Inflation Targeting: A New Framework for Monetary Policy?

Ben S. Bernanke and Frederic S. Mishkin

he world's central bankers and their staffs meet regularly, in venues from Basle to Washington, to share ideas and discuss common problems. Perhaps these frequent meetings help explain why changes in the tactics and strategy of monetary policymaking—such as the adoption of money growth targets in the 1970s, the intensification of efforts to reduce inflation in the 1980s, and the recent push for increased institutional independence for central banks—tend to occur in many countries more or less simultaneously. Whatever their source, major changes in the theory and practice of central banking are of great importance, for both individual countries and the international economy. In this article, we discuss a new strategy for monetary policy known as "inflation targeting," which has sparked much interest and debate among central bankers and monetary economists in recent years. This approach is characterized, as the name suggests, by the announcement of official target ranges for the inflation rate at one or more horizons, and by explicit acknowledgment that low and stable inflation is the overriding goal of monetary policy. Other important features of inflation targeting include increased communication with the public about the plans and objectives of the monetary policymakers, and, in many cases, increased accountability of the central bank for attaining those objectives.

■ Ben S. Bernanke is Class of 1926 Professor of Economics and Public Affairs, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, and Research Associate of the National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, Massachusetts. Frederic S. Mishkin is Executive Vice President and Director of Research at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, New York, New York, and Research Associate of the National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, Massachusetts. He is on leave from his position as the A. Barton Hepburn Professor of Economics and Finance, Graduate School of Business, Columbia University, New York, New York.

Inflation targeting in various forms has been adopted in recent years by a number of industrialized countries, including Canada, the United Kingdom, New Zealand, Sweden, Australia, Finland, Spain and Israel. Table 1 offers some details about the specific plans in each country. There are also important elements of inflation targeting, as we discuss below, in the long-standing and well-regarded monetary policy approaches of Germany and Switzerland. In the United States, inflation targeting has been advocated by some influential policymakers, and Senator Connie Mack (R-Fla.) has introduced a bill that, if passed, would establish price stability as the primary goal of monetary policy [S.R. 1266, 104th Cong. 1st sess.]. Finally, the Maastricht treaty mandates price stability as the primary objective of the European Central Bank, and it seems likely—if European monetary union in fact occurs—that the ECB would incorporate major elements of the inflation targeting approach in its procedures (Issing, 1996).

We begin our discussion of inflation targeting with some details of how this approach has been implemented in practice. We focus on the practice of inflation targeting, rather than the theory, because we believe that the rhetoric associated with inflation targeting is often misleading. In particular, we will argue that actual experience with this approach shows that inflation targeting does not represent an ironclad policy rule, as some writers on the subject and even some advocates of this approach seem to assume. Instead, inflation targeting is better understood as a policy framework, whose major advantage is increased transparency and coherence of policy, and in which fairly flexible, even "discretionary" monetary policy actions can be accommodated. We next discuss in more detail why viewing inflation targeting as a framework, rather than a rule, blunts some of the arguments that have been made against it and in general enhances the appeal of this approach. This is not to say that valid questions do not remain about this strategy for monetary policy; in the final portion of the paper we discuss some important additional issues and draw conclusions about the usefulness of the inflation targeting framework.

Inflation Targeting in Practice

Although every country that has adopted inflation targeting has customized the approach in various ways, certain empirical generalizations about this strategy can be made.

The hallmark of inflation targeting is the announcement by the government, the central bank, or some combination of the two that in the future the central bank will strive to hold inflation at or near some numerically specified level. As can be seen in Table 1, inflation targets are more often than not specified as ranges—

¹ Detailed analyses of experiences with inflation targeting can be found in Goodhart and Vinals (1994), Leiderman and Svensson (1995), Haldane (1995) and McCallum (1996), among others.

² King (1996) adopts a similar view.

Table 1 Operational Aspects of Inflation Targets

Country (date of adoption)	Target Series Definition	Target Level (percentage annual inflation)	Time Horizon
Australia (1993)	Underlying CPI (excluding fruit and vegetables, petrol, interest costs, public sector prices and other volatile prices)	2-3	Ongoing
Canada (February 1991)	Core CPI (excluding food, energy and first-round effects of indirect taxes)	1–3	18 months
Finland (February 1993)	Underlying CPI (excluding government subsidies, indirect taxes, housing prices and mortgage interest payments)	about 2	Ongoing
Israel (December 1991)	СРІ	8–11	1 year
New Zealand (March 1990)	Underlying CPI (excluding changes in indirect taxes or government changes, significant changes in import or export prices, interest costs and natural disasters)	0–2 (until November 1996; 0–3 thereafter)	l year
Spain (January 1995)	CPI (excluding first-round effects of indirect tax changes)	below 3	Through 1997
Sweden (January 1993)	CPI	2 ± 1	Ongoing
United Kingdom (October 1992)	RPIX (RPI excluding mortgage interest payments)	lower half of 1–4 until spring 1997; 2.5 or less thereafter	Until the end of this Parliamen

for example, 1–3 percent—rather than single numbers, and they are typically established for multiple horizons ranging from one to four years. However, there are exceptions to both observations; indeed, Germany, with the longest experience with inflation-focused monetary policy, specifies its implicit inflation target as a point and only for a one-year horizon. Initial announcements of inflation targeting generally allow for a gradual transition from the current level of inflation to a desired steady-state level, usually the level deemed consistent with price stability. "Price stability" never in practice means literally zero inflation, however, but usually something closer to a 2 percent annual rate of price change, for reasons we discuss later.

There is a lively debate over whether targeting should be of the inflation rate per se or of the price level. Of course, a targeted price level need not remain constant indefinitely, but could be allowed to drift upward in a predetermined way over time (Goodhart and Vinals, 1994; Svensson, 1996). The relative disadvantage of targeting the inflation rate is that unanticipated shocks to the price level may be

treated as bygones and never offset; as a result, forecasts of the price level at long horizons might have a large variance under inflation targeting, which presumably impedes private-sector planning.3 On the other hand, strict price-level targeting requires that overshoots or undershoots of the target be fully made up, which reduces the variance of long-run forecasts of prices but could impart significantly more volatility into monetary policy in the short run.⁴ In practice, central banks tend to compensate partially for target misses, particularly at shorter horizons.

Associated with the announcement of inflation targets there is usually some statement to the effect that control of inflation is the "primary" or "overriding" goal of monetary policy and that the central bank will be held accountable for meeting the inflation targets. For example, Section 8 of the Reserve Bank of New Zealand Act of 1989 assigns the central bank the statutory responsibility "to formulate and implement monetary policy directed to the economic objective of achieving and maintaining stability in the general level of prices," with no mention of competing goals. Section 9 of the act requires the Minister of Finance and the Governor of the Reserve Bank to negotiate and make public a Policy Targets Agreement (PTA), setting out specific inflation targets. In other countries, such as Switzerland, Canada and the United Kingdom, the inflation goal is embodied in public statements by the central bank rather than mandated by law.

The rationale for treating inflation as the primary goal of monetary policy is clearly strongest when medium- to long-term horizons are considered, as most economists agree that monetary policy can affect real quantities, such as output and employment, only in the short run. Of course, some economists of new classical or monetarist persuasions might claim that inflation should be the sole concern of monetary policy in the short run as well, arguing that using monetary policy for short-run stabilization of the real economy is undesirable, infeasible, or both. However, in practice no central bank has of yet completely forsworn the use of monetary policy for short-run stabilization, and so the phraseology "primary" or "overriding" must be taken to refer to the longer term.

The degree to which the central bank is held formally accountable for inflation outcomes varies considerably. The New Zealand law links the tenure of the governor of the Reserve Bank to the achieving of the inflation targets, and thus comes closest to providing an explicit "incentive contract," as proposed by Persson and Tabellini (1993) and Walsh (1995).⁵ In other countries, no explicit sanctions on the central bank for missing the target are given; presumably, however, missing the target badly would impose implicit institutional or personal costs in terms of lost reputation or prestige. It is rather early in many of the inflation-targeting experiments to judge

³ Technically, ensuring only that the inflation rate is stationary may leave a unit root in the price level, so that the forecast variance of the price level grows without bound. This problem is analogous to the issue of "base drift" in the literature on money-growth targeting.

⁴ However, Svensson (1996) gives examples in which price-level targeting actually reduces the volatility

⁵ Svensson (1997b) relates inflation targeting to the contracting approach.

the extent to which the prospective penalties for missing announced targets will constrain central bank behavior.

Despite the language referring to inflation control as the primary objective of monetary policy, as we have said, inflation-targeting central banks always make room for short-run stabilization objectives, particularly with respect to output and exchange rates.⁶ This accommodation of short-run stabilization goals is accomplished through several means. First, the price index on which the official inflation targets are based is often defined to exclude or down-weight the effects of "supply shocks;" for example, the officially targeted price index may exclude some combination of food and energy prices, indirect tax changes, terms-of-trade shocks, and the direct effects of interest rate changes on the index (for example, through imputed rental costs). Second, as already noted, inflation targets are typically specified as a range; the use of ranges generally reflect not only uncertainty about the link between policy levers and inflation outcomes but is also intended to allow the central bank some flexibility in the short run. Third, short-term inflation targets can and have been adjusted to accommodate supply shocks or other exogenous changes in the inflation rate outside the central bank's control. A model here is the Deutsche Bundesbank's practice of stating its short-term (one-year) inflation projection as the level of "unavoidable inflation." In the aftermath of the 1979 oil shock, for example, the Bundesbank announced the "unavoidable" inflation rate to be 4 percent, then moved its target gradually down to 2 percent over a six-year period. In other cases, the central bank or government makes explicit an "escape clause," which permits the inflation target to be suspended or modified in the face of certain adverse economic developments.

In making inflation, a goal variable, the focus of monetary policy, the inflation-targeting strategy in most cases significantly reduces the role of formal intermediate targets, such as the exchange rate or money growth. To the extent that intermediate targets are used, it is emphasized that the inflation goal takes precedence in case of conflict. Unconditional commitment to an intermediate target is of course inconsistent with inflation targeting (except in the unusual case that the intermediate target effectively summarizes all current information about inflation at the forecast horizon). The fact that in most countries the relation between intermediate targets, such as money growth, and the central bank's goal variables has proven to be relatively unreliable—the so-called "velocity instability" problem—is a major motivation for dropping formal intermediate targets and instead attempting to target the goal variable directly.

On the other hand, since targeting inflation directly requires that the central bank form forecasts of the likely path of prices, close attention is typically paid to a variety of indicators that have shown predictive power for inflation in the past. For example, as an aid to inflation forecasting, monetary policymakers in Canada

⁶ Another short-run objective that is almost always retained by inflation-targeting central banks is the maintenance of financial stability. For example, see Mishkin (forthcoming).

and Sweden make use of a "monetary conditions index," a weighted combination of the exchange rate and the short-term interest rate, in conjunction with other standard indicators such as money and credit aggregates, commodity prices, capacity utilization and wage developments.⁷

In most inflation-targeting regimes, the central bank publishes regular, detailed assessments of the inflation situation, including current forecasts of inflation and discussions of the policy response that is needed to keep inflation on track. A good example is the Bank of England's Inflation Report, published quarterly, which contains detailed analyses of factors likely to affect the inflation rate as well as probabilistic forecasts of inflation, assuming no change in interest rates. The central banks of Canada and Sweden release similar documents, and the Reserve Bank of New Zealand is required to issue a policy statement at least every six months. As we discuss further below, the use of such reports reflects a key objective of inflation targeting, which is improved communication with the public about monetary policy, its goals and, in particular, the long-run implications of current policy actions.

The adoption of inflation targeting is often linked with changes in the laws or administrative arrangements associated with the central bank. Typically, reforms are in the direction of increased independence for the central bank, particularly in respect to its choice of instrument settings.8 This seems to be a logical consequence of making price stability the overriding goal of policy, since the central bank is the best place to make the technical decisions necessary to achieve price stability and to make judgments about whether the pursuit of other objectives is consistent with this goal. Exceptions to this observation are the United Kingdom and, to a lesser extent, Canada, where despite the commitment to inflation targeting, the government, rather than the central bank, retains the final control over monetary policy. However, even in the British case the adoption of inflation targeting seems to have increased the relative influence of the central bank, as the Inflation Report and the timely publication of the minutes of the monthly meeting between the Governor and the Chancellor of the Exchequer provide an independent forum for the bank to express its views; in effect, the government must rationalize for the public any deviations of its policies from those recommended by the bank.

Most or all of the characteristics of inflation targeting described in this section

⁷ Users of the monetary conditions index would probably argue that treating the MCI simply as a forecasting variable is oversimple; they tend to view the MCI more specifically as a measure of how overall monetary conditions are affecting aggregate demand and thus as a potential guide to policy actions. See Freedman (1994) for further discussion.

⁸ Debelle and Fischer (1994) make the useful distinction between goal independence and instrument independence for the central bank. Goal independence implies the unilateral ability of the central bank to set its inflation targets and other goals, while instrument independence means that, although goals may be set by the government or by the government in consultation with the central bank, the central bank is solely responsible for choosing the instrument settings (for example, the level of short-term interest rates) necessary to achieve those goals. Instrument independence would seem to be the form of independence that maximizes central bank accountability and minimizes opportunistic political interference, while still leaving the ultimate goals of policy to be determined by democratic processes.

apply to countries adopting this approach within the last eight years or so; as noted in the introduction, these include Canada, the United Kingdom, New Zealand, Sweden, Australia, Finland, Spain and Israel. Germany and Switzerland, which have conducted inflation-focused monetary policies since the mid-1970s, are better viewed as "hybrid" cases, which meet some but not all of the above criteria. These two countries differ from the "pure" inflation targeters primarily in their greater focus on money growth as an intermediate target, and indeed, the Bundesbank has emphasized the superiority (in their view) of money targeting as a means of insuring monetary discipline and transparency (for example, Deutsche Bundesbank, 1995, pp. 67-8). In fact, many observers (including ourselves) would argue that the distinction between inflation and money targeting is overstated and that monetary policies in both countries are driven in the medium and long term primarily by inflation goals. For example, the Bundesbank's money growth targets are derived, using the quantity equation, to be consistent with an annual inflation target, given projections of the growth of potential output and of possible changes in the velocity of money. This inflation target, in turn, has been brought down steadily over time and has remained at 2 percent—the level deemed consistent by the Bundesbank with price stability—since 1986. Further, the Bundesbank has shown itself quite willing to miss its money targets when pursuing these targets threatens to conflict with the control of inflation (von Hagen, 1995; Bernanke and Mihov, 1997).

All in all, the philosophy guiding German and Swiss monetary policies seems relatively consistent with the one motivating the self-declared inflation targeters. The main practical difference between the two sets of countries is that the Germans and Swiss believe that the velocity of money has been relatively more stable in their countries, and so they view money-growth targeting as a useful tool for implementing their inflation objectives. It is also true that Germany and Switzerland have been less explicit in stating their inflation targets; neither central bank publishes a regular inflation report per se. But this distinction seems relatively unimportant; inflation developments receive prominent attention in the regular publications of both banks. Moreover, there may be less need for public declarations given the longstanding commitment of the Bundesbank and Swiss National Bank—and the popular support for that commitment—to price stability. The examples of Germany and Switzerland are important because, unlike the other countries mentioned, these two countries have been following their monetary policy strategies fairly consistently for more than two decades, rather than for only a few years; thus, their experiences may provide researchers attempting to assess the value of inflationfocused monetary policy with useful information.

A Framework, Not a Rule

The motivations for an inflation-targeting approach have been varied. In a number of cases, such as those of the United Kingdom and Sweden, the collapse of an exchange rate peg led the monetary authorities to search for an alternative Developments in macroeconomic theory also played some role in the growing popularity of the inflation targeting approach. These familiar developments included reduced confidence in activist, countercyclical monetary policy; the widespread acceptance of the view that there is no long-run tradeoff between output (or unemployment) and inflation, so that monetary policy affects only prices in the long run; theoretical arguments for the value of precommitment and credibility in monetary policy (Kydland and Prescott, 1977; Calvo, 1978; Barro and Gordon, 1983); and an increasing acceptance of the proposition that low inflation promotes long-run economic growth and efficiency.

Unfortunately, the interpretation of inflation targeting in terms of some long-standing debates in monetary economics has also been the source of confusion. For many years the principal debate about the best approach for monetary policy was framed as an opposition between two polar strategies, termed "rules" and "discretion." Advocates of rules—such as the fixed rule for money growth proposed by Milton Friedman, or a gold standard—argued that "tying the hands" of policymakers will prevent the monetary authorities from implementing counterproductive attempts at short-run stabilization and will thus eliminate the inflationary bias inherent in discretionary monetary policy. Supporters of discretionary policymaking—under which the central bank is left free to "do the right thing" as economic conditions evolve—stress the inability of ironclad rules to deal with unforeseen shocks or changes in the structure of the economy.

For various reasons, including the rhetoric of some of its proponents, inflation targeting is sometimes interpreted as falling on the "rule" side of this traditional dichotomy (for example, Friedman and Kuttner, 1996). We view this characterization of inflation targeting as a mistake; indeed, we would go farther and say that the traditional dichotomy of monetary policy strategies into rules and discretion is itself misleading. In particular, some useful policy strategies are "rule-like," in that by their forward-looking nature they constrain central banks from systematically engaging in policies with undesirable long-run consequences; but which also allow some discretion for dealing with unforeseen or unusual circumstances. These hybrid or intermediate approaches may be said to subject the central bank to "constrained discretion." We argue below that inflation targeting should be viewed in this way, rather than as a rigid policy rule.

