

an interpreted imperative programming language that wants to believe

Lorenzo Loconte

Introduction

Shrimp is a very simple and didactic imperative programming language designed during the course of *Formal Methods for Computer Science* at Universita' degli Studi di Bari Aldo Moro. **Shrimp** uses an *eager evaluation strategy*. In order to ensure that, the interpreter executes the code using the *call by value* method.

Software Modules

The program is composed by three main components:

- The parser
- The optimizer
- The interpreter

The **parser** takes in input the source code and convert it into an intermediate representation. The intermediate representation have the structure of a n-ary tree having the non-terminals of the grammar as internal nodes and commands, identifiers and integer constants on the leaves.

The **optimizer** takes in input the intermediate representation given by the parser. The result of the optimizer is an *optimized* intermediate representation. It evaluates the constant expressions (both arithmetic and boolean) that might be present in the source code and replace them with the resulting constants. The optimizer also checks for empty command blocks and useless branch statements and removes (or optimize) them.

The **interpreter** execute the semantics present in an intermediate representation. The basic idea is to use a **state** (or environment) that collects the values of the variables during the execution of the program. The result of the interpretation is the resulting state, that is a set of ground integer assignments to the variables.

The Language Syntax

The syntax for the **Shrimp** programming language is a context-free grammar. So, it can be denoted using some kind of EBNF (Extended Backus Naur Form) as following:

```
Integer ::= [0-9]+
   Identifier ::= [a-zA-Z_]+ \ Keyword
3 | Program ::= Header "shrimp" Block
4 | Header ::= [VariableDecl]*
   Block ::= [Command] *
5
   VariableDecl ::= "let" Identifier "as" Type
   Type ::= {"int" | "bool" | "array" "[" Integer "]"}
8
   Command ::= {Assignment | Branch | Loop}
9
10
   Assignment ::= Identifier
11
                 {"=" ArithmeticExpr | "=" BooleanExpr |
12
                  "[" ArithmeticExpr "]" "=" ArithmeticExpr} ";"
13
14
   Branch ::= "if" "(" BooleanExpr ")" "then"
15
              Block ["else" Block] "end" ";"
16
17
   Loop ::= "while" "(" BooleanExpr ")" "do"
18
19
            Block "end" ";"
20
   ArithmeticExpr ::= ArithmeticTerm
21
                       [{"+" | "-"} ArithmeticTerm]*
22
23
   ArithmeticTerm ::= ArithmeticFactor
24
                       [{"*" | "/" | "%"} ArithmeticFactor]*
   ArithmeticFactor ::=
25
26
       Integer
27
     Identifier
     | Identifier "[" ArithmeticExpr "]"
28
     | "-" ArithmeticExpr
29
     | "(" ArithmeticExpr ")"
30
31
   BooleanExpr ::= ArithmeticTerm ["or" ArithmeticTerm]*
32
   BooleanTerm ::= ArithmeticFactor ["and" ArithmeticFactor]*
33
34
   BooleanFactor ::=
       "true"
35
     | "false"
36
     Identifier
38
     | "not" BooleanExpr
     | ArithmetciExpr "eq" ArithmeticExpr
39
     | ArithmetciExpr "neq" ArithmeticExpr
40
     | ArithmetciExpr "lt" ArithmeticExpr
41
     | ArithmetciExpr "gt" ArithmeticExpr
42
     | ArithmetciExpr "leq" ArithmeticExpr
43
     | ArithmetciExpr "geq" ArithmeticExpr
44
     | "(" BooleanExpr ")"
```

