



# Quenching of spectroscopic factors in <sup>10,12</sup>Be(d, <sup>3</sup>He) reactions

M. Lozano-González, A. Matta, B. Fernández-Domínguez, F. Delaunay, J. Lois-Fuentes

USC-IGFAE, LPC-Caen and FRIB

Zakopane 2024 Conference

















#### A recap on spectroscopic factors


**Spectroscopic factors** shed light on the occupancy of single-particle states:

$$\left.\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right|_{exp} = C^2S \cdot \left.\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right|_{s.p}, \quad C^2S = \begin{cases} (2j+1) \text{ removing} \\ 1 & \text{adding} \end{cases} \quad \text{in IPSM}$$

#### **Experimentally:**


Reduction of  $\sim 65 \%$ !

- Short-range correlations: tensor forces,...
- Long-range: vibrations, giant resonances,...



#### A long-standing puzzle

A trend with asymmetry energy  $\Delta S \equiv S_n - S_p$  is found depending on the experimental **probe!** 





T. Aumann et al. Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 118 (2021)

 $\Rightarrow$  measure towards more exotic nuclei:  $|\Delta S| \uparrow$ 

#### Status with light isotopes

Several experiments allowed for the extraction of  $C^2S$  with Li-induced (d,  $^3$ He) reactions:






Several challenges in this region:

Dealing with **unbound** nuclei (<sup>10</sup>He)

2 Many-body dynamics and/or core excitations

#### Importance of GMF

Towards exotic nuclei (loosely bound or halo), a **geometrical mismatch factor** emerges from the very different w.f. in the overlap:







N. K. Timofeyuk, private communication (in E748 proposal)

 $\Rightarrow$  Need to establish more systematics for this parameter

#### Physics case of E748


E748 @ GANIL back in 2017. Using <sup>10,12</sup>Be(d, <sup>3</sup>He) reactions to:






#### Experimental setup

#### Tradional solid target experiment @ LISE




#### A glance at the analysis




3  $E_x$  from missing mass technique  $E_{\text{beam}} + (E, \theta)_{\text{lab}} \rightarrow E_x$ 

#### Excitation energy spectrum with all data:



Counts per  $\theta_{CM}$  bin  $\Rightarrow$  **Angular distribution** Theo. model: finite-range **DWBA** in *FRESCO* code

#### **Ground state**: known $3/2^-$ ( $\ell=1$ )



2 (d <sup>3</sup>He): Accurate GFMC


I. Brida et al., PRC 84 (2011)

#### OMP:


- In: Haixia H. An et al. PRC 73 (2006)
- Out: Pang and HT1p
   D. Y. Pang et al., PRC 79, 91 (2009, 2015)

$$1 \langle ^{10} \text{Be} | ^{9} \text{Li} \rangle$$

- A standard Wood-Saxon
- The novel source-term approach (STA) N. Timofeyuk PRC 81 (2010)




- Small Pang-HD1p dependence
- stdWS yields twice the STA SF




Shell model calculation with SFO-tls interaction:

$$C^2S = 1.69$$

The **first** excited state  $1/2^-$  ( $\ell = 1$ ) is also accessible.






- First direct measurement!
- Same trends as for g.s.

Shell model: 
$$C^2S = 0.279$$

The reduction factor  $R_S = C^2 S_{\text{exp}}/C^2 S_{\text{SM}}$  is computed:





Compatible with accepted values for  $R_S$  in transfer

Systematic  $50\,\%$  difference STA-stdWS

### Results: <sup>12</sup>Be(d, <sup>3</sup>He)<sup>11</sup>Li

So far only the **ground state**  $3/2^-$  ( $\ell=1$ ) is analyzed.







Much lower cross section!

Expected sizeable contribution of GMF

#### Results: <sup>12</sup>Be(d, <sup>3</sup>He)<sup>11</sup>Li

So far only the **ground state**  $3/2^-$  ( $\ell=1$ ) is analyzed.






STA not available yet!

Shell model: 
$$C^2S = 1.642$$

## Results: <sup>12</sup>Be(d, <sup>3</sup>He) <sup>11</sup>Li

The reduction factor  $R_S = C^2 S_{exp}/C^2 S_{SM}$  is computed:



- **■** 17(3) % reduction!
- Largest GMF only recovers up to ~35 %

What about **STA**? Still in development

#### Conclusions

Angular distributions for <sup>10 - 12</sup>Be(d,3He) have been extracted and compared with DWBA

Found strong sensitivity to nuclear overlap: stdWS or newer STA

 $R_{S}$  for  $\left<^{10}\text{Be}\right|^{9}\text{Li}\right>$  in agreement with systematics

R<sub>S</sub> for  $\left<^{12}\text{Be}\right|^{11}\text{Li}\left>$  displays a  $\sim 17\,\%$  reduction likely related to GMF

#### Acknowledgments

## The E748 collaboration:

- Santiago:B Fernández
- LPC-Caen: A. Matta
  - F. Delaunay
    - N. L. Achouri
    - F. Flavigny
    - J. Gibelin
  - M. Marques N Orr
- IJCLab:
  - D. Beaumel
  - M. Assié
  - Y. Blumenfeld
  - S. Franchoo
  - A. Georgiadou
  - V. Girard-Alcindor
  - F. Hammache
  - N. de Séreville
  - A. Meyer
  - I Stefan

- GANIL:
  - B. Jacquot
  - O. Kamalou
  - A. Lemasson
  - M. Rejmund
  - T. Roger
  - O. Sorlin
  - J.C. Thomas
  - M. Vandebrouck
  - B. Bastin
  - F. de Oliveira
  - C. Stodel
- RIKEN:S. Koyama
- D. Suzuki
  Surrey:
- N. Timofeyuk

















#### Elastic cross sections


Normalization of all cross-sections was obtained from fits to the elastic data using the Haixa potential.






Best OMP: new ones DA1p and Haixia!

## Crosscheck: 10Be(d,t)9Be







Same behaviour as for the other channels

 $\label{eq:continuous} \begin{array}{l} \text{Match with $\sim \!\! 65\,\%$ reduction} \\ \text{if $C^2S_{\rm SM}=3.1$} \\ \text{Not likely!} \end{array}$ 

## Crosscheck: 10Be(d,t)9Be





Same behaviour as for the other channels

Match with  ${\sim}65\,\%$  reduction if  $C^2S_{\rm SM}=3.1$  Not likely!

#### Kinematical lines

