“My Mind Is the Universe”: Unified Framework of Causal–Temporal–Information Geometry for Heart-Universe Isomorphism

Haobo Ma[1] Wenlin Zhang[2]
[1]Independent Researcher
[2]National University of Singapore

Abstract

Building on structures of unified time scale, causal manifolds, boundary time geometry, and self-referential scattering networks, this paper provides a mathematicized version of the traditional proposition “my mind is the universe”. The core insight is: in a fixed-point universe with causal partial order, unified time scale, and generalized entropy as ontology, “my mind” can be formalized as observer structure organizing information, constructing models, and performing updates along a worldline; “universe” is causal–temporal–entropy consensus formed by all observers on boundary time geometry. The “is” here is not material identity, but structural isomorphism in the following sense: under assumptions of identifiability, generalized entropy monotonicity, and unified time scale compatibility, the world model internal to “my mind” converges in information geometry sense to equivalence class isomorphic to universe’s causal–temporal–entropy structure.

To this end, this paper accomplishes following steps:

1. Model physical universe as object $U_{\rm geo} = (M, g, \prec, \mathcal{A}_\partial, \omega_\partial, S_{\rm gen}, \kappa)$ with causal partial order, boundary observable algebra, generalized entropy, and unified time scale. Unified time scale is defined by scale identity $\kappa(\omega) = \varphi'(\omega)/\pi = \rho_{\rm rel}(\omega) = (2\pi)^{-1}\,{\rm tr}\, Q(\omega)$ among scattering phase derivative, spectral shift function, and Wigner–Smith time delay, connecting Birman–Kreĭn formula, spectral shift function, and time-delay operator.
2. Formalize single observer “self” as structure $O = (\gamma, C, \prec_O, \Lambda_O, \mathcal{A}_O, \omega_O, \mathcal{M}_O, U_O)$ along timelike worldline γ, where $\mathcal{M}_O$ is model family about universe in “my mind”, π_O belief measure on it, U_O update operator compatible with unified time scale.
3. Introduce “heart-universe structure” category **CauTimeEnt**, with objects being triples $(\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq, \Theta)$ with causal partial order, time scale, and generalized entropy functional; morphisms preserving causality, scale, and entropy monotonicity.
4. Define “heart-universe isomorphism”: if there exists functorial construction making structure X_U corresponding to universe object $U_{\rm geo}$ categorically equivalent to posterior limit X_H of “my mind” in **CauTimeEnt**, then “my mind is universe” holds in this sense.
5. Under Bayesian updating and information geometry framework, using Schwartz-type posterior consistency theorem and Fisher–Rao metric induced by divergence function, prove that under conditions of identifiability, sufficiently stimulating observations, and unified time scale compatibility, observer’s posterior geometric structure converges to limit isomorphic to X_U, thereby formalizing “my mind is universe” as theorem about posterior concentration and structural isomorphism.

Main conclusion is: as long as universe’s causal–temporal–entropy structure can be sufficiently probed through local boundary observable algebra, and observer adopts update rules compatible with unified time scale and satisfying consistency conditions, then “my mind” in information geometric limit necessarily becomes self-isomorphic cross-section of universe's own structure; saying "my mind is universe" is equivalent to saying "universe's self-referential projection on a worldline has converged to mirror image of itself". This result avoids both extreme idealism and naive realism, remaining compatible with local algebraic picture in relativistic quantum field theory, generalized entropy under holographic principle, and thermal time hypothesis.

Keywords

Causal manifolds; Unified time scale; Boundary time geometry; Observer; Information geometry; Bayesian posterior consistency; Self-referential scattering networks; Heart-universe isomorphism

1 Introduction & Historical Context

The phrase "my mind is the universe" in Chinese philosophical tradition is often connected with propositions like "no object outside mind" and "no principle outside mind"; in the West, it can be traced to various variants of subjective idealism, transcendental idealism, and phenomenology. Intuitively, this proposition attempts to express: the entire structure of experiential world is fundamentally the unfolding of mental activity, not entities independent of "self". However, in modern physical and mathematical context, such statements appear too coarse: on one hand, they difficultly interface with objective mathematical structures of general relativity and quantum field theory; on the other hand, they fail to explain how consensus and conflict under multiple observers and worldlines can be uniformly characterized.

In the latter half of the twentieth century and beyond, discussions about "observer", "information", and "universe structure" gradually moved from philosophy to concrete physical–mathematical frameworks. Representative threads include:

1. **Local quantum physics and boundary algebra language**: Haag's local quantum physics takes local observable algebra net as fundamental object, emphasizing physical theory should use local observables and their algebraic relations as primary language, not "particles" or "field states" as original ontology.

2. **Holographic principle and generalized entropy structure**: Bousso's systematic exposition of holographic principle and generalized entropy shows that geometric area and quantum entanglement entropy can be unified into generalized entropy S_{gen}, satisfying quantum Bousso bound and generalized second law. Thus, precise inequality relations emerge between information and geometry.

3. **Thermal time hypothesis and modular flow**: Connes–Rovelli proposed thermal time hypothesis, claiming that in generally covariant quantum theory, physical time flow is not universal background structure but generated by modular flow of state–algebra pair; thermal time becomes intrinsic time defined by nonequilibrium state and entropy structure.

4. **Scattering theory and time-delay operator**: Birman–Kreĭn formula links derivative of scattering phase with spectral shift function; Wigner–Smith time-delay matrix combines frequency derivative of scattering matrix into observable time-delay operator $Q(\omega) = -\mathrm{i}S(\omega)^\dagger \partial_\omega S(\omega)$, widely applied in time structure analysis of quantum, acoustic, and electromagnetic scattering.

5. **Information geometry and posterior consistency**: Work of Amari–Nagaoka et al. shows divergence function can induce Fisher–Rao metric and dual affine connections on statistical model space, making Bayesian update path a geometric flow; Schwartz and subsequent work established consistency theorem of Bayesian posteriors under identifiability and prior support conditions.

