## COCONUT Team

Lufei Chen, Hai Guo, Jen Peng, Haolin Liu

#### Introduction

Drug overdose is not just a statistic—it's a devastating reality that plagues communities across the United States. Behind each number lies a story of loss, of shattered dreams, and of futures cut tragically short. Central to our exploration are three key questions:


- What are the trends in drug overdose death rates over time? Highlight any significant changes or patterns in the death rates over the years.
- What formula would you use for a metric to rank and label the concern the government should have for different drug overdose types? What would the resulting ranking be when you use this formula?
- Develop a predictive model to forecast future drug overdose death rates for each demographic group.

Given that we are dealing with real-life tragedies, we have a responsibility to protect the privacy of victims and those affected. We used a given dataset for data analysis and machine learning to address these questions.

Question 1- What are the trends in drug overdose death rates over time? Highlight any significant changes or patterns in the death rates over the years.


#### Drug type

```
import pandas as pd
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns
pd.read csv('Drug overdose death rates by drug type sex age race and Hispanic ori
gin United States 20240518.csv')
drug.head()
drug types = drug[drug['STUB NAME'] == 'Total']
plt. figure (figsize=(16, 10))
sns.lineplot(data=drug types, x='YEAR', y='ESTIMATE', hue='PANEL', marker='o',
linewidth=2.5)
plt.title('Trends in Drug Overdose Death Rates by Drug Type', fontsize=24)
plt.xlabel('Year', fontsize=18)
plt.ylabel('Death Rate per 100,000', fontsize=18)
plt.legend(title='Drug Type', loc='upper left', fontsize=14)
plt.grid(False)
plt.xticks(fontsize=14)
plt.yticks(fontsize=14)
plt.tight layout()
plt.show()
```



The line plot illustrates the trends in drug overdose death rates from 1999 to 2018, broken down by various drug types. The overall trend shows an alarming increase in drug overdose deaths, particularly from opioids. First, the death rate for all drug overdose deaths has steadily risen, peaking around 2017, before showing a slight decline in 2018. Drug overdose deaths involving any opioid have shown a marked increase, reflecting the opioid crisis. This category also peaks around 2017. Also, Overdose deaths involving synthetic opioids, other than methadone, have sharply increased since 2013, with a notable spike in recent years. For Heroin, the death rates involving it have increased significantly since 2010, peaking around 2016. These trends underscore the need for targeted interventions focusing on the most affected drug types, especially synthetic opioids and heroin.


## Sex:



In this section we present the changes in drug overdose death rates per 100,000 population for men and women from 1999 to 2022. Both sexes exhibit a steady growth, with a more noticeable increase beginning from 2010. Throughout the time, males have far greater death rates than girls, and after 2010 the difference widened noticeably. The sharp rise in male death rates starts from 2013 and peaks at about 12 deaths per 100,000 by 2017, whereas female death rates peak at about 6 deaths per 100,000. The general increasing tendency for both sexes is unaffected by the little decline in male rates following their peak, which emphasizes the urgent need for focused efforts to deal with this growing public health issue.

## <u>Age</u>

```
age_trend = drug.groupby(['YEAR', 'AGE'])['ESTIMATE'].mean().reset_index()
plt.figure(figsize=(12, 8))
sns.lineplot(data=age_trend, x='YEAR', y='ESTIMATE', hue='AGE', marker='o',
linewidth=2.5)
plt.title('Drug Overdose Death Rates by Age Group Over Time', fontsize=16)
plt.xlabel('Year', fontsize=14)
plt.ylabel('Death Rate (per 100,000)', fontsize=14)
plt.legend(title='Age Group', fontsize=12, title_fontsize=14)
plt.show()
```




In this analysis, we examine the trend of drug overdose death rates by age group from 2000 to 2018. The graph visualizes death rates per 100,000 individuals for various age groups, revealing several critical patterns. The general trend indicates a significant increase in drug overdose death rates across most age groups over the observed period, highlighting a worsening epidemic. Notably, young adults aged 25-34 years exhibit a sharp rise in death rates, especially in the latter part of the period. By the end of the timeframe, this group shows one of the highest death rates among all age groups. Middle-aged adults (35-44, 45-54, and 55-64 years) also experience substantial increases, with the 45-54 age group particularly affected. Although older adults (65+ years) show increases, their rates remain lower compared to younger and middle-aged adults. The group under 15 years has the lowest and relatively stable death rates throughout the period. The most striking feature of the graph is the sharp rise in death rates from around 2013 onwards, affecting nearly all age groups but most pronounced in younger and middle-aged adults. This surge highlights the broader impact of the opioid crisis and other drug-related issues on younger populations. The data suggests that younger and middle-aged adults are disproportionately affected, necessitating targeted public health interventions. The increasing trends underscore the need for comprehensive strategies, including better access to treatment, prevention programs, and education on the risks of drug use. Addressing the drug overdose crisis through age-specific interventions is crucial to mitigating the rising death rates and providing support to those most at risk.

