Transfer reactions with Be-Li isotopes near the drip-line

LISE Workshop 2024

M. Lozano-González, A. Matta, B. Fernández-Domínguez, J. Lois-Fuentes, F. Delaunay
**on behalf on the E748 collaboration**

IGFAE–USC and LPC–Caen

MOTIVATION
●○

METHODOLOGY
○○○

RESULTS
○○○○○○○

OUTLOOK
○

Overview of the exotic Be-Li region

Be and Li isotopes close to the neutron drip line have been extensively studied due to their exotic properties.

Two prime examples can be showcased:

^{11}**Li** is a neutron-rich nuclei displaying a 2n **halo** structure.

MOTIVATION
●○

METHODOLOGY
○○○

RESULTS
○○○○○○○

OUTLOOK
○

Overview of the exotic Be-Li region

Be and Li isotopes close to the neutron drip line have been extensively studied due to their exotic properties.

Two prime examples can be showcased:

**^{11}Be** presents parity inversion: g.s has **positive** parity when negative expected.

I. Talmi and I. Unna, PRL 4 (1960).

Recently gathered information

During the MUST2 @ RIKEN campaign, an unexpected **reduction** of the cross-section was observed in $^{9,11}\text{Li}(d, ^3\text{He})^{8,10}\text{He}$ reactions.


A. Matta et al., PRC 92 (2015).

Possible **explanations**:

- Role of the many-body interactions.

MOTIVATION
○●

METHODOLOGY
○○○

RESULTS
○○○○○○○

OUTLOOK
○

Recently gathered information

During the MUST2 @ RIKEN campaign, an unexpected **reduction** of the cross-section was observed in $^{9,11}\mathrm{Li}(d, {}^{3}\mathrm{He})^{8,10}\mathrm{He}$ reactions.


GMF of neutron w.f for $^{12}Be(d, {}^{3}He)^{11}Li$

Possible **explanations**:

- Role of the many-body interactions.
- Overestimation of the nuclear overlap $\langle ^{9,11}\mathrm{Li}|^{8,10}\mathrm{He}\rangle$.

Collect more $d\sigma/d\Omega$ data!

MOTIVATION
OO

METHODOLOGY
●OO

RESULTS
OOOOOOO

OUTLOOK
O

# Reactions to be studied

E748 at GANIL during the MUST2@LISE campaign. Neutron and proton removal reactions from ^{10,12}Be beams have been performed to probe key orbitals:


Shell model for ^{10,12}Be

MOTIVATION
○○

METHODOLOGY
○●○

RESULTS
○○○○○○○

OUTLOOK
○

Experimental setup for E748

Traditional **solid target** experiment @ D6. Below a sketch of the setup:

MOTIVATION
○○

METHODOLOGY
○○●

RESULTS
○○○○○○○

OUTLOOK
○

Analysis at a glance

A **common** procedure is employed in all the reactions. Different gates are applied in a sequential manner, as follows:

1. **Heavy ID**: Only distinction in Z: separation of Be from Li residuals, but not along isotopic chain.

MOTIVATION
OO

METHODOLOGY
OO●

RESULTS
OOOOOOO

OUTLOOK
O

Analysis at a glance

A **common** procedure is employed in all the reactions. Different gates are applied in a sequential manner, as follows:

2. **Light ID**: Using only stopped particles in Si layer, but low TOF resolution. Separation of **t – ³He** attained with kinematics!

Missing mass technique:
$E_{\text{beam}} + (E, \theta)_{\text{Lab}} \rightarrow \mathbf{E_x}$

7

MOTIVATION
○○

METHODOLOGY
○○○

RESULTS
●○○○○○○

OUTLOOK
○

Elastic: $^{10}Be(d, d)^{10}Be$

Serves as a test of the analysis, allowing us to ascertain the **normalization** factors N_t and N_b.


- Modern models (Haixia and DA1p) adjust better the minimum.

- Overall agreement in magnitude.

Error in **efficiency**

Proton **contamination** at low E

8

MOTIVATION
○○

METHODOLOGY
○○○

RESULTS
●○○○○○○

OUTLOOK
○

Elastic: $^{10}\text{Be}(d, d)^{10}\text{Be}$

Cross-section for the 1st excited state is also achievable.


- DWBA: potential deformed in both Coulomb and nuclear parts

- Using $B(E2)$ from other experiments

- **Same** error as before?

To be further investigated.
$\Rightarrow C^2S = 0.270(22)$
with Daehnick

8

MOTIVATION
○○

METHODOLOGY
○○○

RESULTS
○●○○○○○

OUTLOOK
○

Neutron removal: $^{10}Be(d, t)^9Be$

Only the **ground state** is accessible. Angular distributions are determined in the interval $\theta_{CM} \in [5, 20]°$.