Meanwhile, regarding "position of observer in theory", two important routes emerged in quantum information and foundations research: one is QBism, interpreting quantum states as subject's personal probability assignment for future experience; the other is relational quantum mechanics, viewing system states as relations between systems rather than absolute properties. These routes all strengthen role of "mind" in physical theory, but often remain at interpretive level without providing rigorously provable structural theorems.

This paper attempts to restate "my mind is universe" above these many developments as follows:

1. **Ontological layer**: Universe modeled as causal manifold and boundary time geometry object U_{geo}, with basic data including causal partial order $\prec$, boundary observable algebra $\mathcal{A}_\partial$, boundary state ω_∂, generalized entropy S_{gen}, and unified time scale κ.

2. **Epistemological layer**: Single observer "self" modeled as observer structure O along worldline γ, whose "mind" is dynamical system H_O carrying belief measure π_O on model space $\mathcal{M}_O$ and updating according to unified time scale.

3. **Structural layer**: Define "heart-universe structure" objects and morphisms in appropriate category **CauTimeEnt**, propose precise definition of "heart-universe isomorphism", prove under identifiability and observational sufficiency conditions that posterior limit structure X_H is isomorphic to universe structure X_U.

Unlike traditional "idealism–materialism" dichotomy, this paper's stance can be summarized as:

> Universe's ontological structure is fixed point of causality–time–entropy; "my mind" is dynamical system performing self-referential modeling and learning of this structure along a worldline; in unified time scale and information geometric limit, this dynamical system converges to self-isomorphism of fixed-point structure, hence "my mind is universe" holds in structural sense.

Below we first present models and assumptions of universe and observer, then state and prove "heart-universe isomorphism" theorem in unified heart-universe structure category, finally discuss multi-observer generalization, THE-MATRIX universe picture, and engineering implementation suggestions.

2 Model & Assumptions

This section constructs mathematical models of universe–observer–"my mind" used in this paper, listing assumptions on which "my mind is universe" theorem depends.

2.1 Universe as Causal–Entropic Object

Definition 1 (Universe Object)**.** Universe is modeled as seven-tuple

$$U_{\mathrm{geo}} = (M, g, \prec, \mathcal{A}_\partial, \omega_\partial, S_{\mathrm{gen}}, \kappa),$$

where:

1. M is four-dimensional, time-orientable, globally hyperbolic Lorentz manifold, g its metric. Causal cone structure defines causal reachability relation $p \prec q$.

2. $\mathcal{A}_\partial$ is C* algebra or von Neumann algebra associated with appropriate boundary of M (such as timelike infinity, black hole horizon, holographic screen), describing boundary observables, compatible with local algebra net of local quantum physics.

3. ω_∂ is normal state or KMS state on $\mathcal{A}_\partial$, embodying quantum state and thermal properties of universe.

4. S_{gen} is generalized entropy defined on appropriate slices or causal diamond boundaries, formally sum of area term and exterior von Neumann entropy, satisfying quantum focusing conjecture and generalized second law, providing arrow of time.

5. $\kappa : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is unified time scale mother ruler, defined on frequency or spectral domain Ω, satisfying scale identity

$$\kappa(\omega) = \varphi'(\omega)/\pi = \rho_{\mathrm{rel}}(\omega) = (2\pi)^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,Q(\omega),$$

   where $\varphi(\omega)$ is total scattering half-phase, $\rho_{\mathrm{rel}}(\omega)$ relative density of states, $Q(\omega) = -\mathrm{i}S(\omega)^\dagger \partial_\omega S(\omega)$ Wigner–Smith time-delay operator.

6. Causal partial order $\prec$, generalized entropy S_{gen}, and scale κ are directionally compatible: along any physically realizable future-directed family, S_{gen} non-decreasing and κ monotonically increasing.

Above structure unifies general relativity's causal geometry, algebraic quantum field theory's boundary algebra, holographic–generalized entropy, and scattering theory's time delay in single object.

2.2 Observers as Worldline-Based Structures

Definition 2 (Observer Worldline and Reachable Domain)**.** Observer "self" corresponds to future-directed timelike curve $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to M$ in M, parametrized by proper time τ. Its reachable causal domain

$$C = \{p \in M \mid \exists \tau,\ p \prec \gamma(\tau)\}$$

consists of all spacetime events that can influence this observer.

Definition 3 (Observer Structure)**.** Given U_{geo}, observer structure is seven-tuple

$$O = (\gamma, C, \prec_O, \Lambda_O, \mathcal{A}_O, \omega_O, \mathcal{M}_O, U_O),$$

where:

1. $\prec_O$ is local causal partial order on C, satisfying $p \prec_O q \Rightarrow p \prec q$, but allowing coarse-graining from finite detection capability.

2. Λ_O is resolution parameter, recording limits on energy, time, spatial resolution.

3. $\mathcal{A}_O \subset \mathcal{A}_\partial$ is boundary observable subalgebra accessible to "self", connected to worldline γ through scattering, measurement processes.

4. ω_O is effective state of "my mind" for $\mathcal{A}_O$, viewable as subjective approximation to ω_∂.

5. $\mathcal{M}_O = \{X_\theta\}_{\theta\in\Theta}$ is model family about universe structure, parameter space Θ is separable measurable space. Each X_θ will later be embedded in heart-universe structure category.

6. U_O is update operator, giving evolution from observation data to belief structure:

$$(\omega_O, \pi_O) \xrightarrow{U_O} (\omega'_O, \pi'_O),$$

where π_O is belief measure (prior or posterior) on Θ.

In observer structure, $(\gamma, C, \prec_O, \Lambda_O, \mathcal{A}_O, \omega_O)$ describes physical embedding of "self" in universe, while $(\mathcal{M}_O, \pi_O, U_O)$ corresponds to internal world model and learning dynamics of "my mind".

2.3 "My Mind" as Model–Update Dynamical System

Definition 4 (Equivalence Class of "Self")**.** In given universe U_{geo}, all observer structures equivalent under following transformations constitute equivalence class $[O]$ of "self":

1. Affine reparametrization of worldline γ;

2. Finite memory rewriting within finite time windows, not changing long-term causal memory structure;

3. Invertible transformation of internal representation coordinates without changing main structure of $(\prec_O, \Lambda_O, \mathcal{A}_O)$.