## Race:

```
race_keywords = ['White', 'Black or African American', 'American Indian or Alaska
Native', 'Asian or Pacific Islander']
filtered_race_data_labels =
drug[drug['STUB_LABEL'].str.contains('|'.join(race_keywords), case=False)]
```

```
filtered race data labels['Race'] =
filtered race data labels['STUB LABEL'].apply(lambda x: 'White' if 'White' in x else
'Black or African American' if 'Black or African American' in x else
'American Indian or Alaska Native' if 'American Indian or Alaska Native' in x else
'Asian or Pacific Islander' if 'Asian or Pacific Islander' in \mathbf x else None)
race avg data = filtered race data labels.groupby(['YEAR', 'Race']).agg({'ESTIMATE':
'mean'}).reset_index()
plt.figure(figsize=(12, 8))
for race in race keywords:
   race specific data = race avg data[race avg data['Race'] == race]
   if not race specific data.empty:
      plt.plot(race specific data['YEAR'], race specific data['ESTIMATE'],
label=race, marker = 'o')
plt.xlabel('Year', fontsize = 14)
plt.ylabel('Death Rate (per 100,000)', fontsize = 14)
plt.title('Drug Overdose Death Rates by Specific Races Over Time', fontsize = 20)
```



The analysis examines trends in drug overdose death rates across different races in the United States from 1999 to 2017, using data from the CDC. The data was filtered to include Whites, Black or African Americans, American Indian or Alaska Natives, and Asian or Pacific Islanders. It was then aggregated by year and race to calculate the average death rate per 100,000 population. The visualization created using line plots reveals significant racial disparities, with Whites and Black or African Americans experiencing the highest increases in death rates, particularly from 2013 onwards. This trend underscores the need for targeted public health interventions to address the specific challenges faced by these communities. The death rate of the race of Asian or Pacific Islanders remains the most stable and lowest level over time.

The stability and relatively low levels of drug overdose deaths among Asian or Pacific Islanders compared to other ethnic groups can be attributed to several factors. Cultural attitudes towards drug use in many Asian and Pacific Islander communities often emphasize avoiding drug use, which may reduce the prevalence of drug use. In addition, family and community structures in these groups can provide strong social support networks that deter substance abuse. In addition, public health initiatives and interventions targeting these communities may be more effective in preventing substance abuse and providing timely support and treatment.


Overall, drug abuse death rates have roughly increased over time across all races, suggesting that governments need to be vigilant, strengthen drug abuse monitoring and communicate the right information to the public.

Question 2- What formula would you use for a metric to rank and label the concern the government should have for different drug overdose types? What would the resulting ranking be when you use this formula?

## **Concern Metric for Recent Year Drug Overdose Types**

```
total population data = drug[drug['STUB NAME'] == 'Total'].copy()
total population data['Growth Rate'] =
total population data.groupby('PANEL')['ESTIMATE'].pct change() * 100
total population data['Growth Rate'].fillna(total population data['Growth
Rate'].mean(), inplace=True)
total population data['Growth Rate'] = total population data['Growth Rate'].abs()
w1, w2 = 0.5, 0.5
total population data['Concern Metric'] = (
  w1 * total population data['ESTIMATE'] +
  w2 * total population data['Growth Rate']
ranked data = total population data.sort values(by=['YEAR', 'Concern Metric'],
ascending=[True, False]).reset index(drop=True)
result = ranked data[['PANEL', 'YEAR', 'ESTIMATE', 'Growth Rate', 'Concern Metric']]
result.to_csv('Government Concern Metric.csv', index=False)
pd.read csv('Government Concern Metric.csv')
grouped data = result.groupby(['YEAR', 'PANEL']).mean().reset index()
pivot df = grouped data.pivot(index='YEAR', columns='PANEL', values='Concern Metric')
plt.figure(figsize=(20, 12))
pivot df.plot(kind='area', stacked=True, alpha=0.7, figsize=(20, 12))
plt.title('Government Concern Metric by Drug Overdose Type and Whole Year',
fontsize=24)
plt.xlabel('Year', fontsize=18)
```

```
plt.ylabel('Concern Metric', fontsize=18)
plt.legend(title='Drug Overdose Type', fontsize=12, title_fontsize=16)
plt.show()
```



To rank and label the concern the government should have for specific drug overdose types, I developed a metric called the "Concern Metric." This metric combines two key factors: the absolute number of overdose deaths (ESTIMATE) and the growth rate of these deaths over time. The formula used is Concern

#### Metric = 0.5 \* ESTIMATE + 0.5 \* Growth Rate

, where both the number of deaths and the growth rate are given equal weights (w1 and w2 are both 0.5).

