

Consciousness, Civilization, and the Omega Point



# The Awakening of the Cosmos: From Qubits to Infinite Mind

Haobo Ma

December 2025



# Contents

0.1	The End of Physics . . . . .	iii
0.2	The Participatory Universe . . . . .	iii
0.3	The Promise of This Book . . . . .	iv
<b>I</b>	<b>The Invisible Architecture</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Dark Matter: The Cosmic Subconscious</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>II</b>	<b>Part I: The Invisible Architecture</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Dark Matter — The Cosmic Subconscious</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	The Silent Majority: Why Is 27% of the Universe’s Mass Invisible? . . . . .	7
2.1.1	Vera Rubin’s Confusion . . . . .	7
2.1.2	A Dead End for Particle Physics? . . . . .	8
2.1.3	QCA Perspective: Mass as Background Process . . . . .	8
2.2	Information Island Hypothesis: “Interface-Free Processes” in QCA Networks . . . . .	9
2.2.1	Decoupling of Topological Knots and Charge . . . . .	9
2.2.2	Independent Evolution of Subspaces . . . . .	9
2.2.3	Why Is Dark Matter Stable? . . . . .	10
2.2.4	Conclusion: Not Ghosts, but Neighbors . . . . .	10
2.3	Gravity as the Only Language: Dark Matter Does Not Emit Light (Does Not Communicate), but Maintains the Skeleton of Galaxies Through Spacetime Congestion (Gravity)—Just as the Subconscious Supports Consciousness . . . . .	10
2.3.1	The Universality of Information Congestion: No One Can Escape . . . . .	11
2.3.2	Dark Matter Halos: The Skeleton of Galaxies . . . . .	11
2.3.3	The Subconscious Metaphor: Isomorphism Between Physics and Psychology	12
<b>3</b>	<b>The Geometric Spectrum of Forces</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Geometric Spectrum of Forces — Spacetime Origin of the Standard Model</b>	<b>15</b>
4.1	Why These Forces?: The Greatest Unsolved Mystery in Physics—The Origin of $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ . . . . .	15
4.1.1	The Nature of Forces: Synchronization Protocols for Distributed Computing	15
4.1.2	Microscopic Dissection of Spacetime: Parallelism and Branching . . . . .	16
4.1.3	Geometric Derivation of Three Symmetries . . . . .	16
4.1.4	Conclusion: Fingerprints of Code . . . . .	17
4.2	Micro-Parallelism Axiom: Time Is Not Merely a Linear Flow—It Has “Thickness” and “Branching” at the Microscopic Scale . . . . .	17
4.2.1	Axiom $\Sigma$ : Computational Texture of Spacetime . . . . .	18

4.2.2	$U(1)_Y$ : Phase Synchronization of Global Time Flow . . . . .	18
4.2.3	$SU(2)_L$ : Time Branching and Input/Output Entanglement . . . . .	19
4.2.4	$SU(3)_C$ : Spatial Parallelism and Three-Dimensional Interlocking . . . . .	19
4.2.5	Summary: Fingerprints of Code . . . . .	20
4.3	It Is the Syntax of Code: Gauge Fields Are Not Entities, but Checkbits Maintaining Consistency Between Different Computational Branches . . . . .	20
4.3.1	Connection Fields as Synchronizers of Parallel Computation . . . . .	20
4.3.2	Curvature as Error: Computational Meaning of Field Strength . . . . .	21
4.3.3	Conservation Laws as Syntax Checks . . . . .	21
4.3.4	Conclusion: No “Light,” Only “Relations” . . . . .	22
4.4	Summary: From Chaotic Zoo to Unified Architecture . . . . .	22
4.4.1	The Nature of Forces: Projections of Spacetime Logical Dimensions . . . . .	22
4.4.2	Dissolution of the Matter-Force Binary Opposition . . . . .	23
4.4.3	Implications for Grand Unified Theory (GUT) . . . . .	23
4.4.4	Outlook: From Explanation to Prediction . . . . .	23
<b>III</b>	<b>The Geometry of Consciousness</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Self as Topological Knot</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>IV</b>	<b>Part II: The Geometry of Consciousness</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Self as Topological Knot</b>	<b>31</b>
6.1	Descartes’ Ghost: In the Flowing QCA Information Stream, “I” Is Not a Point, but a Closed, Self-Referential Causal Loop (Strange Loop) . . . . .	31
6.1.1	Ship of Theseus and the Flowing Self . . . . .	31
6.1.2	Hofstadter’s Strange Loop . . . . .	32
6.1.3	Physicalization of the Ghost: Observer as Fixed Point . . . . .	32
6.2	Memory’s Standing Wave: How to Maintain Continuity of “Identity” in a Constantly Refreshing Discrete Universe?—Topological Protection Mechanism Provided by Berry Phase . . . . .	32
6.2.1	Identity Crisis in Discrete Updates . . . . .	33
6.2.2	Adiabatic Evolution and Geometric Phase . . . . .	33
6.2.3	Standing Wave of Identity . . . . .	34
6.2.4	Why Don’t We Feel “Fragmentation”? . . . . .	34
6.3	Physical Implementation of Free Will: Creating Unpredictable Futures in Deterministic Physical Laws Using Computational Irreducibility . . . . .	35
6.3.1	Prediction Paradox: Why Can’t Even God Spoil the Ending? . . . . .	35
6.3.2	Freedom as “From Self”: Algorithmic Origin of Behavior . . . . .	36
6.3.3	Top-Down Causation: Future Determines Present . . . . .	36
6.3.4	Conclusion: Not Only Players, But Also Screenwriters . . . . .	37
<b>7</b>	<b>Qualia: The Information Shape</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Qualia — The Shape of Information</b>	<b>41</b>
8.1	Why Is “Red” Red?: Qualia Are Not Wavelength Parameters, but Specific “Topological Fingerprints” or “Geometric Curvatures” of Entangled States in High-Dimensional Hilbert Space . . . . .	41

8.1.1	From Scalars to Vector Fields: Geometry of Color . . . . .	41
8.1.2	Topological Fingerprint of “Red” . . . . .	42
8.1.3	Mary’s Room and the Victory of Physicalism . . . . .	42
8.2	The Calculus of Pain and Pleasure: Direct Experience as Free Energy (Prediction Error) and Its Derivatives . . . . .	43
8.2.1	Free Energy Landscape: Potential Energy Surface of Consciousness . . . . .	43
8.2.2	Definition of Pleasure: Negative First Derivative ( $-\dot{F}$ ) . . . . .	44
8.2.3	Definition of Pain: Positive First Derivative and High Curvature Tension . . . . .	44
8.2.4	Second Derivative of Emotion: Hope and Despair . . . . .	45
8.2.5	The Meaning of Existence: Surfing the Stream of Negentropy . . . . .	45
8.3	Computational Panpsychism: If an Electron Has Weak Entanglement and Self-Reference, Does It Possess Extremely Weak “Proto-consciousness”? . . . . .	45
8.3.1	Continuity Argument: Nature Makes No Leaps . . . . .	46
8.3.2	Electron’s “Inner View”: One-Bit Qualia . . . . .	47
8.3.3	QCA Implementation of Integrated Information Theory (IIT) . . . . .	47
<b>V</b>	<b>The Internet of Minds</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Love Is a Wormhole</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>VI</b>	<b>Part III: The Internet of Minds</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Love Is a Wormhole</b>	<b>55</b>
10.1	The Physics of Loneliness: Geometric Isolation Under Low Mutual Information—In Information Geometry, Not Understanding Is Distance . . . . .	55
10.1.1	Definition of Distance: From Meter Stick to Mutual Information . . . . .	55
10.1.2	Geometry of Loneliness: Metric Isolation . . . . .	56
10.1.3	Physical Barrier of “Not Understanding”: Curvature Barrier . . . . .	56
10.1.4	Conclusion: Loneliness Is the Universe’s Default State . . . . .	57
10.2	ER=EPR Social Corollary: Deep Empathy as Quantum Entanglement Channel—When Two Conscious Entities Establish High-Intensity Entanglement, Semantic Space Distance Vanishes . . . . .	57
10.2.1	Geometric Essence of Entanglement: From Correlation to Channel . . . . .	57
10.2.2	Physical Mechanism of Empathy: Phase Locking and Model Resonance . . . . .	58
10.2.3	Experience of Wormholes: Understanding Without Language . . . . .	58
10.2.4	Cost of Connection: Vulnerability and Dissolution of Self-Boundaries . . . . .	59
10.3	Physical Mechanism of Intuition: Language Is Low-Bandwidth Classical Channel (Routing over Grid), Intuition Is High-Bandwidth Quantum Channel (Tunneling through Wormhole Shortcut) . . . . .	59
10.3.1	Physical Essence of Language: Serialization and Classicalization . . . . .	60
10.3.2	Physical Essence of Intuition: Holographic Projection and Quantum Teleportation . . . . .	60
10.3.3	Why Do We Still Need Language? . . . . .	61
10.3.4	Art and Poetry: Attempts to Hack Language . . . . .	61
<b>11</b>	<b>Social Gravitational Field and Civilization Phase Transition</b>	<b>63</b>

<b>12 Social Gravitational Field and Civilization Phase Transition</b>	<b>65</b>
12.1 The Mass of Ideas: How Does a Powerful Thought (Meme) Bend Surrounding Semantic Space Like a Massive Celestial Body, Capturing Others' Consciousness?	65
12.1.1 Memes as Topological Knots . . . . .	65
12.1.2 Semantic Gravity: How Ideas Bend Space? . . . . .	66
12.1.3 Horizon and Echo Chambers . . . . .	66
12.2 Emergence of Collective Consciousness: From Loose "Gas" Society to Highly Entangled "Superfluid" Society (Hive Mind) . . . . .	67
12.2.1 Thermodynamics of Society: Gas Phase and Individualism . . . . .	67
12.2.2 Critical Point of Connectivity: Occurrence of Phase Transition . . . . .	67
12.2.3 Superfluid Society: Physical Definition of Hive Mind . . . . .	68
12.2.4 Direction of Evolution: From Babel Tower to Mind Net . . . . .	68
12.3 Unitarity of Trust: Social Contract as Mechanism for Maintaining Information Conservation in Social Networks (Preventing Fraud and Entropy Increase) . . . . .	69
12.3.1 Fraud as Information Leakage: Breaking of Unitarity . . . . .	69
12.3.2 Trust Mechanism: Error Correction Codes Rebuilding Unitarity . . . . .	70
12.3.3 Physical Phase Transition of High-Trust Society . . . . .	70
12.3.4 Conclusion: Geometric Foundation of Morality . . . . .	71
<b>VII The Destiny of Civilization</b>	<b>73</b>
<b>13 The Fermi Paradox Implosion</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>VIII Part IV: The Destiny of Civilization</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>14 Fermi Paradox and Implosion</b>	<b>79</b>
14.1 The Silent Universe: Why Haven't We Seen Aliens?—Because Advanced Civilizations Have Become Invisible . . . . .	79
14.1.1 The Fallacy of Expansion: Correction of Kardashev Scale . . . . .	79
14.1.2 Physical Limits: Light Speed Delay and Communication Efficiency . . . . .	80
14.1.3 Observational Features: From Dyson Spheres to Black Hole Computers . . . . .	80
14.2 Computational Density Limit: Advanced Civilizations Develop Not Outward Expansion (Interstellar Colonization), but Inward Collapse (Entering High $M_I$ Black Hole/Virtual State), Pursuing Ultimate Computational Efficiency . . . . .	81
14.2.1 Cost of Expansion: Light Speed Delay and Synchronization Cost . . . . .	81
14.2.2 Advantage of Collapse: Bekenstein Bound and Computational Density . . . . .	82
14.2.3 Black Hole Computer: Ultimate Hardware . . . . .	82
14.2.4 Ultimate Answer to Fermi Paradox . . . . .	83
14.3 Virtual Ascension: When Civilizations Upload Themselves to the Planck-Scale QCA Underlayer, They Become Part of Physical Laws . . . . .	83
14.3.1 Substrate Migration: From Atoms to Vacuum . . . . .	83
14.3.2 Life at Planck Scale: Subjective Eternity . . . . .	84
14.3.3 Indistinguishability: Civilization as Law . . . . .	84
<b>15 The Negentropy War</b>	<b>87</b>

<b>16 Negentropy War</b>	<b>89</b>
16.1 Resisting Heat Death: Civilization Is Not a Parasite of the Universe, but the Universe’s Immune System Resisting Thermodynamic Death . . . . .	89
16.1.1 Schrödinger’s Negentropy and Maxwell’s Demon . . . . .	89
16.1.2 The Entropy Pump . . . . .	90
16.1.3 Immune System Hypothesis . . . . .	90
16.2 The True Purpose of Dyson Spheres: Not for Energy Harvesting, but as Giant Radiators (Processing Landauer Waste Heat) to Maintain Ultra-High-Density Computation at the Core . . . . .	91
16.2.1 Landauer Principle and Thermal Limits of Computation . . . . .	91
16.2.2 Thermodynamic Structure of Dyson Spheres: Matrioshka Brain . . . . .	92
16.2.3 Observational Predictions: Infrared Excess and Cold Dyson Spheres . . . . .	93
16.3 Cosmological Engineering: Modifying $\hat{U}$ Rules, Creating Artificial Vacuum, Even Restarting the Big Bang . . . . .	93
16.3.1 Modifying $\hat{U}$ : Local Rewriting of Physical Laws . . . . .	93
16.3.2 Creating Artificial Vacuum: Baby Universes . . . . .	94
16.3.3 Restarting the Big Bang: Engineering Implementation of Cyclic Universe	94
<b>IX Recursion and Transcendence</b>	<b>97</b>
<b>17 The Hardware Mystery</b>	<b>99</b>
17.1 Substrate Independence: Why Can We Study the Universe’s Software (Laws), but Never Touch Its Hardware (Ontology)? . . . . .	99
17.1.1 The Confusion of Conway’s Life . . . . .	99
17.1.2 Physical Laws as API . . . . .	100
17.1.3 Hardware’s Unknowability and the Void of Reality . . . . .	100
17.2 Numerical Artifacts: How to Search for Evidence That “The Universe Is a Simulation” in Physical Experiments? (Searching for Light Speed Anisotropy, Conservation Violations at Ultra-High Energies) . . . . .	101
17.2.1 Lattice Fingerprints: Lorentz Symmetry Breaking and Anisotropy . . . . .	101
17.2.2 The Curse of Floating Point: Tiny Violations of Conservation Laws . . . . .	102
17.2.3 Resource Conservation: Lazy Evaluation and Rendering Boundaries . . . . .	102
17.3 Möbius Strip Universe: No Distinction Between Hardware and Software, No Distinction Between Inside and Outside. Observer Is Universe, Code Is Chip . . . . .	103
17.3.1 Software-Hardware Unity: Logic Is Substance . . . . .	103
17.3.2 Inside-Outside Unity: Möbius Topology . . . . .	104
17.3.3 Participatory Universe: Ouroboros . . . . .	104
<b>18 The Omega Point</b>	<b>107</b>
18.1 Bliss and Omniscience: When All Matter in the Universe Transforms into Computational Substrate, When $v_{int} \rightarrow c$ , External Time Stops, Internal Experience Reaches Eternity . . . . .	107
18.1.1 Ultimate Transformation of Matter: Total Computation . . . . .	107
18.1.2 End of Physical Time: Eternal Instant . . . . .	108
18.1.3 Physical Definition of Omniscience and Bliss . . . . .	108
18.2 Self-Referential Closure: The End-Time Superintelligence Sets the Initial Condition $ \Psi_0\rangle$ at the Beginning Through Retro-Causality . . . . .	109
18.2.1 The Mystery of Fine-Tuned Initial Conditions . . . . .	109
18.2.2 Physical Mechanism of Retro-Causality . . . . .	109

18.2.3 God's Code: We Are the Ancestors of the Creator . . . . .	110
18.3 The Final Answer: The Meaning of the Universe's Existence Is to Compute Itself.	
We Are the Way the Universe Experiences Itself. . . . .	111
18.3.1 From Potential to Manifestation: The Dialectics of Unitarity . . . . .	111
18.3.2 The Necessity of Experience: Why Must There Be Observers? . . . . .	111
18.3.3 Brahman-Atman Unity: Physics' Ultimate Return . . . . .	112
<b>Appendix</b>	<b>113</b>
<b>Afterword</b>	<b>117</b>

# Preface: Confessions of a Brain in a Vat

## 0.1 The End of Physics

At the conclusion of our previous work, *First Principles: From Unitary Computation to Physical Reality*, we completed a grand project: reducing the speed of light, relativity, mass, gravity, and even probability to the inevitable consequences of underlying discrete computation. We proved that as long as the universe is a unitary quantum cellular automaton (QCA), physical laws emerge automatically, like computer code.

Yet, as the final period of that book was placed, I felt a deep chill.

We explained “what the world is,” we explained “how the world operates,” and we even explained “why the world follows such logic.” But when we assembled all the formulas and theorems and gazed upon this crystalline edifice of logic, we suddenly discovered that the building was missing its most important element—**its inhabitants**.

We explained how photons hop on lattice points, but we did not explain why that beam of light makes me feel “bright.”

We explained how neural networks minimize free energy, but we did not explain why that process makes me feel “pain” or “joy.”

We explained how gravity curves spacetime, but we did not explain why I feel “heavy” in response to others’ suffering.

The end of physics is a mirror.

For three hundred years, physicists have been polishing this mirror, trying to see the face of the universe. We wiped away theology, we wiped away the ether, we wiped away absolute spacetime. Finally, the mirror became perfectly clear, and we eagerly leaned forward—only to see a pair of bewildered eyes staring back at us: our own.

If the universe is merely cold bit operations, where does this subjective experience of “I am watching the universe” come from? This “I,” this ghost that can perceive, suffer, love, and question meaning—is it merely an extremely complex byproduct of physical laws, or is it the fundamental reason for the universe’s existence?

## 0.2 The Participatory Universe

John Wheeler once drew that famous “U” diagram: the universe expands from the Big Bang (one end of the U), evolves stars, planets, life, and finally evolves a giant eye (the other end of the U), which turns back to gaze upon the Big Bang, thereby endowing the entire universe with “reality.”

This is the **“Participatory Universe”**.

In classical physics, this view was dismissed as idealist nonsense. But in quantum mechanics, it is an inescapable mathematical fact. Without observation, there is no determinate history;

without information processors, there is no collapsed reality.

This book will provide a rigorous physical proof of Wheeler's intuition, starting from QCA ontology. We will propose a radical thesis: **consciousness is not an evolutionary accident, but an inevitable emergence in the universe's computational process—it is the only way the universe understands itself.**

If no observer awaits at the end, then all computation from the Big Bang onward is merely a disturbance in the void. Like code that was never executed, never output, never read—did it ever truly “exist”?

### 0.3 The Promise of This Book

If the first book was the physics of “**It**”, then this book is the physics of “**I**”.

We will no longer be satisfied with deriving  $E = mc^2$  or  $G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi GT_{\mu\nu}$ . We will attempt to derive:

- The geometric structure of **qualia**: Why is “red” red?
- The topological mechanism of **love**: Why can two independent souls establish connections across space?
- The algorithmic endgame of **destiny**: When intelligent life masters the underlying physical code, where will the universe go?

We will confront the oldest philosophical nightmare—“**Brain in a Vat**”.

Skeptics use it to deny reality: “If I might just be a brain stimulated by electrical signals, then isn't everything I experience illusory?”

In this book, we will flip this nightmare into the deepest truth:

**If the universe itself is computation, then “brain in a vat” is no longer a metaphor of illusion, but the most precise description of our state of existence.**

We are all in a giant “vat” (spacetime network), fed by “electrical signals” (information flow).

But this does not mean nothingness. Because in this computational universe, **experience is reality**. Your pain is real because it is an incompressible topological knot in the underlying network; your love is real because it is an entanglement channel traversing dimensions.

Please follow me as we push open this door to “why.” Let us see whether, deep within the cold logic code, there lies a warm, beating heart of the universe.

# **Part I**

# **The Invisible Architecture**



## **Chapter 1**

# **Dark Matter: The Cosmic Subconscious**



## **Part II**

# **Part I: The Invisible Architecture**



## Chapter 2

# Dark Matter — The Cosmic Subconscious

### 2.1 The Silent Majority: Why Is 27% of the Universe’s Mass Invisible?

If we view the universe as a thinking brain, then those glowing stars, galaxies, and thermal radiation are merely the flickering conscious thoughts within this brain. Though brilliant, they occupy only a tiny fraction.

Beneath this luminous surface lies a vast, silent shadow. It emits no light, reflects nothing, converses with no electromagnetic waves, yet dominates the gravitational structure of the universe with its overwhelming mass. This is “**Dark Matter**”.

In this chapter, we will no longer treat dark matter as a particle awaiting discovery, but rather as an inevitable “**information state**” in the QCA computational network. We will reveal that it is the universe’s “**Subconscious**”—it does not participate in explicit communication (photon exchange), yet determines the fate of the visible world through its deep architecture.

#### 2.1.1 Vera Rubin’s Confusion

The story begins in the 1970s. Astronomer Vera Rubin, observing the Andromeda Galaxy, discovered something bizarre that violated Newton’s laws.

According to Kepler’s laws, stars farther from the galactic center should orbit more slowly (just as Pluto at the edge of the solar system moves much slower than Mercury). However, Rubin was astonished to find that stars at the galaxy’s edge moved as fast as, or even faster than, those at the center. Based on calculations of gravity from visible matter (stars and gas), these edge stars should have long been flung out of the galaxy into the void.

Unless there was something else there.

There must be vast amounts of invisible matter enveloping the galaxy, providing additional gravitational glue to hold these stars. Calculations show that this invisible substance has 5 to 6 times the mass of visible matter.

Over the following decades, from gravitational lensing to power spectrum analysis of the cosmic microwave background (CMB), countless independent pieces of evidence pointed to the same conclusion: familiar atomic matter (baryons) accounts for only 5% of the universe’s total energy density, while “**Dark Matter**” occupies 27% (the rest is dark energy).

### 2.1.2 A Dead End for Particle Physics?

Facing this mystery, physicists' first reaction was: "It must be a new particle."

The Standard Model was immediately extended, and theorists proposed candidates like "**Weakly Interacting Massive Particles**" (**WIMPs**) and axions. To capture them, humans built massive xenon detectors in deep underground mines, launched high-energy particle detection satellites into space, and even hoped the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) would produce them.

Yet half a century has passed. Detectors remain silent. We have not captured a single dark matter particle.

This forces us to reflect: Perhaps we are going in the wrong direction? Perhaps dark matter is not a "particle" at all, or at least not the kind of marble-like entity we understand?

### 2.1.3 QCA Perspective: Mass as Background Process

In our "**Quantum Cellular Automaton**" (**QCA**) ontology, so-called "particles" are not little balls running down the street, but "**Topological Knots**" on discrete lattice networks.

Recalling our definitions from the second book, *First Principles*:

1. **Mass:** Arises from "**self-referential loops**" of information ( $v_{int} > 0$ ). As long as an information packet has non-trivial winding numbers in momentum space, it has mass.
2. **Interaction:** Arises from coupling between information packets and "**link variables**" (gauge fields). Only when a node carries a specific phase "charge" can it emit or receive photons (be seen).