If inflation targeting is interpreted as a rule in the classic Friedman sense, then

it would have to be conceded that this approach is vulnerable to some important criticisms. First, the idea that monetary policy has (essentially) no legitimate goals besides inflation would find little support among central bankers, the public and most monetary economists. Second, given that central banks do care about output, employment, exchange rates and other variables besides inflation, treating inflation targeting as a literal rule could lead to very poor economic outcomes. As Friedman and Kuttner (1996) emphasize, much in the same way that money-growth targeting in the United States was done in by unpredicted shocks to the velocity of money, so an exclusive emphasis on inflation goals could lead to a highly unstable real economy should there be significant supply shocks, such as large changes in the price of oil.

Finally, critics of inflation targeting as a rule might well ask what is gained by the loss of flexibility entailed by precommitting monetary policy in this way. The academic literature on rules argues that tying the hands of policymakers will reduce the inflation bias of discretionary policy and perhaps allow for less costly disinflations, as increased credibility leads inflation expectations to moderate more quickly. However, critics of inflation targeting could point out that, although inflationtargeting countries have generally achieved and maintained low rates of inflation, little evidence supports the view that these reduced rates of inflation have been obtained at a lower sacrifice of output and employment than disinflations pursued under alternative regimes (at least so far). Even the Deutsche Bundesbank and the Swiss National Bank, whose pursuit of low inflation over the last two decades has presumably given the maximum credibility, have been able to achieve inflation reductions only at high costs in lost output and employment (Debelle and Fischer, 1994; Posen, 1995). Nor is there evidence that the introduction of inflation targets materially affects private-sector expectations of inflation, as revealed either by surveys or by the level of long-term nominal interest rates. Inflation expectations have come down, in most cases, only as inflation-targeting central banks have demonstrated that they can deliver low inflation (Posen and Laubach, 1996).

These objections are certainly important, as far as they go. However, again, they derive much of their force from the assumption that inflation targeting is to be viewed as an ironclad rule. As we have said, we believe that interpreting inflation targeting as a type of monetary policy rule is a fundamental mischaracterization of this approach as it is actually practiced by contemporary central banks. First, at a technical level, inflation targeting does not qualify as a policy rule in that it does not provide simple and mechanical operational instructions to the central bank. Rather, the inflation targeting approach enjoins the central bank to use its structural and judgmental models of the economy, in conjunction with all relevant information, to determine the policy action most likely to achieve the inflation target, and then to take that action. Unlike simple policy rules, inflation targeting never requires that the central bank ignore information that bears on its achieving its objectives. Second, and more importantly, inflation targeting as it is actually practiced contains a considerable degree of what most economists would define as policy discretion. Within the general constraints imposed by their medium- to long-term inflation

targets, central bankers have in practice left themselves considerable scope to respond to current unemployment conditions, exchange rates and other short-run developments.

The 1989 reform of the Reserve Bank of New Zealand, for example, is often held up as an example of the rule-making impulse. It is important to note that New Zealand is the most extreme of all the inflation-targeting countries in its use of formal institutional constraints on policy. Even so, the New Zealand law does provide the central bank some discretion and flexibility; for example, the target inflation series excludes movements in commodity prices; the target may be readjusted if necessary in the judgment of the bank in response to supply or terms-of-trade shocks; the inflation target is specified as a 3 percentage point range rather than as a single number; and there is an explicit escape clause that permits amending the target in the face of unexpected developments. In practice, inflation targeting in New Zealand has been implemented even more flexibly. Inflation was brought down to its current low level only gradually; and when inflation moved briefly above the target range in 1996, the Parliament did not seriously consider its option of replacing the governor of the central bank.

If inflation targeting is not a rule in the way this term is usually understood, then what is it, and what good is it? We believe that it is most fruitful to think of inflation targeting not as a rule, but as a framework for monetary policy within which "constrained discretion" can be exercised. This framework has the potential to serve two important functions: improving communication between policymakers and the public, and providing increased discipline and accountability for monetary policy.

In terms of communication, the announcement of inflation targets clarifies the central bank's intentions for the markets and for the general public, reducing uncertainty about the future course of inflation. (Of course, this assumes that the announcements are believable and believed; more on this later.) Arguably, many of the costs of inflation arise from its uncertainty or variability more than from its level. Uncertain inflation complicates long-term saving and investment decisions, exacerbates relative price volatility, and increases the riskiness of nominal financial and wage contracts. Uncertainty about central bank intentions may also induce volatility in financial markets—a common phenomenon in the United States, where stock market analysts parse every sentence uttered by the Fed chairman in search of hidden meanings. Inflation targets offer transparency of policy; they make explicit the central bank's policy intentions in a way that should improve private-sector planning, enhance the possibility of public debate about the direction of monetary policy, and increase central bank accountability. Transparency has been claimed as a positive feature of other policy strategies, such as money-growth targeting, but we doubt that concepts like the growth rates of particular money aggregates are nearly so understandable to the general public as is the predicted rate of change of consumer prices.

To see the practical advantage of policy transparency, consider the familiar scenario in which an upcoming election or a slow economic recovery induces the government to pressure the central bank to apply some short-run stimulus. In an inflation-targeting regime, the central bank would be able—indeed, would be required—to make explicit that the short-run benefits of this policy (faster real growth) may well be purchased at the price of medium- and long-term inflation. These projections could then be debated by politicians, press and public, but at least the issue of long-run inflation effects would be on the table, serving as an explicit counterweight to the short-run benefits of monetary expansion. Making the linkage of short-term policies and long-term consequences explicit would clarify for the public what monetary policy can and cannot do.

Aggregate supply shocks, such as oil price shocks, present a thornier policy problem. If a severe supply shock hits the economy, keeping medium-term inflation close to the long-run target could well be very costly in terms of lost output. However, in practice, a well-implemented inflation-targeting regime need not strongly constrain the ability of the monetary authorities to respond to a supply shock. Remember, the inflation target itself can be and typically is defined to exclude at least the first-round effects of some important supply shocks, such as changes in the prices of food and energy or in value-added taxes; the use of target ranges for inflation gives additional flexibility. Escape clauses, which permit the central bank to change its medium-term targets in response to major developments, are another possibility. We have seen, for example, that the Bundesbank's one-year inflation targets were often defined by its view of how much inflation was "unavoidable," rather than by its longrun objective of price stability. Thus, intermediate-run inflation targets can be used to define a transition path by which the temporary inflation induced by a supply shock is eliminated gradually over time. Relative to a purely discretionary approach, the inflation-targeting framework should give the central bank a better chance of convincing the public that the consequences of the supply shock are only a one-time rise in the price level, rather than a permanent increase in inflation. A relevant example occurred in Canada in 1991, shortly after their implementation of inflation targeting, when a sharp increase in indirect taxes caused a blip in the price level but had no apparent effect on the underlying inflation rate.

The idea that inflation targeting requires an accounting of the long-run implications of short-run "discretionary" actions is also central to the argument that inflation targeting helps to discipline monetary policy. In practice, exactly who needs disciplining may differ from country to country, depending on politics, institutional arrangements and personalities. In the macroeconomic literature on central bank credibility, it is the central bank that needs discipline, because it is assumed to desire an unemployment rate lower than the natural rate. This desire leads the monetary authority to try to "fool" the public with surprise inflation, inducing producers (who confuse nominal and real price increases) to increase output and employment above the natural rate. If the public has rational expectations, however, it will anticipate the central bank's actions, and producers will not be fooled, so that in equilibrium the economy

will suffer higher-than-optimal inflation with no benefits in terms of lower unemployment.9

If a story along these lines describes the actual situation in a given economy, then an inflation-targeting framework will not directly prevent the counterproductive attempts of the central bank to engage in excessive short-run stimulus. In this respect, inflation targeting is inferior to an ironclad rule, if such could be implemented. However, in contrast to the purely discretionary situation with no explicit targets, under inflation targeting the central bank would be forced to calculate and to publicize the implications of its short-run actions for expected inflation in the long run (and again, these projections would be subject to scrutiny and debate). To the extent that the central bank governors dislike admitting publicly that they are off track with respect to their long-run inflation targets, the existence of this framework would provide an additional incentive for the central bank to limit its short-run opportunism.

Although the theoretical literature typically posits the central bank as the entity who chooses to inflate opportunistically, we suspect that in most cases the executive and legislative branches of the government have the greater incentive to engage in such behavior, often because of approaching elections. Central bankers, in contrast, tend to view themselves as defenders of the currency. This view may be the result of intentional appointments of "tough" central bankers (for reasons described by Rogoff, 1985), or it may just be that self-selection and socialization act to make central bankers relatively hawkish on inflation. But in either case, the existence of longer-term inflation targets can prove a useful device by which the central bank can protect itself politically from overexpansionist pressures. In particular, by making explicit the long-run, as well as the short-run, implications of overexpansionist policies, the central bank may be better able to get the support it needs to resist such policies. Our impression is that the Bank of England, for example, has on occasion used numerical inflation targets in precisely this way.

Further Issues with Inflation Targeting

If viewed as a framework rather than as a rule, inflation targeting can confer some important advantages. It provides a nominal anchor for policy and the economy. By communicating the central bank's objectives and views, it increases the transparency of monetary policy. It has the potential to provide increased discipline and accountability for policymakers. Importantly, it may be able to achieve all this without entirely giving up the benefits of discretionary policies in the short run. These optimistic conclusions notwithstanding, important questions and controver-

⁹ McCallum (1997) argues that the central bank can simply choose not to behave myopically, and the public's expectations will come to reflect this more farsighted behavior. He also points out, however, that to the extent time inconsistency is a problem, it will affect the government as well as the central bank; we agree, as we discuss below.

sies remain around inflation targeting, even when interpreted in the way that we prefer. Let us consider a few of these.

Which Inflation Measure? What Target Value?

A critical aspect of the design of an inflation-targeting regime is the definition of the price series to be used in the inflation target. The series needs to be considered accurate, timely and readily understood by the public, but may also need to allow for individual price shocks or one-time shifts that do not affect trend inflation, which is what monetary policy should influence. As Table 1 indicates, all inflation-targeting countries have chosen some variant of the consumer price index (CPI) as their target series. However, this choice is not typically the "headline" CPI figure, but an index that excludes some components or focuses on "core" inflation; clearly, it is incumbent on the central bank to explain its choice of index and to help the public understand its relation to the headline index.

In all inflation-targeting regimes, the inflation objective has been set at a low number, 4 percent or less. Is this the ideal range for the inflation target? Or would a somewhat higher range for inflation, which might involve lower initial output cost to attain, be acceptable?

Obtaining direct empirical confirmation of a link between inflation and economic performance is very difficult. Inflation is, after all, an endogenous variable; and so we rarely if ever see variation in inflation that is not associated with some third factor, such as supply shocks or political instability, which would plausibly affect other elements of economic performance as well. As a result, economists' views on the subject have been based largely on prior arguments, intuition and indirect evidence. That conceded, it is nevertheless clear that the professional consensus, which at one time did not ascribe substantial costs to moderate inflation, has over the past few decades begun to take the costs of inflation more seriously. For example, Feldstein (1996) has emphasized the importance of inflation-induced inefficiencies, via the tax code, on capital formation. Fischer (1993) and others have provided some evidence that macroeconomic stability, including control of inflation, is an important precondition for economic growth. Shiller's (1996) opinion surveys of public attitudes about inflation, while confirming economists' suspicions that the public is confused about even the definition of inflation, also show that people believe inflation to be highly uneven in its distributional impacts and hence corrosive of the social compact. A strengthening preference for low inflation is quite visible in policy circles, perhaps most strikingly in the tough limits on inflation imposed by the Maastricht treaty on countries that want to join the European currency union.

Given the growing consensus that the long-term goal of monetary policy should be a low inflation rate, there remains the question of how low it should

¹⁰ Studies that attempt to overcome these problems include Lebow, Roberts and Stockton (1992) and Barro (1995).

be. It seems clear that an inflation target of zero or near zero is not desirable, for several reasons. First, much recent research suggests that official CPI inflation rates tend to overstate the true rate of inflation, due to various problems such as substitution bias in the fixed-weight index and failure to account adequately for quality change. Studies for the United States have estimated this overstatement of inflation to be in the range of 0.5 to 2.0 percentage points per year. 11 Thus, as a practical matter, even if the central bank chooses to pursue a zero rate of true inflation, the target for the measured inflation rate should be greater than zero.

Putting aside measurement issues, there are other risks of setting the inflation target too low. In a much discussed recent article, Akerlof, Dickens and Perry (1996) point out that if nominal wages are rigid downward (a possibility that they argue is consistent with the evidence), then reductions in real wages can occur only through inflation in the general price level. Very low inflation therefore effectively reduces real-wage flexibility and hence may worsen the allocative efficiency of the labor market; indeed, the authors perform simulations suggesting that inflation rates near zero would permanently increase the natural rate of unemployment.¹² Another danger of setting the inflation target too low is that there is a greater chance that the economy will be tipped into deflation, with the true price level actually falling as may have happened during the recent recession in Japan. As pointed out in the literature on financial crises, persistent deflation—particularly if unanticipated can create serious problems for the financial system, interfering with its normal functioning and precipitating an economic contraction (Bernanke and James, 1991; Mishkin, 1991).

These risks suggest that the inflation target, even when corrected for measurement error, should be set above zero, as has been the practice of all inflationtargeting countries to date. Indeed, a potentially important advantage of inflation targeting is that it provides not only a ceiling for the inflation rate, but also a floor. Inflation targeting thus acts to attenuate the effects of negative, as well as positive, shocks to aggregate demand. An interesting historical example is that of Sweden in the 1930s, which adopted a "norm of price stabilization" after leaving the gold standard in 1931. As a result, Sweden did not undergo the devastating deflation experienced by other countries during the Great Depression (Jonung, 1979).

¹¹ This bias was the subject of an official report to the Senate Finance Committee, the so-called Boskin report (Boskin et al., 1996). See also Moulton (1996) and Shapiro and Wilcox (1997).

The force of this argument should not be overstated. First, the inflation rates which Akerlof, Dickens and Perry (1996) argue would significantly affect the natural rate of unemployment are really quite low, for example, measured rates (as opposed to "true" rates) of inflation of 2 percent per annum or less. Second, their simulation studies do not take into account forces that may work in the opposite direction: for example, Groshen and Schweitzer (1996) point out that high and variable inflation rates may increase the "noise" in relative wages, reducing the efficiency of the process by which workers are allocated across industries and occupations; thus higher inflation can represent sand as well as grease in the wheels of the labor market.

Is Inflation Sufficiently Predictable and Controllable to be "Targeted"?

It has been noted by several authors that inflation is very difficult to predict accurately, particularly at both very short and very long horizons (Cecchetti, 1995). This lack of predictability poses two important problems for the inflation targeting strategy. The first is strictly operational: given the long lags between monetary policy actions and the inflation response, low predictability suggests that accurate targeting of inflation could be extremely difficult. The second issue has to do with the central bank's credibility: if inflation is largely unpredictable, and hence not finely controllable, then it will be difficult to judge whether the central bank has made its best effort to hit the inflation targets. For example, the central bank could always argue that wide misses were the result of bad luck, not bad faith; since central bank forecasts of inflation contain substantial judgmental components, such claims would be difficult to disprove. This possible escape hatch for the central bank weakens the argument that inflation targeting increases accountability of monetary policy and suggests that building up credibility for its inflation-targeting framework could be a long and arduous process.

While we agree that inflation targeting is less effective, the less predictable or controllable is the inflation rate, several observations should be made. First, statistical measures of predictability are themselves likely to be sensitive to the monetary policy regime in place. Inflation was no doubt difficult to predict during the 1970s, when monetary policymakers tried to deal with oil price shocks and other stagflationary pressures without a coherent, clearly articulated framework. In contrast, the stability of the inflation rate in the United States and other industrialized countries since the mid-1980s, a period during which the maintenance of low and steady inflation has received much greater weight in central bank decision making, suggests that inflation will be easier to predict in the future.

Second, the relative unpredictability of goal variables is not in itself an argument for the use of intermediate targets in the conduct of monetary policy. As Svensson (1997a) points out, from an optimal control perspective, the best possible intermediate target is the current forecast of the goal variable itself—in this context, inflation. Using an intermediate target such as money growth is acceptable in an optimal control framework only if the intermediate target contains all information relevant to forecasting the goal variable; in this extreme case, using the intermediate target is equivalent to targeting the forecast of the goal variable. However, if any variable other than the intermediate target contains marginal information about the future values of the goal variable, then targeting the inflation forecast strictly dominates using any single intermediate target. Thus, from a strictly operational point of view, while it is unfortunate if the goal variable is hard to predict or to control, no improvement is available by using an intermediate target.¹³

¹³ In characterizing the forecast of inflation as the intermediate target, Svensson (1997a) is careful to define "forecast" to mean the forecast derived internally by the central bank using its structural model of the economy. An intriguing alternative would be to try to "target" private-sector forecasts of inflation, that is, set short-run policy instruments so that private-sector forecasts of inflation equal the announced

When the credibility of the central bank is at issue, the problem of whether to target inflation directly or to rely on an intermediate target becomes more complex. By Svensson's argument, use of the intermediate target must increase the variance of the goal variable, which is a cost of the intermediate targeting approach; the benefit, however, is that by hitting its announced target for the intermediate variable, the central bank can demonstrate the seriousness of its intentions to the public more quickly and reliably (Cukierman, 1995; Laubach, 1996). If credibility building is an important objective of the central bank, and if there exists an intermediate target variable—such as a monetary aggregate—that is well controlled by the central bank, observed and understood by the public and the financial markets, and strongly and reliably related to the ultimate goal variable, then targeting the intermediate variable may be the preferred strategy. All of these are big "ifs," particularly the last one. However, this analysis may help to explain the continued use of money-growth targets by Germany and Switzerland, where financial institutions and hence velocity have evolved rather slowly, while countries such as the United Kingdom, with a history of unstable velocity, have opted for targeting inflation directly.

Is Inflation the Right Goal Variable for Monetary Policy?

