

# DSE - Data-Driven Economic Analysis

## Econometrics Module

### Lecture 8 - Instrumental Variables II

Michele De Nadai  
michele.denadai@unimi.it

Trimester II, 2023



UNIVERSITÀ  
DEGLI STUDI  
DI MILANO

# More than one Endogenous Variable

- ▶ General model

$$y_i = \mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta} + e_i, \quad E[\mathbf{x}_i e_i] \neq 0$$

- ▶ Let  $k$  be the number of endogenous regressors ( $\mathbf{x}_i$ ).
- ▶ Instrumental variables: An  $l \times 1$  vector  $\mathbf{z}_i$  that satisfies
  1. Validity:  $E[\mathbf{z}_i e_i] = 0$
  2. Relevance:  $E[\mathbf{z}_i \mathbf{x}_i'] \neq 0$
- ▶ Then we should have  $l \geq k$  to **identify**  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ .

## Instrumental Variables (cont.)

- ▶ In words, there should be at least **one IV for each endogenous regressor**.
- ▶ In general we could have a model with  $k_1$  exogenous and  $k_2$  endogenous regressors ( $k_1 + k_2 = k$ ). When  $l_2$  instruments are available, then we can use the  $l = k_1 + l_2$  instruments to estimate  $\beta$  ( $k \times 1$ ).
- ▶  $l = k$  or  $l_2 = k_2$ : just-identified
- ▶  $l > k$  or  $l_2 > k_2$ : overidentified

# First Stage

- ▶ So called “first stage” regression describes the relationship between **each endogenous**  $x_i$  and the set of instruments  $z_i$ :

$$x_i = \Gamma' z_i + u_i, \quad E[z_i u_i'] = 0. \quad (1)$$

- ▶ Recall:  $z_i$  includes exogenous regressors.
- ▶ First stage in matrix form:

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Z}\Gamma + \mathbf{U}$$

- ▶ OLS **estimate** of  $\Gamma$ :

$$\hat{\Gamma} = (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{p} \Gamma$$

## Reduced Form

- Relationship between the **outcome** and the set of instruments, obtained as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y} &= \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{e} = (\mathbf{Z}\boldsymbol{\Gamma} + \mathbf{U})\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{e} \\ &= \mathbf{Z}\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbf{v}, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\boldsymbol{\beta}$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{e}$ .

- This model satisfies also  $E[\mathbf{z}_i \mathbf{v}_i] = 0$  where  $\mathbf{v}_i$  is the  $i$ -th element of  $\mathbf{v}$ .
- OLS **estimate** of  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$  is:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{y} \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\lambda} = \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\boldsymbol{\beta}$$

- Equations (2) is called the **reduced form** equation.

# Identification

- ▶ Recall  $\lambda = \Gamma\beta$ . For  $\beta$  to be identified (to be recovered from  $\Gamma$  and  $\lambda$ ), a necessary condition is

$$\text{rank}(\Gamma) = k.$$

- ▶ When  $l = k$ ,  $\beta = \Gamma^{-1}\lambda$ .
- ▶ When  $l > k$ , for any  $l \times l$  matrix  $\mathbf{W} > 0$ ,  
 $\beta = (\Gamma'\mathbf{W}\Gamma)^{-1}\Gamma'\mathbf{W}\lambda$ .
- ▶ This is the least square estimate of the regression of  $\lambda$  on  $\Gamma$  with no error.

# Estimation

- ▶ Assume that  $\beta$  is identified.
- ▶ When  $l = k$  (just-identified), the instrumental variables (IV) estimator is

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_{IV} &= \hat{\Gamma}^{-1} \hat{\lambda} \\ &= ((\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1} \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1} \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{y} \\ &= (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{y} \\ &\xrightarrow{p} \Gamma^{-1} \lambda = \Gamma^{-1} \Gamma \beta = \beta\end{aligned}$$

## Estimation (cont.)

- ▶ When  $l > k$  (overidentified), the two-stage least squares (2SLS) estimator is

$$\hat{\beta}_{2SLS} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{y}$$

- ▶ In general we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta} &= (\hat{\Gamma}'\mathbf{W}\hat{\Gamma})^{-1}\hat{\Gamma}'\mathbf{W}\hat{\lambda} \\ &= (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{y}\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ When  $\mathbf{W} = (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}$  we have  $\hat{\beta} = \hat{\beta}_{2SLS}$
- ▶ Where does it come from?