Some of the non-terminals of this context-free grammar are reported directly in Haskell. That is, I defined an abstract syntax tree that also represents the intermediate representation of a program. This intermediate representation will be the result of the parser. Moreover, the presence of an intermediate representation permits us to apply optimizations at prior respect to the interpretation step. The following code snippet contains the definition of the abstact syntax tree.

```
type Program = (Header, Block)
2
   type Block = [Command]
   type Header = [Variable]
3
4
   data ArithmeticExpr
5
6
     = Constant Int
     | IntegerVar String
       ArrayVar String ArithmeticExpr
       Add ArithmeticExpr ArithmeticExpr
9
       Sub ArithmeticExpr ArithmeticExpr
10
     | Mul ArithmeticExpr ArithmeticExpr
11
12
     Div ArithmeticExpr ArithmeticExpr
     | Mod ArithmeticExpr ArithmeticExpr
13
     | Neg ArithmeticExpr
14
     deriving (Eq, Show)
15
16
   data BooleanExpr
17
     = Truth Bool
18
     | BooleanVar String
19
20
     | Not BooleanExpr
     Or BooleanExpr BooleanExpr
21
     | And BooleanExpr BooleanExpr
22
       Equal ArithmeticExpr ArithmeticExpr
23
     24
       NotEqual ArithmeticExpr ArithmeticExpr
       Less ArithmeticExpr ArithmeticExpr
25
     Т
     | Greater ArithmeticExpr ArithmeticExpr
26
27
     | LessEqual ArithmeticExpr ArithmeticExpr
     | GreaterEqual ArithmeticExpr ArithmeticExpr
28
29
     deriving (Eq, Show)
30
   data Command
31
32
     = Skip
       ArithmeticAssignment String ArithmeticExpr
33
       BooleanAssignment String BooleanExpr
34
35
       ArrayAssignment String ArithmeticExpr ArithmeticExpr
     | Branch BooleanExpr Block Block
36
     | Loop BooleanExpr Block
37
     deriving (Eq, Show)
38
39
40
   data Variable
     = IntegerDecl String
41
42
     | BooleanDecl String
43
     | ArrayDecl String Int
     deriving (Eq, Show)
44
```

The Parser

The parser can be viewed as a function from a string to a list of pairs of values and strings (Graham Hutton).

```
newtype Parser a = Parser {unwrap :: String -> [(a, String)]}
```

Note that the parser have a special function called unwrap that takes the function out from the parser. The parser is implemented in a "monadic" way. That is, I implemented the following interfaces: monad plus and monad alternative as suggested in Monadic

Parsing in Haskell (Hutton & Meijer). In order to implement the monad interface I also implemented the functor, applicative and monad interfaces.

```
instance Functor Parser where
fmap f p = Parser (\cs ->
[(f a, cs') | (a, cs') <- unwrap p cs])</pre>
```

The functor implementation for the parser implements the fmap function, that is the application of a function on a wrapped parser.

```
instance Applicative Parser where
pure a = Parser (\cs -> [(a, cs)])
p <*> q = Parser (\cs -> concat
[unwrap (fmap a q) cs' | (a, cs') <- unwrap p cs])</pre>
```

Moreover, the applicative implementation for the parser introduces both the function pure and the operator <*>. The pure function takes a simple value and wraps it into a parser. The <*> operator takes in input a function wrapped in a parser and another parser. The result is the application of the wrapped function onto the parser.

```
instance Monad Parser where
return a = pure a
p >>= f = Parser (\cs -> concat
[unwrap (f a) cs' | (a, cs') <- unwrap p cs])</pre>
```

The bind operator **>=** takes as input a parser and a function that returns a parser. The result of this operator is the application of the function to the "unwrapped" parser. The application of multiple bind nested operations can be abbreviated using the do construct in Haskell.

Moreover, two other *custom* interfaces are implemented, needed for making the parser: MonadPlus and MonadAlternative. The plus operator defined on parsers concatenates the result of each one. Moreover, the MonadAlternative give us an operator <|> useful for combining parsers in a mutually exclusive way. The classes definition can be found in the following code snippet.

```
class (Monad m) => MonadPlus m where
2
     zero :: m a
     plus :: m a -> m a -> m a
3
4
5
   class (MonadPlus m) => MonadAlternative m where
6
     (<|>) :: m a -> m a -> m a
7
     many :: m a -> m [a]
     many m = some m <|> return []
9
     some :: m a -> m [a]
     some m = liftA2 (:) m (many m)
10
     chain :: m a -> m (a -> a -> a) -> m a
11
12
     chain p o = do a <- p; rest a
13
         rest a = (do f <- o; a' <- p; rest (f a a')) <|> return a
14
```