The process starts by loading the relevant data from the dataset and filtering it to focus on the total population data. Then, I calculate the growth rate for each drug type by determining the percentage change in the number of deaths from the previous year. Missing values in the growth rate are filled with the mean growth rate to ensure that no data is excluded due to missing values.

Next, I transform the growth rates into absolute values to account for both increases and decreases in deaths. The Concern Metric is then calculated by averaging the absolute number of deaths and the growth rate, which provides a balanced view of both the current severity and the trend of the problem.

After computing the Concern Metric, I sort the data by year and concern metric to identify the most critical drug overdose types each year. To effectively communicate the results, I create a stacked area chart that displays the Concern Metric for each drug type over the years. This visualization allows for a


clear understanding of long-term trends and helps identify which drug types have been the most concerning over time.

**Visualization Analysis:** The stacked area chart provides a comprehensive view of the Government Concern Metric for various drug overdose types from 1999 to 2018. Each colored area represents a different drug overdose type, and the total height of the stacked areas at any point in time represents the overall concern metric.

**Key Observations:** There is a significant peak in the concern metric around the year 2000, followed by a sharp decline. This indicates the early 2000s saw a peak in overdose death concerns, followed by a period of stability. From 2000 to 2010, the overall concern metric remains relatively stable, with minor fluctuations. During this period, the contributions from different drug types are more evenly distributed. Starting around 2012, there is a noticeable increase in the concern metric, peaking around 2016. This suggests a rising concern for drug overdose deaths in recent years. The brown area, representing deaths involving other synthetic opioids (excluding methadone), becomes increasingly significant, especially post-2015. This indicates a growing concern for synthetic opioids. Natural and Semisynthetic Opioids in the purple area also show a steady increase, indicating a consistent concern over time. This analysis provides valuable insights for policymakers, indicating where resources and attention should be focused to address the most pressing issues related to drug overdoses. The rising trend in synthetic opioid-related deaths, in particular, calls for targeted interventions to mitigate this growing threat.

## Concern Metric Across All Years for Drug Overdose Types

```
# 2018
latest_year = total_population_data['YEAR'].max()
latest_data = total_population_data[total_population_data['YEAR'] == latest_year]
ranked_data_2018 = latest_data.sort_values(by='Concern Metric',
ascending=False).reset_index(drop=True)
result_2018 = ranked_data_2018[['PANEL', 'YEAR', 'ESTIMATE', 'Growth Rate', 'Concern Metric']]
result.to_csv('2018_Government_Concern_Metric.csv', index=False)
pd.read_csv('2018_Government_Concern_Metric.csv')
plt.figure(figsize=(14, 8))
sns.barplot(data=ranked_data_2018, x='Concern Metric', y='PANEL', palette='viridis')
plt.title('Government Concern Metric for lasted year(2018)', fontsize=20)
plt.xlabel('Concern Metric', fontsize=14)
plt.ylabel('Demographic Group', fontsize=14)
```



For this Concern Metric, focusing on the most recent year (2018) to get a snapshot of the current state. Sort the data for 2018 by the concern metric to identify the most critical drug overdose types. Then, Create a bar chart to display the Concern Metric for each drug type in 2018. Compared with the whole year, focusing on the latest year allows us to capture the most current trends and emerging issues, making it easier to allocate resources effectively to the most pressing problems.

**Visualization Analysis**: The bar chart provides a clear view of the Government Concern Metric for various drug overdose types in the year 2018. Each bar represents a different drug overdose type, and the length of the bar indicates the concern metric value.

**Key Observations:** The highest concern metric is observed for drug overdose deaths involving other synthetic opioids (excluding methadone). This indicates a significant concern for synthetic opioids in 2018. Drug overdose deaths involving heroin also show a high concern metric, highlighting the critical impact of heroin on public health in 2018. However, Drug overdose deaths involving methadone, natural and semisynthetic opioids, and all drug overdose deaths have lower concern metrics compared to synthetic opioids and heroin.

#### **Comparison with All Years Analysis**

The 2018-specific analysis provides a snapshot of the most urgent issues, whereas the all-years analysis offers a long-term view of trends. The latest year analysis is crucial for immediate action and resource

allocation, highlighting which drug types require the most attention right now. Analyzing all years is also important for understanding overall trends and identifying consistent problems, but it may dilute the focus on the most recent and pressing issues. By combining both approaches, policymakers can make informed decisions that balance immediate needs with long-term strategies.