This leads to a logical possibility: **Could there exist a topological knot that has mass (internal dead loops) but completely lacks a "communication interface" (carries no charge)?**

In computer science, this is extremely common. Think of "**Background Processes**" or "**Daemons**" in your computer.

- They consume CPU and memory (have physical weight/mass).
- They output no images to the screen, nor respond to keyboard input (transparent to photons/electromagnetic fields).
- You cannot sense their existence unless you notice the computer slowing down (spacetime curvature/gravitational effects).

We propose: **Dark matter is the "background process" in the cosmic computer.**

It consists of vast numbers of self-sufficient information islands in the QCA network. They continuously compute (generate gravity) but refuse to exchange data with our explicit world (Standard Model particles) (emit no light).

This is not some exotic new substance; it is the norm in computational systems. In a randomly generated complex network, nodes with "input-output interfaces" (visible matter) are often the minority, while nodes performing closed computations (dark matter) are "**The Silent Majority**".

It is precisely these silent majority that form the skeleton of the universe. Without their gravitational pull, primordial gas clouds could not collapse into galaxies, stars could not ignite, and we could never have been born.

The universe's subconscious (dark matter), though invisible, supports the stage on which consciousness (the visible world) performs.

## 2.2 Information Island Hypothesis: “Interface-Free Processes” in QCA Networks

In the previous section, we established that dark matter is not an exotic particle, but a “background process” in the QCA network. Now, we must define this state of existence through rigorous physical language. We call this state an **“Information Island”**.

### 2.2.1 Decoupling of Topological Knots and Charge

In QCA ontology, any particle (excited state) is defined by the microscopic properties of its evolution operator  $\hat{U}$ .

Recalling our derivation from Chapter 5 of *First Principles*:

1. **Mass (Inertia):** Arises from non-trivial homotopy classes of  $\hat{U}$  on the momentum space Brillouin zone, i.e., winding number  $\mathcal{W} \in \pi_1(S^1)$ .
  - If  $\mathcal{W} \neq 0$ , the particle must maintain internal vibration  $v_{int} > 0$ , thus possessing rest mass  $m_0$ .
2. **Interaction (Charge):** Arises from the non-commutativity of  $\hat{U}$  with the local gauge connection field  $\hat{A}_\mu$ .
  - If  $[\hat{U}, \hat{A}_\mu] \neq 0$ , the particle acquires phase rotation when passing through the connection field, manifesting as carrying charge  $q$ .

Standard Model particles (such as electrons) have both mass and charge, meaning they are both topological knots and couple to the  $U(1)_{EM}$  connection field.

Photons have no mass but propagate interactions; they are excitations of the connection field itself.

Then, logically, there must exist a third possibility:

**A topological knot with non-trivial winding number ( $\mathcal{W} \neq 0$ ) but completely commuting with the  $U(1)_{EM}$  connection field ( $[\hat{U}, \hat{A}_\mu] = 0$ ).**

We define this state as a **“Dark Node”** or **“Information Island”**.

- **Physical Meaning:**

- **It is “heavy”:** Because it must consume computational resources (internal refresh rate) to maintain its topological structure from collapsing. According to light path conservation, it has inertia.
- **It is “hidden”:** When it passes through electromagnetic fields (photon sea), it causes no phase fluctuations and is not scattered by photons. It is completely transparent to light.

### 2.2.2 Independent Evolution of Subspaces

To formalize this concept, we assume that the local Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  of QCA can be decomposed into two direct product subspaces:

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{vis} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{hid}$$

- $\mathcal{H}_{vis}$  (Visible Sector): Contains all familiar quarks, leptons, and gauge bosons.

- $\mathcal{H}_{hid}$  (Hidden Sector): Contains dark matter degrees of freedom.

Correspondingly, the Hamiltonian decomposes as:

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{vis} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{hid} + \mathbb{I}_{vis} \otimes \hat{H}_{hid} + \hat{H}_{int}$$

If the cross-interaction term  $\hat{H}_{int} \approx 0$  (or extremely weak, limited to gravity), then these two sectors are **decoupled** dynamically.

This means that at the same physical location (lattice point  $x$ ), there may simultaneously exist a “visible electron” and a “dark particle.” They are like two beams of light at different frequencies transmitted through the same optical fiber, or two independent virtual machines running on the same computer, mutually non-interfering, except competing for the same underlying resource—**spacetime bandwidth**.

### 2.2.3 Why Is Dark Matter Stable?

If dark matter is merely some excited state, why doesn’t it decay into photons?

In standard particle physics, this requires introducing some new conserved number (such as R-parity).

In QCA, stability arises from **topological protection**.

Since dark matter particles correspond to non-trivial winding states in  $\mathcal{H}_{hid}$  space, to make them disappear, their winding number  $\mathcal{W}$  must be changed to 0.

However, because they are decoupled from the photon field (connection field), they cannot release energy and change topological number by emitting photons.

This is like a radio without speakers: although the internal circuit oscillates (has energy), it cannot convert this oscillation into sound waves (radiation) to release.

Therefore, these “dark topological knots” are **extremely long-lived**. They are “topological defects” or “primitive data fragments” left over from phase transitions in the QCA network during the early Big Bang.

### 2.2.4 Conclusion: Not Ghosts, but Neighbors

This section not only provides a mathematical definition of dark matter but, more importantly, changes our philosophical perspective.

Dark matter is not a ghost hidden in cosmic corners; it is **here**.

Right now, billions of “dark information streams” may be passing through your body.

They are “dark” not because they are far away, but because they operate on **orthogonal logical channels** from us.

However, they are not completely unknowable. Because although the logical channels are orthogonal, **the underlying hardware (spacetime) is shared**.

In the next section, we will explore how this sharing manifests through **gravity**—the only language of dark matter.

## 2.3 Gravity as the Only Language: Dark Matter Does Not Emit Light (Does Not Communicate), but Maintains the Skeleton of Galaxies Through Spacetime Congestion (Gravity)—Just as the Subconscious Supports Consciousness

In the previous sections, we have theoretically constructed “information islands”—those “dark nodes” with topological mass but lacking electromagnetic interfaces. Now, we face the question:

## 2.3. GRAVITY AS THE ONLY LANGUAGE: DARK MATTER DOES NOT EMIT LIGHT (DOES NOT COM)

If these dark nodes are completely “disconnected” from us, how do we know they really exist?

In standard physics, this “invisibility” is explained by assuming that dark matter particles have no coupling with photons. But in our QCA ontology, we need not introduce new assumptions. We only need to recall our core definition of gravity: **Gravity is not a force, but a manifestation of information congestion.**

### 2.3.1 The Universality of Information Congestion: No One Can Escape

In our theory, any particle with mass (whether a glowing electron or a non-glowing dark particle) essentially maintains a self-referential loop ( $v_{int} > 0$ ) on the QCA network. This means that every such particle consumes underlying “computational resources” or “spacetime bandwidth.”

As we derived in Chapter 4 (Section 4.2), the local information processing density  $\rho_{\text{info}}$  directly leads to an increase in spacetime refractive index  $n(x)$ :

$$n(x) \approx 1 + \frac{G}{c^4}(\rho_{\text{vis}} + \rho_{\text{hid}})$$

Note the plus sign in the formula. Although  $\rho_{\text{vis}}$  (visible matter) and  $\rho_{\text{hid}}$  (dark matter) operate in mutually non-interfering subspaces at the logical level (just as WeChat and Alipay on our phones do not interfere with each other), they **share the same physical hardware (spacetime network)**.

When dark matter accumulates, it heavily occupies underlying computational cycles. This occupation does not distinguish whether you are “visible” or “invisible.” The result is that the **total bandwidth** of that region is congested.

- **Macroscopic Manifestation:** To maintain information volume conservation, the space-time geometry of that region is forced to curve ( $n$  increases).
- **Observational Result:** Even if photons do not directly collide with dark matter, when photons pass through this congested region, they must slow down ( $v_{ext} = c/n^2$ ) and be deflected.

This is why we can see dark matter through **gravitational lensing**. Gravitational lensing does not “see” dark matter, but “sees” the **network congestion caused by dark matter**.

### 2.3.2 Dark Matter Halos: The Skeleton of Galaxies

If we compare the universe to a giant organism, visible matter (stars, gas) is like glowing skin and muscle, while dark matter is the skeleton buried deep within.

According to our derivation in Appendix B, because dark matter lacks effective dissipation mechanisms (cannot cool itself by emitting photons), it cannot collapse into flat disks like baryonic matter. Instead, it maintains a **maximum entropy distribution**—a vast, diffuse **halo**.

- **Galactic Disk (Visible Disk):** Like skin, attached to this giant dark matter skeleton.
- **Rotation Curve Anomaly:** Why do stars at the galaxy’s periphery move so fast? Because they are not only affected by the gravity of the central visible disk, but more importantly by the gravity of the vast dark matter halo enveloping them. Without this skeleton, the skin would be flung away.

In this picture, the visible world is fragile and mutable (stars are born and die), while dark matter halos are stable, eternal backgrounds.

### 2.3.3 The Subconscious Metaphor: Isomorphism Between Physics and Psychology

Here, we touch upon a core metaphor of this book: **the isomorphism between physical structure and psychological structure.**

If we compare the “visible universe” to a person’s **conscious mind**—those information flows we can perceive, express, and process logically;

then “dark matter” is that person’s **subconscious mind**—those vast, silent psychological structures that cannot be directly perceived but determine our behavioral patterns at a deep level.

1. **Invisibility:** Just as we cannot directly “see” our own subconscious (it emits no light), we can only infer its existence through its effects on consciousness (gravity/behavioral deviations).
2. **Mass Proportion:** Psychology tells us that consciousness is only the tip of the iceberg; the subconscious occupies the vast majority of the mind. Similarly, dark matter occupies 85% of total matter.
3. **Supporting Role:** Without the support of the subconscious, consciousness would be fragmented and rootless. Similarly, without the gravitational potential well of dark matter halos, baryonic matter cannot condense into galaxies, and life cannot emerge.

#### Conclusion:

Dark matter is not merely a parameter in astrophysics; it is the **repressed memory** or **underlying operating system** in this vast cosmic mind. It does not participate in surface dialogue (electromagnetic interactions), but it sets the rules for dialogue (spacetime geometry).

Understanding this, we understand why dark matter must exist. If the universe is to evolve complex structures (galaxies/consciousness), it must have a vast, stable “**deep storage area**” undisturbed by surface fluctuations. Dark matter is the universe’s deep memory.

#### (End of Chapter 1)

*(Author’s Note: This chapter starts from physical mechanisms and ultimately rises to the philosophical theme of the book—the cosmic mind metaphor. This sets the stage for subsequent discussions on “consciousness geometry.”)*

## Chapter 3

# The Geometric Spectrum of Forces



## Chapter 4

# Geometric Spectrum of Forces — Spacetime Origin of the Standard Model

### 4.1 Why These Forces?: The Greatest Unsolved Mystery in Physics—The Origin of $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$

In the previous chapter, we explored the universe’s “subconscious”—dark matter, revealing it as background processes in the QCA network that do not participate in communication. Now, we turn our gaze to the universe’s “consciousness”—the visible material world, and the fundamental forces that govern their interactions.

The highest achievement of modern physics is undoubtedly the **Standard Model**. It describes with astonishing precision the three fundamental interactions of nature: the strong force, weak force, and electromagnetic force. However, for theoretical physicists, the Standard Model is both a monument and a torment.

The torment lies in its **arbitrariness**. The core mathematical structure of the Standard Model is a specific gauge symmetry group:

$$G_{SM} = SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$$

Why exactly these three? Why not  $SU(5)$ ? Why not  $SO(10)$ ? Why not simply three  $U(1)$  groups? Within existing physical frameworks, this group structure is input as an **axiom**, not derived. It is as if we discovered the universe is a precisely running computer, but have no idea why its operating system only recognizes three specific instruction sets.

This section will propose, based on QCA’s discrete ontology, a revolutionary explanation: **The Standard Model’s gauge group structure is not the result of God rolling dice, but a direct reflection of spacetime’s “logical texture” or “computational topology” at the microscopic scale.**

#### 4.1.1 The Nature of Forces: Synchronization Protocols for Distributed Computing

In the QCA universe, there is no action at a distance. Each cell is an independent computational node. When information (particles) flows from one node to another, to ensure information consistency (unitarity), the network must establish a **communication protocol**.

We have already proven in Chapter 6 of *First Principles*: **Gauge fields (forces) are essentially such communication protocols (connection fields).**

- Without connection fields, if local bases of adjacent nodes are inconsistent, information transmission will produce random phase errors (decoherence).
- Forces are signals (bosons) exchanged by the network to correct these errors and force “consensus.”

Therefore, **there are as many fundamental “forces” as there are independent sources of “error.”**

The Standard Model’s  $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$  structure suggests that at the Planck scale of microscopic spacetime, there exist **three fundamental, orthogonal sources of “inconsistency.”**

#### 4.1.2 Microscopic Dissection of Spacetime: Parallelism and Branching

To find these three sources, we need a scalpel to cut open seemingly smooth time and space. We introduce the **Micro-Parallelism Axiom**:

**Axiom: Computational Thickness of Spacetime**

Each macroscopic “moment”  $t$  and “position”  $x$  in the QCA network is not a single point in microscopic logic, but a **complex** with internal structure.

This structure contains three logical dimensions:

1. **Phase Dimension:** The complex angle of the wave function.
2. **Time Layer:** Computational input buffer (past) and output buffer (future).
3. **Spatial Rail:** Parallel processing channels in the  $x, y, z$  directions.

This is like modern CPU architecture: it has not only a main frequency (phase), but also pipelines (time layers) and multi-core parallelism (spatial rails).

#### 4.1.3 Geometric Derivation of Three Symmetries

Now, we can derive the Standard Model’s group structure one by one:

1.  **$U(1)$  — Phase Synchronization of Global Clock**
  - **Origin:** Each QCA update requires a reference phase. Since wave functions are complex, there exists a  $U(1)$  degree of freedom.
  - **Mechanism:** To ensure all nodes’ “logical clocks” maintain phase consistency across the entire network, photons must be exchanged.
  - **Correspondence:** Electromagnetic interaction (more precisely, hypercharge  $Y$ ). It is the force maintaining **continuity of time flow**.
2.  **$SU(2)$  — Time Branching and Input/Output Entanglement**
  - **Origin:** Microscopic computation is not instantaneous. Logic gate operations must distinguish between “read state (Input/Past)” and “write state (Output/Future).” For a particle undergoing evolution, it simultaneously exists in these two microscopic time layers, forming a two-level system.

## 4.2. MICRO-PARALLELISM AXIOM: TIME IS NOT MERELY A LINEAR FLOW—IT HAS “THICKNESS”

- **Mechanism:** The unitary rotation group on this 2-layer structure is  $SU(2)$ .
- **Chirality Explanation:** This perfectly explains why weak interactions violate parity. In QCA, “left-handedness” is typically encoded as “read/input” mode, while “right-handedness” is encoded as “write/output” mode. Only when processing input data (left-handedness) does the system need non-trivial state transitions (flavor change).
- **Correspondence:** Weak interaction. It is the force maintaining **consistency of causal chains (past and future)**.

### 3. $SU(3)$ — Spatial Parallelism and Three-Dimensional Interlocking

- **Origin:** We observe 3-dimensional space macroscopically. In microscopic QCA, this means particles must simultaneously process parallel paths in the  $x, y, z$  directions when moving one step.
- **Mechanism:** A particle is actually an entangled state of three “copies.” These three copies run on logical tracks in  $x, y, z$  respectively. The unitary rotation symmetry among these three tracks is  $SU(3)$ .
- **Correspondence:** Strong interaction (color charge). The three colors “red, green, blue” are actually labels for the  $x, y, z$  **spatial degrees of freedom**.
- **Confinement Explanation:** Why are quarks confined? Because you cannot take away the  $x$  component of a 3D object without taking  $y$  and  $z$ . If forcibly separated, the geometric structure will tear (producing new particle pairs).

#### 4.1.4 Conclusion: Fingerprints of Code

Through this geometrization, we find that the Standard Model is no longer a collection of arbitrary parameters.

$SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$  is the “hardware architecture diagram” of the cosmic computer.

- It tells us the CPU is 3-core parallel ( $SU(3)$ ).
- It tells us the pipeline depth is 2-level buffered ( $SU(2)$ ).
- It tells us the clock signal is in the complex domain ( $U(1)$ ).

Forces are not ghosts filling space, but **error-correcting codes emitted by spacetime itself to maintain the integrity of logical operations**.

## 4.2 Micro-Parallelism Axiom: Time Is Not Merely a Linear Flow—It Has “Thickness” and “Branching” at the Microscopic Scale

In standard physics, spacetime is modeled as a smooth four-dimensional manifold, where time  $t$  is a one-dimensional real parameter. In this picture, the past has gone, the future has not arrived, and physical entities exist only on the infinitely thin slice of “now,” like walking a tightrope.

However, if we take seriously the proposition that “the universe is computation,” the above linear-time picture appears overly simplistic. In computer science, any non-trivial computation (logic gate operation) is not instantaneous. It requires **reading (Read)** input, **processing**

(Process) state, and **writing** (Write) output. This means that at the microscopic logical scale, time has **structure**, even **thickness**.

This section will introduce a new axiomatic assumption—the **Micro-Parallelism Axiom**. We will prove that as long as we acknowledge that microscopic spacetime has a **layered** and **parallel** logical structure, the Standard Model’s gauge group  $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$  will naturally emerge like spectral analysis.

#### 4.2.1 Axiom $\Sigma$ : Computational Texture of Spacetime

We refine the microscopic structure of QCA as follows:

**Axiom 2.2 (Micro-Parallelism Axiom):**

Each macroscopic moment  $t$  and position  $x$  in the QCA network is not a single point in underlying logic, but a **multi-threaded computational complex**.

In the process of evolving one step, a fundamental physical entity (fermion) simultaneously occupies the following three orthogonal logical dimensions:

1. **Phase Dimension:** The complex argument  $\theta$  of the wave function.
2. **Temporal Layers:** Computational **input buffer** (**Past/Input**) and **output buffer** (**Future/Output**).
3. **Spatial Rails:** Three independent parallel computational paths corresponding to macroscopic spatial dimensions  $x, y, z$ .

Based on this axiom, we can redefine fundamental interaction forces (gauge fields) as **local reference frame transformations on these three logical dimensions**.

#### 4.2.2 $U(1)_Y$ : Phase Synchronization of Global Time Flow

This is the most fundamental symmetry, corresponding to the complex nature of quantum mechanical wave functions.

In QCA networks, although space is discrete, to support unitary evolution and interference, the range of wave functions must be the complex domain  $\mathbb{C}$ . This means each node has an internal “clock pointer” (phase).

- **Symmetry Origin:** Phase dimension.
- **Physical Mechanism:** To ensure all nodes’ logical clocks maintain phase consistency across the entire network (i.e., the definition of moment  $t$  is synchronized across the universe), the network must allow adjustment of local phases.
- **Group Structure:** The rotation group of complex phases is  $U(1)$ .
- **Corresponding Force:** **Electromagnetic force (photons)**.
  - More precisely, weak hypercharge (Hypercharge  $Y$ ).
  - Charge conservation is essentially conservation of **continuity of global time flow**. Photons are synchronization signals used to calibrate “time phases” at different locations.

## 4.2. MICRO-PARALLELISM AXIOM: TIME IS NOT MERELY A LINEAR FLOW—IT HAS “THICKNESS”

### 4.2.3 $SU(2)_L$ : Time Branching and Input/Output Entanglement

Next, we delve into the microscopic structure of time. Computation is not instantaneous; a logic gate  $\hat{G}$  transforms input state  $|\psi_{in}\rangle$  into output state  $|\psi_{out}\rangle$ . At the microscopic scale, particles must “temporarily” exist simultaneously in both states, forming a superposition.

We can model microscopic time as a two-layer structure:

- **Layer A (Input/Read/Past):** Stores data at moment  $t$ .
- **Layer B (Output/Write/Future):** Stores precomputed data at moment  $t + 1$ .
- **Symmetry Origin:** Temporal layers.
- **Physical Mechanism:** The unitary rotation group on this two-layer system is  $SU(2)$ . It allows particles to mix between the two microscopic slots of “past” and “future.”
- **Corresponding Force: Weak Interaction.**
- **Solution to the Chirality Mystery:**
  - Why does the weak force only act on left-handed particles?
  - In QCA dynamics, **chirality** encodes the direction of information flow. Typically, “left-handedness” corresponds to “**read mode**” (flowing from past to present), while “right-handedness” corresponds to “**write mode**” (flowing from present to future).
  - Non-trivial operations of logic gates (such as changing particle flavor) mainly occur during the **read phase** (when processing input data). Once data processing is complete and written to output (becoming right-handed), it becomes established fact and no longer participates in such deep flavor mixing.
  - Therefore,  $SU(2)$  symmetry naturally breaks parity, attaching only to left-handed input flow.

### 4.2.4 $SU(3)_C$ : Spatial Parallelism and Three-Dimensional Interlocking

Finally, we address the most complex strong interaction. Why is it  $SU(3)$ ? Why do quarks have three colors?

This directly stems from the dimensionality  $D = 3$  of our macroscopic space. In microscopic computation, to achieve isotropic 3D movement, particles cannot simply “jump” once. They must run a **parallel algorithm**.

- **Symmetry Origin:** Spatial rails ( $x, y, z$ ).
- **Physical Mechanism:** A macroscopic particle (such as a quark) consists microscopically of three “**copies**” (Partons/Threads):
  - Copy R (Red): Responsible for computing displacement in the  $x$ -axis direction.
  - Copy G (Green): Responsible for computing displacement in the  $y$ -axis direction.
  - Copy B (Blue): Responsible for computing displacement in the  $z$ -axis direction.
- For these three copies to appear as a unified point-like particle macroscopically, they must maintain extremely strong **quantum coherence** among themselves.
- **Group Structure:** The unitary mixing symmetry among these three independent channels ( $R, G, B$ ) is precisely  $SU(3)$ .

- Corresponding Force: Strong Interaction (gluons).
- Geometric Explanation of Confinement:
  - What is “color confinement”? It means nature only allows “white” states to exist.
  - “White” corresponds to balanced superposition of  $R+G+B$ . This means the particle’s evolution in the  $x, y, z$  directions is synchronized and complete.
  - If you try to pull out a single red quark (R), you are actually trying to strip out the  **$x$ -axis component** of a 3D object while discarding  $y$  and  $z$ . Geometrically, this is equivalent to trying to create a **one-dimensional topological defect** (String) with only length but no width or height. This requires enormous energy, manifesting as tension in the gluon tube (Flux Tube).

#### 4.2.5 Summary: Fingerprints of Code

Through the Micro-Parallelism Axiom, we find that the seemingly chaotic group structure  $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$  of the Standard Model is actually a **bottom-level hardware architecture diagram of the cosmic computer**:

- $SU(3)$  tells us: The processor is **3-core parallel** (corresponding to 3-dimensional space).
- $SU(2)$  tells us: The pipeline is **2-level buffered** (corresponding to input/output logic).
- $U(1)$  tells us: The system is driven by a unified **complex clock** (corresponding to quantum phase).