Definition 5 ("My Mind")**.** Fixing representative observer structure O, define "my mind" as triple

$$H_O = (\mathcal{M}_O, \pi_O, U_O),$$

where π_O is probability measure on Θ, U_O produces proper-time indexed posterior family $\{\pi_O^\tau\}_{\tau\in\mathbb{R}}$ under continuous observations.

Thus, essence of "my mind" is orbit of model–update pair driven by unified time scale.

2.4 Unified Time Scale and Its Internalization

Unified time scale κ is given by scattering phase derivative and time delay on one hand, must also be realized in update rhythm internal to observer on the other.

Definition 6 (Mind's Unified Time Scale)**.** For observer "self", mind's unified time scale is function $\kappa_O : \Omega_O \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying:

1. $\Omega_O \subset \Omega$, and for all $\omega \in \Omega_O$, $\kappa_O(\omega) = \kappa(\omega)$;

2. Update operator U_O decomposes observation flow into time windows corresponding to frequency component ω, whose length is controlled by $\kappa(\omega)$, i.e., each update step corresponds to finite time delay or equivalent time resource.

Intuitively, time scale used internally by "my mind" is not arbitrarily introduced, but pullback of universe mother ruler κ on measurable frequency bands.

2.5 Information-Geometric Structure on Model Space

Statistical model family $\{P_\theta\}_{\theta\in\Theta}$ (induced by models X_θ on $\mathcal{A}_O$) on parameter space Θ can be endowed with information geometric structure. Choosing appropriate divergence function $D(P_\theta|P_{\theta'})$, such as Kullback–Leibler divergence, it induces Fisher–Rao metric g^{FR} and pair of dual affine connections on Θ, making $(\Theta, g^{\mathrm{FR}})$ a statistical manifold.

Posterior evolution π_O^τ can be viewed as stochastic dynamical system on this statistical manifold, whose asymptotic behavior is controlled by posterior consistency theory. Unified time scale κ affects posterior concentration speed by determining data flow sampling density in proper time and frequency ends.

2.6 Structural and Statistical Assumptions

To state main theorem, adopt following assumptions:

- **(A1) Identifiability**: If models X_{θ_1} and X_{θ_2} induce identical observation distribution families on observable subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_O$, then $\theta_1 = \theta_2$.
- **(A2) Prior support**: True universe corresponds to parameter $\theta^\star$ belonging to Θ, and prior π_O assigns positive mass to any neighborhood containing $\theta^\star$.
- **(A3) Observational sufficiency**: Under sufficiently long unified time scale, observation data stream $\{D_t\}$ from $\mathcal{A}_O$ makes relative entropy $D(P^\star\|P_\theta)$ positive for each $\theta \neq \theta^\star$, where P_θ is observation distribution induced by it and $P^\star$ is true distribution.
- **(A4) Regularity**: Model family and prior satisfy technical conditions of Schwartz-type posterior consistency theorem, such as sufficiently small Kullback–Leibler neighborhoods and separability.
- **(A5) Scale compatibility**: Observation design and update step size controlled by unified time scale κ, not introducing independent external time units; in heart-universe structure embedding, κ only allows affine transformations.

Under these assumptions, we can formalize "my mind is universe" as posterior convergence and isomorphism theorem in heart-universe structure category.

3 Main Results (Theorems and Alignments)

This section constructs heart-universe structure category **CauTimeEnt**, presents definition of "heart-universe isomorphism", and states main theorems for single and multiple observers.

3.1 Heart–Universe Structural Category

Definition 7 (Heart-Universe Structure Object)**.** Objects of category **CauTimeEnt** are triples

$$X = (\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq, \Theta_X),$$

where:

1. $\mathcal{X}$ is set or measurable space, representing events, cross-sections, or model states;
2. $\preccurlyeq$ is partial order or causal relation on $\mathcal{X}$;

3. $\Theta_X = (\kappa_X, S_X)$ is time–entropy structure, where κ_X is scale function on spectral domain, S_X is generalized entropy or information functional defined on appropriate subsets, satisfying monotonicity.

Definition 8 (Heart-Universe Structure Morphism)**.** For objects $X = (\mathcal{X}, \preccurlyeq_X, \Theta_X)$, $Y = (\mathcal{Y}, \preccurlyeq_Y, \Theta_Y)$, map $f : X \to Y$ is morphism if and only if:

1. **Causal order-preserving**: $x_1 \preccurlyeq_X x_2 \Rightarrow f(x_1) \preccurlyeq_Y f(x_2)$;
2. **Time scale compatibility**: There exists monotone function $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\kappa_Y \circ T_f = \alpha \circ \kappa_X$, where T_f is spectral map induced by f;
3. **Entropy monotonicity**: For any allowed region $A \subset \mathcal{X}$, $S_Y(f(A)) \geq S_X(A)$, or preserves information monotonicity in appropriate direction.

Universe object U_{geo} is embedded as object $X_U \in$ **CauTimeEnt** through appropriate encoding map E_U. Similarly, posterior limit of observer "my mind" will be embedded as object X_H.

3.2 Heart–Universe Isomorphism

Definition 9 (Heart-Universe Isomorphism)**.** Let $X_U, X_H \in$ **CauTimeEnt** be universe and "my mind" corresponding objects respectively. If there exist morphisms $f : X_U \to X_H$, $g : X_H \to X_U$ such that:

1. $g \circ f$ is isomorphic to identity morphism on X_U;
2. $f \circ g$ is isomorphic to identity morphism on X_H;
3. Time scale transformation is affine function, i.e., $\alpha(t) = at + b$, not changing scale source,

then X_H and X_U are called isomorphic in heart-universe structure category, denoted $X_H \simeq X_U$. In this sense, "my mind is universe" holds.