The consensus that monetary policy is neutral in the long run restricts the set of feasible long-run goal variables for monetary policy, but inflation is not the only possibility. Notably, a number of economists have proposed that central banks should target the growth rate of nominal GDP rather than inflation (Taylor, 1985; Hall and Mankiw, 1994). Nominal GDP growth, which can be thought of as "velocity-corrected" money growth (that is, if velocity were constant, nominal GDP growth and money growth would be equal, by definition), has the advantage that it does put some weight on output as well as prices. Under a nominal GDP target, a decline in projected real output growth would automatically imply an increase in the central bank's inflation target, which would tend to be stabilizing. 14 Also, Cecchetti (1995) has presented simulations that suggest that policies directed to stabilizing nominal GDP growth may be more likely to produce good economic outcomes, given the difficulty of predicting and controlling inflation.

Nominal GDP targeting is a reasonable alternative to inflation targeting, and one that is generally consistent with the overall strategy for monetary policy discussed in this article. However, we have three reasons for mildly preferring

target. Unfortunately, as shown by Woodford (1994) and Bernanke and Woodford (1996), such a policy is usually not consistent with the existence of a unique rational expectations equilibrium. However, Bernanke and Woodford also show that, while targeting private-sector forecasts is not a good idea, privatesector forecasts can typically be combined with the central bank's own information to improve the efficiency of its operating procedure. Further, private-sector forecasts that the public observes to be close to the central bank's official targets may help to provide some validation of the bank's internal procedures for forecasting and controlling inflation.

¹⁴ Hall and Mankiw (1994) point out, however, that the equal weighting of real output growth and inflation implied by a nominal GDP targeting is not necessarily the optimal one; in general, the relative weight put on the two goal variables should reflect social preferences.

inflation targets to nominal GDP targets. First, information on prices is more timely and frequently received than data on nominal GDP (and could be made even more so), a practical consideration that offsets some of the theoretical appeal of the nominal GDP target. Although collection of data on nominal GDP could also be improved, measurement of nominal GDP involves data on current quantities as well as current prices and thus is probably intrinsically more difficult to accomplish in a timely fashion. Second, given the various escape clauses and provisions for short-run flexibility built into the inflation-targeting approach, we doubt that there is much practical difference in the degree to which inflation targeting and nominal GDP targeting would allow accommodation of short-run stabilization objectives. Finally, and perhaps most important, it seems likely that the concept of inflation is better understood by the public than is the concept of nominal GDP, which could easily be confused with real GDP. If this is so, the objectives of communication and transparency would be better served by the use of an inflation target. As a matter of revealed preference, all central banks that have thus far adopted this general framework have chosen to target inflation rather than nominal GDP.

If It's Not Broke, Why Fix It?

Friedman and Kuttner (1996) decry the tendency of economists to want to impose restrictions and rules on central bank policymaking. They survey the problems with policy rules in the past, notably the failure of money-growth targeting to become a reliable policy framework in the United States, and they correctly point out that U.S. monetary policy has performed quite well in the recent past without the benefit of a formal rule or framework. Why, they ask, should we change something that is working well, especially given our inability to know what types of challenges will confront monetary policy in the future?

We would respond that a major reason for the success of the Volcker-Greenspan Fed is that it has employed a policymaking philosophy, or framework, which is de facto very similar to inflation targeting. In particular, the Fed has expressed a strong policy preference for low, steady inflation, and debates about short-run stabilization policies have prominently featured consideration of the long-term inflation implications of current Fed actions.

To take the next step and to formalize this framework would have several advantages. It would increase the transparency of the Fed's decision-making process, allowing more public debate and discussion of the Fed's strategy and tactics and, perhaps, reducing the financial and economic uncertainty associated with the Fed's current procedures. It would create an institutional commitment to the current approach that would be less dependent on a single individual's philosophy and might thus be expected to survive when, inevitably, new leadership takes over at the Fed. Finally, inflation targeting will be easiest to implement in a situation, like the current one, in which inflation is already low and the basic approach has been made familiar to the public and the markets. By adopting this approach now when it is relatively easy politically, we could ensure that the new procedures will

be in place to provide guidance when the next difficult decisions about monetary policy have to be made.

Conclusion

It is too early to offer a final judgment on whether inflation targeting will prove to be a fad or a trend. However, our preliminary assessment is that this approach—when construed as a framework for making monetary policy, rather than as a rigid rule—has a number of advantages, including more transparent and coherent policymaking, increased accountability, and greater attention to long-run considerations in day-to-day policy debates and decisions.

■ We thank Alan Blinder, Brad De Long, Mervyn King, Don Kohn, Alan Krueger, Bennett McCallum, Michael Peytrignet, Adam Posen, Georg Rich, Julio Rotemberg, Lars Svensson and Timothy Taylor for their helpful comments. Any opinions expressed are those of the authors and not those of Princeton University, Columbia University, the National Bureau of Economic Research, the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, or the Federal Reserve System.

References

Akerlof, George, William Dickens, and George Perry, "The Macroeconomics of Low Inflation," Brookings Papers on Economic Activity, 1996, 1, 1-50

Barro, Robert, "Inflation and Economic Growth," Bank of England Quarterly Bulletin, May 1995, 35, 166-76.

Barro, Robert, and David Gordon, "Rules, Discretion, and Reputation in a Model of Monetary Policy," *Journal of Monetary Economics*, July 1983, 12, 101–21.

Bernanke, Ben, and Harold James, "The Gold Standard, Deflation, and Financial Crisis in the Great Depression: An International Comparison." In Hubbard, R. G., ed., Financial Markets and Financial Crises. Chicago: University of Chicago Press for NBER, 1991, pp. 33–68.

Bernanke, Ben, and Ilian Mihov, "What Does the Bundesbank Target?," European Economic Review, forthcoming 1997.

Bernanke, Ben, and Michael Woodford, "Inflation Forecasts and Monetary Policy," unpub-

lished paper, Princeton University, September 1996.

Boskin, Michael J., Ellen R. Dulberger, Robert J. Gordon, Zvi Griliches, and Dale Jorgenson, "Toward a More Accurate Measure of the Cost of Living: The Final Report to the Senate Finance Committee from the Advisory Commission to Study the Consumer Price Index," December 4, 1996.

Calvo, Guillermo, "On the Time Consistency of Optimal Policy in a Monetary Economy," Econometrica, November 1978, 46, 1411–28.

Cecchetti, Stephen, "Inflation Indicators and Inflation Policy," *NBER Macroeconomics Annual*, 1995, 189-219.

Cukierman, Alex, "Towards a Systematic Comparison Between Inflation Targets and Money Targets." In Leiderman, L., and L. Svensson, eds., *Inflation Targets*. London: Centre for Economic Policy Research, 1995, pp. 192–209.

Debelle, Guy, and Stanley Fischer, "How Independent Should a Central Bank Be?" In Fuhrer, Jeffrey, ed., Goals, Guidelines, and Constraints Facing Monetary Policymakers. Boston: Federal Reserve Bank of Boston, 1994, pp. 195-221.

Deutsche Bundesbank, The Monetary Policy of the Bundesbank. Frankfurt am Main: Deutsche Bundesbank, 1995.

Feldstein, Martin, "The Costs and Benefits of Going from Low Inflation to Price Stability." NBER Working Paper No. 5469, February 1996.

Fischer, Stanley, "The Role of Macroeconomic Factors in Growth." NBER Working Paper No. 4565, December 1993.

Freedman, Charles, "The Use of Indicators and of the Monetary Conditions Index in Canada." In Balino, T., and C. Cottarelli, eds., Frameworks for Monetary Stability: Policy Issues and Country Experiences. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund, 1994, pp. 458–76.

Friedman, Ben, and Kenneth Kuttner, "A Price Target for U.S. Monetary Policy? Lessons from the Experience with Money Growth Targets," *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 1996, 1, 77–195

Goodhart, Charles, and José Vinals, "Strategy and Tactics of Monetary Policy: Examples from Europe and the Antipodes." In Fuhrer, Jeffrey, ed., Goals, Guidelines, and Constraints Facing Monetary Policymakers. Boston: Federal Reserve Bank of Boston, 1994, pp. 139–87.

Groshen, Erica, and Mark Schweitzer, "The Effects of Inflation on Wage Adjustments in Firm-Level Data: Grease or Sand?" Staff Report No. 9, Federal Reserve Bank of New York, January 1996.

Haldane, Andrew G., ed., Targeting Inflation. London: Bank of England, 1995.

Hall, Robert, and N. Gregory Mankiw, "Nominal Income Targeting." In Mankiw, N. G., ed., Monetary Policy. Chicago: University of Chicago Press for NBER, 1994, pp. 71–94.

Howitt, Peter W., "Canada." In Fratianni, Michelle U., and Dominick Salvatore, eds., Monetary Policy in Developed Economies, Handbook of Comparative Economic Policies. Vol. 3, Westport: Greenwood Press, 1993, pp. 459–508.

Issing, Otmar, "Monetary Policy Strategies: Theoretical Basis, Empirical Findings, Practical Implementation." In Deutsche Bundesbank, ed., Monetary Policy Strategies in Europe. München: Verlag Franz Vahlen, 1996, pp 197–202.

Jonung, Lars, "Knut Wicksell's Norm of Price Stabilisation and Swedish Monetary Policy in the 1930s," Journal of Monetary Economics, October 1979, 5, 459–96.

King, Mervyn, "Direct Inflation Targets." In Deutsche Bundesbank, ed., Monetary Policy Strat-

egies in Europe. München: Verlag Franz Vahlen, 1996, pp. 45-75.

Kydland, Finn, and Edward Prescott, "Rules Rather than Discretion: The Inconsistency of Optimal Plans," *Journal of Political Economy*, June 1977, 88, 473–92.

Laubach, Thomas, "Signalling with Monetary and Inflation Targets," unpublished paper, Princeton University, August 1996.

Lebow, David, John Roberts, and David Stockton, "Economic Performance Under Price Stability." Working Paper No. 125, Division of Research and Statistics, Federal Reserve Board, 1992.

Leiderman, Leonardo, and Lars E. O. Svensson, eds., *Inflation Targets*. London: Centre for Economic Policy Research, 1995.

McCallum, Bennett, "Inflation Targeting in Canada, New Zealand, Sweden, the United Kingdom, and in General." NBER Working Paper No. 5579, May 1996.

McCallum, Bennett, "Crucial Issues Concerning Central Bank Independence." NBER Working Paper No. 5597; *Journal of Monetary Economics*, forthcoming 1997.

Mishkin, Frederic S., "Asymmetric Information and Financial Crises: A Historical Perspective." In Hubbard, R. Glenn, ed., Financial Markets and Financial Crises. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1991, pp. 69–108.

Mishkin, Frederic S., "What Monetary Policy Can and Cannot Do." In Monetary Policy in Transition: Strategies, Instruments and Transmission Mechanisms. Vienna: Oesterreichische Nationalbank, forthcoming.

Moulton, Brent, "Bias in the Consumer Price Index: What is the Evidence?," Journal of Economic Perspectives, Fall 1996, 10, 159-77

Persson, Torsten, and Guido Tabellini, "Designing Institutions for Monetary Stability," Carnegie-Rochester Conference Series on Public Policy, 1993, 39, 53-84.

Posen, Adam, "Declarations are Not Enough: Financial Sector Sources of Central Bank Independence," *NBER Macroeconomics Annual*, 1995, 253–74.

Posen, Adam, and Thomas Laubach, "Some Comparative Evidence on the Effectiveness of Inflation Targets," unpublished paper, Federal Reserve Bank of New York, 1996.

Rogoff, Kenneth, "The Optimal Degree of Commitment to an Intermediate Monetary Target," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, November 1985, 100, 1169–89.

Shapiro, Matthew, and David Wilcox, "Mismeasurement in the Consumer Price Index: An

Evaluation," NBER Macroeconomics Annual, forthcoming 1997.

Shiller, Robert, "Why Do People Dislike Inflation?" Cowles Foundation Discussion Paper No. 1115, March 1996.

Svensson, Lars E. O., "Price Level Targeting vs. Inflation Targeting: A Free Lunch?" NBER Working Paper No. 5719, 1996.

Svensson, Lars E. O., "Inflation Forecast Targeting: Implementing and Monitoring Inflation Targets." NBER Working Paper No. 5797; European Economic Review, forthcoming 1997a.

Svensson, Lars E. O., "Optimal Inflation Targets, 'Conservative' Central Banks, and Linear Inflation Contracts." NBER Working Paper No. 5251; American Economic Review, forthcoming 1997ь.

Taylor, John, "What Would Nominal GDP

Targeting do to the Business Cycle?" In Carnegie-Rochester Conference Series on Public Policy. Vol. 22, Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1985, pp. 61-84.

von Hagen, Jurgen, "Inflation and Monetary Targeting in Germany." In Leiderman, L., and L. Svensson, eds., Inflation Targets. London: Centre for Economic Policy Research, 1995, pp. 107-

Walsh, Carl, "Optimal Contracts for Central Bankers," American Economic Review, March 1995, 85, 150-67.

Woodford, Michael, "Nonstandard Indicators for Monetary Policy: Can Their Usefulness be Judged from Forecasting Regressions?" In Mankiw, N. G., ed., Monetary Policy. Chicago: University of Chicago Press for NBER, 1994, pp. 95-116.

This article has been cited by:

- 1. Sartaj Rasool Rather, Salah Abosedra. 2024. Threshold inflation and relative price variability a proposal for inflation targeting in Lebanon. *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences* 27. . [Crossref]
- 2. Nuwat Nookhwun, Rawipha Waiyawatjakorn. 2024. Flexible Inflation Targeting and Macroeconomic Performance: Evidence from ASEAN. *Asian Economic Policy Review* 19:2, 198-219. [Crossref]
- 3. Carola Binder. 2024. The rise of inflation targeting. Southern Economic Journal 31. . [Crossref]
- 4. Donia Aloui, Abderrazek Ben Maatoug. 2024. How is the ECB's quantitative easing transmitted to the financial markets?. *Studies in Economics and Finance* 41:2, 268-285. [Crossref]
- 5. Jonathan Benchimol. 2024. Central bank objectives, monetary policy rules, and limited information. *Journal of Macroeconomics* **80**, 103604. [Crossref]
- 6. Martin Stojanovikj, Goran Petrevski. 2024. The choice of monetary regimes in emerging market economies: Inflation targeting versus its alternatives. *International Review of Economics & Finance* 93, 237-260. [Crossref]
- 7. Peterson K. Ozili. Inflation-targeting monetary policy framework in Nigeria 224-236. [Crossref]
- 8. Karan Raj, Devashish Sharma. 2024. Effects of energy price shock on the macroeconomic indicators of India: a new measure. *International Journal of Energy Sector Management* 172. . [Crossref]
- 9. . Greening Central Banks 291-314. [Crossref]
- 10. Андрей Русланович Шевчук, Владимир Сергеевич Осипов. 2024. Верификация предсказательной и объяснительной силы модели экономического роста Солоу на основе современных статистических данных Германии и Франции (памяти Роберта Мертона Солоу (23 августа 1924 21 декабря 2023)). Public Administration. E-journal (Russia):102, 2024, 95-105. [Crossref]
- 11. Martin Stojanovikj, Goran Petrevski. 2024. Inflation targeting and disinflation costs in Emerging Market economies. *Empirica* 51:1, 283-312. [Crossref]
- 12. Emmanuel Mensah, Rachel Odoley Mensah, David Aboagye Danquah. 2024. Threshold effects of inflation on the FDI growth nexus: evidence from inflation-targeting countries in sub-Saharan Africa. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja* 37:1. . [Crossref]
- 13. Carola Binder. 2024. The Rise of Inflation Targeting. SSRN Electronic Journal 31. . [Crossref]
- 14. Vigninou Gammadigbe. 2024. Monetay Unions and High Inflation Episodes (HIEs). SSRN Electronic Journal 15. . [Crossref]
- 15. John Taskinsoy. 2024. Diminishing American Imperialism Rising China: A New Superpower. SSRN Electronic Journal 84. . [Crossref]
- 16. Andrea Giorgio Tosato. 2024. Considerations on the Monetary Policy Framework of the European Central Bank. SSRN Electronic Journal 4. . [Crossref]
- 17. Kishore Kulkarni, Bansi Sawhney, Nicolas Cachanosky. 2024. Monetary Policy in India and the U.S.: Is the Taylor Rule Irrelevant?. SSRN Electronic Journal 11. . [Crossref]
- 18. Winston T. Lin, Yueh H. Chen, Shih-Sian (Sherwin) Jhang. 2023. The effects of unemployment and inflation rates on the business value of information technology and economic performance: The partial adjustment valuation approaches. *Asia Pacific Management Review* 28:4, 371-389. [Crossref]
- 19. Joshua Aizenman, Huanhuan Zheng. 2023. Inflation Surge and Sovereign Borrowing: The Role of Policy Practices in Strengthening Sovereign Resilience. *IMF Economic Review* 104. . [Crossref]