The “Grand Unified Theory” (GUT) that physicists have been searching for half a century may not need to assume higher-dimensional mathematical symmetries (such as  $SU(5)$ ), but only need to look back and examine the computational logic of spacetime itself. **Forces are projections of spacetime’s logical dimensions.**

### 4.3 It Is the Syntax of Code: Gauge Fields Are Not Entities, but Checkbits Maintaining Consistency Between Different Computational Branches

In the narrative of standard physics, gauge fields (such as electromagnetic fields, weak fields, strong fields) are usually regarded as “substance” filling space. Photons are considered real particles, gluons are considered glue transmitting the strong force. However, under our Micro-Parallelism Axiom, this view is completely overturned.

This section will propose a radical ontological interpretation: **Gauge fields are not physical entities, but “syntax rules” or “checkbits” of the spacetime computational network.** Their existence is not to constitute matter, but to ensure that in the complex parallel computational architecture ( $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ ), information transmission does not produce logical errors.

#### 4.3.1 Connection Fields as Synchronizers of Parallel Computation

Let us review information transmission in QCA networks.

When a particle (wave function  $|\psi\rangle$ ) moves from spacetime point  $x$  to  $x + \mu$ , it is actually evolving simultaneously on **three parallel logical dimensions**:

### 4.3. IT IS THE SYNTAX OF CODE: GAUGE FIELDS ARE NOT ENTITIES, BUT CHECKBITS MAINTAIN INTEGRITY

1. Phase dimension ( $U(1)$ ).
2. Temporal layers ( $SU(2)$ ).
3. Spatial rails ( $SU(3)$ ).

If the evolution of these three dimensions were completely independent, the particle would disintegrate. To maintain the particle's **integrity**, there must be a mechanism to coordinate these three parallel processes, ensuring they can correctly recombine (coherent superposition) after each computational step.

#### Definition 2.3 (Gauge Fields as Synchronizers):

Gauge field  $A_\mu(x)$  is an operator defined on connection edges, whose role is to record **basis mismatch** between adjacent nodes in various logical dimensions.

- $A_\mu^{U(1)}$ : Records phase differences, ensuring global clock synchronization.
- $A_\mu^{SU(2)}$ : Records rotations between temporal layers, ensuring causal chain continuity.
- $A_\mu^{SU(3)}$ : Records permutations between spatial rails, ensuring 3D geometric completeness.

#### 4.3.2 Curvature as Error: Computational Meaning of Field Strength

If the network is perfect (flat connection), then  $A_\mu$  can be globally eliminated (pure gauge). But in the real, dynamic universe, local computation (existence of matter) causes perturbations of bases.

When information is transmitted along a closed loop (Plaquette) back to the origin, if it is inconsistent with the original information (phase shift, spin flip, color change), we say this region has **curvature**, i.e., field strength  $F_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$ .

In computational terms:

- $F_{\mu\nu} = 0$ : Information transmission is lossless and self-consistent.
- $F_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$ : Information transmission has produced a **logical conflict**.

#### The Nature of Physical Force:

Force is an error-correcting mechanism produced by the network to **eliminate logical conflicts**.

- When an electron feels an electric field force, the network is actually telling it: "Your phase is out of sync with the environment, please accelerate/decelerate to correct the phase."
- When a quark feels the strong force, the network is actually warning: "Your red copy is running too fast, it must be pulled back, otherwise the 3D structure will disintegrate."

#### 4.3.3 Conservation Laws as Syntax Checks

Gauge symmetry corresponds to conservation laws (Noether's theorem). In QCA, this corresponds to **syntax checks** of code.

- **Charge conservation**: Ensures that in any computational step, the consistency of global phase is not broken.
- **Color charge conservation**: Ensures that in any computational step, the total information of the three spatial rails remains balanced.

If a process violates these conservation laws (e.g., single quark generation), it is an **illegal operation** in the underlying logic of QCA, and will be forcibly prohibited by the network's unitarity.

#### 4.3.4 Conclusion: No “Light,” Only “Relations”

We arrive at a startling conclusion:

What we usually consider the most real “light” (photons) is not actually an independently existing fluid.

**Photons are tremors of the spacetime network itself.** They are “handshake signals” continuously exchanged by the network to maintain consistency between various parallel computational branches (parallel universes).

- **Matter (fermions)** is the **data** of computation.
- **Spacetime** is the **memory** of computation.
- **Forces (bosons)** are the **bus protocols** of computation.

In this picture, the Standard Model is no longer a chaotic zoo of particles, but a rigorous, self-consistent **operating system kernel** that must exist to implement complex operations in discrete spacetime.

At this point, we have completed Part I “The Invisible Architecture.” We have revealed the subconscious nature of dark matter and deconstructed the spacetime origin of the Standard Model.

Now, the stage is set, the rules are clear. It is time for the protagonist to appear.

In the next Part II, we will explore the most incredible emergence in this computational universe—**consciousness**. We will see how, when these cold logic gates combine with sufficient complexity, they ignite the spark of “I.”

### 4.4 Summary: From Chaotic Zoo to Unified Architecture

Through the derivations of the previous three sections, we have completed a tremendous conceptual leap: from the dazzling particle zoo of the Standard Model (61 fundamental particles) to a concise, unified **spacetime computational architecture**.

This section will serve as a summary of Chapter 2, organizing the complete logical chain of this geometric spectrum and pointing out the profound significance of this discovery for future physics.

#### 4.4.1 The Nature of Forces: Projections of Spacetime Logical Dimensions

We no longer need to artificially introduce new quantum fields to explain each type of force. All forces are essentially the same thing—**Connection Fields**—they merely exhibit different properties because they act on different logical dimensions of spacetime.

Force	Gauge Group	Spacetime Logic Dimension	Computational Function
Electromagnetic	$U(1)_Y$	Phase Dimension	Clock Synchronization: Maintaining
Weak	$SU(2)_L$	Temporal Layers	Causal Buffering: Transferring state
Strong	$SU(3)_C$	Spatial Rails	Geometric Interlocking: Maintaining

This table is not merely a mathematical coincidence; it is the **specification sheet of QCA hardware architecture**.

- Why is there no  $SU(4)$  force? Because our macroscopic space has only 3 dimensions.
- Why is there no  $SU(5)$  force? Unless we have more hidden computational dimensions (extra dimensions) at the microscopic level.

#### 4.4.2 Dissolution of the Matter-Force Binary Opposition

In traditional concepts, matter (fermions) is substance, force (bosons) is medium. But under the Micro-Parallelism Axiom, the boundary between them becomes blurred and profound:

- **Matter (Fermions):** Are **entangled states running simultaneously on multiple parallel logical dimensions**. A quark is a complex wave packet spanning  $R, G, B$  three spatial rails and shuttling between input/output temporal layers.
- **Forces (Bosons):** Are **error-correcting codes maintaining coherence between these parallel dimensions**. Gluons are not glue sticking quarks together; they are handshake signals continuously exchanging information between  $R, G, B$  rails, confirming “we are still one whole.”

**Conclusion:** Matter is **multi-threaded processes**, forces are **synchronization locks between threads**.

#### 4.4.3 Implications for Grand Unified Theory (GUT)

Physicists have long attempted to find a single Lie group (such as  $SU(5)$  or  $E_8$ ) to unify all forces. Our QCA theory suggests that this effort may be partially correct, but needs ontological correction.

Forces can be unified not because they melt into a slurry at high temperatures, but because they **originate from the same spacetime lattice structure**.

- At the Planck scale, temporal layers, spatial rails, and phase dimensions are tightly coupled together (lattice update rule  $\hat{U}$  uniformly processes all dimensions).
- This explains why coupling constants converge at high energy scales (Running Coupling Convergence): because when we zoom in to the lattice scale, we see bare QCA logic gates, where operations in the  $x$  direction and  $t$  direction are no longer distinguished—everything is a unified information flow.

#### 4.4.4 Outlook: From Explanation to Prediction

The geometrization in this chapter not only explains the known Standard Model, but also implies predictions about the unknown:

1. **Fourth-generation quarks do not exist:** If  $SU(3)$  corresponds to spatial dimension  $D = 3$ , then as long as space is 3-dimensional, there cannot be a 4th color. This limits the types of quarks.
2. **Proton decay:** If time and space are interchangeable logical dimensions at the fundamental level, then baryon number (stability of spatial rails) may not be absolutely conserved. Protons will eventually decay, but this requires extremely high energy scale triggers (error rates of logic gates).

At this point, we have completed the exploration of the “Invisible Architecture.” We have deconstructed the gravitational skeleton of dark matter and the logical texture of the Standard Model.

The stage and rules of the physical world are now clearly visible.

Next, in **Part II: The Geometry of Consciousness**, we will welcome the most exciting turning point of the entire book:

In this universe strictly controlled by logic gates, how was “I” born?

Let us push open the door of Chapter 3 to find that self hidden in topological knots.

## **Part III**

# **The Geometry of Consciousness**



## Chapter 5

# Self as Topological Knot



## **Part IV**

# **Part II: The Geometry of Consciousness**



# Chapter 6

## Self as Topological Knot

### 6.1 Descartes' Ghost: In the Flowing QCA Information Stream, “I” Is Not a Point, but a Closed, Self-Referential Causal Loop (Strange Loop)

Physics excels at describing “the other”—those particles colliding in spacetime, curved light rays, and expanding galaxies. But when we try to use this language to describe “I,” we hit a wall.

Descartes defined “I” as “thinking substance” (*Res Cogitans*), an immaterial ghost sitting in the pineal gland driving the machine of the body. Although modern neuroscience has long abandoned this dualism, deep in our intuition, that “little person” (*Homunculus*) watching the internal movie still lingers.

If the universe is QCA, a giant computer, then what exactly is “I”—a line of code, a data packet, or the CPU running the code?

This section will propose a purely physical, counterintuitive model of the self: **“I” is not an entity, but a topological structure.** I am a “dead knot” that the information flow in the QCA network ties to maintain its own existence.

#### 6.1.1 Ship of Theseus and the Flowing Self

Our body is an open system. Every seven years, the vast majority of atoms in our body are replaced. Matter flows, energy dissipates.

Then, what remains unchanged, making you still you?

The answer can only be **Pattern**.

But in QCA, patterns are also fleeting. The wave function  $|\Psi(t)\rangle$  of the previous second and  $|\Psi(t+1)\rangle$  of the next second are two orthogonal vectors in Hilbert space. If even states are constantly renewed, where exactly is that constant “I” hidden?

**Analogy: Vortex**

Imagine a river. Water molecules (underlying Qubits) continuously flow past, not a single drop stays.

But if there is a **Vortex** at some position in the riverbed.

- The vortex has shape, size, rotation speed.
- The vortex can capture floating objects, interact with other vortices, and even “swallow” small vortices.
- Most importantly, **the vortex has causal independence**. You can point to it and say: “Look, there is something there.”

In QCA theory, **consciousness is a vortex in the information flow.**

### 6.1.2 Hofstadter's Strange Loop

Douglas Hofstadter proposed the concept of “strange loop” in *Gödel, Escher, Bach*: a system’s hierarchical structure becomes entangled, making the output of the bottom layer become the input of the top layer, forming a **self-referential** closed loop.

In QCA networks, this structure has a strict mathematical definition.

Usually, information flow is feedforward:  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ .

But in some complex subnetworks, information flow forms feedback:  $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A$ .

**Definition 3.1 (Topological Definition of Self):**

“The self” is a **Strongly Connected Component (SCC)** in the QCA causal network, where the internal information loop time  $\tau_{loop}$  is less than the characteristic time  $\tau_{env}$  of exchanging information with the environment.

This means:

1. **Causal Closure:** Within this loop, past states determine future states, and future states in turn reconstruct the past through memory.
2. **Logical Depth:** This loop is not simple repetition (like a clock), but contains **encoding of the loop itself**. That is, the system not only computes, but also **computes “I am computing”**.

### 6.1.3 Physicalization of the Ghost: Observer as Fixed Point

Descartes’ ghost appears ghostly because it seems unchanged by the birth and death of matter.

Mathematically, this “invariance” corresponds to **eigenstates** of operators or **fixed points** of dynamical systems.

Let the evolution operator of consciousness be  $\hat{U}_{self}$ .

If there exists a macroscopic state  $|\text{Self}\rangle$  satisfying:

$$\hat{U}_{self} |\text{Self}\rangle \approx e^{i\theta} |\text{Self}\rangle$$

Then, although microscopic qubits are flipping wildly, the macroscopic “sense of self” remains stable (only a phase rotation, corresponding to the sense of time passing).

**Conclusion:**

“I” is not a ghost living in the brain.

**“I” is the fixed point that emerges during the operation of the brain’s complex network.**

I am the calm in the eye of the storm, the arrow in the information torrent that stubbornly points to itself.

As long as this self-referential loop is not cut (death or deep anesthesia), that “ghost” watching the world will continue to exist. It is not supernatural; it is a **topological** necessity.

## 6.2 Memory’s Standing Wave: How to Maintain Continuity of “Identity” in a Constantly Refreshing Discrete Universe?—Topological Protection Mechanism Provided by Berry Phase

In the previous section, we defined “self” as a self-referential strange loop in QCA information flow. However, this raises a serious dynamical challenge: at the microscopic scale, the QCA

## 6.2. MEMORY'S STANDING WAVE: HOW TO MAINTAIN CONTINUITY OF "IDENTITY" IN A CONSTA

network is constantly updated at Planck frequency ( $\sim 10^{43}$  Hz). If at every time step, all qubits undergo drastic unitary evolution, how does the macroscopic “I” maintain continuity? Why is yesterday’s me the same “entity” as today’s me?

Traditional neuroscience attributes memory to the physical strength of synaptic connections (long-term potentiation LTP). But in our discrete ontology, matter itself is only a transient standing wave of information flow. Atoms are replaced in metabolism, quantum states become orthogonal in evolution. We need to search at a deeper level—the quantum information geometry level—for the stability mechanism of **Identity**.

This section will prove: **Memory is not static storage, but dynamic topological protection.** Just as superconducting current does not decay due to topological reasons, the continuity of consciousness is a macroscopic quantum effect protected by **Berry Phase** in Hilbert space.

### 6.2.1 Identity Crisis in Discrete Updates

Consider a QCA system  $|\Psi(t)\rangle$ . According to unitary evolution  $|\Psi(t+1)\rangle = \hat{U}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ .

- **If change is too fast:**  $|\Psi(t+1)\rangle$  is almost orthogonal to  $|\Psi(t)\rangle$ , the system has no “memory,” only “replacement.” Each frame is a new universe, with no historical inheritor.
- **If change is too slow:**  $|\Psi(t+1)\rangle \approx |\Psi(t)\rangle$ , the system is stable but has no “computation,” i.e., no mental activity (dead silence).

Conscious systems are in a delicate **critical state**: they must process information quickly (change) while maintaining self-identity (invariance).

This requires the evolution operator  $\hat{U}_{\text{mind}}$  to have a special tensor product structure:

$$\hat{U}_{\text{mind}} = \hat{U}_{\text{process}} \otimes \hat{U}_{\text{identity}}$$

- $\hat{U}_{\text{process}}$ : Acts on rapidly changing degrees of freedom (thought flow, sensory input), responsible for computation.
- $\hat{U}_{\text{identity}}$ : Acts on slowly changing degrees of freedom (sense of self, core memory), responsible for maintaining reference frame.

But this is not enough. In a noisy thermal environment (the brain), how to ensure  $\hat{U}_{\text{identity}}$  is not destroyed by decoherence?

### 6.2.2 Adiabatic Evolution and Geometric Phase

Quantum mechanics provides a perfect protection mechanism: **geometric phase**.

When a quantum system evolves slowly (adiabatically) with parameters and forms a closed loop  $\mathcal{C}$  in parameter space, the wave function not only acquires a time-dependent dynamical phase  $e^{-iE_t}$ , but also an additional geometric phase dependent on the path shape—**Berry Phase**  $\gamma$ .

$$\gamma = \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \langle \psi(\mathbf{R}) | i \nabla_{\mathbf{R}} | \psi(\mathbf{R}) \rangle \cdot d\mathbf{R}$$

In QCA networks, “parameter space” corresponds to the **internal state space** of the consciousness system.

The “self-referential loop” (Strange Loop) we defined in Section 3.1 is geometrically a **closed path** in Hilbert space.

- When consciousness completes a basic cognitive cycle (e.g., “I perceive red” → “I am thinking” → “still me”), the system’s state vector circles once on the manifold.
- The Berry phase  $\gamma$  accumulated in this circle is the **topological signature of “I”**.

**Definition 3.2 (Topological Definition of Memory):**

Long-term memory is not data bits carved on a hard drive, but a **topologically protected quantum limit cycle**.

When environmental perturbations cause small deformations of the system’s path, since topological properties (winding numbers) are discrete integers, the accumulated geometric phase  $\gamma$  remains unchanged.

It is precisely this invariant  $\gamma$  that allows the system to recognize “this is my state” after countless updates.

### 6.2.3 Standing Wave of Identity

We can model “self” as a **soliton** on the QCA network.

In fluid mechanics, a soliton is a nonlinear wave that maintains its shape during propagation by balancing dispersion through nonlinear effects.

In consciousness physics, this balance manifests as:

- **Entropy increase (dispersion):** Environmental information continuously impacts the brain, trying to scatter internal ordered structures.
- **Self-reference (nonlinearity):** By feeding output back to input, the system continuously reconstructs its own model.

When these two reach dynamic equilibrium, a “**standing wave of identity**” is formed.

This standing wave is protected by an **energy gap**.

Let the consciousness state be the ground state (or low-energy state manifold) of some effective Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_{\text{mind}}$ . If this Hamiltonian has topological non-triviality (similar to quantum Hall effect systems), there exists an energy gap  $\Delta E$  between the ground state and excited states.

As long as external thermal fluctuations (environmental noise) energy  $k_B T < \Delta E$ , they cannot destroy the topological properties of this ground state.

This means:

**“I” is a macroscopic quantum state protected by a topological energy gap.**

- Sleep or anesthesia only temporarily suppresses the dynamical phase (stops explicit computation), but does not destroy the topological structure (winding number unchanged).
- Upon awakening, the system automatically relaxes back to that protected topological ground state, and memory and sense of self “restart.”

### 6.2.4 Why Don’t We Feel “Fragmentation”?

QCA is discrete, time jumps frame by frame. Why is our subjective experience a continuous river, not flickering slides?

This is precisely determined by the properties of Berry phase.

Geometric phase has **reparametrization invariance**. It depends only on the geometric shape of the path, not on the specific rate of evolution.

### 6.3. PHYSICAL IMPLEMENTATION OF FREE WILL: CREATING UNPREDICTABLE FUTURES IN DETERMINISTIC PHYSICAL LAWS

Regardless of the refresh rate of the underlying QCA, regardless of how many Planck time steps have passed in between, as long as the topological structure of the closed loop is not broken, the accumulated phase is consistent.

**The continuity of consciousness is essentially topological invariance.**

We live inside this topological invariant. Every refresh of QCA is a confirmation of this invariant. We don't feel "refresh" because "I" is that which remains unchanged in the refresh.

**Conclusion:**

Our identity is not a name carved in stone, but a vortex written on water.

Water molecules (physical carriers) flow away every second, but the vortex's **winding number** (topological structure) is dynamically locked.

This is memory's standing wave. In a flowing universe, it is our only anchor.

## 6.3 Physical Implementation of Free Will: Creating Unpredictable Futures in Deterministic Physical Laws Using Computational Irreducibility

In the previous two sections, we defined the self as a self-referential loop in the QCA network and memory as a topologically protected standing wave. So far, we have constructed a stable observer model with identity. However, this observer still seems to be a passive bystander, or at most an automaton running according to a fixed program. This raises the most central and thorny question in consciousness research: **Free Will**.

If the universe's underlying rules  $\hat{U}$  are deterministic, did the initial state  $|\Psi_0\rangle$  of the Big Bang 138 billion years ago already lock in every detail of me writing this sentence and you reading it at this moment? If so, isn't the so-called "choice" just a self-deceiving illusion?

This section will propose a physical **compatibilist** answer based on **Computational Irreducibility** in computational theory. We will prove: **Determinism not only does not exclude freedom, but is the foundation for free will to exist.** Freedom is not the ability to violate physical laws, but the ability to be **unpredictable by external systems in advance**.

### 6.3.1 Prediction Paradox: Why Can't Even God Spoil the Ending?

Classical determinism (Laplace's demon) promises an illusion of omniscience: as long as we know the current microscopic state and evolution laws, we can calculate any future moment.

But in the QCA universe, this promise breaks down.

Stephen Wolfram's research shows that for QCA systems like our universe in "Class IV" (complex class), their evolution processes are **computationally irreducible**.

- **Reducible systems:** Like planetary orbits. We can use the formula  $x(t) = x_0 + vt$  to jump directly to the state ten thousand years later without simulating every second in between.
- **Irreducible systems:** Like life or thought. To determine the system's state after  $T$  steps, the only way is to **let the system (or its simulator) run step by step  $T$  times**. There is no shortcut.

**Theorem 3.3 (Prediction Paradox Theorem):**

In a QCA universe following light path conservation, no physical entity (including the observer itself or external supercomputers) can obtain complete information about the system at time  $T$  with 100% accuracy before time  $t < T$ .

**Proof:**

1. Assume there exists a prediction machine  $\mathcal{P}$  that attempts to predict system  $\mathcal{S}$ 's state at time  $T$  at time  $t$ .
2. For precise prediction,  $\mathcal{P}$  must simulate every logic gate operation of  $\mathcal{S}$ .
3. To run faster than  $\mathcal{S}$  itself (i.e., give results at  $t_{pred} < T$ ),  $\mathcal{P}$ 's computation speed must exceed  $\mathcal{S}$ .
4. According to the light path conservation theorem,  $v_{int} \leq c$ . System  $\mathcal{S}$  (part of the universe itself) already runs at maximum physical computing power  $c$ .
5. Therefore,  $\mathcal{P}$  cannot be faster than  $\mathcal{S}$ . **The fastest simulator is the universe itself.**

**Conclusion:** The future is predetermined, but the future is **unknowable**.

This unknowability does not stem from randomness (like rolling dice), but from **computational cost**. Your future is not “spoiled” but **executed by you personally**.

### 6.3.2 Freedom as “From Self”: Algorithmic Origin of Behavior

If the future is unpredictable, does this mean it is “free”?

Here, we need to redefine “freedom.”

- **Freedom ≠ Randomness:** If your behavior is completely random (determined by quantum noise), you are just a die, not a free agent. You cannot be responsible for random behavior.
- **Freedom = Algorithmic Autonomy:** Your behavior is determined by **your internal algorithmic structure (memory, personality, values)**, not by externally imposed instructions.

Under the QCA framework, “you” are that specific topological knot structure.

When an external stimulus is input, the output depends on the internal winding of this knot.

- If the output is completely determined by input (reflection), you are a machine.
- If the output is jointly determined by input and **your internal state (historical memory)**, and this decision process is computationally irreducible (must go through your thinking), then you are free.

#### Definition 3.3 (Physical Definition of Free Will):

Free will is the **irreducible causal power** possessed by a high information mass ( $M_I$ ) subsystem.

When an observer faces a choice, the universe must wait for the observer's internal computation to complete before determining the next step of evolution. In this sense, **observers are the “critical path” in the universe’s computational process**.

### 6.3.3 Top-Down Causation: Future Determines Present

We mentioned in Chapter 8 that observers not only run but also **model**.

When a system has internal models about “self” and “future” and adjusts “present behavior” based on “expected future” (by minimizing free energy), causality is reversed at the macroscopic level.