Note that the function liftA2 is the composition of fmap and the applicative operator <*>. The functions many and some are called *combinators* and are used to define the concept of repeated parsing. The many function refers to zero to any number of applications of a parser while the some function refers to at least one to any number of applications of a parser. The implementation for the parser of the bind operator and the other functions described before is the following. The chain function is another combinator that it's used for left-associative recursion on parsers, as described by Hutton & Meijer.

```
instance MonadPlus Parser where
zero = Parser (const [])
p `plus` q = Parser (\cs -> unwrap p cs ++ unwrap q cs)

instance MonadAlternative Parser where
(<|>) p q = Parser (\cs ->
case unwrap (p `plus` q) cs of
[] -> []
(x : _) -> [x])
```

The zero function defines what is an empty parser. Note that in this context an empty parser is a failed parser, that is a parser that results from a syntactical error. The plus function concatenates the results of two individual parsers. Using the monadic definition of parser, it permits us to easily build a parser for each structure of the programming language, without having to handle the eventual parsing errors individually. For example, it's possible to define a parser for symbols, identifiers and integers as in the following code snippet. Moreover, since the parser implements the MonadAlternative interface described above, the combination of multiple parsers is straightforward and easily parallelizable by the compiler.

```
item :: Parser Char
   item = Parser (\case "" -> []; (c : cs) -> [(c, cs)])
   satisfy :: (Char -> Bool) -> Parser Char
4
5
   satisfy p = do c <- item; if p c then return c else zero
6
7
   token :: Parser a -> Parser a
   token p = do space; v <- p; space; return v
9
   space :: Parser String
10
   space = many $ satisfy isSpace
11
12
   identifier :: Parser String
13
   identifier = do
14
     s <- token $ some $ satisfy isLetter
15
     if s `elem` keywords
16
17
       then zero
       else return s
18
19
   constant :: Parser Int
20
   constant = read <$> token (some $ satisfy isDigit)
21
22
23
   char :: Char -> Parser Char
   char c = satisfy (c ==)
```

That is, I firstly defined a item function that reads a character from the string. Then I defined a function called satisfy that apply a constraint to the character read by the parser. This function returns an zero parser if the constraint is not satisfied. In the end, using both the combinators many and some, I built parsers for identifiers, constants and also spaces that are the main blocks of the context-free grammar already defined. In the same way, it's possible to define a parser for other constructs, such as keywords and symbols. It's important to note that the identifier parser fails if a keyword is found. This is a very fundamental aspect for a programming language. So, it's not possible use variables identified by keywords.

```
keyword :: String -> Parser String
keyword cs = token $ word cs

word :: String -> Parser String
word [c] = do char c; return [c]
word (c : cs) = do char c; word cs; return (c : cs)

symbol :: Char -> Parser Char
symbol c = token $ char c
```

In order to build parsers for both arithmetic and boolean expression the <|> operator between parsers is used. So, I defined the parser for arithmetic expressions using other sub-parsers that are used in order to maintain the operators precedence. Moreover, I used the chain combinator in order to build the abstract syntax tree by the left-associative operators of the grammar.

```
arithmeticExpr :: Parser ArithmeticExpr
   arithmeticExpr = chain arithmeticTerm op
2
3
     where
4
       op =
5
         do symbol '+'; return Add
            <|> do symbol '-'; return Sub
6
7
   arithmeticTerm :: Parser ArithmeticExpr
8
9
   arithmeticTerm = chain arithmeticFactor op
10
     where
11
       op =
         do symbol '*'; return Mul
12
           <|> do symbol '/'; return Div
13
            <|> do symbol '%'; return Mod
14
15
   arithmeticFactor :: Parser ArithmeticExpr
16
   arithmeticFactor =
17
     do Constant <$> constant
18
       <1> 40
10
20
         d <- identifier
21
            symbol '['
22
           k <- arithmeticExpr</pre>
23
            symbol ']'
24
25
            return (ArrayVar d k)
26
            <|> return (IntegerVar d)
       <|> do symbol '-'; Neg <$> arithmeticExpr
27
       <|> do symbol '('; a <- arithmeticExpr; symbol ')'; return a</pre>
28
```

The chain combinator is used in both arithmeticExpr and arithmeticTerm in order to permits to concatenate multiple addition/subtraction operators and multiple multiplication/division/modulus operators. If we didn't used the chain combinator but simple plain tail recursion we would have that the order of operations would be from right to left instead of left to right. The parser for boolean expressions is similar to the parser for arithmetic expressions and so it's omitted in this documentation.

