

**Umeå University**  
Department of Applied Physics and Electronics

**Linux as Development Environment 7.5 ECTS**  
**5EL142 HT-16**

**Assignment 13 - Debian Package Handling**

Submitted 2017-08-13  
Author: Lorenz Gerber, 20161202-2033 (lorenzottogerber@gmail.com)  
Instructor: Sven Rönnbäck, John Berge, Björne A Lindberg

## 1 Introduction

This lab was about creating Debian packages from the application written for lab 6 and lab 11 respectively.

Litterature study on various web resources showed that there are basically two different approaches: Starting with a tar.gz source archieve of the so called 'upstream software' to be packaged for debian, or create directly a binary package. The former will ultimately also result in a binary package. It's the more generic way where the source is first compiled for the respective platform. As the lab instructions and forum entries suggested that both is viable, it was decided to produce directly binary packages.

## 2 Method

### 2.1 Basics

First a suitable binary has to be obtained or created. For normal programs, the requirements are not very specific. Here it was however attempted to also build a proper shared library package. For this, the binary had to be rebuild according to the specifications (e.g. using -soname flag)[2].

Then, the directory tree has to be set up and the binary file(s) copied into it. Further, the required configuration files have to be created and copied into the directory tree.

Then the package can be build.

Finally, the result should be checked using the debian package linter 'lintian'. Usually, the file modes have to be adjusted. It was found that the last two steps, 'building' and 'linting' were iterated until a satisfactory result was obtained.

### 2.2 Tools

For producing a binary deb package, almost no tools besides a text editor were needed:

1. gcc build system to first produce the binaries
2. dpkg-deb --build: to create the actual package
3. fakeroot: used with dpkg-deb, to create the package as root user
4. lintian: linter tool to check quality of the deb package

For building source packages, a number of other tools that help to set up the initial directory tree and template files would be available.

## 3 Detailed Description

### 3.1 libelectro1

First the the libraries where rebuild using the -soname flag [3].

```
gcc -fPIC -c -Wall libresistance.c
gcc -fPIC -c -Wall libpower.c
gcc -fPIC -c -Wall libcomponent.c
gcc -shared -Wl,-soname,libelectro.so.1 \
    -o libelectro.so.1.0.1 libresistance.o libpower.o libcomponent.o -lc
```

This resulted in a shared library: `libelectro.so-1.0.1`. A symbolic link `libelectro.so.1` was also created:

```
ln -s libelectro.so-1.0.1 libelectro.so.1
```

Then a new directory tree was build:

```
mkdir -p ./debian/usr/lib
mkdir -p ./debian/DEBIAN
```

The library and the symbolic link where copied into `./debian/usr/lib`. Then in `./debian/DEBIAN` three new files where created: `control`, `triggers` and `shlibs`.

The control file was edited as follows [1]:

```
Package: libelectrol
Version: 1.0-1
Section: libs
Priority: optional
Architecture: amd64
Depends: libc6 (>= 2.2.1)
Maintainer: L. Gerber <lorenz.gerber@provement.se>
Description: library with functions to calculate
  e12 replacement resistance values.
```

The triggers file contains only one line to trigger `ldconfig` [2]:

```
activate-noawait ldconfig
```

The shlibs file contains the following line [2, 8.6.4.2.]:

```
libelectro 1 libelectrol
```

Then the binary package is created:

```
fakeroot dpkg-deb --build libelectro-1.0/
mv libelectro-1.0.deb libelectro.so-1.0-1_amd64.deb
```

And finally the quality of the produced package is checked using:

```
lintian libelectro.so-1.0-1_amd64.deb
```

This resulted in the follwing output:

```
E: libelectrol: unstripped-binary-or-object usr/lib/libelectro.so.1.0.1
E: libelectrol: debian-changelog-file-missing
E: libelectrol: no-copyright-file
```

The first error relates to the debugging symbols left in the binary as the library was compiled with the 'g' flag. In some documentation, it is advised to leave debugging symbols. For real Debian packages and productive code, there would be the possibility for two versions of the same library, a stripped one and a development library with the debugging symbols left. Here, for testing, the symbols where stripped using:

```
objcopy --strip-debug --strip-unneeded libelectro.so.1.0.1
```

The changelog file had to be gzipped with the parameters `-n -9`. Lintian is very peculiar about the format of the changelog file. The 'ITM close bug' issue still shown in 'lintian' can not be mended as it is a mechanism used when a package is developed to be included in the debian distribution: Lintian recognizes that the changelog only contains one entry, hence it assumes a new package. New packages have to be announced by filing a bug-report in the ITM system. Then the bug number has to be mentioned in the first entry to automatically close it. The following is the example text for the changelog file to be situated in `usr/share/doc/electrolib1/`:

```
libelectrol (1.0-1) UNRELEASED; urgency=low
```

```
* Initial release.
```

```
Not intended to be included in Debian, hence closes no initial bug.
```

```
-- Lorenz Gerber <lorenz.gerber@provement.se> Fri, 18 Aug 2017 8:08:00 +0000
```