# Part 3- Develop a predictive model to forecast future drug overdose death rates for each demographic group.

#### Sex:

```
from sklearn.linear model import LinearRegression
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestRegressor
lr female = LinearRegression()
lr female.fit(X train, y train female)
lr male = LinearRegression()
lr male.fit(X train, y train male)
# Model Training with Random Forest
rf female = RandomForestRegressor(n estimators=100, random state=42)
rf female.fit(X train, y train female)
rf male = RandomForestRegressor(n_estimators=100, random_state=42)
rf male.fit(X train, y train male)
from sklearn.metrics import mean squared error
# Model Evaluation
y pred male lr = lr male.predict(X test)
y_pred_female_lr = lr_female.predict(X_test)
y pred male rf = rf male.predict(X test)
y pred female rf = rf female.predict(X test)
rmse male lr = np.sqrt(mean squared error(y test male, y pred male lr))
rmse female lr = np.sqrt(mean squared error(y test female, y pred female lr))
rmse_male_rf = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_test_male, y_pred_male_rf))
rmse female rf = np.sqrt(mean squared error(y test female, y pred female rf))
last row = sex reshaped[['Male Lag1', 'Male Lag2', 'Female Lag1',
'Female_Lag2']].tail(1).values.flatten()
future lr male = []
future lr female = []
for in range(5):
 next value lr male = lr male.predict([last row])
 next_value_lr_female = lr_female.predict([last_row])
```

```
future lr male.append(next value lr male[0])
 future lr female.append(next value lr female[0])
 last row = np.roll(last row, -1)
 last row[-2] = next value lr female
 last row[-1] = next value lr male
last row rf = sex reshaped[['Male Lag1', 'Male Lag2', 'Female Lag1',
'Female Lag2']].tail(1).values.flatten()
future rf male = []
future rf female = []
for in range(5): next value rf male = rf male.predict([last row rf])[0]
 next value rf female = rf female.predict([last row rf])[0]
 future rf male.append(next value rf male)
 future rf female.append(next value rf female)
 last row rf = np.roll(last row rf, -1)
 last row rf[-2] = next value rf female
 last row rf[-1] = next value rf male
plt.figure(figsize=(14, 7))
years test = sex reshaped['YEAR'].iloc[-len(y test male):]
plt.subplot(2, 2, 1)
plt.scatter(years test, y test male, label='Actual Male', color='plum', alpha=0.4)
plt.scatter(years test, y pred male lr, label='Predicted Male (LR)',
color='lightsteelblue', alpha=0.4)
plt.title('Linear Regression - Male')
plt.xlabel('Year')
plt.ylabel('Drug Overdose Death Rate')
plt.subplot(2, 2, 2)
plt.scatter(years test, y test female, label='Actual Female', color='plum', alpha=0.4)
plt.scatter(years_test, y_pred_female_lr, label='Predicted Female (LR)',
color='lightsteelblue', alpha=0.4)
plt.title('Linear Regression - Female')
plt.xlabel('Year')
plt.ylabel('Drug Overdose Death Rate')
plt.legend()
plt.subplot(2, 2, 3)
plt.scatter(years_test, y_test_male, label='Actual Male', color='plum', alpha=0.4)
plt.scatter(years_test, y_pred_male_rf, label='Predicted Male (RF)',
color='lightsteelblue', alpha=0.4)
plt.title('Random Forest - Male')
plt.xlabel('Year')
plt.ylabel('Drug Overdose Death Rate')
plt.legend()
```

```
plt.subplot(2, 2, 4)
plt.scatter(years test, y test female, label='Actual Female', color='plum', alpha=0.4)
plt.scatter(years_test, y_pred_female_rf, label='Predicted Female (RF)',
color='lightsteelblue', alpha=0.4)
plt.title('Random Forest - Female')
plt.xlabel('Year')
plt.ylabel('Drug Overdose Death Rate')
years future = range(sex reshaped['YEAR'].max() + 1, sex reshaped['YEAR'].max() + 6)
plt.figure(figsize=(14, 7))
plt.subplot(2, 1, 1)
plt.plot(years_future, future_lr_male, label='Predicted Male (LR)', color='plum',
marker = 'x')
plt.plot(years_future, future_lr_female, label='Predicted Female (LR)',
color='lightsteelblue', marker = 'x')
plt.title('Future Predictions - Linear Regression')
plt.xlabel('Year')
plt.ylabel('Drug Overdose Death Rate')
plt.legend()
plt.subplot(2, 1, 2)
plt.plot(years future, future rf male, label='Predicted Male (RF)', color='plum',
marker = 'x')
plt.plot(years_future, future_rf_female, label='Predicted Female (RF)',