In the end we have a parser for every command described in the grammar. It's important to notice that the grammar also allows for if-then statements (i.e. without the else command block). A parser that works on both if-then-else and if-then statements is implemented by combining the two individual parsers using the <|> operator.

Moreover, using the many combinator and the <|> operator, the parsers for both multiple commands and a single command are straightforward.

```
assignment :: Parser Command
   assignment = do
     d <- identifier
3
4
        symbol '='
5
6
        a <- arithmeticExpr</pre>
        symbol ';'
7
8
       return (ArithmeticAssignment d a)
9
        <|> do
          symbol '='
10
11
          b <- booleanExpr</pre>
          symbol ';'
12
          return (BooleanAssignment d b)
13
14
        <|> do
          symbol '['
15
          k <- arithmeticExpr</pre>
16
17
          symbol ']'
          symbol '='
18
          a <- arithmeticExpr</pre>
19
          symbol ';'
20
          return (ArrayAssignment d k a)
21
22
   branch :: Parser Command
23
   branch = do
24
25
     keyword "if"
     symbol '('
26
     b <- booleanExpr</pre>
2.7
     symbol ')'
28
29
     keyword "then"
30
     c1 <- block
31
       keyword "else"
32
        c2 <- block
33
       keyword "end"
34
        symbol ';'
35
        return (Branch b c1 c2)
36
     <|> do
37
       keyword "end"
38
        symbol ';'
39
40
       return (Branch b c1 [Skip])
41
   loop :: Parser Command
42
   loop = do
43
     keyword "while"
44
     symbol '('
45
     b <- booleanExpr</pre>
46
     symbol ')'
47
     keyword "do"
48
     c <- block
49
     keyword "end"
50
     symbol ';'
51
     return (Loop b c)
52
53
   skip :: Parser Command
54
   skip = do keyword "skip"; symbol ';'; return Skip
```

Another parser that is needed for the grammar, is the parser for the variable declarations. That is, in this programming language we can declare variables only on the top of the program, and before the shrimp keyword. The variables can be integers, boolean or array of integers. For the declaration of array of integers, the size of the array must be fixed and a constant integer value.

```
1
   variable :: Parser Variable
   variable = do
2
     keyword "let"
3
     d <- identifier
4
     keyword "as"
5
6
       keyword "int"
7
       symbol ';'
8
9
       return (IntegerDecl d)
10
       <|> do
11
         keyword "bool"
          symbol ';'
12
          return (BooleanDecl d)
13
       <|> do
14
         keyword "array"
15
16
          symbol '['
          n <- constant
17
          symbol ']'
18
          symbol ';'
19
          return (ArrayDecl d n)
20
```

The parser for the entire program is defined as in the following code snippet. That is, the parser for a block of commands is defined using the many combinator on the parser for a command. In the same way, the parser for a header is defined using the many combinator on the parser for a variable. The parser for the entire parser is defined using both the parser for the header and the command block. Note that the keyword shrimp separates these parts of the program.

```
program :: Parser Program
   program = do
2
3
     h <- header
     keyword "shrimp"
     symbol ';'
5
     b <- block
6
     return (h, b)
8
9
   block :: Parser Block
   block = many command
10
11
  header :: Parser Header
12
  header = many variable
13
14
   parse :: String -> Result (Program, String)
15
   parse cs = case unwrap program cs of
16
17
     [] -> Error EmptyProgram
     [(p, cs)] \rightarrow 0k (p, cs)
18
```

