

# 1 Expressions

This section revises the implementation of evaluating expression.

## 1.1 Syntax

We have the following syntax:

$$e ::= n \mid x(e_1, e_2) \mid x$$

In Racket, we define the syntax above as follows:

```
(struct e:number (value) #:transparent) ; n
(struct e:binop (op lhs rhs) #:transparent) ; x(e1, e2)
(struct e:variable (name) #:transparent) ; x
```

For instance, here are a few example expressions:

```
(e:number 0) ; 0
(e:number 1) ; 1
; result * variable
(e:binop
  (e:variable '*')
  (e:variable 'result')
  (e:variable 'factor'))
```

## 1.2 Semantics

The semantics of evaluating expressions is defined below. We write  $e \Downarrow_E n$  to signify that expression  $e$  evaluates down to number  $n$  while using an environment  $E$ . Here, expression  $e$  and environment  $E$  are input parameters, while number  $n$  is the value being returned (output parameter).

$$n \Downarrow_E n \quad x \Downarrow_E E(x) \quad \frac{x \Downarrow_E f \quad e_1 \Downarrow_E n_1 \quad e_2 \Downarrow_E n_2 \quad n = f(n_1, n_2)}{x(e_1, e_2) \Downarrow n}$$

Notice how each rule evaluates a different kind of expression. In programming language theory, we call such rules *syntax directed*.

- A number  $n$  evaluates down to itself.
- A variable  $x$  evaluates down to the value assigned to  $x$  in environment  $E$ .
- To evaluate a binary expression  $x(e_1, e_2) \Downarrow n$ : we evaluate the operator  $x \Downarrow_E f$ , where  $f$  represents a binary function, we evaluate the first operand  $e_1 \Downarrow_E n_1$ , we evaluate the second operand,  $e_2 \Downarrow_E n_2$ , and establish the output result  $n$  to be  $f(n_1, n_2)$ .

We implement each rule of the operational as a branch of conditional. The first rule is defined for numbers:

$$n \Downarrow_E n$$

We check if the input expression `e` is a number with `(e:number? e)`, and then return the number  $n$  stored inside the *struct* with `(e:number-value e)`.

```
[(e:number? e) (e:number-value e)]
```

The second rule is defined for variables:

$$x \Downarrow_E E(x)$$

We check if the input expression `e` is a variable with `(e:variable? e)`. We return  $E(x)$  which holds the contents of variable  $x$  in environment  $x$ . We implement the environment as a hash-table (`hash`) where the keys are variables of type `e:variable` and the values are either numbers or Racket-functions that take two numbers and return a number. To lookup the environment we use function `hash-ref`, so  $E(x)$  is implemented as `(hash-ref env e)`.

```
[(e:variable? e) (hash-ref env e)]
```

The third rule is defined for binary operators.

$$\frac{x \Downarrow_E f \quad e_1 \Downarrow_E n_1 \quad e_2 \Downarrow_E n_2 \quad n = f(n_1, n_2)}{x(e_1, e_2) \Downarrow n}$$

We check if the input expression `e` is a binary operator with `(e:binop? e)`. We call each term above the fraction *pre-conditions*; in practice each pre-condition is implemented as a variable definition in Racket. Note that in our formalism the expression is given as  $x(e_1, e_2)$ , while in Racket we have a single variable `e`. In Racket we need to unpack the various fields from `e`:  $x$  is `(e:binop-op e)`,  $e_1$  is `(e:binop-lhs e)`,  $e_2$  is `(e:binop-rhs e)`. Formula  $x \Downarrow_E f$  is a recursive evaluation of the operator (which is encoded as a variable), so we write the following Racket code:

```
(define f (e:eval env (e:binop-op e)))
```

Formula  $e_1 \Downarrow_E n_1$  declares variable `n1` by recursively evaluating `(e:binop-lhs e)`, which represents  $e_1$ .

```
(define n1 (e:eval env (e:binop-lhs e)))
```

Similarly, formula  $e_2 \Downarrow_E n_2$  can be implemented as

```
(define n2 (e:eval env (e:binop-rhs e)))
```

We implement formula `$n = f(n_1, n_2)$` with the following Racket code:

```
\begin{Racket}
  (define n (f n1 n2))
```

We are now ready to return `n` as we have  $n$  in the output parameter of  $x(e_1, e_2) \Downarrow n$ .