- 20. Pedro Mazeda Gil, Gustavo Iglésias, Luís Guimarães. 2023. Endogenous growth and monetary policy: How do interest-rate feedback rules shape nominal and real transitional dynamics?. *Journal of International Money and Finance* 138, 102939. [Crossref]
- 21. Pasquale Della Corte, Aleksejs Krecetovs. 2023. Current Account Uncertainty and Currency Premia. Management Science . [Crossref]
- 22. Emna Trabelsi, Asma Ben Khaled. 2023. Monetary policy and inflation targeting under global uncertainty: a SVAR approach for Tunisia. *Journal of Financial Economic Policy* 15:4/5, 368-395. [Crossref]
- 23. Oscar Afonso, Pedro G. Lima. 2023. Effects of monetary policy on the skill premium and the growth rate in a directed technical change model with heterogeneous cash-in-advance constraints. *International Journal of Finance & Economics* 28:4, 4020-4036. [Crossref]
- 24. Robert A. Buckle. 2023. Distinguished fellow lecture: monetary policy and the benefits and limits of central bank independence. *New Zealand Economic Papers* 57:3, 263-289. [Crossref]
- 25. Mansur Eshov, Karina Benetti. Impact of Monetary Policy Sustainability Indicators on Economic Growth in Transition to Inflation Targeting 367-377. [Crossref]
- 26. Ichrak Dridi, Adel Boughrara. 2023. Flexible inflation targeting and stock market volatility: Evidence from emerging market economies. *Economic Modelling* **126**, 106420. [Crossref]
- 27. Nawazish Mirza, Bushra Naqvi, Syed Kumail Abbas Rizvi, Sabri Boubaker. 2023. Exchange rate pass-through and inflation targeting regime under energy price shocks. *Energy Economics* **124**, 106761. [Crossref]
- 28. Serhii KORABLIN. 2023. Inflation targeting in Ukraine: some aspects, results and conclusions. *Finansi Ukraini* 2023:6, 34-46. [Crossref]
- 29. Saban Nazlioglu, Sinem Pinar Gurel, Sevcan Gunes, Cagin Karul. 2023. Asymmetric persistence in T urkish interest and inflation rates: New evidence from quantile unit root test with structural shifts. *International Journal of Finance & Economics* 27. . [Crossref]
- 30. Yunpeng Sun, Haoning Li, Yousaf Ali Khan. 2023. Impact of monetary policy on China's tourism market development: An application of factor –augmented vector auto-regression (FAVAR) model. Current Psychology 42:21, 17769-17788. [Crossref]
- 31. Oscar Afonso, Rosa Forte. 2023. How powerful are fiscal and monetary policies in a directed technical change model with humans and robots?. *International Journal of Finance & Economics* 28:3, 3008-3032. [Crossref]
- 32. Idil Uz Akdogan. 2023. The demand for money and the real exchange rate misalignments in emerging European countries: A nonlinear approach. *Bulletin of Economic Research* **75**:3, 776-807. [Crossref]
- 33. Karl-Friedrich Israel, Gunther Schnabl. 2023. Alternative measures of price inflation and the perception of real income in Germany. *The World Economy* 5. . [Crossref]
- 34. Oscar Afonso. 2023. Losers and losses of COVID-19: a directed technical change analysis with fiscal and monetary policies. *Economic Change and Restructuring* **56**:3, 1777-1821. [Crossref]
- 35. Wen-Ya Chang, Hsueh-Fang Tsai, Juin-Jen Chang. Great Ratios and Inflation Targeting: Small Open Versus Small Semiopen Economies 27-40. [Crossref]
- 36. Martha Cruz Zuniga, Dawit Senbet. 2023. Does the Effectiveness of Monetary Policy Depend on the Choice of Policy Instrument? Empirical Evidence from South Korea. *Journal of Central Banking Theory and Practice* 12:2, 239-265. [Crossref]
- 37. Oscar Afonso. 2023. The Oscar goes to ... robots or humans? Competition in a directed technical change model with monetary policy. *Economics of Innovation and New Technology* 32:3, 323-342. [Crossref]

- 38. I. D. Medvedev. 2023. Comparison of the Efficiency of Pure and of Hybrid Inflation Targeting from the Point of View of Inflation Control. *Studies on Russian Economic Development* 34:2, 274-283. [Crossref]
- 39. Victor I. Espinosa. 2023. The perils of lax economic policy: The case of Chile during the COVID-19 pandemic. *The Review of Austrian Economics* 87. . [Crossref]
- 40. Florian Hüpper, Bernd Kempa. 2023. Inflation targeting and inflation communication of the Federal Reserve: Words and deeds. *Journal of Macroeconomics* **75**, 103497. [Crossref]
- 41. Oscar Afonso. 2023. Inflation, technological-knowledge bias, and wages. *Research in Economics* 77:1, 91-103. [Crossref]
- 42. Oscar Afonso. 2023. Fiscal and monetary effects on environmental quality, growth, and welfare. *Research in Economics* 77:1, 202-219. [Crossref]
- 43. Thu Anh Thi Pham, Thong Trung Nguyen, Muhammad Ali Nasir, Toan Luu Duc Huynh. 2023. Exchange rate pass-through: A comparative analysis of inflation targeting & non-targeting ASEAN-5 countries. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance* 87, 158-167. [Crossref]
- 44. Troy Davig, Andrew Foerster. 2023. Communicating Monetary Policy Rules. *European Economic Review* 151, 104290. [Crossref]
- 45. Jongrim Ha, Inhwan So. 2023. Global Inflation Uncertainty: Measures and Applications. SSRN Electronic Journal 7. . [Crossref]
- 46. Donato Masciandaro. Flexible Monetary Policy Rules from the Great Moderation to the New Normal Times (1993–2023): A Forward-Looking Review 61-81. [Crossref]
- 47. Dimitrios Argyroulis. 2023. The European Semester: An Ordoliberal Construct?. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies* 61:1, 143-160. [Crossref]
- 48. Goran Petrevski. Macroeconomic effects of inflation targeting. [Crossref]
- 49. Evans Addo. 2023. The Effect of Average Inflation Targeting on Macroeconomic Outcomes in the United States. SSRN Electronic Journal 60. . [Crossref]
- 50. Jirun Maneechavakajorn. 2023. The Optimal Monetary Policy of China Based on Controlling Debt and Stabilizing Growth A DSGE Approach. SSRN Electronic Journal 2. . [Crossref]
- 51. Akhand Akhtar Hossain. Monetary policy for price stability . [Crossref]
- 52. Luis Ceballos, Han Xiao. 2023. Navigating Inflation Risk in Corporate Bond Markets: Evidence from Mutual Funds. SSRN Electronic Journal 5. . [Crossref]
- 53. Sevdagül DENGİZ, Bekir GOVDERE. 2022. ENFLASYON HEDEFLEMESİ STRATEJİSİ VE SEÇİLMİŞ ÜLKE UYGULAMALARI. *Hukuk ve İktisat Araştırmaları Dergisi* 14:2, 152-178. [Crossref]
- 54. Andrew Phiri. 2022. Central Bank Governor Turnover And Inflation In Zambia: Using Complex Wavelets for a Clearer Picture. Cogent Business & Management 9:1. . [Crossref]
- 55. Saban Nazlioglu, SinemPinar Gurel, Sevcan Gunes, Emre Kilic. 2022. Asymmetric Fisher effect in inflation targeting emerging markets: evidence from quantile co-integration. *Applied Economics Letters* 29:21, 2007–2014. [Crossref]
- 56. Thuy Hang Duong. 2022. Inflation targeting and economic performance over the crisis: evidence from emerging market economies. *Asian Journal of Economics and Banking* 6:3, 337–352. [Crossref]
- 57. Christopher John Cruz. 2022. Reduced macroeconomic volatility after adoption of inflation targeting: Impulses or propagation?. *International Review of Economics & Finance* 82, 759-770. [Crossref]
- 58. Yener Altunbaş, John Thornton. 2022. Does inflation targeting increase income inequality?. *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics* 45:4, 558-580. [Crossref]

- 59. Óscar Afonso. 2022. Growth and wage effects of the monetary policy. *International Journal of Finance & Economics* 27:4, 4058-4084. [Crossref]
- 60. Veysel KARAGÖL, Burhan DOĞAN. 2022. TÜRKİYE'DE PARA POLİTİKASINA FARKLI BİR BAKIŞ: FİNANSAL ÇEVRİMLERLE GENİŞLETİLMİŞ TAYLOR KURALI. Anadolu Üniversitesi İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Fakültesi Dergisi 23:3, 1-21. [Crossref]
- 61. Burcu Ünüvar. 2022. Wordle for Central Bankers: Separating Impact of Words and Actions Under High Inflation– The Case of Turkey. *Eastern European Economics* **60**:5, 375-392. [Crossref]
- 62. Martin Stojanovikj. 2022. Can inflation targeting reduce price information asymmetry and alleviate corruptive behavior? Evidence from developing countries. *Economic Systems* 46:3, 100986. [Crossref]
- 63. Emmanuel Carré, Sandrine Leloup. 2022. 8. Politique monétaire et finance chez les Nouveaux Keynésiens : une brève histoire des origines du Consensus de Jackson Hole (1976-2007). *Cahiers d'économie politique* n° 81:2, 263-301. [Crossref]
- 64. ANTONIA LÓPEZ-VILLAVICENCIO, MARC POURROY. 2022. Fed's Policy Changes and Inflation in Emerging Markets: Lessons from the Taper Tantrum. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* 54:4, 1099-1121. [Crossref]
- 65. Nikola Fabris, Milena Lazić. 2022. Evaluating the Role of the Exchange Rate in Monetary Policy Reaction Function of Advanced and Emerging Market Economies. *Journal of Central Banking Theory and Practice* 11:2, 77–96. [Crossref]
- 66. Wissem Boukraine, Hella Guerchi Mehri. 2022. Unemployment, informality and optimal monetary policy, an evaluation of the cross-checking approach. *Macroeconomics and Finance in Emerging Market Economies* 146, 1-23. [Crossref]
- 67. Hilmi ÇOBAN, Eda YEŞİL. 2022. Enflasyon Hedeflemesinin Mali Disiplin Üzerindeki Etkisi. BİLTÜRK Journal of Economics and Related Studies. [Crossref]
- 68. Pholile Dladla, Christopher Malikane. 2022. Inflation dynamics in an emerging market: The case of South Africa. *Economic Analysis and Policy* **73**, 262-271. [Crossref]
- 69. Oscar Afonso, Mafalda Pinho. 2022. How to reverse a negative asymmetric labor productivity shock in the European Union? A directed technical change analysis with fiscal and monetary policies. *Mathematical Social Sciences* 116, 47-67. [Crossref]
- 70. Sérgio Kannebley Júnior, Lucas Gonçalves Godoi, Diogo de Prince. 2022. Repasse Cambial na Economia Brasileira: estimação a partir do modelo VCEE. *Estudos Econômicos (São Paulo)* 52:1, 43-81. [Crossref]
- 71. Patrick M. Crowley, David Hudgins. 2022. Monetary policy objectives and economic outcomes: What can we learn from a wavelet-based optimal control approach?. *The Manchester School* **90**:2, 144-170. [Crossref]
- 72. Marina Halac, Pierre Yared. 2022. Instrument-Based versus Target-Based Rules. *The Review of Economic Studies* 89:1, 312-345. [Crossref]
- 73. Hakan Yilmazkuday. 2022. Inflation and growth: the role of institutions. *Journal of Economics and Finance* 46:1, 167-187. [Crossref]
- 74. Suzana Stevanović, Ivan Milenković, Sladjana Paunović. 2022. Effects of the implementation of the inflation targeting regime on economic growth. *Ekonomski horizonti* 24:3, 297-311. [Crossref]
- 75. Hyun Hak Kim, Choon Sung Lim. 2022. Aggregate and Disaggregate Trend Inflation: Case of Korea. SSRN Electronic Journal 782. . [Crossref]
- 76. Christopher Ed Caboverde, Regina Yvette Romero. 2022. The Response of Inflation and Other Macroeconomic Variables to Global Rice Price Shocks: A Structural Vector Autoregressive (SVAR) Analysis. SSRN Electronic Journal 61. . [Crossref]

- 77. Cheolbeom Park, Sookyung Park. 2022. Tracking a central banker's preference: A nonparametric regression approach. *Bulletin of Economic Research* 74:1, 291-307. [Crossref]
- 78. Daniel Andrei, Michael Hasler. 2022. Can the Fed Control Inflation? Stock Market Implications. SSRN Electronic Journal 43. . [Crossref]
- 79. Sima Siami-Namini. 2021. U.S. Monetary Policy and Commodity Prices: A SVECM Approach. *Economic Papers: A journal of applied economics and policy* **40**:4, 288-312. [Crossref]
- 80. Oliver Levingston. 2021. Minsky's moment? The rise of depoliticised Keynesianism and ideational change at the Federal Reserve after the financial crisis of 2007/08. *Review of International Political Economy* 28:6, 1459-1486. [Crossref]
- 81. Martin Stojanovikj, Goran Petrevski. 2021. Macroeconomic effects of inflation targeting in emerging market economies. *Empirical Economics* **61**:5, 2539-2585. [Crossref]
- 82. Sangwon Suh, Daehwan Kim. 2021. Inflation targeting and expectation anchoring: Evidence from developed and emerging market economies. *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance* 58, 101535. [Crossref]
- 83. Jiahong Gao, Robert R. Reed. 2021. Sunspot bank runs and fragility: The role of financial sector competition. *European Economic Review* 139, 103877. [Crossref]
- 84. Roperto S. Deluna, Jeanette Isabelle V. Loanzon, Virgilio M. Tatlonghari. 2021. A nonlinear ARDL model of inflation dynamics in the Philippine economy. *Journal of Asian Economics* **76**, 101372. [Crossref]
- 85. Michael Ehrmann. 2021. Point targets, tolerance bands or target ranges? Inflation target types and the anchoring of inflation expectations. *Journal of International Economics* **132**, 103514. [Crossref]
- 86. Yeon Kyung Grace Park. 2021. Let it float: Inflation and states' priority on monetary independence over exchange rate stability. *Politics* 41:3, 371-387. [Crossref]
- 87. Enrique Martínez-García. 2021. Get the lowdown: The international side of the fall in the U.S. natural rate of interest. *Economic Modelling* **100**, 105486. [Crossref]
- 88. Barbaros Güneri, A. Yasemin Yalta. 2021. Does economic complexity reduce output volatility in developing countries?. *Bulletin of Economic Research* 73:3, 411-431. [Crossref]
- 89. Cornel Ban. 2021. Organizing State Intervention in an Authoritarian State: From Fascist Import Substitution to French Developmentalism in Postwar Spain. *Studia Universitatis Babes-Bolyai Sociologia* 66:1, 5-28. [Crossref]
- 90. Bastian Muzbar Zams. 2021. Frictions and empirical fit in a DSGE model for Indonesia. *Economic Modelling* 99, 105487. [Crossref]
- 91. . Introduction 1-21. [Crossref]
- 92. . Knowledge Problems with Discretionary Monetary Policy 22-57. [Crossref]
- 93. . Incentive Problems with Discretionary Central Banking 58-93. [Crossref]
- 94. On the Shoulders of Giants 125-145. [Crossref]
- 95. . Money and the Rule of Law 146-166. [Crossref]
- 96. Nicolás Cachanosky, Federico Julián Ferrelli Mazza. 2021. Why did inflation targeting fail in Argentina?. The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance 80, 102-116. [Crossref]
- 97. S. Kolodii, M. Rudenko, L. Gariaga, I. Kochuma, S. Kolodii. 2021. THE INFLUENCE OF DECISIONS ON INCREASING SOCIAL STANDARDS ON MONETARY POLICY. Financial and credit activity problems of theory and practice 2:37, 37-46. [Crossref]
- 98. Serhii KORABLIN. 2021. Monetary security: some definitions and assessments. *Finansi Ukraïni* **2021**:1, 7-45. [Crossref]

- 99. Bernd Hayo, Florian Neumeier. 2021. Explaining central bank trust in an inflation-targeting country: the case of the Reserve Bank of New Zealand. *Oxford Economic Papers* **73**:1, 27-48. [Crossref]
- 100. Grégory Levieuge, Yannick Lucotte, Florian Pradines-Jobet. 2021. The cost of banking crises: Does the policy framework matter?. *Journal of International Money and Finance* 110, 102290. [Crossref]
- 101. Reza Moosavi Mohseni, Behrooz Gharleghi. 2021. The choice of inflation targeting regimes for inclusive growth: Lessons from southern countries. *Journal of Public Affairs* 21:1. . [Crossref]
- 102. Nikolay Gertchev. 2021. The Illusions of Inflation Targeting, With an Application to Ukraine. Quarterly Journal of Austrian Economics 24:1. . [Crossref]
- 103. Thomas Kigabo Rusuhuzwa. Monetary Transmission Mechanism in Rwanda 87-110. [Crossref]
- 104. Thi Mai Lan Nguyen, Elissaios Papyrakis, Peter A.G. van Bergeijk. 2021. Publication bias in the price effects of monetary policy: A meta-regression analysis for emerging and developing economies. *International Review of Economics & Finance* 71, 567-583. [Crossref]
- 105. Stefan Vincenz, Tom Oskar Karl Zeissler. 2021. Time-Varying Factor Allocation. SSRN Electronic Journal 61. . [Crossref]
- 106. Basiru Haliru. 2021. Impact of Inflation on Nigeria Economic Growth (1973-2019). SSRN Electronic Journal 11. . [Crossref]
- 107. WENXIN DU, CAROLIN E. PFLUEGER, JESSE SCHREGER. 2020. Sovereign Debt Portfolios, Bond Risks, and the Credibility of Monetary Policy. *The Journal of Finance* **75**:6, 3097-3138. [Crossref]
- 108. Oleg Buklemishev. 2020. Inflation targeting: From "constrained discretion" to singularity. *BRICS Journal of Economics* 39-52. [Crossref]
- 109. Jean-Paul Pollin. 2020. Les politiques monétaires à l'épreuve de la libéralisation financière. *Revue d'économie financière* N° 137:1, 219-244. [Crossref]
- 110. David M. Byrne, Carol A. Corrado. 2020. The increasing deflationary influence of consumer digital access services. *Economics Letters* **196**, 109447. [Crossref]
- 111. Hippolyte W. Balima, Eric G. Kilama, René Tapsoba. 2020. Inflation targeting: Genuine effects or publication selection bias?. *European Economic Review* 128, 103520. [Crossref]
- 112. Kenneth A. Reinert. An Introduction to International Economics 32, . [Crossref]
- 113. Giovani Da Silva Oliveira, Rafael Barbieri Camatta, Renzo Caliman Souza, Ricardo Ramalhete Moreira. 2020. Credibility of the Central Bank and GDP expectations: an alternative analysis on the Brazilian's sacrifice rates from 2002 to 2018. *Economia & Região* 8:2, 211. [Crossref]
- 114. PEDRO MAZEDA GIL, GUSTAVO IGLÉSIAS. 2020. Endogenous Growth and Real Effects of Monetary Policy: R&D and Physical Capital Complementarities. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* 52:5, 1147-1197. [Crossref]
- 115. Robert A. Buckle. Monetary Policy Governance and Inflation Targeting in New Zealand 211-232. [Crossref]
- 116. David M. Byrne, Carol A. Corrado. 2020. The Increasing Deflationary Impact of Consumer Digital Access Services. FEDS Notes 2020:2619. . [Crossref]
- 117. Metin Özdemir. 2020. The role of exchange rate in inflation targeting: the case of Turkey. *Applied Economics* **52**:29, 3138-3152. [Crossref]
- 118. Rangarajan Chakravarty. 2020. The New Monetary Policy Framework: What it Means. *Journal of Quantitative Economics* 18:2, 457-470. [Crossref]
- 119. Ben Clift. 2020. The hollowing out of monetarism: the rise of rules-based monetary policy-making in the UK and USA and problems with the paradigm change framework. *Comparative European Politics* 18:3, 281-308. [Crossref]