The Optimizer

The *optimization* process is an intermediate step between the parsing and the interpretation of the program itself. Currently, the main optimization step is related to the execution of constant values. That is, if an expression in a loop is defined only on constant values, it's better to optimize the computation of that expression by replacing it with the result. This procedure is done before the interpretation of the program. For example, consider the following arithmetic expression, expressed in intermediate representation, that we wish to optimize. If we apply the optimization step to this arithmetic expression, we obtain the equivalent but more efficient arithmetic expression.

```
let expr' = Div (Mul (Identifier "x") (Constant 2)) (Constant 10)
```

The implementation of the *optimization* process in Haskell is straightforward, due to simple recursion functions. A very similar optimization process is also implemented on boolean expressions. The *optimization* process also includes a basic optimization on commands such as skip, if-then-else and while-do. First of all, all the skip commands are removed from the intermediate representation. Moreover, if the condition of a if-then-else command is always *true* then the entire statement is replaced with the first block of commands. In a similar way, if the condition is always *false* then the entire statement is replaced with the second block of commands.

```
let command = Branch (Equal (Sub (Constant 1) (Constant 1)) 0)
[Assignment "x" (Constant 1)]
[Assignment "x" (Constant 2)]
```

```
let command' = [Assignment "x" (Constant 1)]
```

Furthermore, this approach is also used for while-do commands. That is, if the condition of a while-do command is always *false* then the entire statement is completely removed.

```
let command = Loop (Equal (Sub (Constant 1) (Constant 1)) 1)
[Assignment "x" (Add (IntegerVar "x") (Constant 1))]
```

```
let command' = []
```

However, if the condition is always *true* then an exception named *Infinite Loop* is raised. In other words, the optimizer is capable of detecting trivial infinite loops and prevents the interpretation of such programs. For example, the following loop program's intermediate representation is not interpreted. That is, an *Infinite Loop* exception is raised during the optimization step.

```
let command = Loop (Equal (Sub (Constant 1) (Constant 1)) 0)
[Assignment "x" (Add (IntegerVar "x") (Constant 1))]
```

The Interpreter

Finally, the obtained intermediate representation is interpreted by the interpreter. The interpreter scan the intermediate representation using *depth-first traversal* on the *n*-ary tree that compose the intermediate representation itself. Before introducing the execution of the interpreter on an intermediate representation, some useful data types are defined in order to handle errors.

```
data Exception
     = EmptyProgram
2
     InfiniteLoop
3
     DivisionByZero
4
     | UndeclaredVariable String
5
     | MultipleVariable String
7
       TypeMismatch String
       OutOfBound String Int
8
       InvalidSize String
9
10
   instance Show Exception where
11
     show EmptyProgram = "Empty Program"
12
     show InfiniteLoop = "Infinite Loop"
13
     show DivisionByZero = "Division By Zero"
14
     show (UndeclaredVariable d) = "Undeclared Variable" ++ ": " ++ d
15
     show (MultipleVariable d) = "Multiple Variable" ++ ": " ++ d
16
     show (TypeMismatch d) = "Type Mismatch" ++ ": " ++ d
17
     show (OutOfBound d i) = "Out Of Bound" ++ ": " ++ d ++ " at " ++ show i
18
     show (InvalidSize d) = "Invalid Size" ++ ": " ++ d
19
20
   data Result a = Ok a | Error Exception
21
22
   instance Functor Result where
23
     fmap f (Ok v) = Ok (f v)
24
25
     fmap _ (Error e) = Error e
26
   instance Applicative Result where
27
     pure v = 0k v
28
     (<*>) (0k f) (0k v) = 0k (f v)
29
     (<*>) (Error e) _ = Error e
30
     (<*>) _ (Error e) = Error e
31
32
   instance Monad Result where
33
     (>>=) (0k v) f = f v
34
     (>>=) (Error e) _ = Error e
35
36
   exception :: Exception -> a
37
   exception e = errorWithoutStackTrace $ show e
```

As one can see, the Result data type is a polymorphic type that can be either a Ok or a Error. The Error type also encapsulate an exception, one of the listed above. Moreover functor, applicative and monad interfaces are implemented in order to apply the needed operators directly on intermediate results. The functor, applicative and monad interfaces implementation is as in the Maybe data type. In the end, the exception function is useful in order to print the error and stop the program without printing the stack trace.