- **DWBA** with DAEHNICK (d) and PANG (t) OMPs
- **Best fit** is $\ell = 1$ with $j = 3/2$

$$\Rightarrow C^2S = 1.522(44)$$

MOTIVATION
○○

METHODOLOGY
○○○

RESULTS
○●○○○○○

OUTLOOK
○

Neutron removal: $^{10}Be(d, t)^9Be$

Another measurement is available in D.L Auton Nucl. Phys. A (1970). A reanalysis with **our model** is executed:

- No errors could be extracted from paper

- Poor quality at large θ_{CM}

- $C^2S = 1.951(54)$

Agreement with our results

MOTIVATION
oo

METHODOLOGY
ooo

RESULTS
ooo●ooo

OUTLOOK
o

Proton removal: $^{10}\text{Be}(d, {}^{3}\text{He})^{9}\text{Li}$

E748 can be compared with a recent experiment carried out at the Acculinna facility. For the E_x:

Our experiment @ 30 AMeV


E. Y. Nikolskii et al. @ 42 AMeV

Recently published: NIMPR B 541 (2023)

MOTIVATION
○○

METHODOLOGY
○○○

RESULTS
○○○●○○○

OUTLOOK
○

Proton removal: $^{10}\text{Be}(d, {}^3\text{He})^9\text{Li}$

Angular distributions for the **ground state** are extracted:

Our experiment, $\theta_{CM} \in [6, 14]\,°$

Acculinna one, $\theta_{CM} \in [3, 13]\,°$

Again $\ell = 1 \implies 3/2^-$.
$C^2S = 1.80(11)$

Original publication:
$C^2S = 1.74$

MOTIVATION
○○

METHODOLOGY
○○○

RESULTS
○○○●○○○

OUTLOOK
○

Proton removal: $^{10}\text{Be}(d, {}^3\text{He}){}^9\text{Li}$

Angular distributions for the **ground state** are extracted:

Our experiment, $\theta_{CM} \in [6, 14]$ °

Reanalyis of Acculinna's data

Again $\ell = 1 \implies 3/2^-$.
$C^2S = 1.80(11)$

$\ell = 1 \implies C^2S = 2.679(45)$
Investigate **input parameters** in the models!

12

MOTIVATION
○○

METHODOLOGY
○○○

RESULTS
○○○●○○○

OUTLOOK
○

Proton removal: $^{10}\text{Be}(d, {}^{3}\text{He})^{9}\text{Li}$

A first excited state is also accesible.

- **Best fit** is $\ell = 1$
- Assuming $j = 1/2$
- Spectroscopic factor: $0.185(36)$

New C^2S value!

MOTIVATION
○○

METHODOLOGY
○○○

RESULTS
○○○○●○○

OUTLOOK
○

Elastic: $^{12}\mathrm{Be}(d,d)^{12}\mathrm{Be}$

Yet another validation method of the normalization.

- Same behaviour as for $^{10}\mathrm{Be}$
- **Normalization** is also **fine**

Clearly the same *systematic* error

13

MOTIVATION
○○

METHODOLOGY
○○○

RESULTS
○○○○●○○

OUTLOOK
○

Elastic: $^{12}\text{Be}(d, d)^{12}\text{Be}$

Cross-section for the 1st excited state is also achievable.

- Same procedure as before

To be further investigated.
$\Rightarrow C^2S = 0.519(30)$
with Daehnick

13

MOTIVATION
OO

METHODOLOGY
OOO

RESULTS
OOOOOOO

OUTLOOK
O

Challenging channels: $^{12}Be(d, t)^{11}Be$

- Strong **inhibition** of the ground state
- Why? Related to parity inversion in ^{11}Be?

MOTIVATION
○○

METHODOLOGY
○○○

RESULTS
○○○○○○●

OUTLOOK
○

Challenging channels: ^{12}Be$(d, {}^{3}$He$)^{11}$Li

- Low cross-section

- Subject to **contamination**: hard to disentangle $A = 3$

$\Rightarrow C^2 S = 0.510(85)$ with Daehnick

15

MOTIVATION
○○

METHODOLOGY
○○○

RESULTS
○○○○○○○

OUTLOOK
●

Conclusions and outlook

We investigated several proton and neutron pick-up reactions on ^{10,12}Be:

	Channel	Status	Pending
	(d,d)	Normalization OK	Requires study
10Be	(d,t)	Completed	C^2S matches other measures.
	(d, 3He)	Completed	Two new C^2S
	(d,d)	Normalization OK	Same as ^{10}Be
12Be	(d,t)	Puzzled	?
	(d,3He)	Needs cleaning	New C^2S

16

MOTIVATION
OO

METHODOLOGY
OOO

RESULTS
OOOOOOO

OUTLOOK
●

Conclusions and outlook

Future prospects

Solve discrepancies in **elastic** channels

Reduce contamination in ^{3}He PID

Compare with **shell model** calculations

Thanks for your attention!
And special thanks to the *E748* collaboration.

Not part of the talk!

CsI on or off?

So far, studied excited states are *compressed* in the DSSD layer:


For $^{10}Be(d, t)^9Be$

For $^{10}Be(d, {}^3He)^9Li$

Beam ID

Using Caviar to CATS1 TOF and energy loss in IC

For ^{10}Be

Kinematic lines

For ¹⁰Be beam

For ¹²Be beam