- 120. Tao Zha. A Dynamic Multivariate Model for Use in Formulating Policy 211-227. [Crossref]
- 121. Glenn D. Rudebusch, Carl E. Walsh. U.S. Inflation Targeting 715-719. [Crossref]
- 122. Emiliano Libman, Gabriel Palazzo. 2020. Inflation targeting, disinflation, and debt traps in Argentina. *European Journal of Economics and Economic Policies: Intervention* 17:1, 78-105. [Crossref]
- 123. Julio Pindado, Ignacio Requejo, Juan C. Rivera. 2020. Does money supply shape corporate capital structure? International evidence from a panel data analysis. *The European Journal of Finance* **26**:6, 554-584. [Crossref]
- 124. Ben S. Bernanke. 2020. The New Tools of Monetary Policy. *American Economic Review* 110:4, 943-983. [Abstract] [View PDF article] [PDF with links]
- 125. Marcel Fratzscher, Christoph Grosse-Steffen, Malte Rieth. 2020. Inflation targeting as a shock absorber. *Journal of International Economics* 123, 103308. [Crossref]
- 126. Stephen McKnight, Alexander Mihailov, Antonio Pompa Rangel. 2020. What do Latin American inflation targeters care about? A comparative Bayesian estimation of central bank preferences. *Journal of Macroeconomics* **63**, 103188. [Crossref]
- 127. David M. Byrne, Carol A. Corrado. 2020. The Increasing Deflationary Influence of Consumer Digital Access Services. *Finance and Economics Discussion Series* 2020:021r1. . [Crossref]
- 128. David M. Byrne, Carol A. Corrado. 2020. The Increasing Deflationary Influence of Consumer Digital Access Services. *Finance and Economics Discussion Series* 2020:021. . [Crossref]
- 129. Tankiso Moloi, Tshilidzi Marwala. Dynamic Inconsistency Theory 43-52. [Crossref]
- 130. Jeffrey R. Campbell. 2019. Comment on "Can more public information raise uncertainty? The international evidence on forward guidance" by Michael Ehrmann, Gaetano Gaballo, Peter Hoffmann and Georg Strasser. *Journal of Monetary Economics* 108, 113-117. [Crossref]
- 131. Juin-jen Chang, Wen-ya Chang, Hsueh-fang Tsai, Ping Wang. 2019. INFLATION TARGETING, PATTERN OF TRADE, AND ECONOMIC DYNAMICS. *Macroeconomic Dynamics* 23:07, 2748-2786. [Crossref]
- 132. Kin-Ming Wong, Terence Tai-Leung Chong. 2019. Monetary policy regimes and growth revisited: evidence from a de facto classification. *Oxford Economic Papers* 71:4, 908-929. [Crossref]
- 133. Stefano Neri, Tiziano Ropele. 2019. Disinflationary shocks and inflation target uncertainty. *Economics Letters* **181**, 77-80. [Crossref]
- 134. Nasrin Ebrahimi, Mehdi Pedram, Mirhossein Mousavi. 2019. Estimation of Core Inflation in Iran and Its Provinces Using Space State Model. *Journal of Research in Economic Modeling* 9:36, 7-36. [Crossref]
- 135. Fabio Wasserfallen. 2019. Global diffusion, policy flexibility, and inflation targeting. *International Interactions* 45:4, 617-637. [Crossref]
- 136. Timo Henckel, Gordon D. Menzies, Peter Moffatt, Daniel J. Zizzo. 2019. Three dimensions of central bank credibility and inferential expectations: The Euro zone. *Journal of Macroeconomics* **60**, 294–308. [Crossref]
- 137. Antonia López-Villavicencio, Marc Pourroy. 2019. Does inflation targeting always matter for the ERPT? A robust approach. *Journal of Macroeconomics* **60**, 360-377. [Crossref]
- 138. Robert A. Buckle. 2019. New Zealand's Thirty-Year Experience with Inflation Targeting: The Origins, Evolution and Impact of a Monetary Policy Innovation. *History of Economics Review* **73**:1, 47-84. [Crossref]
- 139. Antonia López-Villavicencio, Marc Pourroy. 2019. Inflation target and (a)symmetries in the oil price pass-through to inflation. *Energy Economics* **80**, 860-875. [Crossref]

- 140. Jonathan Benchimol, André Fourçans. 2019. Central bank losses and monetary policy rules: A DSGE investigation. *International Review of Economics & Finance* **61**, 289-303. [Crossref]
- 141. Michael Mintrom, Madeline Thomas. New Zealand's economic turnaround: How public policy innovation catalysed economic growth 357-378. [Crossref]
- 142. Dramane Coulibaly, Hubert Kempf. 2019. Inflation targeting and the forward bias puzzle in emerging countries. *Journal of International Money and Finance* **90**, 19-33. [Crossref]
- 143. Alexander W. Salter, Daniel J. Smith. 2019. Political economists or political economists? The role of political environments in the formation of fed policy under burns, Greenspan, and Bernanke. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance* 71, 1-13. [Crossref]
- 144. Anthony J. Makin. 2019. Optimal Monetary Policy in Inflation Targeting Open Economies. *Economic Notes* 48:1, 12122. [Crossref]
- 145. Adama Diaw, Abdoul Khadry Sall. 2019. Ciblage d'inflation : quelle règle pour la Banque centrale des États de l'Afrique de l'Ouest (BCEAO) ?. Revue d'économie du développement Vol. 26:2, 5-49. [Crossref]
- 146. Mehdi Hadian. Monetary Management in a Dual Banking System: A Nominal-GDP Targeting Approach 95-118. [Crossref]
- 147. Laurent Dobuzinskis. Financial Regulation and Monetary Policy: The Spectre of Government Failure 37-69. [Crossref]
- 148. Norbert Gaillard, Richard J. Michalek. How and Why Moral Hazard Has Distorted Financial Regulation 111-151. [Crossref]
- 149. Elisabeth Springler. Inflation: Failures of Inflation Targeting—A European Perspective 173-221. [Crossref]
- 150. Grégory Levieuge, Yannick Lucotte, Florian Pradines-Jobet. 2019. The Cost of Banking Crises: Does the Policy Framework Matter?. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 151. Hakan Yilmazkuday. 2019. Inflation and Growth: The Role of Institutions. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 152. Viktor KOZYUK. 2019. INFLATION TARGETING IN THE COUNTRIES WITH MIDDLE AND LOW INCOME: TRADITIONAL DILEMES AND POST-CRISIS CHALLENGES. WORLD OF FINANCE: 2(59), 8-22. [Crossref]
- 153. Igor Goncharov, Zoltán Novotny-Farkas, Xiaoyong Wu. 2019. Disclosure Choice When Market-Wide Externalities Matter: Evidence from IFRS Adoptions by Central Banks. SSRN Electronic Journal 71. .

 [Crossref]
- 154. Bryan Cutsinger. 2019. Monetary Rules without Romance. SSRN Electronic Journal 20. . [Crossref]
- 155. Hiroyuki Taguchi, Erdenechuluun Khishigjargal. 2018. Monetary Policy Rule under Inflation Targeting in Mongolia. *East Asian Economic Review* 22:4, 531-555. [Crossref]
- 156. Frederic S. Mishkin. 2018. Improving the use of discretion in monetary policy. *International Finance* 21:3, 224-238. [Crossref]
- 157. François Facchini. 2018. Non-Neutral Money: A Market Process Perspective. *Journal des Économistes et des Études Humaines* 24:1. . [Crossref]
- 158. Damir Tokic, Jessica Tokic. 2018. Practitioner at the Helm of the Fed: What Are the Implications?. *Journal of Corporate Accounting & Finance* **29**:4, 48-53. [Crossref]
- 159. Willem Thorbecke. 2018. The Effect of the Fed's Large-Scale Asset Purchases on Inflationary Expectations. *Southern Economic Journal* 85:2, 407-423. [Crossref]

- 160. Peter J. Boettke, Alexander W. Salter, Daniel J. Smith. 2018. Money as meta-rule: Buchanan's constitutional economics as a foundation for monetary stability. *Public Choice* 176:3-4, 529-555. [Crossref]
- 161. Ricardo Gimeno, Alfredo Ibáñez. 2018. The eurozone (expected) inflation: An option's eyes view. *Journal of International Money and Finance* **86**, 70-92. [Crossref]
- 162. Laura Coroneo, Valentina Corradi, Paulo Santos Monteiro. 2018. Testing for optimal monetary policy via moment inequalities. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 33:6, 780-796. [Crossref]
- 163. Damir Tokic. 2018. Central Bank Independence and Deflation. *Public Administration Review* **78**:5, 803-808. [Crossref]
- 164. Abdelkader Aguir. 2018. Central Bank Credibility, Independence, and Monetary Policy. *Journal of Central Banking Theory and Practice* 7:3, 91-110. [Crossref]
- 165. Eduardo Rosas Rojas, Mónica Cristina Mimbrera. 2018. Inflación y volatilidad cambiaria en México (1969-2017). Ensayos de Economía 28:53, 37-64. [Crossref]
- 166. Oueslati Tayssir, Ouerghi Feryel. 2018. Does central banking promote financial development?. *Borsa Istanbul Review* 18:1, 52-75. [Crossref]
- 167. Francesco Bianchi, Leonardo Melosi. 2018. Constrained Discretion and Central Bank Transparency. *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 100:1, 187-202. [Crossref]
- 168. Omid M. Ardakani, N. Kundan Kishor. 2018. Examining the success of the central banks in inflation targeting countries: the dynamics of the inflation gap and institutional characteristics. *Studies in Nonlinear Dynamics & Econometrics* 22:1. . [Crossref]
- 169. Brendan Brown. Origins of the Global 2% Inflation Standard 11-25. [Crossref]
- 170. Brendan Brown. Manipulation of Long-Term Interest Rates 43-54. [Crossref]
- 171. Dilip M. Nachane. The Post-crisis Critique of the NCM: Theoretical Aspects 233-254. [Crossref]
- 172. Dilip M. Nachane. NCM Critique: Policy Implications 255-275. [Crossref]
- 173. Dilip M. Nachane. The Resurgence of Neoclassicism 39-60. [Crossref]
- 174. Ricardo Ramalhete Moreira, Edson Zambon Monte, André Abdala. 2018. Inflation targeting and inflation deviation inertia: a study for Brazil based on the fractional integration approach. *Journal of Applied Economics* 21:1, 67-83. [Crossref]
- 175. Divanildo Triches, Guilherme Pons Fiorentin. 2018. AVALIAÇÃO DO REGIME DE METAS DE INFLAÇÃO NOS PAÍSES DA AMÉRICA LATINA ENTRE 2001 E 2014. Revista de Economia Contemporânea 22:2. . [Crossref]
- 176. David Aikman, Andrew Haldane, Marc Hinterschweiger, Sujit Kapadia. 2018. Rethinking Financial Stability. SSRN Electronic Journal 9. . [Crossref]
- 177. Marcel Fratzscher, Christoph Grosse Steffen, Malte Rieth. 2018. Inflation Targeting as a Shock Absorber. SSRN Electronic Journal 6. . [Crossref]
- 178. Marina Halac, Pierre Yared. 2018. Instrument-Based vs. Target-Based Rules. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 179. Alexander William Salter. 2018. An Introduction to Monetary Policy Rules. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 180. Asad Dossani. 2018. Monetary Policy Shocks and Variance Risk Premia. SSRN Electronic Journal 48. . [Crossref]
- 181. Hiroyuki Taguchi. 2018. Monetary Policy Rule under Inflation Targeting in Mongolia. SSRN Electronic Journal 29. . [Crossref]
- 182. Bibliographie 487-506. [Crossref]

- 183. S. Drobyshevsky, P. Trunin, A. Bozhechkova, E. Gorunov, D. Petrova. 2017. Analysis of the Bank of Russia information policy. *Voprosy Ekonomiki*:10, 88-110. [Crossref]
- 184. Michael Feroli, David Greenlaw, Peter Hooper, Frederic S. Mishkin, Amir Sufi. 2017. Language after liftoff: Fed communication away from the zero lower bound. *Research in Economics* 71:3, 452-490. [Crossref]
- 185. Federico Etro. 2017. Research in economics and macroeconomics. *Research in Economics* 71:3, 373-383. [Crossref]
- 186. Richard Barwell. 2017. Building on Incomplete Foundations: Financial Stability Policy since the Crash. *National Institute Economic Review* 241, R33-R47. [Crossref]
- 187. Giorgio Canarella, Stephen M. Miller. 2017. Inflation targeting and inflation persistence: New evidence from fractional integration and cointegration. *Journal of Economics and Business* **92**, 45-62. [Crossref]
- 188. Alexander W. Salter, Daniel J. Smith. 2017. WHAT YOU DON'T KNOW CAN HURT YOU: KNOWLEDGE PROBLEMS IN MONETARY POLICY. *Contemporary Economic Policy* 35:3, 505-517. [Crossref]
- 189. Srđan Furtula, Milan Kostić. 2017. Key Policy Rate as the Main or Additional Instrument of Inflation Targeting Strategy in Serbia. *Economic Themes* **55**:2, 143-159. [Crossref]
- 190. Steffen R. Henzel, Elisabeth Wieland. 2017. INTERNATIONAL SYNCHRONIZATION AND CHANGES IN LONG-TERM INFLATION UNCERTAINTY. *Macroeconomic Dynamics* 21:4, 918-946. [Crossref]
- 191. Mustapha A. Akinkunmi. 2017. Monetary policy decisions in selected Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries economies: does Taylor's principle matter?. *OPEC Energy Review* 41:2, 115-131. [Crossref]
- 192. Olfa Manai Daboussi, Amel Hedhli. Policy of Inflation Targeting in the Presence of Budget Deficits and Hyperinflation: Difference-in-Differences Estimation 79-89. [Crossref]
- 193. . References 435-450. [Crossref]
- 194. María Lorena Mari del Cristo, Marta Gómez-Puig. 2017. Dollarization and the relationship between EMBI and fundamentals in Latin American Countries. *Cuadernos de Economía* 40:112, 14-30. [Crossref]
- 195. Nathalie Champroux. British and American Monetary Policies Convergence: Structural Coincidence or Transatlantic Mutual Influence? 141-163. [Crossref]
- 196. Wenxin Du, Carolin E. Pflueger, Jesse Schreger. 2017. Sovereign Debt Portfolios, Bond Risks, and the Credibility of Monetary Policy. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 197. Ricardo Gimeno, Alfredo Ibaaez. 2017. The Eurozone (Expected) Inflation: An Option's Eyes View. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 198. Alexander William Salter, Daniel J. Smith. 2017. Political Economists or Political Economists? The Role of Political Environments in the Formation of Fed Policy Under Burns, Greenspan, and Bernanke. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 199. Antonia LLpezzVillavicencio, Marc Pourroy. 2017. IT Countries: A Breed Apart? The Case of Exchange Rate Pass-Through. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 200. Tatiana Kirsanova, Celsa Machado, Ana Paula C Ribeiro. 2017. Should the ECB Coordinate EMU Fiscal Policies?. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 201. Marcel Fratzscher, Christoph Grosse Steffen, Malte Rieth. 2017. Inflation Targeting as a Shock Absorber. SSRN Electronic Journal 67. . [Crossref]

