

Spectral Graph Theory

Lorenzo Orecchia, Erasmo Tani

March 8, 2019

Preface

CHAPTER 0. PREFACE

Chapter 1

First chapter

CHAPTER 1. FIRST CHAPTER

Chapter 2

1

‘Random Walks and Averaging Processes Last time we discussed two different processes related to the adjacency matrix of a graph. The first process is the **random walk process** described by the random walk operator $W = AD^{-1}$ which is the transition matrix of the natural random walk over the vertices of G . The second process is the **averaging process** by means of which the vertices of the graph, assigned an initial belief value, try to reach a global consensus by repeating a local step. This second process corresponds to the operator $\frac{1}{2}(I + W^T) = \frac{1}{2}(I + D^{-1}A)$

In the random walk process we work with probability vectors $p \in \mathbb{R}^V$ representing the probability of being at a particular vertex at a specific time. In the averaging process we work with x vectors that represent the amount of mass on the arcs (/ edges). Recall that these two representations are related by:

$$\boxed{p = Dx} \tag{2.1}$$

The random walk process converges to the standard random walk stationary distribution π where $\pi \in \Delta_n$ and for any vertex $i \in V$: $\pi_i \sim d_i$ where d_i denotes the degree of i in G . On the other hand, the second process converges to:

$$\bar{x} = \frac{\sum_i d_i x_i}{\text{vol}(G)} \vec{1}$$

One can check that the averaging process does, in fact, correspond to having each vertex compute the average of its neighbours:

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}(I + W^T)x \right)_i = \frac{1}{2}x_i + \frac{1}{d_i} \sum_{j \sim i} x_j.$$

In particular, the action of W^T corresponds to the averaging the value of every neighbour (Figure 2.1), and $\frac{1}{2}(I + W^T)$ simply averages the result with the current value.

Figure 2.1: The action of W^T on the vector $x = (0.1, 0.6, 0.2, 0.1)$.

2.1 Hilbert Space Interpretation

One can think of the x vectors as living in the Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_D : \mathbb{R}^V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by:

$$\langle x, y \rangle_D = x^T D y$$

And similarly the p vectors live in the dual space with inner product: $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{D^{-1}} : \mathbb{R}^V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by:

$$\langle p, q \rangle_{D^{-1}} = p^T D^{-1} q$$

for any x the corresponding vector p will equal $\Phi(x)$ where Φ is the isomorphism guaranteed by the Riesz representation theorem*.

Figure 2.2: Vertex probability vectors p live in the dual Hilbert space to that of edge mass vectors x .

2.2 Measuring Convergence to the Fixed Point

An important choice to make when tracking the dynamics of these processes, is the choice of potential function: how do we measure distance from the fixed point?

In the **averaging process** it makes sense to track the distance of a mass assignment x from the optimum by:

$$\phi_{avg}(x) := \sum_{i \in V} \pi_i \left(x_i - \left(\sum_{j \in V} \pi_j x_j \right) \right)^2 = Var_{i \sim \pi}(x_i)$$

By applying the conversion in (2.1) we see that this corresponds to:

*Read more about it: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Riesz_representation_theorem

$$\phi_{avg}(x) = \|x - \bar{x}\|_{\pi}^2 = \|D^{-1}p - \bar{x}D^{-1}\vec{1}\|_D^2 = \|p - \bar{x}\vec{1}\|_{D^{-1}}^2 = \|p - \pi\|_{D^{-1}}^2$$

which corresponds naturally to a way to measure distance from the optimum in the **random walk** process.

2.3 Why Laplacians?

The above analysis can provide motivation for the use of Laplacian matrices to study graph algorithms. One can in fact prove the following claim:

Claim 2.3.1. *The potential function defined above is the quadratic form of the Laplacian of a suitably defined graph. I.e there exists some graph H such that, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^V$: $\phi_{avg}(x) = x^T L(H)x$.*

Proof. One can define a graph K_G as follows: $V(K_G) = V(G) = V$ and $E(K_G) = \binom{V}{2}$, so that the graph is complete, with weights $w_{K_G}(ij) = \frac{d_i d_j}{vol(G)}$ *

□

We also observe that the value of the quadratic form $x^T L(G)x$ defined by the Laplacian matrix of G measures the rate of convergence towards the stationary distribution at x . In fact, consider the continuous version of the averaging process given by:

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = -(I - W^T)x(t)$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (\|x - \bar{x}\|_D^2) &= \left(\frac{d}{dt} x(t) \right)^T D(x(t) - \bar{x}) \\ &= (-(I - W^T)x(t))^T D(x(t) - \bar{x}) \\ &= -x(t)^T (D - A)(x - \bar{x}) = -x^T (D - A)x \end{aligned}$$

Where the last step above uses the fact that:

$$L\vec{1} = 0.$$

*Recall that for any $S \subset V$: $vol(S) := \sum_{i \in S} d_i$ and $vol(G) := vol(V)$.

Chapter 3

Positive Semidefinite Matrices

In this chapter we will discuss positive semidefinite (PSD) matrices, a class of operators that plays an essential role both in spectral graph theory and in optimization as a whole.

Definition 3.0.1 (PD/PSD Matrix). A symmetric $n \times n$ matrix M is said to be *positive semidefinite* (PSD) if, for all vectors $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have:

$$x^T M x \geq 0$$

we denote this by $M \succeq 0$. Similarly, a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix M is said to be *positive definite* (PD) if, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$:

$$x^T M x > 0$$

we denote this fact as $M \succ 0$.