Below, you can find the full Racket code:

```

(define (e:eval env e)
  (cond ;  $n \Downarrow E \ n$ 
        [(e:number? e) (e:number-value e)]
        ;  $x \Downarrow E \ E(e)$ 
        [(e:variable? e) (hash-ref env e)]
        [(e:binop? e)
         ;  $x \Downarrow E \ f$ 
         (define f (e:eval env (e:binop-op e)))
         ;  $e_1 \Downarrow E \ n_1$ 
         (define n1 (e:eval env (e:binop-lhs e)))
         ;  $e_2 \Downarrow E \ n_2$ 
         (define n2 (e:eval env (e:binop-rhs e)))
         ;  $n = f(n_1, n_2)$ 
         (define n (f n1 n2))
         n]))

```

## 2 Programs

### 2.1 Syntax

We define the syntax of our programs as a list of instructions (assignment or loop). This language has no concept of variable scoping, so all variables are global. Instruction  $x = e$  stores the result of evaluating expression  $e$  in variable  $x$ . Instruction **while**  $e \{p\}$  runs the list of instructions  $p$  while condition  $e$  evaluates down to a non-zero number.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 i ::= x = e \mid \text{while } (e) \{p\} & \text{instructions} \\
 p ::= i :: p \mid [] & \text{programs}
 \end{array}$$

For instance, the following program computes the factorial of 4, the input of the factorial is given in `factor` and the output of the factorial is stored in variable `result`:

```

factor = 4
result = 1
while (factor) {
  result = result * factor
  factor = factor - 1
}

```

We can implement the AST with the following Racket:

```

(struct i:assign (var exp) #:transparent)
(struct i:while (cond body) #:transparent)

```

We can encode the AST of the factorial of 4 as follows:

```
(list
  ; factor = 4
  (i:assign (e:variable 'factor) (e:number 4))
  ; result = 1
  (i:assign (e:variable 'result) (e:number 1))
  ; while (factor) {
  (i:while (e:variable 'factor)
    (list
      ; result = result * factor
      (i:assign (e:variable 'result)
        (e:binop (e:variable '*')
          (e:variable 'result) (e:variable 'factor)))
      ; factor = factor - 1
      (i:assign (e:variable 'factor)
        (e:binop (e:variable '-')
          (e:variable 'factor) (e:number 1))))
    )
  ) ; }
)
```

## 2.2 Semantics

We first define the semantics of evaluating a single instruction, and then a list of instructions. The evaluation  $i \Downarrow_E E'$  takes an input instruction  $i$  and an input environment  $E$  and outputs an environment  $E'$ .

$$\frac{e \Downarrow_E n}{x := e \Downarrow_E E[x := n]} \quad \frac{e \Downarrow_E 0}{\text{while } (e) \{p\} \Downarrow_E E}$$

$$\frac{e \Downarrow_{E_1} n \quad n \neq 0 \quad p \Downarrow_{E_1} E_2 \quad \text{while } (e) \{p\} \Downarrow_{E_2} E_3}{\text{while } (e) \{p\} \Downarrow_{E_1} E_3}$$

The three evaluation rules are as follows:

- If we can evaluate expression  $e$  down to a number  $n$ , with  $e \Downarrow_E n$ , we can update environment  $E$  with by assigning  $x$  to  $n$ .
- If the condition  $e$  evaluates down to 0, then the loop terminates and returns the input environment  $E$ .
- If the condition  $e$  evaluates down to a number other than 0, then we run the body of the loop  $p$  which yields an environment  $E_2$ , we then take  $E_2$  to execute the loop  $\text{while } (e) \{p\}$  one more time, returning the output environment  $E_3$ .

We now present the semantics of lists of instructions  $p$ :

$$\boxed{\phantom{x}} \Downarrow_E E \quad \frac{i \Downarrow_{E_1} E_2 \quad p \Downarrow_{E_2} E_3}{i :: p \Downarrow_{E_1} E_3}$$

The two rules are straightforward:

- If the list is empty there are no instructions to evaluate, so we return the input environment  $E$ .
- If there is at least one instruction  $i$  to evaluate, then we evaluate instruction  $i$  and get environment  $E_2$ , we feed environment  $E_2$  to evaluate the rest of the list  $p$  and obtain environment  $E_3$ . We return environment  $E_3$ .