color='lightsteelblue', marker = 'x')
plt.title('Future Predictions - Random Forest')
plt.xlabel('Year')
plt.ylabel('Drug Overdose Death Rate')
plt.legend()
plt.tight layout()
plt.show()
                 Linear Regression - Male
                                                            Linear Regression - Female
Sate 55
                                            age 12
       Predicted Male (LR)
를 20
                                              10
  15
                                                               Predicted Female (LR)
  10
    2014.0 2014.5 2015.0 2015.5
                      2016.0 2016.5 2017.0 2017.5 2018.0
                                               2014.0 2014.5 2015.0 2015.5 2016.0 2016.5 2017.0 2017.5 2018.0
                  Random Forest - Male
                                                             Random Forest - Female
       Actual Male
                                         8
                                             14
                                                  Actual Female
                                                                                     gg 12
25 afe
                                             10
os de
                                         8
  15
                                         8
              8
  10
                                         Drug
    2014.0 2014.5 2015.0 2015.5
                     2016.0 2016.5 2017.0 2017.5 2018.0
                                               2014.0 2014.5 2015.0 2015.5
                                                                 2016.0 2016.5 2017.0 2017.5 2018.0
```

```
from scipy.stats import t
residuals male lr = y test male - y pred male lr
residuals_female_lr = y_test_female - y_pred_female lr
std residuals male lr = np.std(residuals male lr)
std residuals female lr = np.std(residuals female lr)
se predictions male lr = std residuals male lr / np.sqrt(len(y test male))
se predictions female lr = std residuals female lr / np.sqrt(len(y test female))
t_value = t.ppf(0.975, df=len(y_test_male)-1)
margin of error male lr = t value * se predictions male lr
margin of error female lr = t value * se predictions female lr
print('Margin of Error for Linear Regression - Male:', margin of error male lr)
print('Margin of Error for Linear Regression - Female:', margin of error female lr)
predictions male rf = np.array([tree.predict(X test) for tree in rf male.estimators ])
predictions female rf = np.array([tree.predict(X test) for tree in
rf female.estimators ])
std predictions male rf = np.std(predictions male rf)
std predictions female rf = np.std(predictions female rf)
se predictions male rf = std predictions male rf / np.sqrt(len(y test male))
se predictions female rf = std predictions female rf / np.sqrt(len(y test female))
t value = t.ppf(0.975, df=len(y test male)-1)
margin of error male rf = t value * se predictions male rf
margin of error female rf = t value * se predictions female rf
                                         Future Predictions - Linear Regression
                                                                                         Predicted Male (LR)
                                                                                         Predicted Female (LR)
 Drug Overdose Death Rate
       2019.0
                  2019.5
                             2020.0
                                        2020.5
                                                   2021.0
                                                              2021.5
                                                                         2022.0
                                                                                    2022.5
                                                                                               2023.0
                                          Future Predictions - Random Forest
                                                                                         Predicted Male (RF)
Drug Overdose Death Rate
                                                                                         Predicted Female (RF)
```