From the spectral theorem for symmetric matrices we get the following useful characterization of PSDness:

Theorem 3.0.2. *A symmetric $n \times n$ matrix M is PSD if and only if all of its eigenvalues are non-negative, and PD if they are strictly positive.*

Proof. Every eigenvalue of M arises as the value of $x^T M x$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (namely the corresponding normalized eigenvector) and hence if M is PSD(/PD) then all eigenvalues must be non-negative(/strictly positive). On the other hand suppose that all the eigenvalues of M are non-negative ($0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$) then we can write:

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i v_i^T$$

CHAPTER 3. POSITIVE SEMIDEFINITE MATRICES

where v_1, \dots, v_n is an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of M . Hence, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$x^T M x = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \langle v_i, x \rangle^2 \geq 0$$

(similarly for PD matrices we get > 0). □

We also state the following useful result without a proof:

Theorem 3.0.3 (Sylvester's Criterion). *A matrix is PD if and only if all its leading principal minors are positive, and PSD if and only if all its principal minors are non-negative.*

We now prove a characterization of PSDness for matrices $*$:

Proposition 3.0.4 (Characterization of PSD Matrices). *A square matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is PSD (and hence symmetric) if and only if there exists vectors $x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $M_{i,j} = \langle x^{(i)}, x^{(j)} \rangle$.*

Proof. Suppose that $x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ exist as above, then clearly the matrix M is symmetric and furthermore:

$$y^T M y = \sum_{i,j} y_i M_{ij} y_j = \left\langle \sum_i y_i x^{(i)}, \sum_j y_j x^{(j)} \right\rangle = \left\| \sum_i y_i x^{(i)} \right\|^2 \geq 0$$

so M is PSD. Conversely we can use the spectral decomposition of M to see that:

$$M = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k v^{(k)} v^{(k)T}$$

giving that:

$$M_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k v_i^{(k)} v_j^{(k)}$$

so that we can set:

$$x_k^{(i)} = \sqrt{\lambda_k} v_i^{(k)}$$

and this satisfies the desired properties. □

*from [Luca Trevisan's notes](#)

CHAPTER 3. POSITIVE SEMIDEFINITE MATRICES

Note the the above proposition goes hand in hand with the fact that any PSD matrix can be decomposed as $M = B^T B$. One example of such factorization is the Cholesky Decomposition / Cholesky Factorization, where M is written as LL^* for some lower-triangular matrix L . This exists for every hermitian PSD matrix, and when the matrix M only has real entries, the matrix L can also be chosen to only have real entry and the factorization becomes $M = LL^T$. If M is PD then L the Cholesky Factorization is unique, this is not necessarily true in the PSD case.

Proposition 3.0.5 (PSD Matrices Form a Cone). *Let A, B be $n \times n$ PSD matrices, we then have:*

1. $A + B \succeq 0$,
2. if $\alpha \geq 0$ then $\alpha A \succeq 0$,
3. if $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ then $\alpha A + \beta B \succeq 0$.

In particular, this means that the set of PSD matrices forms a cone.

Proof. We prove each of the above individually:

1. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$x^T(A + B)x = x^T Ax + x^T Bx \geq 0.$$

2. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$x^T(\alpha A)x = \alpha x^T Ax \geq 0.$$

3. Follows from the previous two parts.

□

We now introduce the matrix inner product:

Definition 3.0.6 (Matrix Inner Product). Given any two $n \times n$ matrices A, B their (dot) inner product is the value:

$$A \bullet B := \text{tr}(A^T B) = \sum_{i,j} A_{i,j} B_{i,j}.$$

we now go on to prove that the one define above is, indeed, an inner product:

Proposition 3.0.7. *The function $\bullet : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined above is a real inner product, i.e. satisfies:*

CHAPTER 3. POSITIVE SEMIDEFINITE MATRICES

Symmetry For any A, B we have $A \bullet B = B \bullet A$,

Linearity For any A, B, C and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have $(\alpha A + \beta B) \bullet C = \alpha(A \bullet C) + \beta(B \bullet C)$,

Positive Definiteness $A \bullet A \geq 0$ and $A \bullet A = 0 \iff A = 0$.

Proof. The inner product is clearly symmetric ($A^T B = (B^T A)^T$ so $A^T B$ and $B^T A$ have the same trace). For linearity one checks:

$$(\alpha A + \beta B) \bullet C = \sum_{i,j} (\alpha A_{i,j} + \beta B_{i,j}) C_{i,j} = \alpha \sum_{i,j} A_{i,j} C_{i,j} + \beta \sum_{i,j} B_{i,j} C_{i,j} \quad (3.1)$$

$$= \alpha(A \bullet C) + \beta(B \bullet C) \quad (3.2)$$

Positive definiteness is also clear since $A \bullet A$ is a sum of squares. \square

Proposition 3.0.8 (Properties of the Matrix Inner Product). *Let A and B be symmetric matrices such that $A, B \succeq 0$ then $A \bullet B \geq 0$.*

Proof. Using the spectral expansion of A :

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i v_i^T$$

we have:

$$A \bullet B = \text{tr} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i v_i^T B \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \text{tr} (v_i v_i^T B) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \text{tr} (v_i v_i^T B) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \text{tr} (v_i^T B v_i) \geq 0$$

\square

Appendix A

First Appendix

APPENDIX A. FIRST APPENDIX

Last note