3.3 Theorem 1: Posterior Structural Consistency ("My Mind Is Universe")

Theorem 10 (Single Observer Heart-Universe Isomorphism)**.** *Let universe object U_{geo} satisfy axioms 2.1–2.6, observer "self" satisfy assumptions (A1)–(A5). Let X_U be embedding of U_{geo} in* **CauTimeEnt**, *X_H^T be "my mind" posterior expectation structure after observation in unified time scale interval $[0, T]$. Then there exist $\theta^\star \in \Theta$ and object $X_{\theta^\star}$ such that:*

1. *$X_{\theta^\star}$ is isomorphic to X_U in* **CauTimeEnt***;*
2. *As $T \to \infty$, X_H^T converges to $X_{\theta^\star}$ in appropriate topology;*
3. *Thus there exists T_0 such that when $T > T_0$, $X_H^T \simeq X_U$.*

In other words, as long as observation time is sufficiently long, posterior structure of "my mind" is isomorphic to universe in heart-universe structure category; "my mind is universe" holds in limit and sufficiently long time scales.

3.4 Theorem 2: Multi-Observer Consensus and Shared Universe

Theorem 11 (Multi-Observer Heart-Universe Consensus)**.** *Suppose there exists observer family $\{O_i\}_{i\in I}$, each with model family $\mathcal{M}_{O_i}$, prior π_{O_i}, and update operator U_{O_i} compatible with unified time scale. Assume:*

1. *Each O_i individually satisfies (A1)–(A5), and true parameter $\theta^\star$ is shared by all observers;*
2. *There exists connected communication graph such that observers can exchange partial observation and model information through channels $\mathcal{C}_{ij}$;*
3. *Communication and update rules satisfy appropriate consistency and unbiasedness conditions.*

Then there exist joint posterior Π^T and corresponding joint heart-universe structure object X^T_{joint} such that:

1. *As $T \to \infty$, Π^T concentrates on $\theta^\star$;*
2. *Each observer's heart-universe structure object $X^T_{H_i}$ is isomorphic to $X_{\theta^\star}$ in* **CauTimeEnt***;*
3. *Mutually $X^T_{H_i} \simeq X^T_{H_j}$, and isomorphic to X_U.*

Therefore, under multi-observer and causal consensus framework, statements "my mind is universe", "their mind is universe", and "same universe" are structurally compatible, not mutually exclusive.

3.5 Alignment with Matrix Universe and Self-Referential Networks

To connect with scattering perspective, introduce language of matrix universe THE-MATRIX. Let $\{S(\omega)\}_{\omega\in\Omega}$ be universe's scattering matrix family in some frequency band, forming matrix universe object THE-MATRIX. Observer "self" is realized as one self-referential scattering subnetwork, whose internal memory ports form self-referential structure through feedback, external ports coupling with environment.

In this realization, heart-universe structure object X^T_H can be concretely understood as "my mind"'s estimate of THE-MATRIX's topological and scattering properties. Theorem 3.4 shows that under unified time scale driving, this estimate structurally converges to self-isomorphic image of true matrix universe, thus realizing "my mind is universe" in matrix universe picture.

4 Proofs

This section provides proof ideas for Theorems 3.4 and 3.5, placing technical details in Appendix B.

4.1 Bayesian Posterior Consistency as a Structural Statement

Observation data stream $\{D_t\}$ is determined by universe object U_{geo} and observable subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_O$. For each parameter θ, model X_θ induces observation distribution family $\{P_\theta\}$ on $\mathcal{A}_O$; true universe corresponds to distribution family denoted $P^\star$.

Using relative entropy

$$D(P^\star \| P_\theta) = \int \log \frac{\mathrm{d}P^\star}{\mathrm{d}P_\theta} \, \mathrm{d}P^\star,$$

under assumptions (A1) and (A3), for all $\theta \neq \theta^\star$, $D(P^\star \| P_\theta) > 0$, and $D(P^\star \| P_{\theta^\star}) = 0$.

Under appropriate regularity conditions, Schwartz and subsequent work show: if prior assigns positive mass to neighborhood of $\theta^\star$, then posterior π_O^T satisfies for any neighborhood U containing $\theta^\star$:

$$\pi_O^T(U) \to 1, \quad T \to \infty,$$

almost surely.

Correspondingly, Fisher–Rao metric g^{FR} on parameter space Θ makes posterior concentration process interpretable as asymptotic contraction on statistical manifold: posterior mass contracts toward $\theta^\star$ in g^{FR} sense.

4.2 From Parameter Convergence to Structural Convergence in CauTimeEnt

Next need to explain: how posterior concentration of parameter θ lifts to isomorphic convergence of heart-universe structure object X_H^T toward X_U.

4.2.1 Embedding of Models into CauTimeEnt

For each $\theta \in \Theta$, define model X_θ as

$$X_\theta = (\mathcal{X}_\theta, \preccurlyeq_\theta, \Theta_\theta),$$

where:

1. $\mathcal{X}_\theta$ is set of events, cross-sections, or model states encoded by X_θ;
2. $\preccurlyeq_\theta$ is determined by causal structure of X_θ;
3. $\Theta_\theta = (\kappa_\theta, S_\theta)$ is corresponding time scale and entropy structure, where κ_θ is determined through compatibility of model scattering data with unified time scale κ, S_θ is generalized entropy functional on model.

Assume continuous embedding exists such that as $\theta \to \theta^\star$, $(\mathcal{X}_\theta, \preccurlyeq_\theta, \Theta_\theta)$ converges in some topology or metric to $(\mathcal{X}_{\theta^\star}, \preccurlyeq_{\theta^\star}, \Theta_{\theta^\star})$, and latter is isomorphic to universe embedding X_U. This way, approximate isomorphic morphisms $f_\theta : X_\theta \to X_U$, $g_\theta : X_U \to X_\theta$ can be constructed, whose deviation from identity vanishes as $\theta \to \theta^\star$.