**The following copyright file was added in libelectro-1.0/usr/share/doc/libelectrol1/:**

```
Format: https://www.debian.org/doc/packaging-manuals/copyright-format/1.0/
```

```
Upstream-Name: electrotest
```

```
Source: https://github.com/lorenzgerber/electrotest
```

```
Files: *
```

```
Copyright: 2017 Lorenz Gerber <lorenz.gerber@provement.se>
```

```
License: GPL-2+
```

```
This program is free software; you can redistribute it
and/or modify it under the terms of the GNU General Public
License as published by the Free Software Foundation; either
version 2 of the License, or (at your option) any later
version.
```

```
.
```

```
This program is distributed in the hope that it will be
useful, but WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY; without even the implied
warranty of MERCHANTABILITY or FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR
PURPOSE. See the GNU General Public License for more
details.
```

```
.
```

```
You should have received a copy of the GNU General Public
License along with this package; if not, write to the Free
Software Foundation, Inc., 51 Franklin St, Fifth Floor,
Boston, MA 02110-1301 USA
```

```
.
```

```
On Debian systems, the full text of the GNU General Public
License version 2 can be found in the file
'/usr/share/common-licenses/GPL-2'.
```

Now 'lintian' indicated that the package was clean. It was then installed using:

```
sudo dpkg -i libelectro.so-1.0-1_amd64.deb
```

and uninstalled using:

```
sudo dpkg -r libelectrol
```

### 3.2 electrotest

First, it was tested whether electrotest could be build linking against the shared library provided in the debian package libelectro1. Unfortunately, this was not the case. It was established that there was another symbolic link in the library package needed: from 'lib-electro.so' to 'libelectro.so.1.0.1'. Then electrotest compiled and could be run:

```
gcc -Wall -std=c11 -pendantic -c electrotest.c
gcc -Wall -o electrotest electrotest.o -lelectro -lm -L/usr/lib
```

The same steps as for the library were needed to build a Debian package for electrotest. First, the directory tree was created. Here the binary was copied into 'electrotest-1.0/usr/bin'. 'electrotest-1.0/DEBIAN' only contains the 'control' file which was modified accordingly:

```
Package: electrotest1
Version: 1.0-1
Section: electronics
Priority: optional
Architecture: amd64
Depends: libc6 (>= 2.2.1), libelectro1 (>= 1.0-1)
Maintainer: L. Gerber <lorenz.gerber@provement.se>
Description: shell application to calculate
    e12 replacement resistance values.
```

Further, 'electrotest-1.0/usr/share/doc/electrotest1/' contains the files 'copyright' and 'changelog.Debian.gz' which were also adapted. Further, 'lintian' hinted the need for man pages. They were created in 'electrotest-1.0/usr/share/man/man1/':

```
.\" Manpage for electrotest1.
.\" Contact lorenzottogerberls@gmail.com to correct errors or typos.
.TH ELECTROTEST 1 "18 August 2017"
.SH NAME
electrotest \- shell program to calculate e12 replacement resistances
.SH SYNOPSIS
.B electrotest
.SH DESCRIPTION
Interactively queries the parameters to determines e12 replacement resistances.
.SH RETURN VALUE
exit status
.SH CONFORMING TO
C11
.SH BUGS
currently no bugs known
.SH SEE ALSO
.BR libelectro(1)
.SH AUTHOR
Lorenz Gerber (lorenzottogerber@gmail.com)
```

### 3.3 electrotestgtk

To package 'electrotestgtk' basically the same procedure as for 'electrotest' was followed except that there are a whole range of dependencies to include for GTK+-2.0. First

it was attempted to use `ldd electrottestgtk` but this resulted in a list of about 50 libraries and felt unreasonable to write into the package control file. It was assumed that most of the libraries should get included as downstream libraries of a few top GTK+-2.0 related libraries. Hence `pkg-config gtk-2.0 --libs` gave a shortlist of libraries: `libgtk-x11-2.0`, `libgdk-x11-2.0`, `libpangocairo-1.0`, `libatk-1.0`, `libcairo`, `libgdk_pixbuf-2.0`, `libgio-2.0`, `libpangoft2-1.0`, `libpango-1.0`, `libgobject-2.0`, `libglib-2.0`, `libfontconfig` and `libfreetype`.

After including these in the control file, and updating the respective files (copyright, changelog.Debian.gz, man-pages, binary), the package could be built in the same way as ‘electrottest’.

## References

- [1] Debian Policy Manual, control files and their fields. <https://www.debian.org/doc/debian-policy/ch-controlfields.html>, 2017. accessed: 2017-08-17.
- [2] Debian Policy Manual, shared libraries. <https://www.debian.org/doc/debian-policy/ch-sharedlibs.html>, 2017. accessed: 2017-08-17.
- [3] The Linux Documentation Project, shared libraries. <https://tldp.org/HOWTO/Program-Library-HOWTO/shared-libraries.html>, 2017. accessed: 2017-08-17.