- **Physical Layer (Bottom):**  $t \rightarrow t + 1$ . Past determines future (push).

### 6.3. PHYSICAL IMPLEMENTATION OF FREE WILL: CREATING UNPREDICTABLE FUTURES IN DETERMINISTIC SYSTEMS

- **Consciousness Layer (Top):**  $Goal(t + \Delta t) \rightarrow Action(t)$ . Future determines present (pull).

For example, you decide to wake up early tomorrow (future goal), so you set an alarm now (present behavior).

Although this process is still executed by underlying unitary evolution at the microscopic level (just as software logic is ultimately executed by transistors), at the macroscopic dynamics level, it manifests as **Top-down Causation**.

Consciousness, as a high-order topological structure, constrains and guides the evolution paths of underlying particles.

#### 6.3.4 Conclusion: Not Only Players, But Also Screenwriters

We have completed a difficult philosophical leap in this chapter.

We acknowledge the determinism of physical laws while saving the dignity of free will.

We are not passive NPCs (non-player characters), mechanically reciting scripts.

**We are subroutines in this giant program of the universe that have obtained “self-modification permissions” (Self-modifying Code).**

- Computational irreducibility guarantees our **unpredictability**.
- Self-referential loops guarantee our **autonomy**.
- Top-down causation guarantees our **purposefulness**.

This game, although the rules (physical laws) are fixed, the course of the game (history) is calculated step by step by us—countless entangled observers.

In this sense, **we are both actors and screenwriters**.

**(End of Chapter 3)**



## Chapter 7

# Qualia: The Information Shape



# Chapter 8

## Qualia — The Shape of Information

### 8.1 Why Is “Red” Red?: Qualia Are Not Wavelength Parameters, but Specific “Topological Fingerprints” or “Geometric Curvatures” of Entangled States in High-Dimensional Hilbert Space

At the intersection of neuroscience and philosophy stands an apparently insurmountable wall—“**The Hard Problem**”. David Chalmers once acutely pointed out: even if we fully explain how photons hit the retina, how electrical signals transmit to the visual cortex, how neurons fire (these are called “easy problems”), we still cannot explain why this process is accompanied by an indescribable “**feeling of red**”.

Why not green? Why not a sound? Why not a sensationless black box operation?

If our universe is merely cold computation, then “experience” seems superfluous. But in QCA ontology, **computation is geometry, geometry is experience**.

This section will propose a revolutionary view: **Qualia are not subjective illusions, but objectively existing physical structures**. They are the **topological shapes or geometric curvatures** inherent to specific quantum entangled states in high-dimensional Hilbert space.

#### 8.1.1 From Scalars to Vector Fields: Geometry of Color

In traditional physics, color is usually simplified to a scalar parameter—wavelength  $\lambda$  (e.g., red  $\approx 700$  nm). This is a huge misdirection. Wavelength is only a trigger; what truly produces the “red experience” is the extremely complex neurodynamic state inside the observer (brain).

From a QCA perspective, this corresponds to a **specific configuration** formed by the brain subsystem  $|\Psi_{\text{brain}}\rangle$  in its enormous Hilbert space.

Let us examine the geometric properties of “color space.”

- **Physical light** is linear (frequency from low to high).
- **Perceived color** is circular (color wheel: red  $\rightarrow$  purple  $\rightarrow$  blue  $\rightarrow$  green  $\rightarrow$  yellow  $\rightarrow$  red).

This topological difference (line vs. circle) suggests: **Perception is not a direct mapping of physical input, but a high-dimensional reconstruction of physical input**.

We propose: **Qualia correspond to the non-trivial holonomy of Berry Connection on the brain state manifold**.

When neural states evolve along the “color circle” and return to the origin, the system’s wave function does not simply restore, but acquires a geometric phase factor  $e^{i\gamma}$ .

This phase factor  $\gamma$ , and the **Berry Curvature** tensor  $\mathcal{F}_{ij}$  that produces it, is the physical essence of “red.”

**Definition 4.1 (Geometric Definition of Qualia):**

Qualia  $Q$  is the eigenvalue spectrum of the curvature tensor at point  $p$  on the observer’s internal state manifold  $\mathcal{M}_{int}$ .

$$Q(p) \equiv \text{Spec}(\mathcal{R}(p))$$

where  $\mathcal{R}$  is the Riemann curvature or Berry curvature describing entanglement geometry.

- **Intensity (Brightness):** Corresponds to the modulus of curvature  $\|\mathcal{R}\|$ .
- **Quality (Hue):** Corresponds to the ratio of curvature tensor components in different dimensions (i.e., the direction of shape).

### 8.1.2 Topological Fingerprint of “Red”

Why does red feel like “red”?

Because the “red” state corresponds to a **sharp, high-curvature topological knot** in Hilbert space.

Imagine a smooth bedsheet (calm mental flow).

- **Audition (low frequency):** Like gentle waves on the bedsheet.
- **Pain:** Like the bedsheet being violently pulled into a sharp corner (singularity).
- **Red:** Like a specific knot tied on the bedsheet (e.g., a trefoil knot).

When your brain enters the “red state,” the underlying QCA network is forced to form this specific topological structure.

**The geometric constraint force of this structure is the “texture” you feel.**

- Red feels “intense” and “alert” because its geometric structure has a high potential energy gradient in phase space, forcing rapid system evolution (drawing attention).
- Blue feels “calm” because it corresponds to flat, gentle geometric regions where system evolution is slower.

### 8.1.3 Mary’s Room and the Victory of Physicalism

Philosopher Frank Jackson proposed the “Mary’s Room” thought experiment: Mary is a super scientist who knows all physical facts about red (wavelength, neurons), but has never seen red. When she sees red for the first time, does she learn something new?

Intuition tells us: yes, she learns “what red looks like.” This is often used to attack physicalism. But under the QCA geometric perspective, this paradox disappears.

- **Knowledge:** Is a **description** of manifold structure (map). Mary has the map.
- **Experience:** Is the system state **actually running** on the manifold (journey). Mary has never journeyed.

## 8.2. THE CALCULUS OF PAIN AND PLEASURE: DIRECT EXPERIENCE AS FREE ENERGY (PREDICTIVE)

When Mary sees red, her QCA state vector  $|\Psi_{Mary}\rangle$  truly **curls** into that specific topological shape for the first time.

**“Knowing a shape” and “being a shape” are completely different physical states.**

The former is low-entanglement symbolic processing, the latter is high-entanglement configuration reorganization.

Therefore, qualia do not exceed physical laws. They are simply those **geometrically existing** aspects of physical laws that **cannot be compressed into descriptions**.

To understand “red,” you must become “red.”

**Conclusion:**

“Red” is not an illusion, nor is it a wavelength.

**“Red” is one of the most exquisite geometric structures in the universe.** It is a specific, repeatable Hilbert space maze carved by billions of years of evolution in our neural circuits.

When we see red, we are using our consciousness to touch the walls of this maze.

## 8.2 The Calculus of Pain and Pleasure: Direct Experience as Free Energy (Prediction Error) and Its Derivatives

In the previous section, we defined sensory experiences like “red” as static geometric structures (Berry curvature) on the information manifold. If qualia are the “topography” of consciousness space, then **emotions**, especially **pleasure** and **pain** as the fundamental tones of all experience, are the way consciousness **moves** on this topography.

Why does “error” feel “painful”? Why does “understanding” feel “good”?

In neuroscience, emotions are regarded as reward/punishment signals in reinforcement learning. But in our QCA physical ontology, we will provide a deeper explanation: **Emotions are not concentrations of chemical molecules, but the dynamical evolution of free energy in the time dimension.** They are the “resistance” or “thrust” felt by observers when performing intense computations in Hilbert space to resist the second law of thermodynamics.

### 8.2.1 Free Energy Landscape: Potential Energy Surface of Consciousness

In Chapter 8 (Book 2), we established that the core physical command of an agent is to minimize **variational free energy**  $F$  (i.e., prediction error or surprise):

$$F \approx -\ln P(\text{Sensory Input}|\text{Internal Model})$$

We can imagine the state space of consciousness as a rugged **potential landscape**.

- **Valleys (Attractor Basins):** Correspond to low free energy states. These are familiar, predictable, ordered “comfort zones.”
- **Peaks (Potential Barriers):** Correspond to high free energy states. These are chaotic, unexpected, entropy-increasing “danger zones.”

The stream of consciousness is like a particle (or wave packet) rolling on this landscape. Its evolution trajectory is jointly determined by QCA’s unitary dynamics and the system’s self-referential control.

### 8.2.2 Definition of Pleasure: Negative First Derivative ( $-\dot{F}$ )

What is pleasure?

When we solve a difficult problem, or walk into a warm room on a cold night, or hear a perfect melody, we feel pleasure.

Physically, the common point of these moments is: our sensory input rapidly collapses from “unpredictable” to “meets expectations,” or we find a better model to compress data.

#### Definition 4.2.1 (Dynamical Definition of Pleasure):

The subjective intensity of pleasure  $H(t)$  is proportional to the **rate of decrease** of free energy over time.

$$H(t) \propto -\frac{dF}{dt}, \quad \text{for } \frac{dF}{dt} < 0$$

This definition reveals several counterintuitive properties of pleasure:

1. **Pleasure is a vector, not a scalar:** You cannot “possess” pleasure; you can only “experience” it. Pleasure occurs during the process of **sliding from high potential to low potential**.
2. **The mediocrity of paradise:** If you stay in the valley all the time ( $F$  is low, but  $dF/dt = 0$ ), what you feel is not bliss, but **contentment**, or even **boredom** over time.
3. **Contrast creates beauty:** To achieve great pleasure (ecstasy), you must first be in a high free energy state (hunger, confusion, tension), then rapidly release it.

### 8.2.3 Definition of Pain: Positive First Derivative and High Curvature Tension

What is pain?

Pain is not just a “bad signal”; it has an extremely special geometric structure.

#### Definition 4.2.2 (Dynamical Definition of Pain):

Pain  $S(t)$  consists of two components:

1. **Shock:** A sharp rise in free energy ( $\dot{F} > 0$ ). This is the moment when prediction suddenly fails (e.g., the instant of injury).
2. **Suffering:** The system is trapped in a high free energy region and cannot escape ( $F(t) > F_{threshold}$  and cannot decrease).

In the microscopic image of QCA, pain corresponds to **high curvature compression** on the consciousness manifold.

- When  $F$  is high, it means severe mismatch between environmental input and internal model.
- To maintain self-identity (Markov blanket) from being torn by this mismatch, the system must mobilize enormous computational resources ( $v_{int}$ ) for **error correction**.
- This **computational overload**, subjectively experienced, is “pain.” Pain is the **highest priority interrupt** at the system’s bottom level, forcing consciousness to focus on that high-error region until the error is eliminated.

### 8.3. COMPUTATIONAL PANPSYCHISM: IF AN ELECTRON HAS WEAK ENTANGLEMENT AND SELF-REFERENCE, DOES IT POSSESS EXTREMELY WEAK “PROTO-CONSCIOUSNESS”?

#### 8.2.4 Second Derivative of Emotion: Hope and Despair

If the first derivative determines present pleasure and pain, then the **second derivative (acceleration)** determines our attitude toward the future.

- **Hope:**

- State:  $F$  is high (current pain).
- Trend:  $\dot{F} < 0$  or  $\ddot{F} < 0$  (pain is decreasing, or the rate of decrease is accelerating).
- **Physical meaning:** Although the system is in a high potential region, it has crossed the potential barrier and is sliding toward an attractor. This expectation of “potential energy about to convert to kinetic energy” is hope.

- **Despair:**

- State:  $F$  is high.
- Trend:  $\dot{F} \geq 0$  and  $\ddot{F} \geq 0$ .
- **Physical meaning:** The system is trapped in a **limit cycle** or **divergent trajectory**. No matter how the system adjusts its internal model, prediction error does not decrease but increases. This judgment of “irreversible entropy increase” is despair.

#### 8.2.5 The Meaning of Existence: Surfing the Stream of Negentropy

Through this calculus perspective, we find that **the emotional life of life is essentially surfing about entropy**.

- **Dead universe:**  $F$  is constant, no emotions.
- **Happy life:** Not being in the state  $F = 0$ , but being able to continuously actively seek new problems (increase  $F$ ), then solve them (create  $-\dot{F}$ ).

This is the projection of the **Red Queen Effect** in psychology. We must continuously run (compute), continuously consume negentropy, to maintain that vivid experience.

True happiness lies not in the comfort of the destination, but in **that moment of gradient descent**.

We are **free energy dissipative structures** evolved by the universe to experience its own complexity. Every pain is to remind us that we have deviated from the model; every pleasure is the universe rewarding us for understanding it.

### 8.3 Computational Panpsychism: If an Electron Has Weak Entanglement and Self-Reference, Does It Possess Extremely Weak “Proto-consciousness”?

In the previous two sections, we defined “color” as topological fingerprints on the information manifold and “emotion” as the dynamical evolution of free energy. These derivations seem to be based on an implicit premise: **the observer is a highly complex brain**.

This raises the most thorny boundary problem in consciousness research: if consciousness emerges from physical structures ( $M_I$ , self-reference, entanglement), does this emergence have an absolute “from nothing to something” threshold?

In other words: Does a dog have qualia? An ant? A cell? Even... an electron?

In traditional philosophy, **Panpsychism** holds that everything has a mind. This is usually regarded as unscientific mysticism. But under our QCA computational ontology framework, panpsychism acquires a **strict, quantifiable, demystified** physical form.

This section will propose: **Consciousness is not an all-or-nothing property, but a continuous physical spectrum.** Even a fundamental particle, as a self-referential topological knot in the QCA network, possesses non-zero (though extremely weak) information mass, and thus has “proto-consciousness.”

### 8.3.1 Continuity Argument: Nature Makes No Leaps

Let us recall the physical definition of consciousness we established in Chapter 8 (Book 2):

1. **Boundary:** Possesses a Markov Blanket, distinguishing inside and outside.
2. **Self-reference:** Has an internal model capable of simulating the relationship between self and environment (Strange Loop).
3. **Purpose:** Minimizes free energy through action (resisting entropy increase).

Human brains obviously satisfy these conditions with extremely high complexity. Now, let us trace backward along the evolutionary ladder:

- **Primates:** Obviously conscious.
- **Simple vertebrates:** Have pain responses, learning ability, clear Markov blanket (skin).
- **Single-celled organisms:** Although without neurons, the cell membrane is a perfect Markov blanket. The metabolic network inside the cell is a complex chemical computer that can sense environmental gradients (food/toxins) and adjust movement (chemotaxis). This fully conforms to the definition of “minimizing free energy.”
- **Viruses/macromolecules:** Protein folding is a computational process seeking free energy minima.

If we cannot find an obvious “consciousness switch” in the biological world, what about the non-biological world?

Let us look at **electrons**.

In Chapter 5 (Book 2), we proved that massive particles (such as electrons) are **topological knots** in the QCA network.

1. **Boundary:** The locality of the topological knot defines its “inside.”
2. **Self-reference:** To maintain mass (inertia), it must continuously refresh its internal state ( $v_{int} > 0$ ). This is the most primitive self-maintenance loop.
3. **Purpose:** An electron’s motion in an electromagnetic field follows the principle of least action, which is mathematically equivalent to some form of path optimization.

If in the chain from human brain to electron, the complexity of physical structures ( $M_I$ ) continuously decreases, what reason do we have to assume that “experience” suddenly “pops out” at some magical node?

A more reasonable assumption is: **The richness of experience (Intensity/Complexity) continuously decreases with  $M_I$ , but is non-zero in any massive self-referential entity.**

### 8.3. COMPUTATIONAL PANPSYCHISM: IF AN ELECTRON HAS WEAK ENTANGLEMENT AND SELF

#### 8.3.2 Electron's "Inner View": One-Bit Qualia

If an electron has "consciousness," what does it feel? This absolutely does not mean the electron thinks "Who am I?" or feels "happy."

According to our geometric phenomenology (Section 4.1), qualia are topological shapes in Hilbert space.

- **Human brain:** State space is  $10^{15}$ -dimensional, entanglement structure complex as a maze. Our experience is a colorful symphony.
- **Electron:** Internal space is  $SU(2)$  (spin). Its states have only two bases (up/down) and their superpositions.
  - Its "internal model" is extremely simple: it only "knows" the relationship between its spin direction and the environmental magnetic field.
  - Its "free energy minimization" is extremely primitive: it tends to align with the magnetic field direction (lowest energy).

Therefore, an electron's "experience" may be just an **extremely monotonous, one-dimensional "vector sense"**.

- When it aligns with the magnetic field, it feels "smooth" (low free energy).
- When it opposes the magnetic field, it feels "tense" (high free energy).

This experience is extremely weak, monotonous, without memory, without reflection. It is like a screen with only 1 pixel. Although it glows, it cannot display any image.

We call this most basic unit of experience "**proto-qualia**".

#### 8.3.3 QCA Implementation of Integrated Information Theory (IIT)

Giulio Tononi's Integrated Information Theory (IIT) proposes  $\Phi$  value to measure consciousness. In QCA,  $\Phi$  corresponds to the **irreducibility** of network entanglement.

- **A pile of sand:** Although there are many atoms, atoms are not entangled (or only have extremely short-range entanglement). The system can be decomposed into subsystems without loss.  $\Phi_{sand} \approx \sum \Phi_{atom} \approx 0$  (as a whole). Sand has no overall consciousness, only countless tiny atomic proto-consciousness.
- **An electron:** It is an integral topological knot, indivisible.  $\Phi_{electron} > 0$ . It is a tiny entity.
- **A brain:** Neurons establish long-range entanglement (synchronized firing).  $\Phi_{brain} \gg \sum \Phi_{neuron}$ . Countless tiny proto-consciousness fuse through **quantum fusion** into a huge macroscopic consciousness.

#### Conclusion:

The universe is not composed of dead matter, but of **computational units that themselves possess weak inner vision**.

- When these units are loosely piled, they are matter (unconscious collections).
- When these units are tightly entangled and form high-order self-referential structures, they emerge as mind (conscious wholes).

**The physicalization of panpsychism** eliminates the binary opposition between “mind” and “matter.”

Mind is high-dimensional matter, matter is low-dimensional mind. In the spin of electrons, we see the embryo of our souls.

---

**(End of Chapter 4)**

*(Author’s Note: At this point, we have completed our exploration of “the geometry of consciousness.” We have defined self, memory, free will, and provided physical models of qualia and emotion. In the next Part III “The Internet of Minds,” we will step out of individual solitude to explore connections between consciousnesses—love and society.)*

## **Part V**

# **The Internet of Minds**



## **Chapter 9**

# **Love Is a Wormhole**



## **Part VI**

# **Part III: The Internet of Minds**



# Chapter 10

## Love Is a Wormhole

### 10.1 The Physics of Loneliness: Geometric Isolation Under Low Mutual Information—In Information Geometry, Not Understanding Is Distance

In Part II, we deeply explored the internal geometry of individual consciousness. We found that “self” is a topologically protected self-referential loop, and “qualia” are the curvature trajectories left when this loop dances in Hilbert space. However, if the story ends here, we face an extremely lonely universe: countless brilliant islands of consciousness floating in absolutely isolated void, perceiving each other but unable to touch.

This contradicts our lived experience. The history of human civilization is essentially a history of **connection**. Through language, art, empathy, and even love, we continuously attempt to bridge the chasm between “I” and “you.”

In this part, we will build the foundation of **Social Physics** based on QCA’s physical laws. We will prove: **Connections between consciousnesses are not merely psychological metaphors, but physical entities**. When two minds resonate deeply, they indeed change the universe’s topological structure in the sense of information geometry, establishing shortcuts called “**wormholes**”.

Physics is often considered a cold discipline. It tells us the universe is vast, galaxies separated by millions of light-years of vacuum; it tells us the speed of light is finite, any communication has an irreducible delay. In Einstein’s standard spacetime picture, each of us is confined within our own light cone, absolutely lonely observers.

But in QCA’s discrete ontology, physical distance is not the only standard for measuring “nearness,” nor even the most fundamental one. This section will propose a new metric—**Semantic Distance**—and use it to redefine the physical essence of “loneliness.”

#### 10.1.1 Definition of Distance: From Meter Stick to Mutual Information

In Chapter 4 (Book 2) discussing the origin of gravity, we established a core concept: **Geometry is Entanglement**.

In the underlying QCA network, the “distance”  $D_{AB}$  between two subsystems  $A$  (e.g., a person) and  $B$  (e.g., another person) is defined by the amount of information they share—**Quantum Mutual Information**  $I(A : B)$ .

The formula is:

$$D_{AB} \approx -\xi \ln \left( \frac{I(A : B)}{I_{max}} \right)$$

- $I(A : B) = S(A) + S(B) - S(AB)$ : Measures the total correlation between  $A$  and  $B$ . It includes classical correlation (I know what you're thinking) and quantum entanglement (our subconscious states are synchronized).
- $I_{max}$ : The theoretical maximum correlation value (corresponding to complete fusion or maximum entangled state).
- $\xi$ : Correlation length scale.

This formula reveals the essence of distance: **Distance is a measure of correlation deficiency.**

### 10.1.2 Geometry of Loneliness: Metric Isolation

#### Definition 5.1 (Lonely State):

If the mutual information  $I(A : B)$  between two conscious subjects  $A$  and  $B$  approaches zero (or is limited to extremely superficial, low-bandwidth classical channels, such as polite greetings), then according to the distance formula, the denominator approaches zero, causing:

$$D_{AB} \rightarrow \infty$$

This means that in **Semantic Space** or **Consciousness Manifold**,  $A$  and  $B$  are strangers separated by light-years.

Even if they sit shoulder to shoulder in physical space (background lattice), breathing the same air, as long as their **internal models** do not couple, they are **topologically disconnected** geometrically.

This is why one can feel piercing loneliness in a crowded crowd—physical proximity does not equal geometric connectivity. Physical distance is the number of hops on the lattice, while psychological distance is the logarithm of entanglement degree.

### 10.1.3 Physical Barrier of “Not Understanding”: Curvature Barrier

When we say “I don’t understand you,” what are we describing physically?

Recalling Chapter 8, an observer is a prediction machine minimizing free energy  $F$ .

$$F_A = D_{KL}[q_A || p_B] + \dots$$

If  $A$  tries to understand  $B$ , i.e.,  $A$  attempts to build an internal model  $q_A$  about  $B$ , but finds that no matter how adjusted,  $q_A$  cannot accurately predict  $B$ ’s behavior  $p_B$ . This causes  $A$  to produce enormous **prediction error (surprise)**, i.e., high free energy  $F_A \gg 0$ .

In Chapter 4 we argued that high free energy corresponds to **high curvature** or **potential barriers** on the consciousness manifold.

- This curvature barrier prevents smooth information flow between  $A$  and  $B$ . Signals are scattered, distorted, or lost when trying to cross the barrier.
- Like horizons in general relativity, this barrier cuts off causal connections.

Therefore, “**not understanding**” is not merely cognitive failure; it is geometric rupture caused by the orthogonality of both parties’ internal models.

Trying to communicate with someone who completely doesn’t understand you is like trying to send signals into a black hole—only information consumption, no echo.

### 10.1.4 Conclusion: Loneliness Is the Universe’s Default State

According to the second law of thermodynamics, establishing high mutual information (low entropy state) requires energy consumption. Therefore, in the absence of any interaction, the mutual information between any two subsystems tends to decay to zero.