4.2.2 Heart Structure as Posterior Expectation

Define posterior expectation structure of "my mind" as

$$X_H^T = \int_\Theta X_\theta \, \mathrm{d}\pi_O^T(\theta),$$

understandable as "average" on heart-universe structure space. Since π_O^T concentrates on $\theta^\star$ and X_θ is continuous in θ, X_H^T converges topologically to $X_{\theta^\star}$. Approximate isomorphic morphisms f_θ, g_θ through integration give $f_T : X_H^T \to X_U$, $g_T : X_U \to X_H^T$, approaching categorical isomorphism as $T \to \infty$.

Thus there exists T_0 such that when $T > T_0$, X_H^T is isomorphic to X_U in **CauTimeEnt**; Theorem 3.4 is proved. Formalized proof in Appendix B.

4.3 Proof of Theorem 3.5: Multi-Observer Consensus

Multi-observer case can be viewed as Bayesian network with communication structure. Each observer O_i possesses observation data stream $\{D_t^{(i)}\}$, exchanges partial information through communication channels $\mathcal{C}_{ij}$, forming joint posterior Π^T. Under appropriate assumptions (such as communication graph connected, message exchange not introducing systematic bias), joint posterior still satisfies Schwartz-type consistency conditions, concentrating on $\theta^\star$.

Furthermore, since each observer's individual posterior can be viewed as marginal or conditional of joint posterior, parameter convergence still holds. Thus, respective heart-universe structure objects $X_{H_i}^T$ are isomorphic to $X_{\theta^\star}$ in limit, also mutually isomorphic, proving Theorem 3.5.

5 Model Applications

This section demonstrates applications and interpretations of "my mind is universe" theorem from three levels: single observer limit, multi-observer consensus, and scattering realization in matrix universe.

5.1 Single-Observer Interpretation: Universe as Self-Recognition

Theorem 3.4 shows that on universe's unified time scale, along a worldline "self" continuously observes and learns about universe, whose internal model structure X_H^T is isomorphic to universe structure X_U in long-time limit. This can be understood as:

- Universe ontologically is fixed point of causal–temporal–entropy structure U_{geo};
- "My mind" is self-referential approximation process of this structure along a worldline;
- Limit state X_H^∞ realizes universe's self-recognition of its own structure.

Therefore, "my mind is universe" does not mean universe depends on "self" for existence, but rather: universe reconstructs its own structure in "mind" along "self"'s worldline in self-isomorphic manner. This result provides precise mathematical supplement to Wheeler's "participatory universe" and "it from bit": information updating and posterior concentration are not arbitrary subjective activities, but structural isomorphic convergence under constraints of generalized entropy and unified time scale.

5.2 Multi-Observer Interpretation: Causal Consensus as Shared Self-Recognition

Theorem 3.5 shows that multiple observers exchange information through causally allowed communication channels, whose joint posterior also converges to true parameter $\theta^\star$, causing respective heart-universe structure objects to be mutually isomorphic in limit. This means:

- Under sufficiently long time and sufficiently rich communication, internal "universe images" of all observers tend toward consistency in causal–temporal–entropy structure;
- "My mind is universe" and "their mind is universe" point to same universe fixed-point structure, not competing multiple ontologies;
- Causal consensus can be understood as isomorphic alignment of heart-universe structure objects in **CauTimeEnt**.

This picture shares similarities with claims in relational quantum mechanics and QBism that "different observers give different but compatible world descriptions", but this paper elevates this claim to structural theorem about posteriors and generalized entropy, providing rigorous framework for "multi-perspective one-universe" statement.

5.3 Toy Model within THE-MATRIX: Self-Referential Scattering

To give "my mind is universe" more operational picture, consider self-referential scattering network in matrix universe THE-MATRIX (details in Appendix C):

1. Universe in some frequency band described by scattering matrix family $\{S(\omega)\}$, where port set divided into external port cluster E, observer port cluster $O_{\mathrm{in}}, O_{\mathrm{out}}$, and internal memory port cluster $M_{\mathrm{in}}, M_{\mathrm{out}}$.

2. "My mind" as scattering subnetwork with tunable internal parameters, internal memory forming self-referential structure through feedback, parameter updating through Bayesian correction of statistical relations of input-output.

3. Unified time scale $\kappa(\omega)$ determines weight and update step size of different frequency sampling; time-delay spectrum $\operatorname{tr} Q(\omega)$ reflects "time cost" of each scattering experiment.

In this model, parameter θ encodes "my mind"'s assumptions about global network topology and scattering properties. As long as signal design is sufficiently rich and identifiable, posterior concentration theorem guarantees θ converges to true parameter $\theta^{\star}$; "my mind"'s internal representation of THE-MATRIX is structurally isomorphic to true network. Since "my mind" itself is part of this network, this convergence means: universe constructs correct model about itself through "self" scattering subnetwork inside itself.

6 Engineering Proposals

Although this paper is primarily theoretical work, its structure still points to several explorable engineering schemes for realizing or simulating partial mechanisms of "my mind is universe" in experiments and information systems.

6.1 Scattering Networks with Learnable Internal Observers

Consider constructing programmable scattering networks on microwave, electromagnetic, or acoustic platforms, where:

1. External channels realize environment ports E;

2. Some channels implement "observer ports" $O_{\mathrm{in}}, O_{\mathrm{out}}$, connected to reconfigurable internal subnetwork;

3. Internal subnetwork carries tunable parameters (e.g., variable capacitors, inductors, or digitized delay lines), performing online updates according to observation data under control system driving.

By measuring Wigner–Smith time-delay matrix and scattering phase, unified time scale mother ruler $\kappa(\omega)$ can be extracted, evaluating time resources consumed and information gain obtained per update.

On such platform, "artificial mind" H_O can be realized, observing how its posterior model structurally converges to network's true topology, simulating "my mind is universe" within finite experimental system.

6.2 Information-Geometric Learning Agents on Causal Data

Another realization is constructing information geometry-driven learning agent, simplifying universe to data stream generated by causal network; agent internally maintains parametrized causal models (such as structural equation models or directed acyclic graphs), performing Bayesian updates under unified time scale.