## Why 2SLS ?

- ▶ First stage: Get fitted values of  $\mathbf{X}$

$$\hat{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{Z}\hat{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}, \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}} = (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X}$$

- ▶ Second stage: Regress  $\mathbf{y}$  on  $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$  (same as projecting  $\mathbf{y}$  on  $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$ )

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\hat{\mathbf{X}}'\hat{\mathbf{X}})^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{X}}'\mathbf{y} =$$

# Control Function Approach

- ▶ The structural equation and reduced form:

$$\begin{aligned}y_i &= \mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta} + e_i, \\ \mathbf{x}_i &= \boldsymbol{\Gamma}' \mathbf{z}_i + \mathbf{u}_i\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ IV assumption:  $E[\mathbf{z}_i e_i] = 0$
- ▶ Implication:  $\mathbf{x}_i$  is endogenous iff  $\mathbf{u}_i$  and  $e_i$  are correlated.
- ▶ Linear projection of  $e_i$  on  $\mathbf{u}_i$ :

$$e_i = \mathbf{u}_i' \boldsymbol{\gamma} + \varepsilon_i, \quad E[\mathbf{u}_i \varepsilon_i] = 0$$

- ▶ Substitute this into the structural equation.

## Control Function Approach (cont.)

- ▶ We have

$$\begin{aligned}y_i &= \mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{u}_i' \boldsymbol{\gamma} + \varepsilon_i, \\ E[\mathbf{x}_i \varepsilon_i] &= 0, \\ E[\mathbf{u}_i \varepsilon_i] &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

- ▶  $\mathbf{x}_i$  is uncorrelated with  $\varepsilon_i$ . Why?
- ▶ Since  $\mathbf{u}_i$  is not observable, we use  $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i = \mathbf{x}_i - \hat{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}}' \mathbf{z}_i$ .
- ▶ Estimate  $(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})$  in (3) by least-squares of  $y_i$  on  $(\mathbf{x}_i, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_i)$ .
- ▶ The resulting estimator  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  is equivalent to  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{2sls}$ .
- ▶ When the structural model is non-linear, the control function estimator would be different from the 2SLS.

# Asymptotic Results

## ► Consistency

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta}_{2SLS} &= (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'(\mathbf{X}\beta + \mathbf{e}) \\ &= \beta + \left( \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X} \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{e} \\ &\xrightarrow{p} \beta + (Q'_{zx} Q_{zz}^{-1} Q_{zx})^{-1} Q'_{zx} Q_{zz}^{-1} E[\mathbf{z}_i \mathbf{e}_i] \\ &= \beta,\end{aligned}$$

where  $Q_{zx} = E[\mathbf{z}_i \mathbf{x}_i']$ ,  $Q_{zz} = E[\mathbf{z}_i \mathbf{z}_i']$ .

- Unfortunately in general  $E[y_i | \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Z}] \neq \mathbf{X}\beta$ , which implies that  $E[\hat{\beta}_{2SLS}] \neq \beta$ .

# Asymptotic Results

- ▶ Asymptotic normality:

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_{2SLS} - \beta) &= \left( \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{X} \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{e} \\ &\xrightarrow{d} (\mathbf{Q}'_{zx} \mathbf{Q}_{zz}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{zx})^{-1} \mathbf{Q}'_{zx} \mathbf{Q}_{zz}^{-1} \mathbf{N}(0, \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z}_i \mathbf{z}_i' e_i^2]) \\ &= \mathbf{N}(0, \Sigma),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Sigma = (\mathbf{Q}'_{zx} \mathbf{Q}_{zz}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{zx})^{-1} \mathbf{Q}'_{zx} \mathbf{Q}_{zz}^{-1} \Omega \mathbf{Q}_{zz}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{zx} (\mathbf{Q}'_{zx} \mathbf{Q}_{zz}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{zx})^{-1}$$

and  $\Omega = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z}_i \mathbf{z}_i' e_i^2]$ .

- ▶ When errors are homoskedastic we have  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z}_i \mathbf{z}_i' e_i] = \sigma^2 \mathbf{Q}_{zz}$ , which implies  $\Sigma = \sigma^2 (\mathbf{Q}'_{zx} \mathbf{Q}_{zz}^{-1} \mathbf{Q}_{zx})^{-1}$ .
- ▶ Note: It is incorrect to calculate the variance (or standard error) of the second stage OLS estimator.

# References

- ▶ "Econometrics", B. Hansen (2022) **Chapter 12.1-12.12 and 12-15-12.16**