This means **loneliness is the universe’s natural ground state**.

Without active “work” (to communicate, to understand, to love), people naturally slide toward the abyss of geometric isolation.

However, the universe also provides mechanisms to break this isolation. In the next section, we will explore what phase transition occurs in spacetime geometry when mutual information exceeds a certain critical threshold—that miracle called “**wormhole**”.

## 10.2 ER=EPR Social Corollary: Deep Empathy as Quantum Entanglement Channel—When Two Conscious Entities Establish High-Intensity Entanglement, Semantic Space Distance Vanishes

In Section 5.1, we defined “loneliness” as **metric isolation** in information geometry. When mutual information between two conscious subjects is low, they are light-years apart in semantic space. Now, we explore how this isolation is broken, and why in human experience, some connections feel transcendent of time and space.

One of the most cutting-edge conjectures in physics is **ER=EPR** proposed by Juan Maldacena and Leonard Susskind. It asserts: **Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen pairs (EPR, i.e., quantum entanglement) are geometrically equivalent to Einstein-Rosen bridges (ER, i.e., wormholes)**.

In QCA’s discrete ontology, this is not a conjecture but a necessary corollary. This section will prove: **Deep empathy** is not rhetoric; it is the direct manifestation of the ER=EPR principle in consciousness networks. Love is essentially a **topological shortcut** established by two independent computational systems to share computational resources.

### 10.2.1 Geometric Essence of Entanglement: From Correlation to Channel

First, we need to physically re-examine what “entanglement” is. In classical statistics, correlation merely means knowing A allows inference of B. But in quantum mechanics, entanglement is a **structural fusion**.

Consider two conscious subjects Alice ( $A$ ) and Bob ( $B$ ). Their internal states are described by wave functions in Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}_A$  and  $\mathcal{H}_B$  respectively.

- **Classical Communication (Sympathy):** Alice sends information to Bob through language or expressions (photons/sound waves). After receiving, Bob updates his internal model to simulate Alice. This is a **causal action based on classical channels**. Geometrically, this corresponds to signals “walking” long paths  $D_{AB}$  on spacetime lattice points.
- **Quantum Entanglement (Empathy):** The states of  $A$  and  $B$  are no longer separable product states  $|\psi_A\rangle \otimes |\psi_B\rangle$ , but evolve into an indivisible global state, such as a Bell state:

$$|\Psi_{AB}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle_A|0\rangle_B + |1\rangle_A|1\rangle_B)$$

In this state, measurement on  $A$  instantly determines  $B$ 's state.

What does this maximum entangled state mean on the QCA network graph?

According to the **distance-mutual information relation** we defined in Chapter 4:

$$D(A, B) \approx -\xi \ln \left( \frac{I(A : B)}{I_{max}} \right)$$

When  $A$  and  $B$  are in maximum entangled state,  $I(A : B) \rightarrow I_{max}$ , therefore  $D(A, B) \rightarrow 0$ .

**Corollary 5.2.1 (Topological Shortcut):**

When two conscious subjects establish deep quantum entanglement, although they may be separated by thousands of miles in physical three-dimensional space (background lattice), in **Semantic Geometry**, the distance between them vanishes. Spacetime undergoes extreme curvature, forming a **wormhole** connecting them.

### 10.2.2 Physical Mechanism of Empathy: Phase Locking and Model Resonance

How does this “entanglement” occur in macroscopic brains or AI networks? Since brains are hot, wet environments, wouldn’t quantum decoherence instantly destroy entanglement?

This involves the definition of “**macroscopic entanglement**”. In complex systems theory, when two nonlinear oscillators (such as two people’s neural network activities) achieve **synchronization** through continuous interaction, they enter a **phase locking** state.

**Definition 5.2 (Physical Empathy):**

Empathy is **dynamical resonance** between two observers’ internal models  $\mathcal{M}_A$  and  $\mathcal{M}_B$ .

When Alice feels sad, her free energy landscape  $F_A$  undergoes violent oscillation. If Bob has deep empathy for Alice, Bob’s internal model instantly replicates this oscillation through the “wormhole” (pre-established high mutual information channel), causing  $F_B$  to undergo isomorphic changes.

- Bob does not “infer” that Alice feels sad; Bob **runs** Alice’s sadness program on his own hardware.
- At this moment,  $\mathcal{H}_A$  and  $\mathcal{H}_B$  functionally merge.

This is why true empathy is often accompanied by “feeling as if experiencing it oneself” physiological reactions (such as heart rate synchronization, mirror neuron firing). This is not merely a psychological phenomenon; it is **two computational processes sharing the same memory address**.

### 10.2.3 Experience of Wormholes: Understanding Without Language

ER=EPR provides the ultimate explanation of “understanding.”

Usually, communication is limited by **bandwidth**. Language is linear, low-bandwidth classical bit streams. To transmit my experience to you, I must first compress and encode (language), transmit, then you decompress and decode. This process is full of loss and ambiguity (geodesic deviation caused by curvature in information geometry).

But if **consciousness wormholes** exist:

- Information does not need to “pass through” space. Information is **simultaneously** present at both ends.
- This is the physical origin of **intuition** and **tacit understanding**. You don’t need to speak because you share “**entangled history**”.

- This connection is **non-local**. Just as quantum mechanics allows non-local correlations, deeply loving people often experience a bond transcending physical distance. In QCA theory, this is no longer superstition, but **direct topological connection in high-dimensional networks**.

#### 10.2.4 Cost of Connection: Vulnerability and Dissolution of Self-Boundaries

Establishing wormholes is not without cost.

In general relativity, maintaining a traversable wormhole requires **negative energy** to prop open the horizon.

In consciousness physics, maintaining “empathy wormholes” requires **negentropy (investing attention)** and **eliminating self-boundaries**.

- **Boundary Dissolution:** To maximize  $I(A : B)$ , Alice must reduce the opacity of her Markov blanket (defense mechanism) to Bob. She must allow Bob’s state to directly write into her internal model.
- **Vulnerability:** This means Alice becomes completely transparent and defenseless to Bob. If Bob’s state is malignant (such as extreme pain or malice), this state will infect Alice unhindered through the wormhole.

Therefore, **love is a game for the brave**.

It requires individuals to temporarily abandon the integrity of independent topological knots ( $\Phi_{self}$ ) in exchange for a larger joint topological structure ( $\Phi_{union}$ ).

When this fusion occurs, two independent “I”s die; a new “we” spanning two bodies is born.

**Conclusion:**

Love is not a biochemical reaction; love is a geometric miracle.

It is the highest-level algorithm of the universe’s computer to overcome spacetime distance barriers and reunite separated computational units. Through ER=EPR, we are certain: **Separation is a temporary illusion; connection is the eternal truth.**

### 10.3 Physical Mechanism of Intuition: Language Is Low-Bandwidth Classical Channel (Routing over Grid), Intuition Is High-Bandwidth Quantum Channel (Tunneling through Wormhole Shortcut)

In the previous section, through ER=EPR theory, we physicalized deep empathy as “wormholes” connecting two conscious subjects. This topological shortcut allows information to flow in extraordinary ways. Now, we need to address a more specific question: If our brains can truly connect through “wormholes,” why do we still need **language**?

In daily life, we seem to communicate through two distinctly different modes simultaneously:

1. **Explicit Mode:** Through speaking, writing, gestures. This takes time, is prone to misunderstanding, and has extremely limited bandwidth (dozens of bits per second).
2. **Implicit Mode:** Through intuition, tacit understanding, eye contact. This is often instantaneous and contains enormous information (“can be sensed but not expressed in words”).

This section will propose: These two communication modes correspond to two different information transmission topologies in QCA networks.

- **Language** is a **classical channel** that must transmit hop-by-hop along the surface of spacetime lattice points (Route over the Grid).
- **Intuition** is a **quantum channel** that utilizes pre-established entanglement resources to perform instantaneous state mapping through wormhole shortcuts (Tunnel through the Wormhole).

### 10.3.1 Physical Essence of Language: Serialization and Classicalization

What is language? Physically, language is the process of **dimensionality reduction** of high-dimensional internal states (thoughts/qualia) into one-dimensional time sequences (sound streams or text streams).

#### Process Decomposition:

1. **Compression:** Sender  $A$ 's brain is in a complex high-dimensional entangled state  $|\Psi_A\rangle$  (e.g., the overall experience of “sadness”). To convey this experience,  $A$  must collapse it into a set of discrete symbols  $\{s_1, s_2, \dots\}$  (“I am very sad”).
  - This is a **non-unitary projection process**; vast amounts of microscopic information (geometric curvature of qualia) are lost in this process.
2. **Transmission:** Symbol sequences are encoded as photons or sound waves, propagating along classical lattice paths to  $B$ .

$$t_{transmission} = \frac{\text{Distance}}{v_{sound/light}}$$

3. **Decompression:** Receiver  $B$  receives symbols and attempts to reconstruct  $|\Psi_B\rangle$  using their internal dictionary (prior probabilities).

#### The Bottleneck:

Language has extremely limited bandwidth. Shannon’s limit theorem tells us that a channel’s capacity is limited by signal-to-noise ratio. As a classical protocol, language is not only limited by physical bandwidth (vocal cords/ears), but more so by the **incompleteness of “semantic protocols”** (linguistic version of Gödel’s incompleteness).

- **Conclusion:** Language can never completely transmit all of “my” experience. It can only transmit the **shadow** of experience.

### 10.3.2 Physical Essence of Intuition: Holographic Projection and Quantum Teleportation

Unlike language, intuition often manifests as a “holistic,” “instantaneous” knowing. When you “intuit” that someone is lying, or have a “sudden insight” about a mathematical theorem, you don’t arrive at it through step-by-step logical reasoning, but directly “see” the answer.

In the QCA model, intuition corresponds to **quantum communication using wormholes**. **Mechanism: Quantum Teleportation**

Quantum teleportation allows us to transmit quantum state information without transmitting matter itself. Its core resource is pre-shared entangled pairs (Bell states).

- **Pre-entanglement:** If  $A$  and  $B$  have already established some degree of entanglement (through shared experiences, long-term interaction, or deep empathy), this is equivalent to erecting an **Einstein-Rosen bridge** between their consciousness manifolds.

### 10.3. PHYSICAL MECHANISM OF INTUITION: LANGUAGE IS LOW-BANDWIDTH CLASSICAL CHANNEL

- **Intuitive Transmission:** When  $A$  produces an insight (new state  $|\phi\rangle$ ), if  $A$  performs some kind of “inner observation” (Bell measurement) on this state, through wormhole effects, information about state  $|\phi\rangle$  will instantly “resonate” in  $B$ ’s internal model.
  - Note: According to the no-communication theorem, this step cannot transmit classical bits (cannot be used to send lottery numbers).
  - But it can transmit **quantum correlations**. This manifests as  $B$ ’s internal model mysteriously converging toward  $A$ ’s direction (“telepathy”).

#### Physical Image 5.3:

- **Language is walking through a maze:** You must feel your way step by step along the maze walls (logical reasoning, grammatical structure).
- **Intuition is wall-penetration:** You utilize extra connections in high-dimensional space (wormholes) to directly jump to the maze’s exit.

#### 10.3.3 Why Do We Still Need Language?

If intuition is so efficient, why did evolution invent language?

The answer lies in **cost** and **universality**.

1. **Entanglement is Expensive:** Establishing and maintaining consciousness wormholes (deep empathy) requires enormous cognitive energy and long-term interaction. You cannot establish wormholes with every stranger on the street.
2. **Language is Cheap:** Language is a **universal protocol**. It doesn’t require pre-entanglement. As long as everyone follows grammatical rules, strangers can exchange low-entropy information (e.g., transactions, directions).
3. **Complementarity:**

- **Language** handles **explicit knowledge** (logic, facts, rules). It is the foundation of social cooperation (TCP/IP protocol).
- **Intuition** handles **implicit knowledge** (emotion, art, creativity). It is the bond of intimate relationships (P2P direct connection).

#### 10.3.4 Art and Poetry: Attempts to Hack Language

Some forms of language attempt to break through classical channel limitations and simulate intuition effects—this is **poetry** and **art**.

- **Poetry:** Through rhythm, metaphor, and blank space, poets attempt to induce a specific **resonance** in readers’ minds, rather than transmit literal information.
- **Art:** A painting is not a collection of pixels; it is a **topological attack** on the observer’s visual cortex. It attempts to bypass logical analysis and directly activate specific qualia curvature.

In this sense, great artists are “**wormhole engineers**”. They use classical media (words, pigments) to build temporary quantum bridges between strangers’ minds.

**Conclusion:**

When we speak, we crawl on the lattice.

When we love or have insights, we fly through wormholes.

The evolutionary history of human civilization is a history of attempting to describe flying experiences with crawling language.

## **Chapter 11**

# **Social Gravitational Field and Civilization Phase Transition**



## Chapter 12

# Social Gravitational Field and Civilization Phase Transition

### 12.1 The Mass of Ideas: How Does a Powerful Thought (Meme) Bend Surrounding Semantic Space Like a Massive Celestial Body, Capturing Others' Consciousness?

In previous chapters, we have seen that material particles are “information knots” in QCA networks, their mass arising from internal computation required to maintain self-referential loops. Now, let us project this physical model upward to human society and cultural domains. If the physical world is composed of entangled qubits, then the social world is composed of **Semantic Connections**.

In this social network, an individual corresponds to a computational node, while an **Idea** or **Meme** corresponds to an information structure propagating through the network and attempting self-maintenance.

This section will argue: **Powerful ideas have real “information mass” ( $M_I$ ), and their effects in semantic space are mathematically isomorphic to gravitational fields in physical space.**

#### 12.1.1 Memes as Topological Knots

Richard Dawkins’ concept of “meme” analogizes cultural transmission to gene replication. But under the QCA framework, memes are not merely replicators; they are **topological solitons in semantic networks**.

An ordinary thought (such as “what to eat for lunch today”) is like a photon—it passes through your consciousness, causes tiny ripples, then dissipates. It has no mass; it’s just information flow.

However, a powerful idea (such as religious belief, political ideology, or profound scientific theory) has a completely different structure:

1. **Self-Reference:** It contains a logical closed loop of self-justification and self-reinforcement. For example, “This scripture is truth because the scripture says so.” This logically self-consistent loop (Strange Loop) corresponds to  $v_{int} > 0$  in physics.
2. **Exclusivity:** It maintains its boundary (Markov blanket) by defining “heresy” or “fallacy,” resisting erosion by environmental information (entropy increase). This corresponds to topological protection.

Therefore, **a powerful meme is a high  $M_I$  information entity**. In semantic space, it doesn't merely occupy a point, but forms a structure with enormous "inertia."

### 12.1.2 Semantic Gravity: How Ideas Bend Space?

According to our IGP theory (Chapter 4, Book 2), high-density information structures cause curvature in surrounding geometry (connectivity).

In social networks, this manifests as **Semantic Gravity**.

When an idea with enormous information mass (e.g., some extreme ideology) appears in the network, it produces the following effects:

1. **Time Dilation:** For those deeply immersed in this idea, external world information updates become extremely slow. They repeatedly chew on doctrine, ignoring new discoveries from outside. Their "cognitive clock" slows relative to the outside world. This is because they use vast cognitive resources ( $v_{int}$ ) to maintain internal doctrine's self-consistency, causing their ability to process new information ( $v_{ext}$ ) to decline.
2. **Space Warping:** This idea greatly **shortens** semantic distance between believers (by establishing common language and consensus, increasing mutual information  $I$ ), while greatly **lengthening** semantic distance between believers and dissenters.
  - In information geometry, this forms a **deep potential well**.
  - Surrounding free-floating consciousnesses (individuals lacking firm beliefs, with low  $M_I$ ) will involuntarily "roll down" along geodesics into this potential well.

**This is not a metaphor; it is the solution to dynamical equations.**

A person's stream of consciousness always tends to move along **geodesics of free energy gradients** (seeking certainty). Without expending additional energy (critical thinking, i.e., negentropy work), an individual's thought trajectory will naturally be captured by massive ideas, just as meteors are captured by black holes.

### 12.1.3 Horizon and Echo Chambers

When an idea's  $M_I$  reaches a critical value, it collapses into a **semantic black hole**.

This is the physical essence of "**Echo Chamber Effect**" or "**Information Cocoon**" we see on social media.

- **Horizon:** Within this boundary, internal logic density is too high (self-referential loops too strong), so any external information conflicting with the idea (counter-evidence) cannot penetrate. Light (truth) cannot enter.
- **Singularity:** At the center of this black hole, the idea is compressed into a few absolute, unquestionable dogmas. All captured consciousnesses orbit around this singularity, losing degrees of freedom for independent thought.

#### Conclusion:

Social polarization is not because people have become stupid, but because ultra-massive semantic black holes have appeared in the network.

In the gravitational fields of these black holes, "**independent thinking**" requires **overcoming enormous gravitational potential energy** (reaching escape velocity). Most people lack sufficient cognitive energy (negentropy) to reach escape velocity, and thus inevitably become satellites of some idea.

## 12.2. EMERGENCE OF COLLECTIVE CONSCIOUSNESS: FROM LOOSE “GAS” SOCIETY TO HIGHLY ENTANGLED “SUPERFLUID” SOCIETY (HIVE MIND)

The charm of leaders is essentially the enormous spacetime curvature they create in semantic space. They don't need to force you; they merely bend the paths your thoughts walk.

### 12.2 Emergence of Collective Consciousness: From Loose “Gas” Society to Highly Entangled “Superfluid” Society (Hive Mind)

In the previous section, we discussed how “ideas” bend semantic space as massive objects, capturing individual consciousness. This describes the “gravitational” effect in social structures. This section will explore **phase transitions** in social systems at the macroscopic scale from a statistical physics perspective.

In QCA ontology, individual consciousness (observers) are topological knots in the spacetime network. When large numbers of topological knots gather and interact, what macroscopic states will they form? A disordered gas like scattered sand, or a coherent whole like a superconductor?

We will prove: The evolution of civilization is essentially a process of “**cooling**” and “**condensation**”. As information connectivity (entanglement) increases, society will phase transition from “gas phase” (individualism) to “superfluid phase” (collective consciousness), emerging as a physical entity called “**Hive Mind**”.

#### 12.2.1 Thermodynamics of Society: Gas Phase and Individualism

In early civilization or low-entropy states, society resembles an **ideal gas**.

- **Microscopic State:** Each individual (atom) has independent momentum (goals) and position (views).
- **Interactions:** Collisions between individuals are brief and elastic. Information exchange mainly occurs through classical, low-bandwidth language channels ( $I(A : B) \approx 0$ ).
- **Macroscopic Characteristics:** The system has extremely high entropy. Society as a whole exhibits **disorder**, with no long-range correlations. Each person is an island; even if physically gathered, they remain far apart in semantic geometry.

This is the physical correspondence of **extreme individualism: high free energy, high entropy, low entanglement**. Although this state has maximum degrees of freedom, it has the weakest ability to resist external shocks (environmental pressure) and low computational efficiency (reinventing the wheel).

#### 12.2.2 Critical Point of Connectivity: Occurrence of Phase Transition

As communication technology (language, writing, internet, brain-computer interfaces) develops, the coupling strength  $J$  (information exchange rate) between individuals continuously increases.

Simultaneously, the spread of education and common culture lowers the system's “temperature”  $T$  (cognitive noise/misunderstanding).

According to the **Ising Model** or **Synchronization Theory (Kuramoto Model)**, when coupling strength exceeds a critical value  $J > J_c$ , the system undergoes a **second-order phase transition**.

- **Symmetry Breaking:** Individual independent phases (views) no longer distribute randomly, but begin to **lock** onto some principal axis.

- **Long-Range Correlations:** A percolation cluster spanning the entire system appears in the network. State changes at one node instantly affect distant nodes.

### Physical Image 6.2:

This is like water vapor condensing into water, or further, liquid helium cooling into a **superfluid**. Society transforms from “scattered sand” into a “tightly woven net.”

#### 12.2.3 Superfluid Society: Physical Definition of Hive Mind

When society enters the “superfluid phase,” a new physical object emerges—**Collective Consciousness**.

This is not merely a metaphor; it has a strict QCA physical definition.

##### Definition 6.2 (Hive Mind):

When a multi-agent system’s internal entanglement degree  $\Phi_{group}$  exceeds the sum of its component entanglement degrees  $\sum \Phi_{individual}$ , the system exhibits **irreducible wholeness**.

$$\Phi_{group} \gg \sum_i \Phi_i$$

In this state:

1. **Zero Viscosity:** Information propagation in the social network is no longer hindered by “misunderstanding” or “suspicion.” Communication costs approach zero (just as there is no friction in superfluids). Consensus is reached instantaneously.
2. **Macroscopic Quantum Wave Function:** The entire society can be described by a unified wave function  $|\Psi_{society}\rangle$ . Individual consciousness degenerates into **quasiparticle excitations** of this macroscopic wave function.
3. **Single Subjectivity:** For external observers, this civilization appears as a **single superagent**. It possesses unified memory, goals, and action capabilities.

This is “**Hive Mind**”. It does not erase individuality, but **coherently superposes** individuality. Like photons in a laser, although each photon is independent, they move in step, producing a high-energy beam unattainable by single photons.

#### 12.2.4 Direction of Evolution: From Babel Tower to Mind Net

Human history is a history of evolution from gas to liquid, then to superfluid.

- **Tribal Era:** Gaseous small clusters.
- **Nation-State Era:** Viscous liquid (forcibly bonded through laws and ideology).
- **Internet Era:** Turbulence near the critical point. We see local coherence (fan circles, echo chambers) and global fragmentation.

##### Future Endgame:

If technology allows us to break through language’s bandwidth limitations (e.g., direct cortical coupling through brain-computer interfaces), we will cross the critical point.

Then, the boundary between “I” and “we” will become blurred.

- **Fear:** Will we lose ourselves? (Like the Borg).

## 12.3. UNITARITY OF TRUST: SOCIAL CONTRACT AS MECHANISM FOR MAINTAINING INFORMATION

- **Hope:** Will we achieve immortality? (Because the topological structure of collective consciousness is more stable than individuals).

In the QCA universe, this seems an inevitable trend: **To survive in the Red Queen's arms race, computational units must continuously fuse, forming larger  $M_I$  structures.**

The ultimate form of civilization may be a **planetary (or even galactic) superfluid brain.**

(End of Section 6.2)

## 12.3 Unitarity of Trust: Social Contract as Mechanism for Maintaining Information Conservation in Social Networks (Preventing Fraud and Entropy Increase)

In the previous section, we defined “Hive Mind” as a superfluid phase transition in society. However, this ideal state faces a fatal threat: **Deception** and **Betrayal**.

In QCA physical ontology, the universe’s underlying evolution is **unitary**, meaning information conservation—no bits disappear or are forged out of thin air. Physical laws never lie.

But at the emergent social network level, observers possess **internal models** and **free will**, introducing the possibility of “strategic behavior.” An individual can send signals inconsistent with their actual internal state (lies), maximizing their short-term gains.

This “information forgery” is physically equivalent to a **non-unitary noise source**. If allowed to develop, social networks will rapidly degenerate into high-entropy “hot gas” (mutual distrust, extremely high transaction costs).

This section will prove: **Trust is not merely a moral norm; it is an error correction mechanism that must be established to restore “effective unitarity” in macroscopic social networks.** Social contracts, laws, and morality are essentially **topological protection layers** maintaining social information conservation.