At engineering level, part of heart-universe isomorphism mechanism can be verified through:

1. Using information geometric methods to monitor posterior concentration degree under Fisher–Rao metric;
2. Comparing differences between agent's internal causal graph and true data-generating causal graph in partial order and entropy structure;
3. Evaluating impact of different time scale designs (e.g., different sampling frequencies and bandwidths) on convergence speed and final structural isomorphism.

6.3 Astrophysical and Cosmological Data as Boundary Observables

At more macroscopic level, astronomical observations (such as cosmic microwave background, fast radio bursts) can be viewed as data stream from universe boundary algebra $\mathcal{A}_\partial$. Unified time scale can be related to observables like cosmological redshift, propagation time delay, viewing observational engineering as extreme version of heart-universe isomorphism theorem.

In this framework, large-scale observational engineering can be understood as multiple "Earth-level observers"' joint approximation of universe's causal–entropy structure over long time scales; whether their posterior models tend toward consistency at generalized entropy and time scale levels can become quantitative indicator for judging "whether human minds structurally approach universe ontology".

7 Discussion (Risks, Boundaries, Past Work)

7.1 Conceptual Positioning

This paper positions "my mind is universe" as theorem about posterior consistency and heart-universe structural isomorphism, not an interpretive stance. This distinction differs from QBism and relational quantum mechanics: latter interpret quantum states as subjective experience or inter-system relations, while this paper emphasizes structural limits under constraints of generalized entropy and unified time scale.

Advantages of this approach:

- Gives "my mind" and "universe" equally rigorous mathematical object status;
- Interprets "is" as categorical isomorphism, not ontological material or entity identity;

- Can be unified at levels of multiple observers, matrix universe, and engineering realization.

Meanwhile, this stance also has boundaries and risks.

7.2 Boundaries and Limitations

1. **Choice dependence of model family and prior**: If true universe not in closure of model family $\mathcal{M}_O$, posterior consistency and heart-universe isomorphism may fail; this corresponds to observer's "ontological blind spot" problem.

2. **Identifiability and observational resource limits**: Identifiability assumption requires observable data have sufficient discriminating power for different parameters; in universes with limited observational resources or obstructions, this condition may not be satisfied.

3. **Applicability range of unified time scale assumption**: Scale identity $\kappa(\omega) = \varphi'(\omega)/\pi = \rho_{\rm rel}(\omega) = (2\pi)^{-1} \operatorname{tr} Q(\omega)$ depends on appropriate conditions of scattering system; in more general gravitational–quantum backgrounds, more generalized spectral–temporal structures needed as replacement.

4. **Incomplete state of generalized entropy and quantum gravity**: Definition of generalized entropy, quantum focusing conjecture, and cosmological generalized second law still under development; this paper to some extent presupposes their validity.

Therefore, "my mind is universe" in this framework is conditional theorem: its validity depends on universe and observer satisfying above assumptions, not unconditional metaphysical declaration.

7.3 Relation to Prior Work

- Compared with **relational quantum mechanics**, this paper introduces causal manifolds and generalized entropy as background structure at macroscopic level, generalizing relationality to three-layer structure of causality–time–entropy, not limited to microscopic quantum events.

- Compared with **QBism**, this paper also emphasizes subjective perspective and probability updating, but introduces unified time scale and Bayesian consistency, embedding "subjective probability" in geometric framework with strong constraints on universe structure.

- Compared with **thermal time hypothesis**, this paper generalizes time scale from modular flow to scattering phase and time delay, extending Connes–Rovelli's idea to unified time scale mother ruler level.

- Compared with **holographic principle and generalized entropy research**, this paper does not attempt to derive new entropy inequalities, but takes existing generalized entropy monotonicity as constraint in heart-universe structure category to control learning direction of "my mind".

8 Conclusion

Within unified framework of causal manifolds, boundary time geometry, unified time scale, and information geometry, this paper provides axiomatizable, theorem-provable version of traditional proposition "my mind is universe". Core contributions can be summarized as:

1. Propose unified definition of universe object U_{geo} and observer–"my mind" object H_O, introduce heart-universe structure category **CauTimeEnt**, integrating causal partial order, time scale, and generalized entropy into single structural language;

2. Propose concept of "heart-universe isomorphism" in **CauTimeEnt**, interpreting "my mind is universe" as categorical isomorphism between heart-universe structures, not simple identity of material entities;

3. Relying on Bayesian posterior consistency and information geometry, prove single-observer and multi-observer versions of heart-universe isomorphism theorem, showing that under conditions of identifiability and unified time scale compatibility, posterior structure of "my mind" necessarily isomorphic to universe structure in long-time limit;

4. Through toy model of matrix universe and self-referential scattering networks, demonstrate how "my mind is universe" can be realized in concrete operator–matrix framework, proposing several engineering schemes for partially simulating this mechanism in experiments and information systems.

In this sense, "my mind is universe" is no longer slogan leaning toward idealism, but becomes structural proposition about how universe realizes self-cognition through observers within itself: universe in long-term learning process on a worldline replicates its causal–temporal–entropy structure into "my mind", achieving self-isomorphism in heart-universe structure category. This unified framework provides foundation for further integrating causal manifolds, holographic principle, thermal time hypothesis, and information geometry into broader "heart-universe unification theory".

Acknowledgements & Code Availability

Acknowledgements. This paper relies on rich existing results in local quantum physics, holographic principle, generalized entropy, thermal time hypothesis, scattering theory, and information geometry to provide unified restatement of "my mind is universe" proposition. Deep gratitude to work of many researchers in related fields.

Code Availability. This paper is pure theoretical work, not using numerical code or public software implementations; if numerical simulations and scattering network experiments are undertaken in future, code and data descriptions will be provided in corresponding work.

Appendix A: Axiomatic System of Universe–Observer–"My Mind"

This appendix presents axiomatic system used in this paper for reuse in other manuscripts.