2019.0

2019.5

2020.0

2020.5

2021.0

2021.5

2022.0

2022.5

2023.0

## **Linear Regression Predictions**

## **Male Drug Overdose Death Rates**

- Trend: The predicted rates for males indicate a steep initial decline, followed by a gradual decrease and eventual stabilization.
- Values: Starting at approximately 7, the rate drops to around 2 by the end of the forecast period.

**Model Comparison:** Both linear regression and random forest models predict a decline in drug overdose death rates for both genders over the next five years. Linear regression shows a more stable, consistent decline, whereas random forest models reflect more fluctuations, suggesting a nuanced understanding of trends.

#### **Model Performance**

- Linear Regression: Both for males and females, linear regression fails to capture the variability in the data, showing a poor fit.
- Random Forest: Provides a better fit than linear regression by capturing more of the actual trends but still misses some peaks and troughs.

## **Impact of Gender**

The analysis indicates that gender may not be a strong predictor of drug overdose death rates as both genders show similar trends in the predictions. This suggests that other factors might be more significant in determining future rates.

## **Recommendations for Future Analysis**

To enhance prediction accuracy, consider including additional variables such as age and drug types involved. This could provide a more comprehensive model that better captures the complexity of drug overdose trends.


## <u>Age</u>

#### **Polynomial Regression**

```
from sklearn.preprocessing import PolynomialFeatures
from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error
from sklearn.model_selection import KFold
from scipy.stats import t
drug['ESTIMATE'].fillna(drug['ESTIMATE'].mean(), inplace=True)
drug['YEAR'] = drug['YEAR'].astype(int)
drug['AGE'] = drug['AGE'].astype(str)
agg_data = drug.groupby(['YEAR', 'AGE'])['ESTIMATE'].mean().unstack()
best_poly_models = {}
best_degrees = {}
rmse_values = {}
X = agg_data.index.values.reshape(-1, 1)
```

```
degree range = range (1, 6)
def cross val rmse(model, X, y, folds=5):
  kf = KFold(n splits=folds, shuffle=True, random state=1)
  rmses = []
  for train index, test index in kf.split(X):
      X train, X test = X[train index], X[test index]
      y train, y test = y[train index], y[test index]
      model.fit(X_train, y_train)
      predictions = model.predict(X_test)
      rmse = np.sqrt(mean squared error(y test, predictions))
      rmses.append(rmse)
  return np.mean(rmses)
for age group in agg data.columns:
  y = agg data[age group].values
  best rmse = float('inf')
  best degree = None
  best model = None
  for degree in degree range:
      poly = PolynomialFeatures(degree=degree)
      X poly = poly.fit transform(X)
      model = LinearRegression()
      rmse = cross_val_rmse(model, X_poly, y)
      if rmse < best_rmse:</pre>
          best_rmse = rmse
          best degree = degree
          best model = LinearRegression().fit(X poly, y)
  best degrees[age group] = best degree
  best_poly_models[age_group] = best_model
  rmse values[age group] = best rmse
print("Best Polynomial Degrees:\n", best degrees)
print("RMSE Values:\n", rmse values)
forecast years = list(range(2019, 2025))
future predictions = pd.DataFrame(index=forecast years, columns=agg data.columns)
for age group, model in best poly models.items():
  degree = best_degrees[age_group]
  poly = PolynomialFeatures(degree=degree)
  future_X_poly = poly.fit_transform(np.array(forecast_years).reshape(-1, 1))
  future_predictions[age_group] = model.predict(future_X poly)
plt.figure(figsize=(15, 10))
colors = plt.cm.get cmap('tab10', len(agg data.columns))
for i, age group in enumerate(agg data.columns):
  plt.plot(agg_data.index, agg_data[age_group], label=f'{age group} - Actual',
color=colors(i))
  plt.plot(future predictions.index, future predictions[age group], linestyle='--',
label=f'{age group} - Forecast', color=colors(i))
plt.xlabel('Year')
plt.ylabel('Overdose Death Rates')
plt.title('Historical and Forecasted Overdose Death Rates by Age Group')
plt.legend()
plt.show()
residuals = {}
for age group in agg data.columns:
  model = best poly models[age group]
  degree = best degrees[age group]
  poly = PolynomialFeatures(degree=degree)
  X_poly = poly.fit_transform(X)
  predicted = model.predict(X_poly)
  actual = agg_data[age_group].values
 residuals[age group] = actual - predicted
margin of errors = {}
confidence level = 0.95
degrees_freedom = len(X) - 1
t_critical = t.ppf((1 + confidence_level) / 2, df=degrees freedom)
```


```
for age_group, res in residuals.items():
    std_error = np.std(res, ddof=1)
    margin_of_error = t_critical * std_error
    margin_of_errors[age_group] = margin_of_error
```



#### Linear regression

```
drug = ;
linreg models = {}
rmse values = {}
X = agg data.index.values.reshape(-1, 1)
forecast_years = list(range(2019, 2025))
future_X = np.array(forecast_years).reshape(-1, 1)
future_predictions = pd.DataFrame(index=forecast_years, columns=agg data.columns)
for age_group in agg_data.columns:
 y = agg data[age group].values
 model = LinearRegression().fit(X, y)
 linreg_models[age_group] = model
 future predictions[age group] = model.predict(future X)
 in_sample_predictions = model.predict(X)
 rmse = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y, in_sample_predictions))
 rmse values[age group] = rmse
plt.figure(figsize=(15, 10))
colors = plt.cm.get cmap('tab10', len(agg data.columns))
for i, age_group in enumerate(agg_data.columns):
 plt.plot(agg_data.index, agg_data[age_group], label=f'{age_group} - Actual',
color=colors(i))
 plt.plot(future predictions.index, future predictions[age group], linestyle='--',
label=f'{age group} - Forecast', color=colors(i))
```

```
plt.xlabel('Year')
plt.ylabel('Overdose Death Rates')
plt.title('Historical and Forecasted Overdose Death Rates by Age Group')
plt.show()
```



This section, as the graph shows, involved a thorough investigation utilizing polynomial regression to forecast future drug overdose fatality rates across different age groups. The graph skillfully presents historical data together with anticipated rates through 2025, highlighting real historical rates and expected trends for various age groups. The much smaller margin of error seen with polynomial models supported the choice to choose them over simpler linear models. The sophisticated, non-linear patterns shown in the overdose data are skillfully captured by these models; patterns that linear models frequently find difficult to portray because of their inherent simplicity.

Carefully preparing the data—including managing missing values—and creating polynomial characteristics based on the past patterns of each age group were part of the analysis. Through the application of cross-validation, we reduced the margin of error by optimizing the polynomial degree for every demographic group, so improving the accuracy and predictive capacity. This meticulous process of selection made sure that the models were robust in their capacity to generalize across various data sets and tailored to capture the particular dynamics of each age group. The graph acts as an illustration of the accuracy of these models by showing a notable

difference between the predicted and real data points, so emphasizing how well the polynomial method captures the complex dynamics of drug overdose trends.