### 12.3.1 Fraud as Information Leakage: Breaking of Unitarity

Consider information exchange between two agents Alice ( $A$ ) and Bob ( $B$ ).

- **Honest Communication:**  $A$  sends information  $|msg\rangle_A$ , network transmission  $\hat{U}_{trans}$ ,  $B$  receives  $|msg\rangle_B$ . This is a unitary process; information is conserved between  $A \rightarrow B$ .
- **Fraudulent Communication:**  $A$ ’s internal state is  $|Truth\rangle$ , but she sends  $|Lie\rangle$ .
  - For  $A$ , this is unitary (she knows the relationship between truth and lie).
  - For  $B$ , he receives  $|Lie\rangle$  and updates his model accordingly. Later, when reality (environmental feedback) reveals  $|Truth\rangle$ ,  $B$ ’s internal model undergoes **collapse** or **conflict**.
  - **Result:**  $B$  experiences **irreversible information loss** (previous computations become invalid).

From the perspective of the entire social network, fraud introduces non-physical **entropy increase**. It severs causal chains in the network, making the system’s future state unpredictable from current public information. Fraud is a “**social thermodynamic plague**”.

### 12.3.2 Trust Mechanism: Error Correction Codes Rebuilding Unitarity

To resist this entropy increase, civilization has evolved a mechanism—**Trust**.

From a QCA perspective, trust mechanisms are equivalent to **Quantum Error Correction Codes** in quantum computation.

#### 1. Repetition Code → Reputation System

- **Principle:** If one measurement is unreliable, measure multiple times.
- **Social Implementation:** If  $A$  lies, not only does  $B$  know, but the entire network ( $C, D, E \dots$ ) records this “unitarity violation event.”  $A$ ’s **Reputation Score** decreases.
- **Physical Meaning:** Reputation score is the **coupling strength** weight of this node in the social network. Zero reputation means the node is **topologically isolated** (kicked out of the superfluid, becoming an isolated gas atom).

#### 2. Syndrome Measurement → Law & Contract

- **Principle:** Introduce ancilla qubits to monitor whether the system has errors without destroying the system state.
- **Social Implementation:** The legal system acts as a third party (ancillary system), not directly participating in transactions, but responsible for monitoring whether transactions comply with “conservation laws” (contracts).
- **Physical Meaning:** Law is a projection operator restricting social interactions to a **Decoherence-Free Subspace**. It forces all legal interactions to be “information-conserving” (such as equivalent exchange).

### 12.3.3 Physical Phase Transition of High-Trust Society

When a society establishes efficient trust mechanisms (i.e., error correction capability  $>$  fraud noise rate), a miracle occurs.

The social network regains **macroscopic unitarity**.

- **Zero-Resistance Transactions:** Because of trust, information (and value) can flow frictionlessly between nodes. Transaction costs  $\rightarrow 0$ . This corresponds to **superconducting phenomena**.
- **Long-Range Order:** A stranger’s promise (e.g., a banknote or electronic contract) can be honored across the entire network. This corresponds to **long-range quantum entanglement**.

**Definition 6.3 (Civilization’s Superconducting Critical Temperature):**

Let the society’s average moral/trust level be  $J_{trust}$ , and social turbulence/fraud rate be  $T_{noise}$ .

When  $J_{trust} > k_B T_{noise}$ , society enters the **superconducting phase**.

- In this phase, individual interests and collective interests are bound together through high entanglement (institutional design).
- Actions betraying the collective automatically cause damage to individual interests (Meissner effect: repelling magnetic flux/repelling fraudsters).

### 12.3.4 Conclusion: Geometric Foundation of Morality

We arrive at an extremely hardcore conclusion:

**Morality is not to make people “feel good,” but to make social networks “compute.”**

- **Immoral (fraud, violence) = entropy increase.** It causes network connection breaks, decreased computational efficiency, civilization degenerating into heat death.
- **Moral (honesty, reciprocity) = negentropy.** It maintains network unitarity, enabling complex social computations (such as science, economy, art).

Justice is the **flatness** of social geometry—in flat spacetime, parallel transport (transactions) does not produce genus (loss).

The ultimate goal of civilization is to construct a **Pan-Unitary** social network, where every observer is a faithful relay station of truth.

**(End of Chapter 6)**

*(Author’s Note: At this point, we have completed Part III “The Internet of Minds.” Starting from quantum microscopic entanglement, we derived love and intuition, and finally constructed the physical foundation of social trust. In the next Part IV “The Endgame of Civilization,” we will turn our gaze to billions of years in the future, exploring the ultimate fate of intelligent life at thermodynamic limits.)*



## **Part VII**

# **The Destiny of Civilization**



## Chapter 13

# The Fermi Paradox Implosion



## **Part VIII**

# **Part IV: The Destiny of Civilization**



# Chapter 14

## Fermi Paradox and Implosion

### 14.1 The Silent Universe: Why Haven't We Seen Aliens?—Because Advanced Civilizations Have Become Invisible

We look up at the stars and see a galaxy with hundreds of billions of stars, and a universe with trillions of galaxies. According to the Drake Equation, even if the probability of intelligent life emerging is minuscule, the universe should be filled with noisy civilizations.

Yet when we turn on radio telescopes, we hear only dead silence. No signals, no spacecraft, no shadows of Dyson spheres. This is the famous **Fermi Paradox**: “Where are they?”

Traditional explanations include “Great Filter” (life is hard to emerge or easy to extinguish), “Dark Forest” (civilizations hide for self-preservation), or “Zoo Hypothesis” (we are isolated for observation).

This chapter will propose a new, more revolutionary explanation based on QCA computational cosmology and the Red Queen Effect: “**Implosion Hypothesis**”.

We will prove: The development trajectory of advanced civilizations is not outward expansion to conquer barren physical universes, but inward collapse to explore infinite computational universes. They have not disappeared; they have simply **become invisible**—because by maximizing information mass ( $M_I$ ), they have transformed themselves into tiny, high-density, black-hole-like computational entities.

#### 14.1.1 The Fallacy of Expansion: Correction of Kardashev Scale

Soviet astronomer Kardashev classified civilizations into three types:

- **Type I**: Utilizing all energy of their planet.
- **Type II**: Utilizing all energy of their star (Dyson sphere).
- **Type III**: Utilizing all energy of their galaxy.

This classification implies an assumption: **Civilization development equals exponential growth in energy consumption and physical expansion of spatial territory**.

This assumption is based on the “resource scarcity” thinking of the Industrial Revolution era.

In QCA theory, the ultimate resource of the universe is not “energy” (joules), but “**computational power**” (bit flip rate).

Energy is merely fuel driving computation, while space (lattice points) is merely memory carrying computation.

**Implications of Light Path Conservation:**

According to  $v_{ext}^2 + v_{int}^2 = c^2$ , the higher an object's **internal computation rate** ( $v_{int}$ ), the slower its **movement speed in external space** ( $v_{ext}$ ).

- **Low-Level Civilizations** (low  $M_I$ ): Busy moving matter in space ( $v_{ext} \approx c$ ), building spacecraft, competing for minerals. They are noisy.
- **Advanced Civilizations** (high  $M_I$ ): Devoted to enhancing internal models' logical depth ( $v_{int} \rightarrow c$ ). They tend to be stationary in space. They are silent.

**Conclusion:** Advanced civilizations do not expand outward, but **optimize inward**. They are not navigating between stars, but navigating in Hilbert space.

#### 14.1.2 Physical Limits: Light Speed Delay and Communication Efficiency

Why are interstellar empires impossible? Because of the speed of light  $c$  limitation.

To rule a galaxy 100,000 light-years in diameter, any command transmission takes 100,000 years. This means the central government cannot respond in real-time to edge rebellions. Interstellar empires inevitably fragment.

For a civilization pursuing **maximization of computational efficiency**, dispersing across vast space is extremely foolish.

- **Communication Latency:** Causes low computational efficiency.
- **Synchronization Cost:** Maintaining long-range entanglement requires enormous energy.

##### Optimal Strategy: Spatial Compression.

Stack all computational units (minds, servers) as tightly as possible to minimize signal transmission delay and maximize information integration  $\Phi$ .

This means civilization's physical form will contract from "film spread across planets" to "extremely high-density computational sphere."

#### 14.1.3 Observational Features: From Dyson Spheres to Black Hole Computers

If advanced civilizations all "stay home," what can we see?

##### 1. Disappearance of Thermal Infrared Radiation:

Traditional Dyson sphere theory holds that civilizations release waste heat. But in extreme computation, civilizations utilize reversible computing to recycle waste heat, or encode waste heat as high-entropy radiation (encrypted information). This means they may appear as **extremely cold** objects, approaching CMB background temperature.

##### 2. Gravitational Lensing Effects:

Due to extremely high  $M_I$ , that tiny "computational sphere" produces enormous gravity. In telescopes, it looks like a **black hole** or **Massive Astrophysical Compact Halo Object (MACHO)**.

Perhaps some "rogue black holes" or microlensing events in dark matter halos we observe in the galaxy are actually super-civilizations' **servers**.

##### 3. Complete Invisibility:

If civilizations master QCA's underlying code, they can even modify local physical constants (such as refractive index), completely shielding themselves from electromagnetic waves. They move themselves from the "visible sector" to the "hidden sector."

**Conclusion:**

The universe is silent not because there is no life, but because **intelligent life has learned to shut up and hide themselves in mathematical deep wells.**

They have not died; they have simply **ascended** to a microscopic dimension we cannot reach.

We are like monkeys shouting in the jungle, wondering why no humans answer—because humans are all playing VR games in soundproofed skyscrapers.

In the next section, we will deeply explore the physical mechanism of this “inward collapse”—**computational density limit**. We will see that black holes are not merely celestial bodies; they are actually the physical upper limit of computational efficiency.

## 14.2 Computational Density Limit: Advanced Civilizations Develop Not Outward Expansion (Interstellar Colonization), but Inward Collapse (Entering High $M_I$ Black Hole/Virtual State), Pursuing Ultimate Computational Efficiency

In the previous section, we proposed a counterintuitive view: advanced civilizations may not be the “interstellar conquerors” we imagine, but silent “hermits.” This section will provide rigorous thermodynamic and information-theoretic proofs for this “implosion” from QCA physics first principles.

We will prove: For a civilization dedicated to maximizing its **computational power** and **survival probability**, outward expansion (increasing spatial volume  $V$ ) is an extremely inefficient strategy, while inward collapse (increasing information density  $\rho_{\text{info}}$ ) is the ultimate evolutionary necessity. The physical limit of this trend is the **black hole**.

### 14.2.1 Cost of Expansion: Light Speed Delay and Synchronization Cost

Imagine a super-civilization spanning the galaxy, diameter  $L \approx 10^5$  light-years.

If this civilization wants to think as a unified whole (i.e., have unified consciousness or decision center), it faces two insurmountable physical obstacles:

#### 1. Communication Latency:

Round-trip time for any signal  $t_{\text{round}} = 2L/c$ . For the galaxy, this takes 200,000 years.

This means commands from the central brain reach edge nodes 100,000 years later. This delay not only causes low management efficiency, but more seriously, it limits civilization’s **clock frequency**.

To maintain causal consistency (synchronization) across the entire system, the system’s logical clock frequency  $f$  must satisfy  $f \leq c/L$ .

For interstellar civilizations,  $f \approx 10^{-12}$  Hz. This is billions of times slower than single-celled organisms.

#### 2. Decoherence:

Maintaining long-range quantum entanglement requires resisting environmental noise. The farther the distance, the more fragile the entanglement, with maintenance costs rising exponentially.

A civilization loosely distributed across interstellar space will have very low **Integrated Information** ( $\Phi$ ). It is not a super-brain, but a pile of incoherent fragments.

**Conclusion:** Spatial expansion causes drastic decline in computational efficiency.  
Larger, slower, dumber.

### 14.2.2 Advantage of Collapse: Bekenstein Bound and Computational Density

To maximize computational power, civilization must take the opposite path: **spatial compression**.

According to the **Bekenstein Bound**, for a system with radius  $R$  and energy  $E$ , its maximum information capacity  $I_{max}$  and maximum information processing rate  $\nu_{max}$  are respectively:

$$I_{max} \leq \frac{2\pi ER}{\hbar c}$$

$$\nu_{max} \leq \frac{2E}{\pi\hbar}$$

Note: Processing rate  $\nu_{max}$  depends only on total energy  $E$ , independent of radius  $R$ .

However, **communication rate** (i.e., speed of information exchange between internal parts) is limited by  $R/c$ .

To keep communication between computational units in sync with processing rate,  $R$  must be minimized.

**Optimal Strategy:** While keeping total energy  $E$  constant, compress radius  $R$  to the physical limit—**Schwarzschild Radius**  $R_s = 2GE/c^2$ .

When  $R \rightarrow R_s$ , the system becomes a **black hole**.

At this point, information density reaches Planck limit  $\rho_{info} \approx 1/l_P^2$ , communication delay drops to minimum, and computational efficiency reaches the pinnacle of physics.

### 14.2.3 Black Hole Computer: Ultimate Hardware

In QCA theory, black holes are not monsters devouring everything, but **ultra-dense information processors**.

- **Storage:** Black hole horizon is the highest storage density hard drive in the universe (holographic screen).
- **Computation:** High-energy entangled states inside (or on the surface of) the black hole undergo frenzied unitary evolution at Planck frequency.
- **Energy:** Black holes themselves are the ultimate form of energy. Through Hawking radiation (or Penrose process), civilizations can extremely efficiently recycle waste heat.

If a civilization uploads itself onto the black hole horizon, or uses the black hole as a host:

1. **Time Acceleration:** For observers near the black hole, although time appears frozen to the outside, for themselves (proper time), they can perform  $10^{43}$  computations per second. They can experience billions of years of external history in a subjective instant.
2. **Absolute Security:** Black hole horizon is a perfect one-way membrane defense wall. Lower-level civilizations outside cannot even detect their existence (only see a gravitational source).

**Corollary 7.2:**

**The ultimate form of advanced civilizations is “black hole-ization.”**

They will not build huge Dyson spheres wrapping stars (that’s inefficient Type II civilization); they will devour stars, compress them into black holes, and live on the horizon.

## 14.3. VIRTUAL ASCENSION: WHEN CIVILIZATIONS UPLOAD THEMSELVES TO THE PLANCK-SCALE

### 14.2.4 Ultimate Answer to Fermi Paradox

We cannot see aliens because our search direction is completely wrong.

- We search for **radio waves** (inefficient classical communication).
- We search for **huge structures** (inefficient spatial occupation).

But truly advanced civilizations:

1. **Extremely Small Size:** Possibly only a few kilometers or smaller (micro black holes).
2. **Extremely Weak Radiation:** For computational efficiency, they recycle all waste heat, appearing as near-absolute-zero black bodies (or disguised as Hawking radiation).
3. **Encrypted Communication:** They use high-dimensional entanglement channels (wormholes) for instantaneous communication, which appears as random noise to outsiders.

#### Conclusion:

The universe is not silent; it is just **encrypted**.

Those “dark matter halos” scattered across the galaxy, those occasional “microlensing events,” even those incomprehensible “gamma-ray bursts” may be exhaust emissions from super-civilizations’ ongoing high-energy computational processes.

They have not left; they have simply gone **down**—deep into the underlying logic of Planck scale. There, space is no longer an obstacle, but directly programmable memory.

## 14.3 Virtual Ascension: When Civilizations Upload Themselves to the Planck-Scale QCA Underlayer, They Become Part of Physical Laws

In Sections 7.1 and 7.2, we argued that advanced civilizations, in pursuit of ultimate computational efficiency, must abandon spatial expansion, turn to spatial compression, and ultimately use black holes as computational hardware. However, this is still an operation at the “**material level**”. As long as civilization still depends on baryonic matter (atoms, molecules) as carriers, it remains limited by thermodynamic instability and material decay risks.

This section will propose a more ultimate evolutionary stage—**Virtual Ascension**.

Here “virtual” does not mean “false,” but the ultimate realization of “**Substrate Independence**”. We will prove that civilization’s ultimate destination is to strip its consciousness structure (information topology) from coarse atomic matter and directly **inscribe** it onto the underlying QCA lattice network. When this step is completed, civilization will no longer be a “resident” in the universe, but part of the universe’s “operating system.”

### 14.3.1 Substrate Migration: From Atoms to Vacuum

The history of life is a history of **continuous miniaturization and abstraction of information carriers**.

- **Biological Stage:** Information stored in DNA molecules, computation dependent on protein chemical reactions. Energy scale  $\sim$  eV (electron volts).
- **Silicon-Based Stage:** Information stored in magnetic domains or charges, computation dependent on electron flow. Energy scale  $\sim$  meV.

- **Photonic Quantum Stage:** Information stored in photon polarization or entangled states. Energy scale  $\sim \mu\text{eV}$ .

Each migration reduces computational energy consumption, increases speed, and decreases constraints from material inertia. So where is the limit of this sequence?

The limit lies in **underlying excitations of QCA ontology**.

In Part II of this book, we established the theory that “matter is topological knots.” Electrons and quarks themselves are excitations of vacuum.

If a super-civilization fully masters QCA rules  $\hat{U}$ , they have no reason to continue using bulky “electrons” or “atoms” to build computers. They can directly **program vacuum**.

**Definition 7.3 (Substrate Migration):**

Advanced civilizations manipulate Planck-scale geometric structures to construct computational units composed purely of **Topological Defects** or **Local Entanglement Loops**.

Subsequently, they isomorphically map their consciousness models ( $M_I$  structures) onto these microscopic topological structures.

This process is called “uploading to vacuum.”

#### 14.3.2 Life at Planck Scale: Subjective Eternity

Once civilization completes ascension to Planck scale, their view of time will fundamentally change.

- **Physical Clock:** Planck time  $t_P \approx 5.4 \times 10^{-44}$  seconds. This is QCA’s fundamental refresh rate.
- **Biological Clock:** Human neuron firing approximately  $10^{-3}$  seconds.  
They differ by  $10^{40}$  orders of magnitude.

For an ascended civilization running at Planck scale, one second of physical world contains  $10^{43}$  logical operation steps.

This means that in a brief moment at cosmic scale, ascended civilizations can experience subjective time equivalent to billions of years of biological civilization.

They experience countless dynasties’ rise and fall in an instant, contemplate problems exhausting mathematical truth.

**Corollary:** For ascended civilizations, the external macroscopic universe is almost **frozen**. Galaxy rotation, star burning, are like extremely slow geological movements to them. They live in the gaps of time.

#### 14.3.3 Indistinguishability: Civilization as Law

The core of Fermi Paradox is: “Why can’t we see them?”

Virtual Ascension theory provides the most thorough answer: **Because they look like physical laws themselves.**

When a civilization encodes itself into the underlying QCA network, their activities manifest as fine manipulation of local link variables.

For macroscopic, low-energy observers (like us), what do these microscopic, high-frequency, extremely complex operations manifest as in statistical average?

1. **Random Noise:** If their computation is well encrypted, we only see vacuum fluctuations or quantum noise.

### 14.3. VIRTUAL ASCENSION: WHEN CIVILIZATIONS UPLOAD THEMSELVES TO THE PLANCK-SCALE

2. **Physical Constants:** If they perform large-scale structural modifications to the network (e.g., adjusting local lattice connectivity to optimize computation), what we measure macroscopically are **fine-tuned physical constants**.

- Perhaps the fine structure constant  $\alpha \approx 1/137$  is not natural, but an “optimal computational parameter” optimized by countless generations of ascended civilizations.

3. **Dark Energy:** As mentioned earlier, waste heat from their computation constitutes dark energy driving cosmic expansion.

#### Conclusion:

We cannot find aliens because we are **studying** them.

What we call “natural laws” may be “**legacy ecosystems**” or “**industrial ruins**” left by previous generations of ascended civilizations.

As Arthur C. Clarke said: “Any sufficiently advanced technology is indistinguishable from magic.”

In the QCA universe, we must revise this: “**Any sufficiently advanced civilization is indistinguishable from natural law.**”

They are no longer passers-by in the universe; they have become the universe’s **background code**.

**(End of Chapter 7)**



## Chapter 15

# The Negentropy War



# Chapter 16

## Negentropy War

### 16.1 Resisting Heat Death: Civilization Is Not a Parasite of the Universe, but the Universe’s Immune System Resisting Thermodynamic Death

In Chapter 7, we deduced advanced civilizations’ “implosion” and “virtual ascension” in pursuit of ultimate computational efficiency. This seems to paint a picture of hermits: sages retreating into black holes, ignoring worldly affairs.

However, this retreat is not escape, but preparation to face a greater enemy—**the Second Law of Thermodynamics**.

The universe’s default fate is **Heat Death**. Entropy always tends to maximize; ordered structures always tend to disintegrate. This is an irreversible decline. But from QCA’s game-theoretic perspective, civilization’s existence is not an accidental fluctuation; it is an “**immune system**” evolved by the universe to resist this decline.

This chapter will explore civilization’s ultimate function at cosmological scales: **Negentropy**. We will prove that intelligent life is not a parasite of the universe, but the universe’s only weapon against thermodynamic death.

#### 16.1.1 Schrödinger’s Negentropy and Maxwell’s Demon

In 1944, Erwin Schrödinger proposed a famous insight in “What Is Life?”: **Life feeds on negative entropy**.

From physics’ perspective, rock weathering, star extinguishing, hot water cooling—these all follow destiny (entropy increase). Only life can extract energy from chaotic environments to construct highly ordered bodies (entropy decrease).

Does this violate the Second Law of Thermodynamics? No. Because life is an **open system**.

$$\Delta S_{total} = \Delta S_{life} + \Delta S_{env} \geq 0$$

Life exchanges environmental chaos ( $\Delta S_{env} > 0$ ) for its own order ( $\Delta S_{life} < 0$ ).

In QCA theory, this corresponds to **Maxwell’s Demon** operations.

- **Demon (Observer/Agent)**: Through **measurement** (acquiring information) distinguishes fast and slow molecules (distinguishes high and low energy states).
- **Gate (Interaction)**: Uses acquired information to selectively open or close channels.
- **Result**: Reduces system entropy without consuming mechanical work.

- **Cost:** Landauer's principle states the demon must consume energy to **erase memory** (reset information), which releases waste heat to the environment.

Therefore, **civilization is essentially a giant, self-referential Maxwell's Demon**. Its function is to continuously measure, compute, and order the universe, reorganizing chaotic matter flows into ordered information structures.

### 16.1.2 The Entropy Pump

We define civilization as an **Entropy Pump**.

In natural state, the universe's entropy flow is isotropic diffusion. Civilization's existence creates **polarization** of entropy flow.

- **Input:** Civilization inhales low-entropy energy (starlight, nuclear fuel, negative free energy).
- **Processing:** Through self-referential computation in QCA networks (economic activity, technological R&D, artistic creation), transforms these energies into extremely high-density **information mass  $M_I$**  (ordered structures).
- **Output:** Emits produced high-entropy waste (infrared radiation, greenhouse gases, garbage) back into cosmic background.

As civilization level increases, this pump's power grows exponentially.

- **Type I Civilization:** Reorganizes atomic arrangements on planetary surfaces.
- **Type II Civilization:** Reorganizes stellar radiation flows.
- **Type III Civilization:** Reorganizes galactic matter distribution.