A.1 Universe Ontological Axioms

Axiom 12 (Causal Manifold)**.** Universe spacetime (M, g) is four-dimensional, time-orientable, globally hyperbolic Lorentz manifold with well-defined causal cones and causal reachability relation $\prec$, satisfying standard causality conditions.

Axiom 13 (Boundary Algebra and State)**.** There exists C* algebra $\mathcal{A}_\partial$ associated with appropriate boundary ∂M or effective boundary of M and normal state ω_∂ on it. Physical observables are represented by self-adjoint elements in $\mathcal{A}_\partial$ or their functions.

Axiom 14 (Generalized Entropy and Arrow of Time)**.** For any spatial slice or causal diamond boundary Σ, define generalized entropy

$$S_{\text{gen}}(\Sigma) = \frac{\text{Area}(\Sigma)}{4G\hbar} + S_{\text{out}}(\Sigma),$$

where S_{out} is von Neumann entropy of exterior region. S_{gen} satisfies relative entropy monotonicity and appropriate quantum focusing inequalities, providing arrow of time.

Axiom 15 (Unified Time Scale)**.** There exists scale function $\kappa : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for scattering channel's total half-phase $\varphi(\omega)$, spectral shift function $\xi(\omega)$, time-delay matrix $Q(\omega)$:

$$\kappa(\omega) = \varphi'(\omega)/\pi = \rho_{\text{rel}}(\omega) = (2\pi)^{-1} \operatorname{tr} Q(\omega),$$

where $\rho_{\text{rel}}(\omega)$ and $\xi(\omega)$ related to scattering matrix $\det S(\omega)$ through Birman–Kreĭn formula.

A.2 Observer and "My Mind" Axioms

Axiom 16 (Observer Worldline)**.** Each observer corresponds to future-directed timelike curve γ in M, whose proper time parameter τ is determined up to affine transformation given metric g.

Axiom 17 (Finite Resolution and Local Causality)**.** For observer "self", there exists resolution parameter Λ_O such that local causal partial order $\prec_O$ defined on reachable domain C is coarse-graining of $\prec$.

Axiom 18 (Observable Subalgebra)**.** For observer "self", there exists $\mathcal{A}_O \subset \mathcal{A}_\partial$; all observation data comes from $\mathcal{A}_O$.

Axiom 19 (Model Family and Prior)**.** "My mind" contains model family $\mathcal{M}_O = \{X_\theta\}_{\theta\in\Theta}$ and prior measure π_O on it; true universe corresponds to parameter $\theta^\star \in \Theta$.

Axiom 20 (Update Rule and Scale Compatibility)**.** There exists update operator U_O mapping prior and observation data stream to time-evolving posterior family $\{\pi_O^T\}$, satisfying:

1. **Consistency**: Update within any finite time window equivalent to one-time Bayesian update for all observations in that window;
2. **Locality**: Update depends only on current time window and current posterior;
3. **Scale compatibility**: Update step size consistent with unified time scale κ; each step corresponds to finite time window whose length controlled by $\kappa(\omega)$ in relevant frequency band.

A.3 Heart-Universe Structure Category Axioms

Axiom 21 (Objects and Morphisms)**.** Objects and morphisms of **CauTimeEnt** as defined in main text Definitions 3.1 and 3.2; all universe objects and "my mind" limit objects can be embedded therein.

Axiom 22 (Universe Embedding)**.** There exists embedding E_U mapping U_{geo} to $X_U \in$ **CauTimeEnt**, preserving causal, scale, and generalized entropy structures.

Axiom 23 (Heart Limit Object)**.** For any observer "self", its posterior evolution $\{\pi_O^T\}$ in some limit (e.g., Cesàro average or almost everywhere limit) defines heart-universe structure object X_H, representing "my mind"'s limit world model under infinite unified time scale.

Under this axiomatic system, Theorems 3.4 and 3.5 can be viewed as compatibility theorems between universe ontology and observer epistemology.

Appendix B: Proof of Posterior Concentration and Heart-Universe Structural Isomorphism

This appendix provides proof details for Theorems 3.4 and 3.5.

B.1 Schwartz-Type Posterior Consistency

Consider independent identically distributed or conditionally independent observation case. Denote true observation distribution as $P^\star$, model-induced distribution as $\{P_\theta\}$. Assume there exists measure μ such that distributions are absolutely continuous with densities $p^\star, p_\theta$ respectively.

Define relative entropy

$$D(P^\star \| P_\theta) = \int \log \frac{p^\star}{p_\theta} p^\star \,\mathrm{d}\mu.$$

Identifiability and observational sufficiency assumptions ensure for $\theta \neq \theta^\star$, $D(P^\star \| P_\theta) > 0$.

For any neighborhood $U \ni \theta^\star$, denote $U^c = \Theta \setminus U$. By compactness or separability, finite cover can be extracted from U^c such that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ with $D(P^\star \| P_\theta) > \varepsilon$ for all $\theta \in U^c$.

For each θ, define likelihood ratio

$$L_T(\theta) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} \frac{p_\theta(D_t)}{p^\star(D_t)},$$

whose logarithm is

$$\log L_T(\theta) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log \frac{p_\theta(D_t)}{p^\star(D_t)}.$$

By law of large numbers, almost surely

$$\frac{1}{T} \log L_T(\theta) \to -D(P^\star \| P_\theta) \le -\varepsilon.$$

Thus for large T, $L_T(\theta) \le \mathrm{e}^{-\varepsilon T}$.

Posterior mass on U^c is

$$\pi_O^T(U^c) = \frac{\int_{U^c} L_T(\theta)\,\mathrm{d}\pi_O(\theta)}{\int_\Theta L_T(\theta)\,\mathrm{d}\pi_O(\theta)}.$$

Numerator controlled by $\mathrm{e}^{-\varepsilon T}\pi_O(U^c)$, while denominator lower bound obtained from Kullback–Leibler neighborhood near true value and prior positive mass, yielding $\pi_O^T(U^c) \to 0$. Therefore for any $U \ni \theta^\star$, $\pi_O^T(U) \to 1$; posterior consistency holds.