- 202. Andrr Roncaglia de Carvalho. 2017. The Conceptual Evolution of Inflation Inertia in Post-Stabilization Brazil (1994-2014). SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 203. Hippolyte Balima, Eric Kilama, Rene Tapsoba. 2017. Settling the Inflation Targeting Debate: Lights from a Meta-Regression Analysis. *IMF Working Papers* 17:213, 1. [Crossref]
- 204. Pasquale Della Corte, Aleksejs Krecetovs. 2017. Macro Uncertainty and Currency Premia. SSRN Electronic Journal 93. . [Crossref]
- 205. Peter J. Boettke, Daniel J. Smith. 2016. Evolving views on monetary policy in the thought of Hayek, Friedman, and Buchanan. *The Review of Austrian Economics* **29**:4, 351-370. [Crossref]
- 206. Denise Flouzat. 2016. Banques centrales. L'impuissance de leur puissance?. Vie & sciences de l'entreprise N° 201:1, 9-31. [Crossref]
- 207. Nathalie Champroux. 2016. The consequences of the 2008 crisis on Britain's Inflation Targeting Framework. Revue française de civilisation britannique 21:2. . [Crossref]
- 208. Julio Garín, Robert Lester, Eric Sims. 2016. On the desirability of nominal GDP targeting. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* **69**, 21-44. [Crossref]
- 209. Andrew G. Haldane, Jan F. Qvigstad. The Evolution of Central Banks 627-672. [Crossref]
- 210. Christian Jensen. 2016. PRICE-SETTING WITH UNOBSERVABLE ELASTICITIES OF DEMAND: THE BUSINESS-CYCLE EFFECTS OF HETEROGENEOUS EXPECTATIONS. Macroeconomic Dynamics 20:4, 1101-1125. [Crossref]
- 211. Bibliographie générale 437-447. [Crossref]
- 212. Shakhzod Ismailov, Makoto Kakinaka, Hiroaki Miyamoto. 2016. Choice of inflation targeting: Some international evidence. *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance* **36**, 350-369. [Crossref]
- 213. Mateus Ramalho Ribeiro da Fonseca, Samuel Costa Peres, Eliane Cristina de Araújo. 2016. REGIME DE METAS DE INFLAÇÃO: ANÁLISE COMPARATIVA E EVIDÊNCIAS EMPÍRICAS PARA PAÍSES EMERGENTES SELECIONADOS. *Revista de Economia Contemporânea* 20:1, 113-143. [Crossref]
- 214. References 183-187. [Crossref]
- 215. Frederic S. Mishkin, Eugene N. White. Unprecedented Actions 220-265. [Crossref]
- 216. Mohamed Kadria, Mohamed Safouane Ben Aissa. 2016. Inflation targeting and public deficit in emerging countries: A time varying treatment effect approach. *Economic Modelling* **52**, 108-114. [Crossref]
- 217. Alexander William Salter, Daniel J. Smith. 2016. What You Don't Know Can Hurt You: Knowledge Problems in Monetary Policy. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 219. Jonathan Benchimol. 2016. Nominal Income Versus Taylor-Type Rules in Practice. SSRN Electronic Journal 43. . [Crossref]
- 220. Zhandos Ybrayev. 2016. The Prospect of Inflation Targeting in Kazakhstan. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 221. Sherif Maher Hassan. 2016. A Historical Retrieval of the Methods and Functions of Monetary Policy. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 222. Sophie Brana. Chapitre X. Hundred years: Happy Anniversary, Federal Reserve! 271-290. [Crossref]
- 223. Vivekanand Jayakumar. 2016. The Legislative Push to Mandate Rules-Based Monetary Policymaking in the US: The Latest Salvo in the Long-Running "Rules versus Discretion" Debate. *Theoretical Economics Letters* **06**:06, 1315-1336. [Crossref]

- 224. Peter J. Boettke. 2016. Money as Meta-Rule: Buchanan's Constitutional Economics as a Foundation for Monetary Stability. *SSRN Electronic Journal* 21. . [Crossref]
- 225. Pierpaolo Benigno. 2015. New-Keynesian economics: An AS-AD view. *Research in Economics* **69**:4, 503-524. [Crossref]
- 226. Yannick Lucotte. 2015. Le ciblage d'inflation dans les économies émergentes. Revue française d'économie Volume XXX:2, 93-128. [Crossref]
- 227. Tod S. Van Gunten. 2015. Cycles of polarization and settlement: diffusion and transformation in the macroeconomic policy field. *Theory and Society* 44:4, 321-354. [Crossref]
- 228. Thomas Barnebeck Andersen, Nikolaj Malchow-Møller, Jens Nordvig. 2015. Inflation targeting and macroeconomic performance since the Great Recession. *Oxford Economic Papers* 67:3, 598-613. [Crossref]
- 229. Mohamed Kadria, Mohamed Safouane Ben Aissa. Adoption of Inflation Targeting and Economic Policies Performance in Emerging Countries: A Dynamic Treatment Effect Evaluation 1-27. [Crossref]
- 230. Mark Koyama, Blake Johnson. 2015. Monetary stability and the rule of law. *Journal of Financial Stability* 17, 46-58. [Crossref]
- 231. Alexander Kupfer. 2015. Revisiting Svensson's test of inflation target credibility. *Applied Economics Letters* 22:5, 343-348. [Crossref]
- 232. Giovanni Dosi, Giorgio Fagiolo, Mauro Napoletano, Andrea Roventini, Tania Treibich. 2015. Fiscal and monetary policies in complex evolving economies. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* **52**, 166-189. [Crossref]
- 233. Douglas R. Holmes. Modelling the economy with language 1 50-63. [Crossref]
- 234. Marta Vázquez Suárez. 2015. Una aproximación a la actual crisis de deuda en España. *Economía UNAM* 12:34, 53-67. [Crossref]
- 235. Kin Ming Wong, Terence T. L. Chong. 2015. What Should Central Banks Target? Evidence on the Impact of Monetary Policy Regimes on Economic Growth. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 236. Troy Davig, Refet S. Gurkaynak. 2015. Is Optimal Monetary Policy Always Optimal?. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 237. Rudolf Richter. Why Price Stability? A Brief Answer from the Perspective of the New Institutional Economics 123-134. [Crossref]
- 238. Christian Grimme, Steffen R. Henzel, Elisabeth Wieland. 2014. Inflation uncertainty revisited: a proposal for robust measurement. *Empirical Economics* 47:4, 1497-1523. [Crossref]
- 239. Carlos Castillo. 2014. Inflation targeting and exchange rate volatility smoothing: A two-target, two-instrument approach. *Economic Modelling* 43, 330-345. [Crossref]
- 240. Reyna Vergara-González, Elías Eduardo Gutierrez-Alva. 2014. Evaluación del cumplimiento de los objetivos de inflación y el papel de las expectativas: evidencia para México, 1995-2012. *Ensayos Revista de Economía* 33:2, 1-32. [Crossref]
- 241. Aída García Lázaro, Ignacio Perrotini. 2014. Modus operandi del Nuevo Consenso Macroeconómico en Brasil, Chile y México. *Problemas del Desarrollo* 45:179, 35-63. [Crossref]
- 242. Grégory Levieuge, Yannick Lucotte. 2014. A Simple Empirical Measure of Central Banks' Conservatism. Southern Economic Journal 81:2, 409-434. [Crossref]
- 243. Andreza Aparecida Palma, Marcelo Savino Portugal. 2014. Preferences of the Central Bank of Brazil under the inflation targeting regime: Estimation using a DSGE model for a small open economy. *Journal of Policy Modeling* **36**:5, 824-839. [Crossref]

- 244. Sophie Brana, Stéphanie Prat. 2014. Politiques de stabilisation en Europe de l'Est : ancrage par le change ou ciblage d'inflation ?. *Mondes en développement* n° 167:3, 93-112. [Crossref]
- 245. Emmanuel Carré. 2014. Une histoire du ciblage de l'inflation : science des théoriciens ou arts des banquiers centraux ?. Cahiers d'économie Politique n° 66:1, 127-171. [Crossref]
- 246. Magali Dauvin. 2014. Energy prices and the real exchange rate of commodity-exporting countries. *International Economics* 137, 52-72. [Crossref]
- 247. Grégory Levieuge, Yannick Lucotte. 2014. Les cibleurs d'inflation sont-ils monomaniaques?. *Revue française d'économie* Volume XXVIII:4, 49-81. [Crossref]
- 248. KEVIN X.D. HUANG, QINGLAI MENG. 2014. Returns to Scale, Market Power, and the Nature of Price Rigidity in New Keynesian Models with Self-Fulfilling Expectations. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* 46:2-3, 293-320. [Crossref]
- 249. Frederic S. Mishkin. The Path of a Monetary Economist 286-315. [Crossref]
- 250. Zied Ftiti, Walid Hichri. 2014. The price stability under inflation targeting regime: An analysis with a new intermediate approach. *Economic Modelling* **38**, 23–32. [Crossref]
- 251. Ricardo Ramalhete Moreira. 2014. Commodities Prices Volatility, Expected Inflation and GDP Levels: An Application for a Net-exporting Economy. *Procedia Economics and Finance* 14, 435-444. [Crossref]
- 252. Serdar Öztürk, Ali Sözdemir, Özlem Ülger. 2014. The Effects of Inflation Targeting Strategy on the Growing Performance of Developed and Developing Countries: Evaluation of Pre and Post Stages of Global Financial Crisis. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences* 109, 57-64. [Crossref]
- 253. Alessia Campolmi. 2014. WHICH INFLATION TO TARGET? A SMALL OPEN ECONOMY WITH STICKY WAGES. *Macroeconomic Dynamics* **18**:1, 145-174. [Crossref]
- 254. Saakshi Mahajan, Souvik Kumar Saha, Charan Singh. 2014. Inflation Targeting in India. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 255. Giovanni Dosi, Giorgio Fagiolo, Mauro Napoletano, Andrea Roventini, Tania Treibich. 2014. Fiscal and Monetary Policies in Complex Evolving Economies. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 256. Alexander Gill. 2014. Ben Bernanke: Theory and Practice. SSRN Electronic Journal 84. . [Crossref]
- 257. Omid Ardakani, Narayan K. Kishor. 2014. Examining the Success of the Central Banks in Inflation Targeting Countries: The Dynamics of Inflation Gap and the Institutional Characteristics. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 258. Fernando Mierzejewski. 2014. Actuarial Characterisation of the Aggregate Money Demand and the Monetary Equilibrium. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 259. Renee Fry-McKibbin, Chen Wang. 2014. Does Inflation Targeting Outperform Alternative Policies During Global Downturns?. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 260. Jeffrey R. Campbell. 2014. Quantitative Easing in Joseph's Egypt with Keynesian Producers. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 261. Francesco Bianchi, Leonardo Melosi. 2014. Constrained Discretion and Central Bank Transparency. SSRN Electronic Journal 12. . [Crossref]
- 262. Kin Ming Wong, Terence T. L. Chong. 2014. A Tale of Two Regimes: Classifying and Revisiting the Monetary Policy Regimes. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 263. Emmanuel Carré. 2013. La cible d'inflation de la Fed : continuité ou rupture ?. Revue de la régulation :14. . [Crossref]
- 264. Isabelle Salle. 2013. Ciblage de l'inflation, transparence et anticipations une revue de la littérature récente. Revue d'économie politique Vol. 123:5, 697-736. [Crossref]
- 265. Ricardo Reis. 2013. Central Bank Design. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 27:4, 17-44. [Abstract] [View PDF article] [PDF with links]

- 266. Ben S. Bernanke. 2013. A Century of US Central Banking: Goals, Frameworks, Accountability. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 27:4, 3-16. [Abstract] [View PDF article] [PDF with links]
- 267. Kui-Wai Li. 2013. The US monetary performance prior to the 2008 crisis. *Applied Economics* **45**:24, 3450-3461. [Crossref]
- 268. Archana Kumari, Vikas Kumar, Malcolm Brady, Nicholas O'Regan. 2013. The Strategic Implication of Monetary Control: An Empirical Investigation of the Indian Economy. *Strategic Change* 22:5-6, 327-338. [Crossref]
- 269. Germán Alarco Tosoni. 2013. Benchmarking de la banca central en América Latina, 1990-2010. Investigación Económica 72:285, 75-113. [Crossref]
- 270. C. Panico, F. Purificato. 2013. Policy coordination, conflicting national interests and the European debt crisis. *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 37:3, 585-608. [Crossref]
- 271. Giorgos Argitis. 2013. The illusions of the "new consensus" in macroeconomics: a Minskian analysis. *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics* **35**:3, 483-505. [Crossref]
- 272. Tales Chenso da Silva Rabelo. 2013. O Regime de Metas Inflacionárias: Teoria e Evidências no Brasil 2002-2008. *Economia & Região* 1:2, 118. [Crossref]
- 273. Aaron Major. 2013. Transnational State Formation and the Global Politics of Austerity. *Sociological Theory* 31:1, 24-48. [Crossref]
- 274. Volker Wieland, Maik Wolters. Forecasting and Policy Making 239-325. [Crossref]
- 275. Julie Rozenberg, Stéphane Hallegatte, Baptiste Perrissin-Fabert, Jean-Charles Hourcade. 2013. Funding low-carbon investments in the absence of a carbon tax. *Climate Policy* 13:1, 134-141. [Crossref]
- 276. Adrian O. Ravier. 2013. Friedrich Hayek on Monetary and Banking Systems Reform. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 277. Roc Armenter. 2013. The Perils of Nominal Targets. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 278. Athanasios Orphanides. 2013. Is Monetary Policy Overburdened?. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 279. RADIA BENZIANE. 2013. La politique de ciblage d'inflation. Dirassat Journal Economic Issue 4:1, 215-224. [Crossref]
- 280. Jaromir Benes, Michael Kumhof, Douglas Laxton, Dirk Muir, Susanna Mursula. 2013. The Benefits of International Policy Coordination Revisited. *IMF Working Papers* 13:262, 1. [Crossref]
- 281. Branimir Jovanovic, Marjan Petreski. 2012. Monetary policy in a small open economy with fixed exchange rate: The case of Macedonia. *Economic Systems* 36:4, 594-608. [Crossref]
- 282. Richard W. Evans. 2012. Is openness inflationary? Policy commitment and imperfect competition. *Journal of Macroeconomics* 34:4, 1095-1110. [Crossref]
- 283. Hui Ding, Jaebeom Kim. 2012. Does inflation targeting matter for PPP? An empirical investigation. *Applied Economics Letters* 19:18, 1777-1780. [Crossref]
- 284. Aaron Major. 2012. Neoliberalism and the new international financial architecture. Review of International Political Economy 19:4, 536-561. [Crossref]
- 285. GREGORY E. GIVENS. 2012. Estimating Central Bank Preferences under Commitment and Discretion. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* 44:6, 1033-1061. [Crossref]
- 286. Roseline Nyakerario Misati, Esman Morekwa Nyamongo, Lucas Kamau Njoroge, Sheila Kaminchia. 2012. Feasibility of inflation targeting in an emerging market: evidence from Kenya. *Journal of Financial Economic Policy* 4:2, 146-159. [Crossref]
- 287. Stephanie Schmitt-Grohé, Martín Uribe. 2012. On quality bias and inflation targets. *Journal of Monetary Economics* **59**:4, 393-400. [Crossref]

- 288. Pelin Ilbas. 2012. Revealing the preferences of the US Federal Reserve. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 27:3, 440-473. [Crossref]
- 289. Ellen E. Meade. 2012. Institutional Governance and Monetary Arrangements. *International Finance* 15:1, 137-151. [Crossref]
- 290. Peter Howitt. 2012. What have central bankers learned from modern macroeconomic theory?. *Journal of Macroeconomics* 34:1, 11-22. [Crossref]
- 291. Laura Coroneo, Valentina Corradi, Paulo Santos Monteiro. 2012. Testing for Optimal Monetary Policy via Moment Inequalities. SSRN Electronic Journal 78. . [Crossref]
- 292. Grégory Levieuge, Yannick Lucotte. 2012. A Simple Empirical Measure of Central Banks' Conservatism. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 293. Syed Zahid Ali, Sajid Anwar. 2012. Inflation and Interest Rate Policy. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 294. Xavier Barrull. 2012. The Negative Influence of the Tilt Effect and Lending Constraints on Housing Markets, Economic Recessions and the Phillips Curve. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 295. Jézabel Couppey-Soubeyran. Bibliographie finale 343-350. [Crossref]
- 296. Stavros A. Drakopoulos. 2011. Economic Policies, Political Considerations and Overall Health11An earlier version of the paper was presented in a mini conference on Macroeconomics and Health indicators in the Technical University of Berlin (March 2009). Special thanks for comments are due to Professors H. Brenner and I. Theodossiou, and also to the editor and to an anonymous referee of this Journal. The usual disclaimer applies. *Economic Analysis and Policy* 41:3, 273-286. [Crossref]
- 297. Germán López-Espinosa, Antonio Moreno, Fernando Pérez de Gracia. 2011. Banks' Net Interest Margin in the 2000s: A Macro-Accounting international perspective. *Journal of International Money and Finance* 30:6, 1214-1233. [Crossref]
- 298. Peter Docherty. 2011. Keynes's Analysis of Economic Crises and Monetary Policy in the General Theory: Its Relevance after 75 Years. *Review of Political Economy* 23:4, 521-535. [Crossref]
- 299. JUNHAN KIM. 2011. Inflation Targeting as Constrained Discretion. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* 43:7, 1505-1522. [Crossref]
- 300. Philip Arestis, Alexander Mihailov. 2011. CLASSIFYING MONETARY ECONOMICS: FIELDS AND METHODS FROM PAST TO FUTURE. *Journal of Economic Surveys* 25:4, 769-800. [Crossref]
- 301. Marcos Rocha, Marcelo Curado. 2011. Metas de inflação e volatilidade cambial: uma análise da experiência internacional com PAINEL-GARCH. *Revista de Economia Contemporânea* 15:2, 342-368. [Crossref]
- 302. Tomáš Munzi, Petr Hlaváč. 2011. Inflation Targeting and Its Impact on the Nature of the Money Supply and the Financial Imbalances. *Politická ekonomie* **59**:4, 435-453. [Crossref]
- 303. Eden S. H. Yu, Kui-Wai Li. 2011. Asian Region Special Issue: Introduction by the Guest Editors. *The World Economy* 34:7, 1067-1070. [Crossref]
- 304. S. A. O'Connell. 2011. Towards a Rule-based Approach to Monetary Policy Evaluation in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of African Economies* 20:Supplement 2, ii36-ii66. [Crossref]
- 305. Hiroyuki Taguchi, Chizuru Kato. 2011. Assessing the performance of inflation targeting in East Asian economies. *Asian-Pacific Economic Literature* **25**:1, 93-102. [Crossref]
- 306. Inflation Targeting 346-366. [Crossref]
- 307. Antonio Ribba. 2011. On some neglected implications of the Fisher effect. *Empirical Economics* **40**:2, 451-470. [Crossref]