In order to interpret the intermediate representation, two sub-interpreters are implemented. The first interpreter loads all the variables in the variables declaration section of the program. The result of the variables loading is a consistent state, viewed as a dictio-

nary with key as the identifier of a variable and value the effective value of the variable at a certain point of the program. The value of a variable can be either an integer, a boolean value or a vector of integers.

```
initialize :: State -> Header -> State
   initialize s [] = s
2
   initialize s ((IntegerDecl d) : hs) =
3
     case search d s of
       Just _ -> exception (MultipleVariable d)
5
6
       Nothing -> initialize s' hs
7
         where
           s' = insert d (IntegerValue 0) s
8
9
   initialize s ((BooleanDecl d) : hs) =
     case search d s of
10
       Just _ -> exception (MultipleVariable d)
11
12
       Nothing -> initialize s' hs
13
           s' = insert d (BooleanValue False) s
14
   initialize s ((ArrayDecl d n) : hs) =
15
     case search d s of
16
       Just _ -> exception (MultipleVariable d)
17
       Nothing ->
18
19
         if n > 0
20
           then initialize s' hs
           else exception (InvalidSize d)
21
         where
22
           s' = insert d (ArrayValue (zeroArray n)) s
23
```

The second interpreter executes the commands specified in the commands section of the command (after the shrimp keyword). So, it interprets every command specified in the grammar, that are skip, various kinds of assignments, if-then-else and if-then statements and the while-do statement.

```
execute :: State -> Block -> State
   execute s [] = s
   execute s (Skip : cs) = execute s cs
   execute s ((ArithmeticAssignment d a) : cs) =
4
     case search d s of
5
       Just (IntegerValue _) ->
6
7
         case evalArithmetic s a of
8
           Ok v -> execute s' cs
9
             where
               s' = insert d (IntegerValue v) s
10
           Error e -> exception e
11
12
       Just (BooleanValue _) -> exception (TypeMismatch d)
       Just (ArrayValue _) -> exception (TypeMismatch d)
13
       Nothing -> exception (UndeclaredVariable d)
14
   execute s ((BooleanAssignment d b) : cs) =
15
     case search d s of
16
       Just (BooleanValue _) ->
17
18
         case evalBoolean s b of
19
           Ok t -> execute s' cs
             where
20
               s' = insert d (BooleanValue t) s
21
22
           Error e -> exception e
       Just (IntegerValue _) -> exception (TypeMismatch d)
23
       Just (ArrayValue _) -> exception (TypeMismatch d)
24
       Nothing -> exception (UndeclaredVariable d)
25
```

```
execute s ((ArrayAssignment d k a) : cs) =
27
     case search d s of
       Just (ArrayValue vs) ->
2.8
         case (evalArithmetic s a, evalArithmetic s k) of
29
            (Ok v, Ok i) -> execute s' cs
30
             where
31
32
               s' = insert d (ArrayValue vs') s
               vs' = case writeArray i v vs of
33
                  Just vs' -> vs'
34
                 Nothing -> exception (OutOfBound d i)
35
            (Error e, _) -> exception e
36
37
            (_, Error e) -> exception e
38
       Just (IntegerValue _) -> exception (TypeMismatch d)
       Just (BooleanValue _) -> exception (TypeMismatch d)
39
       Nothing -> exception (UndeclaredVariable d)
40
41
   execute s ((Branch b cs' cs'') : cs) =
     case evalBoolean s b of
42
       Ok True -> execute s (cs' ++ cs)
43
       Ok False -> execute s (cs'' ++ cs)
44
       Error e -> exception e
46
   execute s (c@(Loop b cs') : cs) =
   execute s (Branch b (cs' ++ [c]) [Skip] : cs)
```