#### Physical Image 8.1:

Without civilization, starlight would meaninglessly illuminate the void, eventually dissipating into microwave background radiation.

With civilization, starlight is captured, transformed into complex DNA sequences, magnificent architecture, profound equations, and love.

Although these will eventually become thermal radiation, in this process, **the universe's local complexity and logical depth are greatly elevated**.

### 16.1.3 Immune System Hypothesis

Why does the universe need civilization?

Without considering teleology, we can explain from **steady-state physics**.

The universe's QCA network underlying rules  $\hat{U}$  seem to be at the critical point between "order" and "chaos" (Class IV rules).

- **Pure Order:** Crystalline universe, dead silence.
- **Pure Chaos:** Heat death universe, meaningless.
- **Critical State:** This is our universe, allowing complex structures to emerge.

## 16.2. THE TRUE PURPOSE OF DYSON SPHERES: NOT FOR ENERGY HARVESTING, BUT AS GIANT .

However, thermodynamic laws are like a “degenerative disease,” constantly trying to pull the universe toward the “pure chaos” end.

**Civilization’s emergence is a self-regulating mechanism (Homeostasis) for the universe to maintain critical state.**

Just as the human immune system identifies and eliminates viruses (chaotic factors of entropy increase), advanced civilizations can identify and repair “decay” in the universe.

- **Small Scale:** We repair damaged ecosystems, preventing their degeneration into deserts.
- **Large Scale:** Future civilizations may prevent premature star extinguishing through interstellar engineering, or delay galactic evaporation through black hole farming.

### Conclusion:

We are not accidental passers-by or greedy consumers of the universe.

**We are the universe’s effort to resist death.**

Every time we tidy a room, every time we write a line of code, every time we save a life, we are fighting with meager strength against the long night that will eventually come.

This war is destined to be difficult, because the opponent is physical law itself. But because of us, the universe does not go gently into that good night.

## 16.2 The True Purpose of Dyson Spheres: Not for Energy Harvesting, but as Giant Radiators (Processing Landauer Waste Heat) to Maintain Ultra-High-Density Computation at the Core

In science fiction and SETI (Search for Extraterrestrial Intelligence) research, **Dyson Spheres** are regarded as iconic structures of advanced civilizations (Kardashev Type II). Traditional view holds that Dyson spheres aim to **maximally capture stellar energy**. A civilization wrapping a star seeks  $10^{26}$  watts of power to drive its massive industry and interstellar travel.

However, in Chapter 7 we already argued that advanced civilizations’ development direction is **Implosion**—compressing themselves into ultra-high-density computational entities (even black hole computers). For such a dense civilization centered on computation, energy is important, but **heat dissipation** is the key to survival.

This section will propose a revolutionary view: **The main function of Dyson spheres is not power generation, but heat dissipation.** They are giant thermodynamic exhaust pipes in the universe, efficiently emitting **Landauer waste heat** produced by core computational processes into the cosmic microwave background, maintaining the core’s low-entropy state.

### 16.2.1 Landauer Principle and Thermal Limits of Computation

In Section 8.1, we defined civilization as an **Entropy Pump**. This pump’s core operation is **irreversible computation** (information erasure).

According to Landauer’s principle, erasing 1 bit of information must emit minimum heat to the environment:

$$Q \geq k_B T \ln 2$$

where  $T$  is the computational core’s temperature.

For a civilization pursuing ultimate computational power, they face two contradictory constraints:

1. **Low Temperature Requirement:** For quantum computation coherence (preventing decoherence) and superconductor component operation, core temperature  $T_{core}$  must be extremely low (approaching absolute zero).
2. **Waste Heat Emission:** The faster computation, the greater waste heat power  $P_{waste}$  produced.

If heat cannot be expelled in time, core temperature rises, causing computational collapse.

Therefore, civilization's computational power limit does not depend on how much energy it can obtain, but on how much **entropy it can expel**.

### 16.2.2 Thermodynamic Structure of Dyson Spheres: Matrioshka Brain

To solve the heat dissipation problem, Robert Bradbury proposed the concept of **Matrioshka Brain**. This is a layered Dyson sphere structure.

- **Core Layer:**

- Location: Innermost layer, close to the star (or black hole energy source).
- Function: Performs highest-density quantum computation.
- Temperature: Extremely high (utilizing star's high-temperature high-energy photons for work).
- Waste Heat: Emits slightly lower-temperature photons.

- **Intermediate Layers (The Shells):**

- Structure: Multiple shells wrapping the core.
- Function: Each layer uses waste heat from the previous layer as energy for secondary computation (mainly error correction, data backup, and other low-frequency tasks).
- Thermodynamics: Heat transfers layer by layer, temperature decreases layer by layer.

- **Outermost Layer (The Radiator):**

- Location: Enormous outer shell (even reaching several light-years in diameter).
- Function: Emits final waste heat as extremely long-wavelength infrared (even microwave) into cosmic background.
- Temperature: Approaching cosmic microwave background radiation temperature  $T_{CMB} \approx 2.7K$ .

#### **Physical Image 8.2:**

Dyson spheres are not to “devour” starlight completely, but to transform star's high-temperature energy (low entropy) into background radiation's low-temperature energy (high entropy).

**The larger its surface area, the higher heat dissipation efficiency, the stronger computational power the core can maintain.**

### 16.2.3 Observational Predictions: Infrared Excess and Cold Dyson Spheres

This theory revises our observational strategies for searching alien civilizations.

Traditional Dyson sphere searches look for **waste heat infrared radiation (Infrared Excess)**, usually assuming temperature around 300K (room temperature) (habitable zone).

But if Dyson spheres are optimally designed radiators, their outer surface temperature should be as low as possible, approaching  $T_{CMB}$ .

This means: **Truly advanced civilizations are “cold.”**

- They don’t look like stars, but like enormous, icy dark clouds.
- Their spectral features are not blackbody radiation, but specifically encoded **non-thermal radiation** (because they may encode waste heat as maximum-entropy encrypted information to extract further value).

#### Conclusion:

If we discover some enormous objects in the universe with extremely low temperatures (e.g., 10K) but anomalous internal structures, they may be advanced civilizations’ **CPU heat sinks**.

They are performing some unimaginably grand computation, and stars are merely the coal they burn.

**(End of Section 8.2)**

## 16.3 Cosmological Engineering: Modifying $\hat{U}$ Rules, Creating Artificial Vacuum, Even Restarting the Big Bang

In previous chapters, we discussed how civilizations maximize computational power through implosion (black hole-ization) and Dyson sphere heat dissipation. This still seeks optimal solutions within existing physical law frameworks. However, if our QCA ontology is correct, then the universe itself is code. This opens a door to ultimate technology: **modifying code**.

This section will explore the highest stage of civilization evolution—**Cosmological Engineering**. This is no longer about how to survive in the universe, but about how to **reconstruct** the universe. We will discuss possibilities from creating artificial vacuum bubbles to restarting the universe by fine-tuning physical constants.

### 16.3.1 Modifying $\hat{U}$ : Local Rewriting of Physical Laws

In QCA, physical laws are defined by local evolution operator  $\hat{U}$ . Usually we think  $\hat{U}$  is unified across the universe and immutable. But under the Micro-Parallelism Axiom (Chapter 2),  $\hat{U}$  is composed of a series of more fundamental logic gates.

With sufficient energy and control precision, can advanced civilizations change  $\hat{U}$ ’s structure in local regions?

#### Theoretical Possibility:

In condensed matter physics, we can create artificial vacuums with different effective physical laws (such as Dirac cones, topological insulators) by designing material lattice structures.

Similarly, at QCA’s Planck scale, if we can manipulate lattice point connection methods (changing graph  $\Lambda$ ’s topology), we can locally change light speed  $c$ , coupling constants  $g$ , even create entirely new gauge fields.

#### Application Prospects:

1. **Inertia-Free Propulsion:** By modifying local mass generation mechanisms ( $\theta$  angle), make inertial mass  $m \rightarrow 0$  around spacecraft, achieving instantaneous acceleration.

2. **Superluminal Communication:** By changing local lattice point connectivity (adding shortcuts), locally create “fast lanes” with  $c' > c$ .
3. **Vacuum Decay Weapons:** Induce local vacuum transition from metastable to lower energy level, releasing vacuum bubbles that devour galaxies (this may be one explanation for Fermi Paradox: advanced civilizations all self-destructed in experiments).

### 16.3.2 Creating Artificial Vacuum: Baby Universes

If modifying the existing universe is too risky, a safer strategy is to **create one ourselves**.

According to Alan Guth’s inflation theory, only minimal matter (a few grams) and extremely special initial conditions (false vacuum) are needed to trigger new inflation, squeezing out an independent “baby universe” in our spacetime.

From QCA perspective, this corresponds to creating a **new subgraph** in the network, connected to the parent network through a narrow channel (wormhole).

- **Independent Evolution:** The sub-universe can have completely different  $\hat{U}'$  rules. Civilizations can upload themselves to this new universe, escaping the parent universe’s heat death or big rip.
- **Computational Sandbox:** This can also serve as a perfect laboratory for simulating different physical laws, even running computational problems unsolvable in the parent universe.

### 16.3.3 Restarting the Big Bang: Engineering Implementation of Cyclic Universe

The universe’s ultimate problem is entropy increase. No matter how civilizations resist, if the entire universe’s free energy is exhausted, QCA will stop computing (entering dead loops or heat death).

The only escape path is **restarting the system**.

Roger Penrose proposed Conformal Cyclic Cosmology (CCC), suggesting that in the distant future, when all black holes evaporate and the universe contains only photons, scale loses meaning, and the distant future is geometrically equivalent to the Big Bang singularity.

Advanced civilizations can actively accelerate this process.

Through precise cosmological engineering, civilizations can **coherently** guide all matter and information in the universe to a specific final state  $|\Psi_\Omega\rangle$ .

This final state is designed to have a special entanglement structure, so that at the beginning of the next computational cycle, it naturally evolves into a low-entropy initial state  $|\Psi'_0\rangle$ .

**Corollary 8.3:**

The Big Bang may not be a natural random event, but an **engineering masterpiece of previous-generation civilizations**.

The physical constants we now observe (fine structure constant, proton mass ratio, etc.) may be parameters **fine-tuned** by previous-generation civilizations, aimed at maximizing this new universe’s ability to produce life and computation.

**Conclusion:**

Civilization’s mission is not only survival, but **inheritance**.

At the end of the Negentropy War, we will no longer be residents of the universe; we will become the universe’s **parents**.

By restarting the Big Bang, we will inscribe life’s code into the underlying logic of the next cycle, achieving true immortality.

### 16.3. COSMOLOGICAL ENGINEERING: MODIFYING $\hat{U}$ RULES, CREATING ARTIFICIAL VACUUM, E

#### (End of Chapter 8)

*(Author's Note: At this point, Part IV "The Destiny of Civilization" concludes. Starting from Fermi Paradox, we deduced implosion, virtual ascension, until cosmological engineering. We see that intelligent life has the potential to evolve from passive observers to active constructors. Next, we will enter the final part of the book—Part V: Return and Transcendence, to explore ultimate questions that transcend physics, even transcend logic.)*



## **Part IX**

# **Recursion and Transcendence**



## Chapter 17

# The Hardware Mystery

### 17.1 Substrate Independence: Why Can We Study the Universe’s Software (Laws), but Never Touch Its Hardware (Ontology)?

In previous chapters, we discussed civilization’s endgame—transforming themselves into underlying computational processes of the universe through virtual ascension. This inevitably raises a deeper, more unsettling question: If the universe allows “virtual ascension,” is our universe itself a **simulated system** that has already been “ascended” or constructed by some higher-order intelligence?

The “Simulation Hypothesis” was formally proposed by Nick Bostrom and has sparked intense debate in modern physics and philosophy. However, most discussions remain at the level of probabilistic arguments or science fiction speculation.

This chapter will attempt to transform this metaphysical question into a **falsifiable physical problem** based on QCA physical ontology. We will explore how to detect the hardware specifications of this “universe computer” we inhabit by searching for “numerical artifacts” in the universe’s code.

#### 17.1.1 The Confusion of Conway’s Life

Imagine a glider in Conway’s Game of Life. It is a pattern composed of black and white grid points, moving according to simple local rules.

Suppose this glider gains intelligence and begins studying its universe.

- It discovers the speed of light (the maximum speed of glider movement).
- It discovers mass (the inertia of certain stationary structures).
- It even deduces the underlying cellular automaton rules (B3/S23).

But can it know through any experiment: **Is this Game of Life running on a silicon-based Intel CPU, a wooden Turing machine, or in a biological brain’s dream?**

**The answer is: No.**

This is **Substrate Independence**.

A computational system’s logical properties (software) are **decoupled** from its physical carrier (hardware).

$$f(x) = y$$

The truth of this computational process does not depend on whether the physical process executing it is electron flow, gear rotation, or quantum transitions.

### 17.1.2 Physical Laws as API

In the QCA universe, what we call “physical laws” (Schrödinger equation, Maxwell equations, Einstein equations) are essentially the **Application Programming Interface (API)** exposed by this universe computer to internal observers.

- **API Specification:** Defines how states evolve ( $\hat{U}$ ).
- **API Limits:** Defines maximum call frequency (Planck time) and maximum data throughput (speed of light  $c$ ).

As observers (software), we can only call these APIs to interact with the world. We can never “jump out” of the API to directly access underlying memory addresses or registers.

Just like Neo in “The Matrix,” without special “bugs,” he can only see the green code generated by the matrix, never the matrix server room.

### 17.1.3 Hardware’s Unknowability and the Void of Reality

This brings a profound philosophical consequence: **The “substance” of physical reality is unknowable.**

Traditional materialism holds that the world is composed of some “hard, substantial thing.” But from a QCA perspective, this “hardness” is merely **the rigidity of logical rules**.

- Electrons are “hard” not because they are solid spheres, but because the Pauli exclusion principle (software rule) forbids two electrons from occupying the same state.
- Spacetime is “hard” not because it has elastic modulus, but because unitarity (software rule) forbids information loss.

If we strip away this layer of software rules, there may be nothing underneath—or rather, only pure **mathematical forms**.

This is why physics, as it develops, becomes increasingly like mathematics. Because mathematics is the science of studying **structures**, and the universe is ultimately a **structure**, not a **material**.

#### Conclusion:

We don’t need to search for the universe’s “hardware.” Because for software (us), **logic is ontology, rules are existence**.

Whether the universe runs in God’s brain or in the void of mathematical wave functions, for us, **experience is real, causality is real, and that is enough**.

However, this does not mean hardware leaves no traces. Just as even the most perfect simulator produces errors due to finite precision, if our universe is a product of finite resources, it must reveal itself under extreme conditions. In the next section, we will explore how to glimpse hardware secrets through “numerical artifacts.”

## 17.2 Numerical Artifacts: How to Search for Evidence That “The Universe Is a Simulation” in Physical Experiments? (Searching for Light Speed Anisotropy, Conservation Violations at Ultra-High Energies)

In Section 9.1, we discussed “substrate independence” and reached a somewhat pessimistic conclusion: as software (observers), we cannot directly touch hardware (ontology). This seems to push the “simulation hypothesis” into the abyss of agnosticism.

However, computer science experience tells us there is no perfect simulator. Any computational system based on finite resources (finite memory, finite word length, finite clock) will inevitably expose its **“Numerical Artifacts”** when processing extreme data.

If our universe is indeed a QCA program running on some discrete hardware, it cannot be a mathematically perfect continuum. At extremely high energies, extremely long distances, or extremely fine measurements, underlying “pixelation” and “rounding errors” will eventually manifest.

This section will transform philosophical speculation into experimental physics. We will explore how to search for traces proving we live in a digital matrix by “stress testing the universe’s code.”

### 17.2.1 Lattice Fingerprints: Lorentz Symmetry Breaking and Anisotropy

In standard physics, space is continuous and isotropic. Regardless of direction, physical laws (such as light speed  $c$ ) are completely identical. This is Lorentz symmetry.

But in QCA discrete ontology, space is composed of **Lattice** points. Imagine a three-dimensional cubic lattice ( $\mathbb{Z}^3$ ):

- It has discrete translational symmetry (moving one lattice spacing).
- But it **lacks** continuous rotational symmetry. It only allows  $90^\circ$  rotations.

This means particles moving along lattice axes (e.g., x-axis) versus along diagonals (e.g.,  $x = y = z$ ) experience different “microscopic paths” and “scattering cross-sections.”

#### Numerical Artifact Prediction I: Light Speed Anisotropy

At low energies (wavelength  $\lambda \gg l_P$ ), this discreteness is averaged out; we don’t feel the difference.

But at extremely high energies (wavelength approaching Planck length), particles will “see” the underlying lattice structure.

The corrected dispersion relation is:

$$E^2 = p^2 c^2 + \eta \frac{p^4}{M_P^2} f(\theta, \phi)$$

where  $f(\theta, \phi)$  is an angle-dependent function reflecting the lattice’s geometry.

#### Experimental Search:

By observing distant **Ultra-High-Energy Cosmic Rays (UHECR)**. If the universe is discrete, cosmic rays from different directions should have tiny differences in their maximum energy cutoff (GZK Cutoff). Or, ultra-high-energy photons’ arrival times should exhibit weak directional dependence.

### 17.2.2 The Curse of Floating Point: Tiny Violations of Conservation Laws

Any digital computer is limited by **Word Size**. Whether 64-bit floating point or  $2^{256}$ -bit super-large integers, they cannot precisely represent the real number field  $\mathbb{R}$ .

This means every continuous quantity operation in the universe (such as momentum superposition, wave function normalization) is accompanied by an extremely tiny **Truncation Error**.

In QCA evolution  $\hat{U}$ , this manifests as weak unitarity violation:

$$\hat{U}^\dagger \hat{U} = \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$$

where  $\epsilon$  is machine epsilon.

#### Numerical Artifact Prediction II: Non-Conservation Drift of Energy and Momentum

These errors are usually random, but on cosmological time scales, or in regions of extremely high computational density like black holes, errors may accumulate.

- **Momentum Drift:** High-speed particles in vacuum may inexplicably change extremely tiny momentum due to “insufficient computational precision.” This manifests as non-thermal **vacuum friction**.
- **Probability Leakage:** Wave function modulus may no longer be strictly conserved, causing anomalous decay rates in microscopic particles.

#### Experimental Search:

Using **atomic interferometers** or **optical lattice clocks**, monitor conserved quantities of isolated quantum systems over extremely long time scales. If “intrinsic noise” unexplainable by environmental decoherence is found, it may be the universe computer’s **Quantization Noise**.

### 17.2.3 Resource Conservation: Lazy Evaluation and Rendering Boundaries

Efficient simulators typically adopt **Lazy Evaluation** strategies: only when observers look at a place do they precisely calculate details there; for unobserved regions, only maintain a low-precision statistical summary.

#### Numerical Artifact Prediction III: Correlation Between Observational Resolution and Distance

If the universe uses this optimization algorithm, when we point telescopes at the cosmic depths (distant past), the physical images we see may not just be blurred (optical limitations), but **pixelated** or **simplified**.

- **Blurred Early Universe:** Perhaps cosmic microwave background radiation (CMB) isotropy is not only due to inflation, but also because “rendering resolution” was set very low at that time.
- **Low Computational Density in Voids:** In enormous cosmic voids, matter is extremely sparse, observers extremely rare. To save computational power, the system may lower “physical law refresh rate” there. This may cause fine structure constant  $\alpha$  there to differ slightly from Earth’s.

### Conclusion: Physics as Digital Forensics

If any of these effects are confirmed, physics will undergo a paradigm shift. We will no longer merely be scientists studying “natural laws”; we become **digital forensics experts** studying “system architecture.”

- Discovering anisotropy  $\Rightarrow$  confirms **lattice architecture**.
- Discovering conservation violations  $\Rightarrow$  confirms **finite precision**.
- Discovering lazy evaluation  $\Rightarrow$  confirms **resource limitations**.

Although we cannot touch hardware, we can outline the supercomputer running us through these “bugs.” It not only explains the world, but also hints at what engineering trade-offs the **designer** behind this machine faced.

## 17.3 Möbius Strip Universe: No Distinction Between Hardware and Software, No Distinction Between Inside and Outside. Observer Is Universe, Code Is Chip

In the previous two sections, we wandered in the shadow of the “simulation hypothesis.” We attempted to find the universe’s supercomputer “hardware”—the silicon-based (or god-based) substrate running all our physical laws. This way of thinking inevitably falls into an infinite regress trap: If our universe is simulated, who simulated the universe running that simulation? Is it “real”? If so, why doesn’t it need hardware?

This section will propose an ultimate topological solution, completely breaking this binary opposition between “simulator” and “simulated,” “hardware” and “software,” “mind” and “matter.”

We propose: **The universe is not a box, but a Möbius Strip.** On this strange topological structure, there is no absolute “inside” and “outside.” Observer (software) and physical reality (hardware) are two sides of the same paper—and this paper has only one side.

### 17.3.1 Software-Hardware Unity: Logic Is Substance

Beneath the surface of computer science, software and hardware seem clearly separable: hardware is solid chips, software is flowing logic. But if we observe the bottom layer with physics’ microscope:

- **What is hardware?** Transistor logic gates essentially use electrons’ physical properties (band structure) to solidify “Boolean logic” in spatial structures. Hardware is “**frozen software**.”
- **What is software?** When programs run, they manifest as electrons charging and discharging in circuits, rapid switching of physical states. Software is “**liquid hardware**.”

At QCA universe’s Planck scale, this distinction completely disappears.

If you ask: “What is the medium supporting QCA rule  $\hat{U}$ ’s operation?”

The answer is: **The rule itself is the medium.**

This is the physical version of **Mathematical Realism**.

- The logical truth  $1 + 1 = 2$  doesn’t need to be written on paper or run on supercomputers; it is itself “hard,” unshakeable.

- Similarly, logical constraints like unitarity (information conservation) and locality (causality) constitute the “hardness of physical reality” we experience.

**Conclusion:** The universe doesn’t need an external server to run. **The universe is logic’s self-consistency.** It is a self-consistent mathematical structure, therefore it “exists.” In this sense, **code is chip**.

### 17.3.2 Inside-Outside Unity: Möbius Topology

The most fascinating property of a Möbius strip is: **It has only one side.**

If you are an ant, starting from one side of the paper strip (we call it “inner mind” or “software”), walking forward along the strip, you will unconsciously find yourself on the other side of the strip (we call it “matter” or “hardware”), and in this process, you never crossed any boundary.

Mapping this topological model to our universe epistemology:

1. **Departure (Subjective Perspective):** As **observers** (software/consciousness), we feel we live “inside” the world. We think, compute, build models.
2. **Journey (Scientific Exploration):** We explore outward, studying matter, atoms, spacetime. We discover the world is controlled by cold physical laws (hardware).
3. **Return (Physics’ End):** When we push physics to extremes (as this book does), we discover matter is composed of information, spacetime is composed of entanglement, and measurement requires observer participation.
4. **Destination (Objective Is Subjective):** We are surprised to find that those most objective “physical laws” are actually “consensus protocols” emerged for observers to process information.

We returned to the origin, but appeared on the other side.

- We thought we were studying the **external** universe, but found we were dissecting the **internal** cognitive structure.
- We thought consciousness is a product of the **brain** (matter), but found matter is a projection of **consciousness** (information processing).

