u and v.
- 3. Diagonal
 - $\vec{f}(t) = \vec{F}(t, t, t)$


Blossoming examples

- $\vec{f}(t) = t^3 \mapsto \vec{F}(t_1, t_2, t_3) = t_1 t_2 t_3$ $\vec{f}(t) = t^2 \mapsto \vec{F}(t_1, t_2, t_3) = (t_1 t_2 + t_1 t_3 + t_2 t_3)/3$ $\vec{f}(t) = t \mapsto \vec{F}(t_1, t_2, t_3) = (t_1 + t_2 + t_3)/3$
- $\vec{f}(t) = 1 \mapsto \vec{F}(t_1, t_2, t_3) = 1$
- $\vec{f}(t) = 3t^3 t + 1 = 3(t_1t_2t_3) (t_1 + t_2 + t_3)/3 + 1$


Cubic curves can be blossomed by blossoming each coordinate function separately, which will give a function that maps 3 t variables to two dimensions x and y: $\vec{F}(t_1, t_2, t_3) : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^2$. A cubic curve can be obtained from a blossom by specifying four points $\vec{F}(0,0,0)$, $\vec{F}(0,0,1)$, $\vec{F}(0,1,1)$, $\vec{F}(1,1,1)$ (which form a cubic control polygon) and subdividing the surface given by the selected points (known as the De Castelijau's Algorithm). Only these four points are required because of the symmetry property of a blossom.




Subdividing the polygon:




 \vec{F} 's arguments at the subdivision points can be determined due to the affine property of a blossom. Further subdivision




One more subdivision (most recent two subdivision point values omitted because there's no room left)



The final subdivision point can be shown to equal $\vec{f}(\frac{1}{2})$ because of the diagonal property of blossoms, meaning the \vec{f} curve will intersect this third subdivision point:




Other values of \vec{f} can be found with subdividing the cubic control polygon on different values. For example, to find $\vec{f}(\frac{1}{4})$, each edge would be divided $\frac{1}{4}$ of the way along it.



1.1.4 Bernstein polynomials

Another basis that can represent cubic curves. Bernstein basis is canonical for Bezier.



The red curve above can be represented as $\vec{f}(t) = \vec{F}(0,0,0)B_0(t) + \vec{F}(0,0,1)B_1(t) + \vec{F}(0,1,1)B_2(t) + F(1,1,1)B_3(t)$, knowing

$$B_0(t) = (1-t)^3 = 1 - 3t + 3t^2 - t^3$$

$$B_1(t) = 3t(1-t)^2 = 3t - 6t^2 + 3t^3$$

$$B_2(t) = 3t^2(1-t) = 3t^2 - 3t^3$$

$$B_3(t) = t^3$$

Changing basis from monomial to Bernstein:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & 3 & -6 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ t \\ t^2 \\ t^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B_0(t) \\ B_1(t) \\ B_2(t) \\ B_3(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

And to change from Bernstein to monomial, the inverse of the matrix can be used.

1.1.5 General spline formulation

 $\vec{\gamma}(t) = \text{Geometry} \cdot \text{Spline basis} \cdot \text{Monomial basis}$

- Geometry contains control point coordinates
- Spline basis defines the type of spline (Hermite, Bernstein, etc)
- Monomial basis is a column vector $(1, t, t^2, \dots, t^n)$

Example of a spline represented in the Bernstein basis:

$$P(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ y_1 & y_2 & y_3 & y_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & 3 & -6 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ t \\ t^2 \\ t^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

1.1.6 Orders of continuity

 $C^0 = \text{continuous (seam can be sharp)}$

 G^1 = geometric continuity (Tangent vectors align at the seam)


 C^1 = parametric continuity (Same velocity at the seam)

 C^2 = curvature continuity (Tangents and tangent derivatives are the same)

1.1.7 Cubic B-splines

 ≥ 4 control points

Chain together splines in fours, popping the back control point off and pushing the next control point on



The full curve is composed of many smaller splines, in which the *n*th spline is formed by points $P_{n..n+3}$. The benefit of this method is that it guarantees C^1 continuity since every spline shares three control points with the one that comes before and after it.

The end points won't connect with this method, but repeating endpoints will fix it.

The basis functions as well as the basis conversion matrix for cubic b-spline are listed here:

$$B_1(t) = \frac{1}{6}(1-t)^3$$


$$B_2(t) = \frac{1}{6}(3t^3 - 6t^2 + 4)$$

$$B_3(t) = \frac{1}{6}(-3t^3 + 3t^2 + 3t + 1)$$


$$B_4(t) = \frac{1}{6}t^3$$

$$B_{B-spline} = \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 3 & -1\\ 4 & 0 & -6 & 3\\ 1 & 3 & 3 & -3\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

1.1.8 Bezier vs B-spline



Bézier



B-Spline

Bezier is derived from Bernstein polynomials, while B-spline uses a different set of basis functions. Additionally, Bezier will try to intersect with control points and only guarantees C^1 continuity, while B-spline does not and guarantees C^2 continuity.

Converting between bezier and b-spline, given G = geometry, $B_0 = \text{current basis matrix}$, T(t) = monomial basis, and $B_1 = \text{the basis matrix}$ to convert to:

$$\vec{\gamma}(t) = G \cdot B_0 \cdot T(t)$$

$$= G \cdot B_0 \cdot B_1^{-1} \cdot B_1 \cdot T(t)$$

$$= (G \cdot B_0 \cdot B_1^{-1}) \cdot B_1 \cdot T(t)$$

The new geometry matrix is then represented as $G \cdot B \cdot B_1^{-1}$, which shows that to convert between bezier and b-spline, a different set of data is required for the same curve.