The implementation of the evaluation of arithmetic expressions make *heavily* use of the methods exposed by the applicative interface. Moreover, the liftA2 function is used in order to make the evaluation functions implementation more compact.

```
evalArithmetic :: State -> ArithmeticExpr -> Result Int
   evalArithmetic _ (Constant v) = Ok v
   evalArithmetic s (IntegerVar d) =
3
     case search d s of
       Just (IntegerValue v) -> 0k v
       Just (BooleanValue _) -> exception (TypeMismatch d)
6
       Just (ArrayValue _) -> exception (TypeMismatch d)
       Nothing -> exception (UndeclaredVariable d)
8
   evalArithmetic s (ArrayVar d k) =
9
     case search d s of
10
       Just (ArrayValue vs) ->
11
12
         case evalArithmetic s k of
           Ok i ->
13
             case readArray i vs of
14
               Just v -> Ok v
               Nothing -> Error (OutOfBound d i)
16
           Error e -> exception e
17
       Just (IntegerValue _) -> exception (TypeMismatch d)
18
       Just (BooleanValue _) -> exception (TypeMismatch d)
19
       Nothing -> exception (UndeclaredVariable d)
20
   evalArithmetic s (Add a1 a2) = liftA2 (+) v1 v2
21
     where
22
       v1 = evalArithmetic s a1
23
       v2 = evalArithmetic s a2
24
   evalArithmetic s (Sub a1 a2) = liftA2 (-) v1 v2
25
     where
26
       v1 = evalArithmetic s a1
27
       v2 = evalArithmetic s a2
   evalArithmetic s (Mul a1 a2) = liftA2 (*) v1 v2
29
30
       v1 = evalArithmetic s a1
```

```
v2 = evalArithmetic s a2
32
   evalArithmetic s (Div a1 a2) = seqM2 safeDiv v1 v2
33
34
       v1 = evalArithmetic s a1
35
       v2 = evalArithmetic s a2
36
   evalArithmetic s (Mod a1 a2) = seqM2 safeMod v1 v2
37
38
     where
       v1 = evalArithmetic s a1
39
       v2 = evalArithmetic s a2
40
   evalArithmetic s (Neg a) = negate <$> v
41
     where
42
43
       v = evalArithmetic s a
```

In this implementation, I also used the functions safeDiv, safeMod and seqM2 which implementation is showed in the following code snippet. In other words, the seqM2 is a custom function that implements binary sequencing, similarly to the bind operator.

```
safeDiv :: Int -> Int -> Result Int
   safeDiv _ 0 = Error DivisionByZero
   safeDiv u v = 0k (div u v)
4
5
   safeMod :: Int -> Int -> Result Int
   safeMod _ 0 = Error DivisionByZero
6
   safeMod u v = Ok (mod u v)
8
   seqM2 :: (Monad m) \Rightarrow (a \rightarrow b \rightarrow m c) \rightarrow m a \rightarrow m b \rightarrow m c
9
   seqM2 f x y = join $ liftA2 f x y
10
11
   join :: (Monad m) => m (m a) -> m a
12
   join m = m >>= id
13
```

The implementation of the evaluation of boolean expressions is very similar to the one for arithmetic expressions, so it's omitted.

Conclusion

The monadic implementation of the parser give us a very simple way of concatenating and combining multiple parsers of sub-grammars. Also, it's very easy to extend the grammar of the language in order to include other commands or statements. Moreover, the use of an intermediate representation permits us to apply post-processing and optimizations and make the interpretation itself straightforward and more efficient.

Future works may include the introduction of other types for variables, such as boolean types. Another extension of this work consists of adding useful information about the parsing errors, i.e. missing tokens and relevant row and column locations in the source code where the error occurred. However, other improvements can be done in the optimization step. That is, one can implement more "aggressive" optimizations of the intermediate representation based on the expected state of memory of the program during its execution.

Running an example

First of all, navigate to the project directory. Then, open ghci and load the needed modules as following.

```
1 :load Main.hs Shrimp.hs
```

Finally, run the main program and insert the path of a source file in the examples directory (for example primes.shr).

```
*Main> main
The Shrimp Interpreter
Insert the path of the source file:
examples/primes.shr

Memory state:
    "n": int = 49
    "i": int = 15
    "j": int = 0
    "stop": bool = False
    "primes": array[15] = [2,3,5,7,11,13,17,19,23,29,31,37,41,43,47]
```