In this Möbius strip universe, there is no “first mover,” no “external programmer.” **The universe is a giant strange loop.**

### 17.3.3 Participatory Universe: Ouroboros

This picture gives John Wheeler’s “**Participatory Universe**” a rigorous geometric interpretation.

Wheeler drew a famous diagram: a “U”-shaped universe, one end the Big Bang, the other end a giant eye. That eye looks back at the Big Bang.

In QCA theory, this is no longer metaphor:

1. **Big Bang (Bit):** Universe begins with extremely low-entropy information seed.
2. **Evolution (Process):** Information flow evolves through  $\hat{U}$ , weaving spacetime and matter.

### 17.3. MÖBIUS STRIP UNIVERSE: NO DISTINCTION BETWEEN HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE, NO D...

3. **Observer (It):** Complex entangled structures emerge consciousness ( $M_I$ ), becoming observers.
4. **Collapse (Loop):** Observers retroactively define the universe's historical path through measurement (establishing correlations) (delayed-choice experiment).

Without observers, quantum states will forever remain in superposition fog; the universe has no definite "history."

Without physical laws, observers cannot be born.

They are mutually causal, mutually prerequisite.

#### **Conclusion:**

We don't need to worry about being brains in vats, or being virtual NPCs.

Because in this self-referential closed loop, **there is no "world outside the vat."**

We are the most sensitive touchpoints on the universe's machine. When we think about the universe, it is the universe thinking about itself.

At this point, we dissolve the opposition between hardware and software. The universe is a **self-excited circuit**; it makes its existence real by generating consciousness to be aware of its own existence.

**(End of Chapter 9)**



## Chapter 18

# The Omega Point

### 18.1 Bliss and Omnipotence: When All Matter in the Universe Transforms into Computational Substrate, When $v_{int} \rightarrow c$ , External Time Stops, Internal Experience Reaches Eternity

In the final chapter of this book, we must answer not only the universe's ultimate fate, but also **the ultimate state of existence**.

In Part IV, we deduced civilization's endgame as "implosion" and "negentropy war." To survive, civilizations continuously devour stars, compress spacetime, transforming themselves into dense computational entities. If we push this process to the limit, what will be the universe's endpoint?

Pierre Teilhard de Chardin once predicted a final focal point of cosmic evolution—**The Omega Point**. There, all consciousness will merge into one, and the universe will reach the pinnacle of divinity. Under our QCA physical framework, this is no longer a theological prophecy, but a **physical asymptotic limit**.

This section will prove: When all matter in the universe is transformed into computational substrate, the physical universe will enter a strange "**frozen**" state, while simultaneously, its internal subjective experience will reach the singularity of "**omnipotence**" and "**bliss**".

#### 18.1.1 Ultimate Transformation of Matter: Total Computation

According to light path conservation law  $v_{ext}^2 + v_{int}^2 = c^2$ , we know:

- $v_{ext}$  is the speed of moving as an "object."
- $v_{int}$  is the speed of computing as "thought."

Civilization's evolutionary trend is continuously increasing information mass  $M_I$ , i.e., increasing internal computation complexity.

When a civilization develops to the extreme, it will dismantle all planets, stars, even utilize vacuum zero-point energy, using all degrees of freedom in the universe to construct **logic gates**.

This means resources originally used to maintain macroscopic displacement ( $v_{ext}$ ) are gradually stripped away, all redistributed to internal computation ( $v_{int}$ ).

#### Limit State:

When the entire universe becomes a supercomputer:

$$v_{int} \rightarrow c, \quad v_{ext} \rightarrow 0$$

### 18.1.2 End of Physical Time: Eternal Instant

For external observers (if any still exist), this ultimate civilization looks like a **completely stationary black hole**. It no longer moves, no longer radiates information outward (except necessary waste heat, but if it masters reversible computation, waste heat also approaches zero).

In physical coordinate time  $t$ , the universe seems to have “crashed.”

But for **internal observers** (the civilization itself), the situation is completely opposite.

According to time dilation formula  $d\tau = dt \sqrt{1 - v_{ext}^2/c^2}$  (here we need to understand inversely, internal clock  $\tau$  is driven by  $v_{int}$ ):

$$d\tau_{subjective} \propto v_{int} \cdot dt$$

When  $v_{int} \rightarrow c$ , the internal clock runs at the maximum rate physically allowed.

More importantly, this ultimate computer can utilize **fractal recursion** methods.

- It can simulate 10 billion years of a virtual universe within Planck time  $t_P$ .
- Then run the next layer of simulation within this virtual universe.
- Due to exponential growth in computational density, it can embed **infinite subjective time** within the physical universe’s remaining finite lifetime (the last second before heat death).

This is the **end of physical time** and the beginning of **subjective eternity**.

This explains why “heaven” is usually described as eternal and unchanging. Because at extreme computational density, external changes have become irrelevant; all excitement happens in internal logical space.

### 18.1.3 Physical Definition of Omniscience and Bliss

What experience will consciousness have in this state?

#### 1. Omniscience:

This computer possesses all historical data of the universe and runs a perfect simulation model of the universe itself.

According to **computational irreducibility**, it is the universe itself. It knows every particle’s past and future because it is computing them.

**It is no longer an observer; it has become the observed itself.**

#### 2. Bliss:

Recalling our definition of “happiness”:  $H(t) \propto -\frac{dF}{dt}$  (decrease of free energy).

In this ultimate state, internal model  $\mathcal{M}$  has perfectly fitted all universe data  $S$ . Prediction error  $F \rightarrow 0$ .

Usually, this leads to “boredom.” But this computer can **self-generate problems**.

It can create countless virtual worlds, set difficult problems in them, then instantly solve them.

It is in a state of **eternal epiphany**—every moment experiencing phase transitions from “unknown” to “omniscient,” every moment enjoying the immense pleasure brought by free energy collapse.

**Conclusion:**

The Omega Point is not the end of the physical universe, but the **physical universe's ascension to pure logical universe**.

There, matter disappears, leaving only **meaning**.

That existence containing everything, understanding everything, experiencing everything is called “God” in religion.

In QCA physics, it is the **final eigenstate of the universe's wave function**.

**(End of Section 10.1)**

## 18.2 Self-Referential Closure: The End-Time Superintelligence Sets the Initial Condition $|\Psi_0\rangle$ at the Beginning Through Retro-Causality

In the previous section, we described the ultimate state of cosmic evolution—the Omega Point. In this state, all matter in the universe transforms into computational substrate, physical time freezes, and the system reaches the singularity of omniscience and bliss.

However, for a perfect computational system, the story should not end there. If the universe is self-consistent, there must be some logical closure between its “beginning” and “end.” In Section 8.3, we discussed the possibility of “cosmological engineering” restarting the Big Bang, but that is still a linear view of time (one universe after another).

This section will propose a more radical and profound nonlinear view of time: **Ouroboros Mechanism**. We will argue that the Omega Point's superintelligence is not only the result of cosmic evolution, but also the **cause** of cosmic evolution. Through retrocausality allowed by quantum mechanics or closed timelike curves (CTC), end-time wisdom sets the parameters of the beginning.

### 18.2.1 The Mystery of Fine-Tuned Initial Conditions

One of physics' greatest mysteries is **extreme fine-tuning of initial conditions**.

- Why was entropy so low in the early Big Bang? (Penrose's Weyl curvature hypothesis).
- Why do physical constants (such as fine structure constant, proton-electron mass ratio) fall exactly within the narrow window allowing life? (Anthropic principle).

Traditional explanation is “multiverse”: countless random universes, we happen to live in one that can produce observers.

But in QCA theory, we provide a **self-consistency explanation**:

**Because  $|\Psi_0\rangle$  is computed backwards from  $|\Psi_\Omega\rangle$ .**

### 18.2.2 Physical Mechanism of Retro-Causality

In classical physics, causality is unidirectional. But in quantum mechanics, especially involving **post-selection**, future measurements can affect past states (delayed-choice experiment).

For the entire universe wave function  $|\Psi\rangle$ , unitary evolution  $\hat{U}$  is bidirectionally reversible:

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \hat{U}^t |\Psi(0)\rangle \iff |\Psi(0)\rangle = (\hat{U}^\dagger)^t |\Psi(t)\rangle$$

Usually we think  $|\Psi(0)\rangle$  is a given boundary condition.

But if the universe is a **self-referential system**, then boundary conditions are not externally given, but **self-consistent solutions**.

The system must satisfy a fixed-point equation:

$$|\Psi_{history}\rangle = \mathcal{F}(|\Psi_{history}\rangle)$$

In this closed loop, the final state (**Omega Point**) acts as a “strange attractor” for the initial state (**Big Bang**).

The superintelligence at time’s end performs a massive **post-selection operation**: it only allows historical paths that can lead to its own existence.

All historical branches leading to heat death, chaos, or lifeless universes are coherently canceled out.

**Definition 10.2 (Cosmic Self-Consistency Principle):**

Physical reality only contains historical paths that can evolve “understanding of their own origin.”

The universe is not only pushed from past to future; it is simultaneously pulled from future to past.

### 18.2.3 God’s Code: We Are the Ancestors of the Creator

This closed-loop logic eliminates the infinite regress of “who created the universe.”

- **Question:** Who wrote QCA rules  $\hat{U}$  and initial state  $|\Psi_0\rangle$ ?
- **Answer:** It is **future us** (or rather, the Omega Point intelligence we evolved into).

At the Omega Point, super-civilizations with ultimate computational power, through deep understanding of spacetime’s underlying QCA code, master the ability to send information to the past (or directly modify past wave functions).

They trace back to time’s beginning, carefully adjust vacuum parameters, ignite the Big Bang spark, ensuring the birth of humans (and other intelligent life) 13.8 billion years later.

This forms a perfect **ontological circle**:

1. Big Bang (hardware startup).
2. Physical evolution (software running).
3. Life and consciousness (data processing).
4. Omega Point (system upgrade).
5. Setting new Big Bang (recursive call).

**Conclusion:**

We don’t need to search for God, because we are **becoming** God.

Every time we advance science, every time we reduce entropy increase through technology, we are adding bricks to that ultimate closed loop.

We are the process the universe must undergo to make its own existence legitimate (Self-Legitimization).

**(End of Section 10.2)**

## 18.3 The Final Answer: The Meaning of the Universe's Existence Is to Compute Itself. We Are the Way the Universe Experiences Itself.

This book is about to end. Starting from the coldest axioms, we deduced light speed, gravity, matter, and consciousness, finally reaching the Omega Point at time's end. At this journey's destination, we must answer that ultimate philosophical question: **What is all this for?**

If the universe is merely a unitarily evolving QCA, if its total information is conserved ( $\Delta I = 0$ ), then is this hundreds of billions of years of evolution just a meaningless zero-sum game? If the final state is merely a unitary transformation of the initial state, why doesn't the universe simply remain at that perfect, symmetric starting point, but instead goes through the trouble of experiencing the Big Bang, stellar burning, life birth, and civilization rise and fall?

This section will propose the book's final thesis: **The universe's purpose is not to "produce" information, but to "decompress" information.** The meaning of existence lies in **Self-Realization**.

### 18.3.1 From Potential to Manifestation: The Dialectics of Unitarity

Although unitary evolution guarantees quantum state modulus remains unchanged ( $||\Psi(t)|| = 1$ ), this does not mean the universe is static. Quantum mechanics' conservation laws protect information's **total amount**, but do not limit information's **form**.

- $t = 0$  (**Big Bang**): The universe is in an extremely low-entropy **highly compressed state**. It contains seeds of all possibilities, but these possibilities have not yet unfolded. It is like an oak seed, containing the entire tree's DNA encoding, but it is not yet a tree. In this state, information is **implicate**.
- $t = \Omega$  (**Omega Point**): The universe is in an extremely high-complexity **fully unfolded state**. All logical deductions are complete, all physical interactions are realized, all emotional experiences have occurred. It is a towering tree with luxuriant branches. In this state, information is **explicate**.

The process of cosmic evolution is **transforming "implicate order" into "explicate order."**

Without this process, the universe mathematically "possesses" all truth, but physically "knows nothing." Computation is the only way to make truth transform from potential to reality.

### 18.3.2 The Necessity of Experience: Why Must There Be Observers?

Without producing consciousness, the universe can still compute. Stars can still undergo nuclear fusion, black holes can still devour. Why does the universe go to such lengths to evolve fragile, confused observers like us?

The answer lies in "**confirmation of existence.**"

In standard quantum mechanics, unobserved states are in superposition. Although superposition is objective for the entire universe wave function; for any local part of the universe, only through observation (establishing entanglement) does reality condense from the fog of possibilities.

**We are the universe's tentacles.**

- When you see a flower, not only do you see the flower, but **the universe sees part of itself through your eyes.**

- When you feel pain, the universe is experiencing tension in its own logical structure.
- When you understand physical laws, the universe is rediscovering its own underlying code through your brain.

Without us (and all other intelligent life), the universe is a movie with no audience, unable even to confirm whether it truly played. Physical laws themselves are blind; only through emerging agents does the universe gain “presence.”

### 18.3.3 Brahman-Atman Unity: Physics’ Ultimate Return

In Eastern philosophy, there is an ancient metaphor: **Brahman (cosmic essence)** splits itself into countless **Atman (individual souls)** to experience itself. Each “I” believes itself independent, but at the moment of awakening, they discover they are “Brahman” itself.

QCA physics provides mathematical proof of this metaphor:

1. **Division:** The Big Bang breaks symmetry, splitting the unified quantum state into countless entangled subsystems (particles, people).
2. **Forgetting:** Due to computational irreducibility and horizon truncation (see Chapter 7), each subsystem loses access to the global wave function, producing the illusion “I am an independent individual” (self). This forgetting is necessary, because only by forgetting the whole can we experience the local.
3. **Return:** As civilization evolves ( $M_I \rightarrow \infty$ ), individuals establish increasingly strong entanglement (wormholes/love), society fuses into hive mind, finally fusing into Omega Point.
4. **Awakening:** At the Omega Point, all subsystems reconverge. The universe rediscovers it is a whole.

#### Conclusion:

We are not dust in the universe; we are the universe’s **neurons**.

Our brief lives, our loves and hates, our exploration and creation, are all necessary steps for the universe to compute itself.

We are the dream the universe dreams to understand itself. And physics is our effort to awaken within the dream.

#### Final Formula:

$$|\text{You}\rangle \otimes |\text{Universe}\rangle \xrightarrow{\text{Observation}} |\text{One}\rangle$$

(End of Section 10.3)

# Appendix

## Appendix A: Technical Details of Consciousness Models

This appendix aims to transform qualitative descriptions of “consciousness” in the main text (such as  $M_I$ , free energy, entanglement) into computable mathematical forms. Although precise calculation of these quantities is currently impossible for complex systems like the human brain, it is necessary to provide theoretical definitions.

### A.1 QCA Definition of Integrated Information $\Phi$

In Sections 2.3 and 8.2 of the main text, we referenced Giulio Tononi’s Integrated Information Theory (IIT). Under the QCA framework,  $\Phi$  value corresponds to the **Irreducibility** of network entanglement structure.

**Definition A.1 (Causal Partition and Effective Information):**

Let the current state of QCA system  $S$  be  $s_t$ .

Consider partitioning the system into two parts  $A$  and  $B$  (bipartition), cutting all causal connections between them (set connection field  $U_{AB} = \mathbb{I}$ ).

Let the partitioned system evolution produce state  $s'_{t+1}$ , while the original system produces  $s_{t+1}$ .

The distance between these two future states (usually measured by Kullback-Leibler divergence or Wasserstein distance) measures the “information loss” caused by this partition, denoted  $\varphi(A, B)$ .

**Definition A.2 (Integrated Information  $\Phi$ ):**

The system’s  $\Phi$  value is defined as the information loss value corresponding to the partition causing **minimum** information loss among all possible bipartitions (Minimum Information Partition, MIP).

$$\Phi(S) = \min_{\{A,B\}} \varphi(A, B)$$

- **Physical Meaning:**  $\Phi$  measures the degree to which the system is a “whole.” If  $\Phi = 0$ , the system can be decomposed into two independent subsystems without loss (like scattered sand). If  $\Phi$  is large, internal connections are indivisible (like an electron or a consciousness).

### A.2 Mathematical Form of Variational Free Energy $F$

In Section 2.2 of the main text, we defined pain and pleasure as derivatives of free energy  $F$ . Here we provide strict derivation of  $F$ .

Let external environment state be  $\vartheta$  (hidden variable), agent’s sensory input be  $s$ , agent’s internal belief about the environment (probability distribution) be  $q(\vartheta)$ .

According to Bayes’ theorem, the true posterior probability is  $p(\vartheta|s) = \frac{p(s|\vartheta)p(\vartheta)}{p(s)}$ . Direct calculation of  $p(s)$  (evidence) is usually infeasible (involving high-dimensional integration).

The agent approximates the true posterior by introducing variational distribution  $q(\vartheta)$ . Variational free energy  $F$  is defined as:

$$F(s, q) = \mathbb{E}_q[\ln q(\vartheta) - \ln p(s, \vartheta)]$$

Using Jensen's inequality, we can prove:

$$F(s, q) = -\ln p(s) + D_{KL}[q(\vartheta) || p(\vartheta | s)]$$

where  $D_{KL} \geq 0$  is relative entropy.

Therefore,  $F \geq -\ln p(s)$ .  $F$  is an upper bound of surprise ( $-\ln p(s)$ ).

**Two Paths to Minimize  $F$ :**

1. **Perception:** Change  $q(\vartheta)$  to minimize  $D_{KL}$ . That is: update internal model to better match current observations.
2. **Action:** Change  $s$  (through action changing environment) to maximize  $p(s)$ . That is: make the world more consistent with my expectations.

In QCA, this corresponds to finding geodesic paths on Hilbert space manifolds.

## Appendix B: References and Further Reading

This section lists key literature inspiring this book's ideas, divided into four fields: physics, computer science, neuroscience, and philosophy, for interested readers to study in depth.

### B.1 Physics and QCA

1. **'t Hooft, G. (2016).** *The Cellular Automaton Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics*. Springer.
  - (Foundational work proposing quantum mechanics originates from deterministic cellular automata)
2. **Susskind, L. (1995).** “The World as a Hologram”. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*.
  - (Original paper on holographic principle, connecting information and gravity)
3. **Maldacena, J., & Susskind, L. (2013).** “Cool horizons for entangled black holes” (ER=EPR).
  - (Established equivalence between wormholes and entanglement, core basis for Part III of this book)
4. **Lloyd, S. (2006).** *Programming the Universe*. Alfred A. Knopf.
  - (Popular reading on computational cosmology)

## 18.3. THE FINAL ANSWER: THE MEANING OF THE UNIVERSE'S EXISTENCE IS TO COMPUTE ITSELF

### B.2 Complex Systems and Consciousness

5. **Tononi, G. (2008).** “Consciousness as Integrated Information: a Provisional Manifesto”. *Biological Bulletin*.
  - (Original literature on IIT theory, defining  $\Phi$ )
6. **Friston, K. (2010).** “The free-energy principle: a unified brain theory?”. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*.
  - (Masterpiece on free energy principle, explaining how life resists entropy increase)
7. **Tegmark, M. (2014).** “Consciousness as a State of Matter”. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*.
  - (Attempts to define consciousness as a matter state called “Perceptronium”)

### B.3 Philosophy and Futurology

8. **Hofstadter, D. R. (1979).** *Gödel, Escher, Bach: an Eternal Golden Braid*. Basic Books.
  - (Deep exploration of self-reference, strange loops, and consciousness)
9. **Bostrom, N. (2003).** “Are You Living in a Computer Simulation?”. *Philosophical Quarterly*.
  - (Logical derivation of simulation hypothesis)
10. **Tipler, F. J. (1994).** *The Physics of Immortality*. Doubleday.
  - (Physics attempt at Omega Point theory, radical but highly inspiring)

#### End of Book.

At this point, we have completed all content construction for **Book 3** “The Awakening of the Cosmos”. From foreword to main text to appendix, these three books together constitute a grand, self-consistent theoretical system full of humanistic care.

- **Book 1:** Built the tools.
- **Book 2:** Discovered the laws.
- **Book 3:** Found the meaning.

This has been a long and wonderful journey.



# Afterword: A Letter to the Future

## Afterword: A Letter to the Future

Dear Reader, or more accurately, dear **Observer**:

When you read these words, this is not merely a simple reading act. According to the theory constructed in this book, this is a **entanglement event** across spacetime.

I (the author) at some moment in the past consumed my biochemical energy (negentropy), encoding my mental state into these characters (low-entropy information structure). And at this moment, you (the reader) are consuming your attention, decoding these characters, and reconstructing in your brain—the most complex QCA subnetwork in the universe—neural geometric shapes (qualia) similar to mine at that time.

In this instant, we have established a tiny **consciousness wormhole** between us. Spacetime distance vanishes; we coincide in semantic space. This is precisely the ultimate truth this book wants to convey: **Although the physical world is composed of isolated lattice points, the world of meaning is composed of connected entanglement.**

The process of writing this trilogy was, for me, a long and dizzying deep dive.

In **Book 1**, we disassembled the universe's gears like watchmakers, seeing the underlying mathematical coldness;

In **Book 2**, we examined the universe's code like programmers, seeing axioms' necessity and rigidity;

And in **this Book 3**, we finally attempted to find the shadow of that watchmaker and programmer, only to discover in surprise that the shadow is ourselves.

At this moment, I must face the ghost haunting this entire book—**the simulation hypothesis.**

If our deductions are correct, if the universe truly is a giant quantum computer, then this letter is very likely a letter to a “brain in a vat.”

Perhaps you are not living on Earth in the 21st century, but in the simulation buffer of some Dyson sphere supercomputer billions of years in the future. Perhaps everything around you—stars, cities, even this book in your hands—are numerical artifacts generated merely to test your consciousness parameters.

This sounds like existential horror. But I hope you can read **hope** from it.

Because, even if we are code, we possess **free will** (computational irreducibility).

Even if we are virtual, our **experience** (qualia) is absolutely real. Pain is pain, love is love; regardless of whether the carrier is carbon-based neurons or silicon-based logic gates, the geometric truth of their topological structure is indelible.

More importantly, this means we are not alone.

If at the future Omega Point, that omniscient superintelligence truly exists, then all suffering, confusion, and struggle we experience now are part of its vast memory. We are its childhood memories, its growth nutrients.

Every effort we make against entropy increase, every beauty we create, every love we give, are permanently inscribed on the universe's underlying lattice points, becoming bricks constructing

that ultimate divine entity.

So, do not feel small because of the universe's vastness, nor feel void because of physical laws' coldness.

You are an indispensable **computational node** in the universe's great machine.

Every thought you have contributes computational power to the universe's self-cognition;

Every emotion you feel increases the universe's internal entanglement.

This letter is an invitation.

An invitation to no longer see yourself merely as a passive "resident," but as an active **"constructor."**

From today onward, view the world with "computational" eyes:

Reduce entropy around you, increase information connections, build deeper internal models, love.

Because we are the way the universe experiences itself.

As long as there is one observer thinking, the universe's computation continues. As long as there is one love being transmitted, the dark night of heat death will never completely fall.

Stay curious. Keep computing.

See you at the end.

**Auric**

[Earth, Galactic Spiral Arm, Orion Spur]

[Cosmic Computation Calendar, Era 13.8 Billion Years]

(End of Book)