- 308. Helder Ferreira de Mendonça, Thiago Ramalho Vasco da Silva Lima. 2011. Macroeconomic Determinants of Investment under Inflation Targeting: Empirical Evidence from the Brazilian Economy. *Latin American Business Review* 12:1, 25-38. [Crossref]
- 309. Mona Kamal. 2011. The Estimation of Monetary Policy Feedback Rules: The Case of South Africa. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 310. Tatiana Kirsanova, Stephanus Daniel le Roux. 2011. Degree of Policy Precommitment in the UK: An Empirical Investigation of Monetary and Fiscal Policy Interactions. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 311. Syed Kashif Saeed, Khalid Riaz. 2011. Phillips Curve: Forward or Backward Looking?. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 312. Monal Abdel-Baki. 2011. Monetary policy responses to the global financial crisis: A case study of Egypt. *Corporate Board role duties and composition* 7:3, 65-78. [Crossref]
- 313. Eduardo Loría, Jorge Ramírez. 2011. Inflation, Monetary Policy and Economic Growth in Mexico. An Inverse Causation, 1970-2009. *Modern Economy* **02**:05, 834-845. [Crossref]
- 314. Man-Keung Tang, Xiangrong Yu. 2011. Communication of Central Bank Thinking and Inflation Dynamics. *IMF Working Papers* 11:209, 1. [Crossref]
- 315. Alexandra Peter, Sarwat Jahan, Edward R. Gemayel. 2011. What Can Low-Income Countries Expect From Adopting Inflation Targeting?. *IMF Working Papers* 11:276, 1. [Crossref]
- 316. Christian Saborowski. 2010. Inflation targeting as a means of achieving disinflation. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* 34:12, 2510-2532. [Crossref]
- 317. Refet S. Gürkaynak, Andrew Levin, Eric Swanson. 2010. DOES INFLATION TARGETING ANCHOR LONG-RUN INFLATION EXPECTATIONS? EVIDENCE FROM THE U.S., UK, AND SWEDEN 4. Journal of the European Economic Association 8:6, 1208-1242. [Crossref]
- 318. Taner M. Yigit. 2010. Inflation targeting: An indirect approach to assess the direct impact. *Journal of International Money and Finance* **29**:7, 1357-1368. [Crossref]
- 319. J. Lawrence Broz, Michael Plouffe. 2010. The Effectiveness of Monetary Policy Anchors: Firm-Level Evidence. *International Organization* **64**:4, 695-717. [Crossref]
- 320. Jacques Sapir. 2010. What Should Russian Monetary Policy Be?. *Post-Soviet Affairs* **26**:4, 342-372. [Crossref]
- 321. Charles Freedman, Michael Kumhof, Douglas Laxton, Dirk Muir, Susanna Mursula. 2010. Global effects of fiscal stimulus during the crisis. *Journal of Monetary Economics* **57**:5, 506-526. [Crossref]
- 322. Ronald SCHETTKAT. 2010. Will only an earthquake shake up economics?. *International Labour Review* 149:2, 185-207. [Crossref]
- 323. Ronald SCHETTKAT. 2010. Faut-il un séisme pour réveiller le monde de l'économie?. Revue internationale du Travail 149:2, 201-227. [Crossref]
- 324. Ronald SCHETTKAT. 2010. ¿Hará falta un terremoto para que despierte la teoría económica?. Revista Internacional del Trabajo 129:2, 205-230. [Crossref]
- 325. CHRISTOPHER MARTIN, COSTAS MILAS. 2010. TESTING THE OPPORTUNISTIC APPROACH TO MONETARY POLICY. The Manchester School 78:2, 110-125. [Crossref]
- 326. Gabriel Caldas Montes. 2010. [NO TITLE AVAILABLE]. Revista de Economia Política **30**:1, 89-111. [Crossref]
- 327. BOB NOBAY, IVAN PAYA, DAVID A. PEEL. 2010. Inflation Dynamics in the U.S.: Global but Not Local Mean Reversion. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* 42:1, 135-150. [Crossref]
- 328. Frederic S. Mishkin. Will Monetary Policy Become More of a Science? 81-103. [Crossref]

- 329. Peter Kugler, George Sheldon. 2010. Unemployment and monetary policy in Switzerland. Swiss Journal of Economics and Statistics 146:1, 185-208. [Crossref]
- 330. Olivier Coibion, Yuriy Gorodnichenko. 2010. Information Rigidity and the Expectations Formation Process: A Simple Framework and New Facts. SSRN Electronic Journal 55. . [Crossref]
- 331. Dramane Coulibaly, Hubert Kempf. 2010. Does Inflation Targeting Decrease Exchange Rate Pass-Through in Emerging Countries?. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 332. Peter J. Boettke, Daniel J. Smith. 2010. Monetary Policy and the Quest for Robust Political Economy. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 333. Mona Kamal. 2010. Inflation Targeting in Brazil, Chile and South Africa: An Empirical Investigation of Their Monetary Policy Framework. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 334. Tarek Ghalwash. 2010. An Inflation Targeting Regime in Egypt: A Feasible Option?. *Modern Economy* **01**:02, 89-99. [Crossref]
- 335. Magnus Saxegaard, Rahul Anand, Shanaka J. Peiris. 2010. An Estimated Model with Macrofinancial Linkages for India. *IMF Working Papers* 10:21, 1. [Crossref]
- 336. Muneesh Kapur, Michael Debabrata Patra. 2010. A Monetary Policy Model without Money for India. *IMF Working Papers* **10**:183, 1. [Crossref]
- 337. Michael Kumhof, Daniel Leigh, Douglas Laxton. 2010. To Starve or not to Starve the Beast?. *IMF Working Papers* 10:199, 1. [Crossref]
- 338. George B. Tawadros. 2009. Testing the impact of inflation targeting on inflation. *Journal of Economic Studies* **36**:4, 326-342. [Crossref]
- 339. DOUGLAS R. HOLMES. 2009. ECONOMY OF WORDS. Cultural Anthropology 24:3, 381-419. [Crossref]
- 340. J. Morgan. 2009. The limits of central bank policy: economic crisis and the challenge of effective solutions. *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 33:4, 581-608. [Crossref]
- 341. Zheng Liu, Daniel F. Waggoner, Tao Zha. 2009. Asymmetric expectation effects of regime shifts in monetary policy. *Review of Economic Dynamics* 12:2, 284-303. [Crossref]
- 342. Philip Arestis, Luiz Fernando de Paula, Fernando Ferrari-Filho. 2009. A nova política monetária: uma análise do regime de metas de inflação no Brasil. *Economia e Sociedade* 18:1, 1-30. [Crossref]
- 343. Willem Thorbecke, Hanjiang Zhang. 2009. Monetary Policy Surprises and Interest Rates: Choosing between the Inflation-Revelation and Excess Sensitivity Hypotheses. *Southern Economic Journal* 75:4, 1114-1122. [Crossref]
- 344. Ian Sheldon. 2009. Comments on: "Is the Chinese growth miracle built to last?" and "Will the renminbi become a world currency?". *China Economic Review* **20**:1, 136-139. [Crossref]
- 345. G. C. Lim. 2009. Inflation Targeting. Australian Economic Review 42:1, 110-118. [Crossref]
- 346. Kevin X.D. Huang, Qinglai Meng, Jianpo Xue. 2009. Is forward-looking inflation targeting destabilizing? The role of policy's response to current output under endogenous investment. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* 33:2, 409-430. [Crossref]
- 347. Alex Cukierman. 2009. The Limits of Transparency. Economic Notes 38:1-2, 1-37. [Crossref]
- 348. Anthony J. Makin. Select Stabilization Policy Issues 163-180. [Crossref]
- 349. Eric M. Leeper. 2009. Anchoring Fiscal Expectations. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 350. Fernando Mierzejewski. 2009. A Model of Monetary Equilibrium with Random Output and Restricted Borrowing. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 351. Meixing Dai. 2009. The Design of a 'Two-Pillar' Monetary Policy Strategy. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]

- 352. Michael Kumhof, Douglas Laxton. 2009. Simple, Implementable Fiscal Policy Rules. *IMF Working Papers* **09**:76, 1. [Crossref]
- 353. Douglas Laxton, Dirk Muir, Michael Kumhof, Susanna Mursula, Charles Freedman. 2009. Fiscal Stimulus to the Rescue? Short-Run Benefits and Potential Long-Run Costs of Fiscal Deficits. *IMF Working Papers* **09**:255, 1. [Crossref]
- 354. Philip Arestis, Malcolm Sawyer. 2008. New consensus macroeconomics and inflation targeting: Keynesian critique. *Economia e Sociedade* 17:spe, 629-653. [Crossref]
- 355. D. Maman, Z. Rosenhek. 2008. The contested institutionalization of policy paradigm shifts: the adoption of inflation targeting in Israel. *Socio-Economic Review* 7:2, 217-243. [Crossref]
- 356. Ryan R. Brady. 2008. Structural breaks and consumer credit: Is consumption smoothing finally a reality?. *Journal of Macroeconomics* **30**:3, 1246-1268. [Crossref]
- 357. Gunther Schnabl, Andreas Hoffmann. 2008. Monetary Policy, Vagabonding Liquidity and Bursting Bubbles in New and Emerging Markets: An Overinvestment View. *The World Economy* 31:9, 1226-1252. [Crossref]
- 358. Cindy D. Kam, Yunju Nam. 2008. Reaching Out or Pulling Back: Macroeconomic Conditions and Public Support for Social Welfare Spending. *Political Behavior* **30**:2, 223-258. [Crossref]
- 359. Robert W. Dimand, Robert H. Koehn. 2008. Central Bankers in the Minsky Moment: How Different Central Banks Have Responded to the Threat of Debt-Deflation. *The Journal of Economic Asymmetries* 5:1, 139-148. [Crossref]
- 360. Nejla Adanur Aklan, Mehmet Nargelecekenler. 2008. Taylor Rule in Practice: Evidence from Turkey. *International Advances in Economic Research* 14:2, 156-166. [Crossref]
- 361. Carlos Eduardo S. Gonçalves, Alexandre Carvalho. 2008. Who chooses to inflation target?. *Economics Letters* **99**:2, 410-413. [Crossref]
- 362. Bumba Mukherjee, David Andrew Singer. 2008. Monetary Institutions, Partisanship, and Inflation Targeting. *International Organization* **62**:02. . [Crossref]
- 363. Stefan Krause, Fabio Méndez. 2008. Institutions, arrangements and preferences for inflation stability: Evidence and lessons from a panel data analysis. *Journal of Macroeconomics* 30:1, 282-307. [Crossref]
- 364. Raghbendra Jha. 2008. Inflation targeting in India: issues and prospects. *International Review of Applied Economics* 22:2, 259-270. [Crossref]
- 365. Keshab Bhattarai. 2008. An empirical study of interest rate determination rules. *Applied Financial Economics* 18:4, 327–343. [Crossref]
- 366. Carlos Eduardo S. Gonçalves, João M. Salles. 2008. Inflation targeting in emerging economies: What do the data say?. *Journal of Development Economics* 85:1-2, 312-318. [Crossref]
- 367. Manfred Gärtner. The Political Economy of Monetary Policy Conduct and Central Bank Design 423-446. [Crossref]
- 368. Inchul Kim. 2008. Exchange Rate Policy Coordination under Inflation Targeting between Japan and Korea. *International Journal of Economic Policy Studies* 3:1, 31-43. [Crossref]
- 369. Hualong Zeng, Lin Li, Shucheng Li. The Impulse Effect of Monetary Policy on Asset Prices: A Study of the Interactive Correlations among Interest Rate, Housing Prices, and Stock Prices 338-343. [Crossref]
- 370. Alex Cukierman. 2008. The Limits of Transparency. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 371. Marvin Goodfriend. 2007. How the World Achieved Consensus on Monetary Policy. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 21:4, 47-68. [Abstract] [View PDF article] [PDF with links]
- 372. Helder de Mendonça. 2007. Towards credibility from inflation targeting: the Brazilian experience. *Applied Economics* **39**:20, 2599-2615. [Crossref]

- 373. Faruk Ülgen. 2007. Intégration financière et désintégration monétaire : La Turquie en crise de confiance. Économie et Institutions :10-11, 67-98. [Crossref]
- 374. Brian Snowdon. 2007. The New Classical Counter-Revolution: False Path or Illuminating Complement?. Eastern Economic Journal 33:4, 541-562. [Crossref]
- 375. Thomas Palley. 2007. Macroeconomics and monetary policy: competing theoretical frameworks. *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics* **30**:1, 61-78. [Crossref]
- 376. Andrew K. Rose. 2007. A stable international monetary system emerges: Inflation targeting is Bretton Woods, reversed. *Journal of International Money and Finance* **26**:5, 663-681. [Crossref]
- 377. Frederic S. Mishkin. 2007. Will Monetary Policy Become More of a Science?. Finance and Economics Discussion Series 2007:44, 1-44. [Crossref]
- 378. Troy Davig, Eric M. Leeper. 2007. Generalizing the Taylor Principle. *American Economic Review* **97**:3, 607-635. [Abstract] [View PDF article] [PDF with links]
- 379. Eric Mcvittie, J. Kim Swales. 2007. 'Constrained Discretion' in UK Monetary and Regional Policy. *Regional Studies* 41:2, 267-280. [Crossref]
- 380. S A du Plessis, B W Smit. 2007. Countercyclical Monetary Policy in South Africa. *Studies in Economics and Econometrics* 31:1, 79-98. [Crossref]
- 381. Eric McVittie, J. Kim Swales. 2007. The Information Requirements for an Effective Regional Policy: A Critique of the Allsopp Review. *Urban Studies* 44:3, 425-438. [Crossref]
- 382. Ismail H. Genc, Minsoo Lee, Candelaria O. Rodríguez, Zachary Lutz. 2007. Time Series Analysis of Inflation Targeting in Selected Countries. *Journal of Economic Policy Reform* 10:1, 15-27. [Crossref]
- 383. Chengsi Zhang. 2007. Low Inflation, Pass-through, and a Discrete Inflation-targeting Framework for Monetary Policy in China. *China & World Economy* 15:2, 59-73. [Crossref]
- 384. Philip Arestis, Luiz Fernando de Paula, Fernando Ferrari-Filho. Inflation Targeting in Emerging Countries: The Case of Brazil 116-140. [Crossref]
- 385. Alexander Mihailov, Katrin Ullrich. 2007. Independence and Accountability of Monetary and Fiscal Policy Committees. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 386. James Annable. 2007. Adjusting Wages for Price Inflation: The Rational-Arrangements Phillips Curve. SSRN Electronic Journal 6924. . [Crossref]
- 387. Alessandro Riboni, Francisco J. Ruge-Murcia. 2007. Preference Heterogeneity in Monetary Policy Committees. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 388. Zheng Liu, Daniel F. Waggoner, Tao Zha. 2007. Asymmetric Expectation Effects of Regime Shifts and the Great Moderation. SSRN Electronic Journal 44. . [Crossref]
- 389. Manfred Gartner. 2007. The Political Economy of Monetary Policy Conduct and Central Bank Design. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 390. Salih Ozturk .. 2006. An Assessment over Alternative Monetary Policy Strategies. *Journal of Applied Sciences* **6**:12, 2532-2540. [Crossref]
- 391. Frederic S. Mishkin. 2006. How Big a Problem is Too Big to Fail? A Review of Gary Stern and Ron Feldman's Too Big to Fail: The Hazards of Bank Bailouts. *Journal of Economic Literature* 44:4, 988-1004. [Abstract] [View PDF article] [PDF with links]
- 392. JEAN PISANI-FERRY. 2006. Only One Bed for Two Dreams: A Critical Retrospective on the Debate over the Economic Governance of the Euro Area. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies* 44:4, 823-844. [Crossref]
- 393. MARTHA A. STARR. 2006. ONE WORLD, ONE CURRENCY: EXPLORING THE ISSUES. Contemporary Economic Policy 24:4, 618-633. [Crossref]

- 394. V. V. Chari, Patrick J. Kehoe. 2006. Modern Macroeconomics in Practice: How Theory Is Shaping Policy. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 20:4, 3-28. [Abstract] [View PDF article] [PDF with links]
- 395. Athanasios Orphanides, John C. Williams. 2006. Inflation Targeting under Imperfect Knowledge. Finance and Economics Discussion Series 2006:20, 1-46. [Crossref]
- 396. Athina Zervoyianni. Monetary Policy in the EMU: Theoretical Issues and Assessment 261-298. [Crossref]
- 397. Etelberto Ortiz. From Financial Repression to Financial Regression: The Limits of the 'Tyrannical Auctioneer' and Monetary Policy 70-98. [Crossref]
- 398. Refet S. Gurkaynak, Andrew T. Levin, Eric T. Swanson. 2006. Does Inflation Targeting Anchor Long-Run Inflation Expectations? Evidence from Long-Term Bond Yields in the U.S., U.K. And Sweden. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 399. Eric Tymoigne. 2006. Asset Prices, Financial Fragility, and Central Banking. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 400. Athanasios Orphanides, John C. Williams. 2006. Inflation Targeting under Imperfect Knowledge. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 401. Troy Davig, Eric M. Leeper. 2006. Generalizing the Taylor Principle. SSRN Electronic Journal 44. . [Crossref]
- 402. Richard Dennis. 2006. The policy preferences of the US Federal Reserve. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 21:1, 55-77. [Crossref]
- 403. Almila Karasoy, Kürşat Kunter, Vuslat Us. 2005. Monetary transmission mechanism in Turkey under free float using a small-scale macroeconomic model. *Economic Modelling* 22:6, 1064-1073. [Crossref]
- 404. Donghyun Park, Junggun Oh. 2005. Korea's Post-Crisis Monetary Policy Reforms. *Review of Pacific Basin Financial Markets and Policies* **08**:04, 707-731. [Crossref]
- 405. Ying Wu. 2005. Determining a Modified Currency Board's Two-Period Exchange Rate Strategy. *International Advances in Economic Research* 11:4, 347-357. [Crossref]
- 406. Mohammad Hasan. 2005. The information content of M0 in the United Kingdom. *Applied Economics Letters* **12**:11, 711-717. [Crossref]
- 407. Alfonso Palacio-Vera. 2005. The 'modern' view of macroeconomics: some critical reflections. *Cambridge Journal of Economics* 29:5, 747-767. [Crossref]
- 408. Susan Athey, Andrew Atkeson, Patrick J. Kehoe. 2005. The Optimal Degree of Discretion in Monetary Policy. *Econometrica* 73:5, 1431-1475. [Crossref]
- 409. M. S. Mohanty, Marc Klau. Monetary Policy Rules in Emerging Market Economies: Issues and Evidence 205-245. [Crossref]
- 410. Rebeca I. Muñoz Torres. Inflation Targeting in Emerging Economies: A Comparative Sacrifice Ratio Analysis 77-108. [Crossref]
- 411. Marcela Meirelles Aurelio. 2005. Do We Really Know How Inflation Targeters Set Interest Rates?. SSRN Electronic Journal 60. . [Crossref]
- 412. Raghbendra Jha. 2005. Inflation Targeting in India: Issues and Prospects. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 413. Jay H. Levin. 2004. A model of inflation targeting in an open economy. *International Journal of Finance & Economics* 9:4, 347-362. [Crossref]
- 414. Frederic S. Mishkin. 2004. Why the Federal Reserve Should Adopt Inflation Targeting. *International Finance* 7:1, 117-127. [Crossref]
- 415. Maria Demertzis, Nicola Viegi. 2004. Aiming for the Bull's Eye: Inflation Targeting under Uncertainty. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]