The overdose death rates by age group, wherein each demographic follows a different trajectory, are graphically shown in the graph that is provided. The projections for age groups like 25–34 and 35–44 years highlight a serious worry about the growing drug abuse in these generations. The comparatively steady lines for the very young (those under 15) and very old (those 85 years of age and above) on the other hand, point to less variation in these categories. The graph skillfully shows the possible future problems if current trends go unchecked by using dashed lines to distinguish forecasts from actual historical data.

Planning and drafting of public health policies depend on an examination of these trends. Realizing that middle-aged persons are expected to have the biggest increases in overdose death rates makes focused programs meant to prevent and treat these populations necessary. This knowledge can guide the distribution of resources, such money for educational initiatives and addiction treatment centers, which are geared especially at the most vulnerable groups. In order to slow down this concerning trend and enhance public health outcomes, legislators and medical experts should proactively address the growing issues of drug overdose by predicting these patterns.

#### Race:

```
from sklearn.preprocessing import PolynomialFeatures
from sklearn.model selection import train test split, cross val score
from sklearn.metrics import mean squared error
from scipy.stats import norm
race keywords = ['White', 'Black or African American', 'American Indian or Alaska
Native', 'Asian or Pacific Islander']
filtered race data labels =
data[data['STUB LABEL'].str.contains('|'.join(race keywords), case=False)]
filtered race data labels['Race'] =
filtered race data labels['STUB LABEL'].apply(lambda x: 'White' if 'White' in x else
'Black or African American' if 'Black or African American' in x else
'American Indian or Alaska Native' if 'American Indian or Alaska Native' in x else
'Asian or Pacific Islander' if 'Asian or Pacific Islander' in x else None)
race avg data = filtered race data labels.groupby(['YEAR', 'Race']).agg({'ESTIMATE':
'mean'}).reset index()
race avg data pivot = race_avg_data.pivot(index='YEAR', columns='Race',
values='ESTIMATE')
future years = 10
future years range = np.arange(race avg data pivot.index[-1] + 1,
race_avg_data_pivot.index[-1] + 1 + future years)
future X = future years range.reshape(-1, 1)
```

```
confidence level = 0.95
z value = norm.ppf((1 + confidence level) / 2)
forecasts = {}
for race in race keywords:
   if race in race avg data pivot.columns:
       y = race avg data pivot[race].values
       X = race avg data pivot.index.values.reshape(-1, 1)
       X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.2,
random state=42)
      model = LinearRegression()
      model.fit(X_train, y_train)
       y pred = model.predict(X test)
       std_error = np.std(y_test - y_pred) / np.sqrt(len(y_test))
       margin of error = z value * std error
       future pred = model.predict(future X)
       forecasts[race] = future pred
       print(f'\nFuture Drug Overdose Death Rates(per 100,000) for {race}:')
       for year, rate in zip(future X.flatten(), future pred):
           print(f'{year}: {rate:.2f}')
       print(f'Margin of Error for {race}: {margin of error:.2f}')
plt.figure(figsize=(12, 8))
colors = plt.cm.tab10(np.linspace(0, 1, len(race keywords)))
for i, race in enumerate(race keywords):
   if race in forecasts:
      plt.plot(race_avg_data_pivot.index, race_avg_data_pivot[race], label=f'{race}
Historical', color=colors[i])
       plt.plot(future X, forecasts[race], label=f'{race} Forecast', linestyle='--',
color=colors[i])
plt.xlabel('Year')
plt.ylabel('Death Rate (per 100,000)')
plt.title('Drug Overdose Death Rates by Specific Races Over Time')
plt.legend(title='Race')
plt.show()
def find best degree(X, y, max degree=5):
  best degree = 1
  best score = float('inf')
   for degree in range(1, max degree + 1):
       poly = PolynomialFeatures(degree)
       X poly = poly.fit transform(X)
       model = LinearRegression()
```

```
scores = cross val score(model, X poly, y, scoring='neg mean squared error',
cv=5)
       rmse = np.sqrt(-scores.mean())
       if rmse < best score:</pre>
           best score = rmse
           best degree = degree
  return best degree, best score
for race in race keywords:
   if race in race avg data pivot.columns:
       y = race avg data pivot[race].values
       X = race_avg_data_pivot.index.values.reshape(-1, 1)
      X train, X test, y train, y test = train test split(X, y, test size=0.2,
random_state=42)
       best degree, best score = find best degree(X train, y train)
       poly = PolynomialFeatures(degree=best degree)
       X poly train = poly.fit transform(X train)
       X poly test = poly.transform(X test)
       model = LinearRegression()
       model.fit(X poly train, y train)
       y pred = model.predict(X poly test)
       std error = np.std(y test - y pred) / np.sqrt(len(y test))
       margin of error = z value * std error
       X_poly = poly.fit_transform(X)
       model.fit(X poly, y)
       future X poly = poly.transform(future X)
       future pred = model.predict(future X poly)
       forecasts[race] = future pred
       print(f'\nFuture Drug Overdose Death Rates(per 100,000) for {race}:')
       for year, rate in zip(future X.flatten(), future pred):
           print(f'{year}: {rate:.2f}')
       print(f'Margin of Error for {race} with degree {best degree}:
{margin of error:.2f}')
plt.figure(figsize=(12, 8))
colors = plt.cm.tab10(np.linspace(0, 1, len(race keywords)))
for i, race in enumerate(race keywords):
   if race in forecasts:
      plt.plot(race avg data pivot.index, race avg data pivot[race], label=f'{race}
Historical', color=colors[i])
       plt.plot(future X, forecasts[race], label=f'{race} Forecast', linestyle='--',
color=colors[i])
plt.xlabel('Year')
```


```
plt.ylabel('Death Rate (per 100,000)')
plt.title('Drug Overdose Death Rates by Specific Races Over Time')
plt.legend(title='Race')
plt.show()
```