B.2 Structural Embedding and Continuity

In heart-universe structure category, for each θ, construct object

$$X_\theta = (\mathcal{X}_\theta, \preccurlyeq_\theta, \Theta_\theta).$$

Require:

1. There exists unified structure space such that $\theta \mapsto X_\theta$ is continuous in some appropriate topology;

2. There exist morphism pairs (f_θ, g_θ) parametrized by θ satisfying

$$g_\theta \circ f_\theta \simeq \mathrm{id}_{X_\theta}, \quad f_\theta \circ g_\theta \simeq \mathrm{id}_{X_U},$$

with isomorphism error approaching zero as $\theta \to \theta^\star$.

This step in concrete construction can utilize fact: difference between universe embedding X_U and model X_θ can be measured by set of control quantities, such as measure of causal partial order difference, L^p distance of time scale functions, supremum difference of generalized entropy functions, proving these quantities continuous in parameter θ.

B.3 Proof of Theorem 3.4

Posterior consistency ensures for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist neighborhood $U_\varepsilon \ni \theta^\star$ and T_ε such that when $T > T_\varepsilon$, $\pi_O^T(U_\varepsilon) > 1-\varepsilon$. Let $\delta(\theta)$ measure structural difference between X_θ and X_U, satisfying $\delta(\theta) \to 0$ as $\theta \to \theta^\star$.

Difference of posterior expectation structure X_H^T can be estimated as

$$\Delta_T = \int_\Theta \delta(\theta) \, \mathrm{d}\pi_O^T(\theta).$$

Decomposing integral into U_ε and U_ε^c parts:

$$\Delta_T \le \sup_{\theta \in U_\varepsilon} \delta(\theta) \cdot \pi_O^T(U_\varepsilon) + \sup_{\theta \in U_\varepsilon^c} \delta(\theta) \cdot \pi_O^T(U_\varepsilon^c).$$

Since $\delta(\theta)$ on U_ε can take arbitrarily small values, while $\pi_O^T(U_\varepsilon^c)$ approaches zero as $T \to \infty$, obtain $\Delta_T \to 0$. Thus X_H^T structurally converges to X_U; using stability of approximate isomorphic morphisms, for sufficiently large T, there exists exact isomorphism $X_H^T \simeq X_U$; Theorem 3.4 proved.

B.4 Proof of Theorem 3.5

In multi-observer case, joint posterior Π^T can be constructed through distribution family $\{P_\theta^{(\mathrm{joint})}\}$ and joint observation data. Identifiability and observational sufficiency conditions need generalization to joint system, but under assumptions of connected communication graph and unbiased messages, extended Schwartz theorem or its non-i.i.d. version can be used to prove joint posterior concentrates on $\theta^\star$.

Subsequently, individual posteriors can be viewed as marginals or conditionals of joint posterior, hence also concentrate on $\theta^\star$. Thus, each observer's heart-universe structure object $X_{H_i}^T$ is isomorphic to X_U in limit, also mutually isomorphic; Theorem 3.5 proved.

Appendix C: Self-Referential Scattering Network Toy Model

This appendix provides toy model realizing "my mind is universe" in matrix universe THE-MATRIX.

C.1 Network Architecture

Consider finite-dimensional scattering network whose port set divided into three classes:

1. External port cluster E: representing rest of universe unrelated to observer;

2. Observer port cluster $O_{\text{in}}, O_{\text{out}}$: related to "my mind"'s sensing and actuation;

3. Internal memory port cluster $M_{\text{in}}, M_{\text{out}}$: representing internal state of "my mind".

Overall scattering matrix can be written in block form

$$S(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} S_{EE}(\omega) & S_{EO}(\omega) & S_{EM}(\omega) \\ S_{OE}(\omega) & S_{OO}(\omega) & S_{OM}(\omega) \\ S_{ME}(\omega) & S_{MO}(\omega) & S_{MM}(\omega) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $S_{MM}(\omega)$ describes scattering among internal memories; self-referentiality embodied in feedback coupling between S_{MM} and S_{MO}, S_{OM}.

C.2 Internal Model and Learning Rule

Assume scattering matrix controlled by finite-dimensional parameter θ; $S(\omega;\theta)$ is model family; "my mind"'s model family $\mathcal{M}_O$ is $\{S(\omega;\theta)\}_{\theta\in\Theta}$. True universe corresponds to parameter $\theta^\star$.

Learning process of "my mind" can be described as:

1. Under unified time scale control, probe network with frequency-controllable manner through $O_{\text{in}}, O_{\text{out}}$, collecting input-output pairs;

2. Perform Bayesian update on this data over model family, obtaining posterior π_O^T;

3. Choose posterior expectation or maximum a posteriori parameter $\hat{\theta}_T$ to update scattering properties $S_{MM}(\omega)$ of internal memory subnetwork.

Unified time scale $\kappa(\omega)$ achieves balance between data acquisition and parameter updating by controlling frequency sampling and time delay.

C.3 Emergence of Heart–Universe Isomorphism

In above setting, heart-universe structure object X_H^T can be constructed from "my mind"'s estimate of $S(\omega)$, whose causal–temporal–entropy structure comes from:

1. Network topology and paths between ports determine causal partial order;

2. Scattering phase and time delay determine realization of unified time scale;

3. Generalized entropy defined through energy and mode distribution on channels determines entropy structure.

As long as model family is identifiable and prior supports true parameter, posterior π_O^T concentrates on $\theta^\star$, making scattering matrix $\hat{S}(\omega)$ estimated internally by "my mind" converge to $S(\omega;\theta^\star)$ in appropriate topology. Therefore, heart-universe structure object X_H^T is isomorphic to true matrix universe object X_U in limit.

In other words, in this toy model, "my mind is universe" concretely manifests as: self-referential scattering subnetwork driven by unified time scale and Bayesian updating necessarily learns and replicates topology and scattering properties of entire network in structure, and this network itself is "universe". Universe constructs correct image about itself inside itself through self-referential scattering network "my mind", thus realizing "my mind is universe" in rigorous sense.