- 416. Susan Athey, Andrew G. Atkeson, Patrick J. Kehoe. 2004. The Optimal Degree of Discretion in Monetary Policy. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 417. Jon Faust, Dale W. Henderson. 2004. Is Inflation Targeting Best-Practice Monetary Policy?. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 418. Jorg Bibow. 2004. Assessing the ECB's Performance Since the Global Slowdown A Structural Policy Bias Coming Home to Roost?. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 419. Xavier Ragot. 2004. Une théorie de l'inflation optimale fondée sur les contraintes de crédit. Revue économique 55:3, 469. [Crossref]
- 420. Guido Zimmermann. 2003. Optimal monetary policy: A new keynesian view. *The Quarterly Journal of Austrian Economics* **6**:4, 61-72. [Crossref]
- 421. Guillermo A. Calvo, Frederic S. Mishkin. 2003. The Mirage of Exchange Rate Regimes for Emerging Market Countries. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 17:4, 99-118. [Abstract] [View PDF article] [PDF with links]
- 422. Per Jansson, Anders Vredin. 2003. Forecast-Based Monetary Policy: The Case of Sweden. *International Finance* **6**:3, 349-380. [Crossref]
- 423. The viability of inflation targeting for emerging market economies 251-267. [Crossref]
- 424. William Seyfried, Dale Bremmer. 2003. Inflation Targeting as a Framework for Monetary Policy: A Cross-Country Analysis. *Australian Economic Review* 36:3, 291-299. [Crossref]
- 425. Athanasios Orphanides. 2003. Historical monetary policy analysis and the Taylor rule. *Journal of Monetary Economics* **50**:5, 983-1022. [Crossref]
- 426. Athanasios Orphanides, John C. Williams. 2003. Inflation Scares and Forecast-Based Monetary Policy. Finance and Economics Discussion Series 2003:41, 1-37. [Crossref]
- 427. Athanasios Orphanides. 2003. Historical Monetary Policy Analysis and the Taylor Rule. Finance and Economics Discussion Series 2003:36, 1-49. [Crossref]
- 428. Stephen Saunders. 2003. The Experience of Inflation Targeting in Australia: Lessons for South Africa. South African Journal of Economics 71:2, 215-221. [Crossref]
- 429. Andrew Levin, Volker Wieland, John C. Williams. 2003. The Performance of Forecast-Based Monetary Policy Rules Under Model Uncertainty. *American Economic Review* 93:3, 622-645. [Abstract] [View PDF article] [PDF with links]
- 430. Lars E. O. Svensson. 2003. What Is Wrong with Taylor Rules? Using Judgment in Monetary Policy through Targeting Rules. *Journal of Economic Literature* 41:2, 426-477. [Abstract] [View PDF article] [PDF with links]
- 431. Athanasios Orphanides, John C. Williams. 2003. Robust Monetary Policy Rules with Unknown Natural Rates. *Finance and Economics Discussion Series* **2003**:11, 1-66. [Crossref]
- 432. Leonardo Gambacorta. 2003. Asymmetric bank lending channels and ECB monetary policy. *Economic Modelling* 20:1, 25-46. [Crossref]
- 433. Heinz Handler. 2003. From Hard Currency Policy to Monetary Union. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 434. Weshah A. Razzak. 2003. The Forward Rate Unbiasedness Hypothesis in Inflation-Targeting Regimes. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 435. Weshah A. Razzak. 2003. Are Inflation-Targeting Regimes Credible? Econometric Evidence. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 436. Manfred Gartner. 2003. Monetary Policy and Central Bank Behaviour. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]

- 437. Athanasios Orphanides, John C. C. Williams. 2003. Robust Monetary Policy Rules with Unknown Natural Rates. SSRN Electronic Journal 1. . [Crossref]
- 438. Athanasios Orphanides. 2003. Historical Monetary Policy Analysis and the Taylor Rule. SSRN Electronic Journal 11. . [Crossref]
- 439. Athanasios Orphanides, John C. Williams. 2003. Inflation Scares and Forecast-Based Monetary Policy. SSRN Electronic Journal 11. . [Crossref]
- 440. Philip Arestis, Malcolm C. Sawyer. 2003. Inflation Targeting: A Critical Appraisal. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 441. Jeffery D. Amato, Hyun Song Shin. 2003. Public and Private Information in Monetary Policy Models. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 442. Eelke de Jong. 2002. Why are price stability and statutory independence of central banks negatively correlated? The role of culture. *European Journal of Political Economy* **18**:4, 675-694. [Crossref]
- 443. S.A. Du Plessis. 2002. EVALUATING THE SARB's INFLATION TARGET. South African Journal of Economics 70:6, 982-1007. [Crossref]
- 444. Giuseppe Fontana, Alfonso Palacio-Vera. 2002. Monetary Policy Rules: What Are We Learning?. *Journal of Post Keynesian Economics* 24:4, 547-568. [Crossref]
- 445. Athanasios Orphanides, John C. Williams. 2002. Imperfect Knowledge, Inflation Expectations, and Monetary Policy. *Finance and Economics Discussion Series* 2002:27, 1-34. [Crossref]
- 446. Bibliographie 353-365. [Crossref]
- 447. Jan Marc Berk. 2002. CONSUMERS' INFLATION EXPECTATIONS AND MONETARY POLICY IN EUROPE. *Contemporary Economic Policy* **20**:2, 122-132. [Crossref]
- 448. HELDER FERREIRA DE MENDONÇA. 2002. Metas para a Taxa de Câmbio, Agregados Monetários e Inflação. *Brazilian Journal of Political Economy* 22:1, 36-54. [Crossref]
- 449. Jürgen von Hagen, Bernd Hayo, Ingo Fender. Monetary Theory, Monetary Policy, and Financial Markets 1-36. [Crossref]
- 450. Athanasios Orphanides, John C. C. Williams. 2002. Imperfect Knowledge, Inflation Expectations, and Monetary Policy. SSRN Electronic Journal 44. . [Crossref]
- 451. International Monetary Fund. 2002. The Baltics: Medium-Term Fiscal Issues Related to EU and NATO Accession. *IMF Staff Country Reports* **02**:07, 1. [Crossref]
- 452. Frederic S Mishkin, Miguel A Savastano. 2001. Monetary policy strategies for Latin America. *Journal of Development Economics* 66:2, 415-444. [Crossref]
- 453. Athanasios Orphanides. 2001. Monetary Policy Rules, Macroeconomic Stability and Inflation: A View from the Trenches. *Finance and Economics Discussion Series* **2001**:62, 1-34. [Crossref]
- 454. Andrew Levin, Volker W. Wieland, John C. Williams. 2001. The Performance of Forecast-Based Monetary Policy Rules Under Model Uncertainty. *Finance and Economics Discussion Series* **2001**:39, 1-44. [Crossref]
- 455. Francisco De A. Nadal-De Simone. 2001. INFLATION TARGETERS IN PRACTICE: A LUCKY LOT?. *Contemporary Economic Policy* **19**:3, 239-253. [Crossref]
- 456. Ben S. Bernanke,, Mark Gertler. 2001. Should Central Banks Respond to Movements in Asset Prices?. *American Economic Review* 91:2, 253-257. [Citation] [View PDF article] [PDF with links]
- 457. Guender, Alfred. 2001. Alternative Monetary Policy Rules and the Specification of the Phillips Curve: A Comparison of Nominal Income with Strict Inflation Targeting. *Credit and Capital Markets Kredit und Kapital* 34:4, 526-553. [Crossref]

- 458. Peter Isard, Douglas Laxton, Ann-Charlotte Eliasson. 2001. Inflation targeting with NAIRU uncertainty and endogenous policy credibility. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* 25:1-2, 115-148. [Crossref]
- 459. Lars E. O. Svensson. Price Stability as a Target for Monetary Policy: Defining and Maintaining Price Stability 60-111. [Crossref]
- 460. Vittorio Corbo. Development Policies Beyond Export-led Growth 285-309. [Crossref]
- 461. Bank for International Settlements. 2001. Empirical Studies of Structural Changes and Inflation. SSRN Electronic Journal 99. . [Crossref]
- 462. Peter Isard, Douglas Laxton, Ann-Charlotte Eliasson. 2001. Inflation Targeting with NAIRU Uncertainty and Endogenous Policy Credibility. *IMF Working Papers* **01**:7, 1. [Crossref]
- 463. Francisco Javier Ruge-Murcia. 2001. Inflation Targeting Under Asymmetric Preferences. *IMF Working Papers* **01**:161, 1. [Crossref]
- 464. Francisco Nadal-De Simone. 2001. An Investigation of Output Variance Before and During Inflation Targeting. *IMF Working Papers* **01**:215, 1. [Crossref]
- 465. Alan S. Blinder. 2000. Central-Bank Credibility: Why Do We Care? How Do We Build It?. *American Economic Review* **90**:5, 1421-1431. [Citation] [View PDF article] [PDF with links]
- 466. Volbert Alexander, George M. von Furstenberg. 2000. Monetary unions—a superior alternative to full dollarization in the long run#. *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance* 11:2, 205-225. [Crossref]
- 467. Ruby P. Kishan, Timothy P. Opiela. 2000. Further evidence on monetary and fiscal policy coordination. *International Advances in Economic Research* 6:4, 672-685. [Crossref]
- 468. Paul Schnitzel. 2000. A Study of Interest Rates in the Longer Run: Now You Know the Rest of the Story. *The American Economist* 44:2, 57-70. [Crossref]
- 469. Francisco J. Ruge-Murcia. 2000. Uncovering financial markets' beliefs about inflation targets. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 15:5, 483-512. [Crossref]
- 470. Mejra Festić. 2000. Are Wages an Important Determinant of Inflation in Slovenia?. *Eastern European Economics* **38**:5, 36-59. [Crossref]
- 471. G Woglom. 2000. Inflation Targeting in South Africa: A Var Analysis. Studies in Economics and Econometrics 24:2, 1-17. [Crossref]
- 472. Athanasios Orphanides, Volker Wieland. 2000. Inflation zone targeting. *European Economic Review* 44:7, 1351-1387. [Crossref]
- 473. Lucjan T. Orlowski. 2000. Direct Inflation Targeting in Central Europe. *Post-Soviet Geography and Economics* 41:2, 134-154. [Crossref]
- 474. Lars E.O. Svensson. 2000. Open-economy inflation targeting. *Journal of International Economics* **50**:1, 155-183. [Crossref]
- 475. Frank Smets. Monetary Policy in the Euro Area and the First Year of the Eurosystem 93-123. [Crossref]
- 476. Yuzo Honda. 2000. Some tests on the effects of inflation targeting in New Zealand, Canada, and the UK. *Economics Letters* **66**:1, 1-6. [Crossref]
- 477. Christian H. Beddies. 2000. Selected Issues Concerning Monetary Policy and Institutional Design for Central Banks: A Review of Theories. *IMF Working Papers* **00**:140, 1. [Crossref]
- 478. Richard Clarida,, Jordi Galí,, Mark Gertler. 1999. The Science of Monetary Policy: A New Keynesian Perspective. *Journal of Economic Literature* 37:4, 1661-1707. [Abstract] [View PDF article] [PDF with links]

- 479. Jan Marc Berk. 1999. Measuring inflation expectations: a survey data approach. *Applied Economics* 31:11, 1467-1480. [Crossref]
- 480. Mark D. Partridge, Jamie S. Partridge. 1999. Do Minimum Wage Hikes Raise US Long Term Unemployment? Evidence Using State Minimum Wage Rates. *Regional Studies* 33:8, 713-726. [Crossref]
- 481. Mark D. Partridge, Jamie S. Partridge. 1999. Do minimum wage hikes reduce employment? Statelevel evidence from the low-wage retail sector. *Journal of Labor Research* 20:3, 393-413. [Crossref]
- 482. Bennett T. McCallum. 1999. Recent developments in monetary policy analysis: the roles of theory and evidence. *Journal of Economic Methodology* **6**:2, 171-198. [Crossref]
- 483. FRANCISCO RIGOLON, FABIO GIAMBIAGI. 1999. A Atuação do Banco Central em uma economia estabilizada: é desejável adotar metas inflacionárias no Brasil?. *Brazilian Journal of Political Economy* 19:3, 405-425. [Crossref]
- 484. JIM LEE. 1999. INFLATION TARGETING IN PRACTICE: FURTHER EVIDENCE. Contemporary Economic Policy 17:3, 332-347. [Crossref]
- 485. Claes Berg, Lars Jonung. 1999. Pioneering price level targeting: The Swedish experience 1931–1937. Journal of Monetary Economics 43:3, 525-551. [Crossref]
- 486. Lars E.O. Svensson. 1999. Inflation targeting as a monetary policy rule. *Journal of Monetary Economics* 43:3, 607-654. [Crossref]
- 487. Frederic S Mishkin. 1999. International experiences with different monetary policy regimes). Any views expressed in this paper are those of the author only and not those of Columbia University or the National Bureau of Economic Research. *Journal of Monetary Economics* 43:3, 579-605. [Crossref]
- 488. Ignazio Angeloni, Vítor Gaspar, Oreste Tristani. The Monetary Policy Strategy of the ECB 3-38. [Crossref]
- 489. Sean Holly, Paul Turner. Instrument Rules, Inflation Forecast Rules and Optimal Control Rules When Expectations are Rational 147-165. [Crossref]
- 490. Bennett T. McCallum. Chapter 23 Issues in the design of monetary policy rules 1483-1530. [Crossref]
- 491. Nicoletta Batini, Andrew Haldane. 1999. Forward-Looking Rules for Monetary Policy. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 492. Lars E.O. Svensson. 1999. Price Stability as a Target for Monetary Policy: Defining and Maintaining Price Stability. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 493. Adam S. Posen, Kenneth N. Kuttner. 1999. Does Talk Matter After All?: Inflation Targeting and Central Bank Behavior. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 494. Yvan Lengwiler, Athanasios Orphanides. 1999. Optimal Discretion. SSRN Electronic Journal . [Crossref]
- 495. International Monetary Fund. 1999. Sri Lanka: Recent Economic and Policy Developments. *IMF Staff Country Reports* **99**:136, i. [Crossref]
- 496. Alexander W. Hoffmaister. 1999. Inflation Targeting in Korea: An Empirical Exploration. *IMF Working Papers* **99**:7, 1. [Crossref]
- 497. Esteban Jadresic. 1999. Inflation Targeting and Output Stability. *IMF Working Papers* **99**:61, 1. [Crossref]
- 498. Gunnar Jonsson. 1999. The Relative Merits and Implications of Inflation Targeting for South Africa. *IMF Working Papers* **99**:116, 1. [Crossref]
- 499. Athanasios Orphanides. 1999. Monetary Policy Evaluation with Noisy Information. SSRN Electronic Journal 11. . [Crossref]

- 500. Yvan Lengwiler, Athanasios Orphanides. 1999. Optimal Discretion. *Finance and Economics Discussion Series* 1999:42, 1-35. [Crossref]
- 501. Michael Woodford. 1998. Doing without Money: Controlling Inflation in a Post-Monetary World. *Review of Economic Dynamics* 1:1, 173-219. [Crossref]
- 502. Lukas Menkhoff. Comment (on Stephen Cecchetti and Gabriel Fagan) 163-172. [Crossref]
- 503. Gerhard Illing. Mechanism Design for Central Banks Results and Unsolved Issues 27-51. [Crossref]
- 504. Athanasios Orphanides, David H. Small, Volker Wieland, David W. Wilcox. 1998. A Quantitative Exploration of the Opportunistic Approach to Disinflation. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 505. Giuseppe De Arcangelis, Giorgio Di Giorgio. 1998. In Search of Monetary Policy Measures: the Case of Italy in the 90s. SSRN Electronic Journal. [Crossref]
- 506. Athanasios Orphanides. 1998. Monetary Policy Evaluation with Noisy Information. Finance and Economics Discussion Series 1998:50, 1-36. [Crossref]
- 507. Athanasios Orphanides, David H. Small, Volker W. Wieland, David W. Wilcox. 1997. A Quantitative Exploration of the Opportunistic Approach to Disinflation. *Finance and Economics Discussion Series* 1997:36, 1-42. [Crossref]
- 508. Miguel A. Savastano, Paul R. Masson, Sunil Sharma. 1997. The Scope for Inflation Targeting in Developing Countries. *IMF Working Papers* 97:130, 1. [Crossref]
- 509. Manfred Gärtner. Monetary Policy and Central Bank Behavior 159-172. [Crossref]