In this part, we use two different machine learning models, Linear Regression and Polynomial Regression, to predict the future drug overdose death rates from 2019 to 2028 based on the feature of races in demographic.


Linear Regression Model: For forecasting future drug overdose death rates by race, we firstly implemented a linear regression model. Initially, we defined a range of future years to forecast, extending 10 years from the last available year in the dataset. For each race, we split the historical data into training and test sets, ensuring that 20% of the data was reserved for testing. A linear regression model was then trained on the training set to learn the relationship between the years and the drug overdose death rates. Predictions were made on the test set to evaluate the model's performance, and the standard error of the predictions was calculated. Using a 95% confidence interval, we computed the margin of error for the predictions to provide an indication of their accuracy. The trained model was subsequently used to predict drug overdose death rates for the defined future years, and the predicted future rates along with their margins of error were stored for each race.

**Polynomial Regression Model:** To capture more complex relationships in the data and potentially improve the accuracy of our forecasts, we secondly implemented a polynomial regression model. This approach involves finding the best polynomial degree that minimizes the Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE). We defined a function to perform cross-validation and evaluate the model's performance for polynomial degrees ranging from 1 to *n*. For each race, the historical data was split into training and test sets, and the optimal polynomial degree was determined using the defined function. A polynomial regression model with the best degree was then trained on the training set. The model's performance was assessed by making predictions on the test set and calculating the standard error and margin of error. The trained polynomial regression model was used to forecast future drug overdose death rates for the next 10 years. The predicted rates and their margins of error were stored and compared with the linear regression results to evaluate the improvement in forecast accuracy.

## **Linear Regression Model**



# **Polynomial Regression Model**



The two models generated two plots, which included historical data lines (solid lines) and future prediction lines (dashed lines), and simultaneously calculated the mortality rates of different races for each of the next ten years. Overall, both models predicted the same trend, with the predicted future drug abuse death rate consistently increasing for different races. However, Polynomial Regression models predict much higher values, for example, for Black or African Americans, The Linear Regression model predicted the highest mortality rate of 9.40 (per 100,000), while the Polynomial Regression model predicted the highest mortality rate of 49.02. The huge difference between the two models is reflected in almost all races, which may be due to the basic property of the Polynomial Regression model, that is, rapid exponential growth. Secondly, in the analysis of the Polynomial Regression model, we use the algorithm to find the best degree, so as to find the best model (minimum RMSE) in the prediction of each race. Our predictions agree with the calculation that the Polynomial Regression model produces an almost smaller Margin of Error for all races, This also means that the performance of this model is more accurate than that of the Linear Regression model.

## Conclusion

We investigate drug overdose fatality rates in the United States in this extensive study along a number of factors, including drug type, gender, age, and race. This multifaceted approach highlights important patterns such as the concerning increase in opioid-related deaths, especially from synthetic opioids, and the different effects on men and women, therefore revealing the complexity and scale of the epidemic. Age-specific interventions are therefore required since, as the age group study shows, young adults and middle-aged people are disproportionately impacted. Racial differences also surface, with larger rises in death rates in some groups, underscoring the need for culturally appropriate public health initiatives. Analyzing these patterns helps us to have a more complex picture of the pandemic, which makes it possible to create more focused and efficient measures to meet the particular requirements of every demographic group. The need for a thorough, data-driven strategy in addressing the current drug overdose problem and, in the end, saving lives is highlighted by this study.