We implement the following rule in Racket.

$$\frac{e \Downarrow_E n}{x := e \Downarrow_E E[x := n]}$$

First, we check if the input instruction  $i$  is an assignment with `(i:assign? i)`. Second, we implement the pre-condition  $e \Downarrow_E n$  as `(define v (e:eval env (i:assign-exp i)))`, since expression  $e$  corresponds to `(i:assign-exp i)`. Third, we return  $E[x := n]$ , which in Racket we can write as `(hash-set env (i:assign-var i) v)` since variable  $x$  is `(i:assign-var i)`.

```
[(i:assign? i) ; x := e
; e \Downarrow_E n
(define n (e:eval env (i:assign-exp i)))
; E [x:= n]
(hash-set env (i:assign-var i) n)]
```

Now, we implement the two remaining rules in one branch. We highlight the evaluation of the condition with a yellow background to emphasize the similarity of these pre-conditions.

$$\frac{\frac{e \Downarrow_E 0}{\text{while } (e) \{p\} \Downarrow_E E} \quad \frac{e \Downarrow_{E_1} n \quad n \neq 0 \quad p \Downarrow_{E_1} E_2 \quad \text{while } (e) \{p\} \Downarrow_{E_2} E_3}{\text{while } (e) \{p\} \Downarrow_{E_1} E_3}}$$

In Racket, that means that, after checking that the instruction is a while-loop with `(i:assign? i)`, then we must run  $e \Downarrow_E n$ , which we implement as

```
(define n (e:eval env (i:assign-exp i)))
```

where expression  $e$  is `(i:assign-exp i)`. Now, if  $n = 0$ , then we are in the presence of the first rule, and therefore should return the input environment `env`. Otherwise,  $n \neq 0$ , and we are in the presence of the second rule. There are two more pre-conditions to implement. First, we implement formula  $p \Downarrow_{E_1} E_2$  as `(define E2 (p:eval env (i:while-body i)))` — recall that `env` represents  $E_1$  in the second rule, and that `(i:while-body i)` represents the loop body  $p$ . We present function `p:eval` which evaluates a list of instructions after we conclude the evaluation of an instruction. Second, we implement formula  $\text{while } (e) \{p\} \Downarrow_{E_2} E_3$  as `(define E3 (i:eval E2 i))`, where expression `i` is the loop itself and environment `E2` represents  $E_2$  that results from the the previous evaluation. We return `E3` since that is the output of evaluating the loop.

```

(define (i:eval env i)
  (cond
    [(i:assign? i) ; x := e
     ; e ↓E n
     (define n (e:eval env (i:assign-exp i)))
     ; E [x := n]
     (hash-set env (i:assign-var i) n)]
    [(i:while? i) ; while (e) { p }
     ; e ↓E n
     (define v (e:eval env (i:while-cond i)))
     (cond [(equal? v 0) env] ; n = 0
           [else ; n ≠ 0
            ; p ↓E1 E2
            (define E2 (p:eval env (i:while-body i)))
            ; while (e) {p} ↓E2 E3
            (define E3 (i:eval E2 i))
            E3])]))

```

Finally, we present the implementation of the evaluation of a list of instructions.

$$\boxed{\phantom{x}} \Downarrow_E E \quad \frac{i \Downarrow_{E_1} E_2 \quad p \Downarrow_{E_2} E_3}{i :: p \Downarrow_{E_1} E_3}$$

The first rule  $\boxed{\phantom{x}} \Downarrow_E E$  returns the environment `env` when the list is empty, implemented as `(empty? p)`. In the second rule, we have two pre-conditions, so we write two definitions. We evaluate the first instruction,  $i \Downarrow_{E_1} E_2$ , with `(define E2 (i:eval env (first p)))`, where `(first p)` represents  $i$  and environment `env` represents  $E_1$ . Notice that we evaluate an instruction with `i:eval` not with `p:eval`. We evaluate the rest of the instructions,  $p \Downarrow_{E_2} E_3$ , with

```

(define E3 (p:eval E2 (rest p)))

```

where `E2` results from the evaluation of the previous instruction, formally as  $E_2$ , and `(rest p)` represents  $p$ .

```

(define (p:eval env p)
  (cond [(empty? p) env] ; []
        [else ; i :: p
         ; i ↓E1 E2
         (define E2 (i:eval env (first p)))
         ; p ↓E2 E3
         (define E3 (p:eval E2 (rest p)))
         E3]))

```