

**Umeå University**  
Department of Computing Science

## Object-Oriented Programming Methodology 7.5 p 5DV133

### **OU4 Sensor Network**

Submitted  
2016-05-23

**Authors:**

Johan Eklund (kv03jed@cs.umu.se)  
Tommie Lindberg (c15t1g@cs.umu.se)  
Jakob Lundin (c14jln@cs.umu.se)  
Lorenz Gerber (dv15lgr@cs.umu.se, lozger03@student.umu.se)

**Instructors:**

Anders Broberg  
Niklas Fries  
Adam Dahlgren  
Jonathan Westin  
Erik Moström  
Alexander Sutherland

## Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Compiling and Running of the Program	1
2.1	Javadoc	2
3	General Program Structure	2
4	Specific Design Decisions	2
4.1	A generic Environment	2
4.2	Time managment	3
4.3	Events - copy or clone?	3
4.4	Managing the Routing Tables	3
4.5	Where to go - nextNode mode	3
5	Limitations and Future Development	3
6	Testing Framework	3
7	Reflections and Account for Individual Contributions	3
7.1	Johan Eklund	3
7.2	Tommie Lindberg	5
7.3	Jakob Lundin	5
7.4	Lorenz Gerber	5
	References	5

## 1 Introduction

In predefined groups of students we were asked to create a simulation of a network consisting of a number of *nodes* with a short communication range. The simulation consists of time steps, for every time step the network goes through the network and node and makes sure it does its job.

Using the *rumour routing* algorithm [1] you start with a network of *nodes*, in the network there are *messages*, signals, that travel the network to collect and to share information. These messages have a set amount of steps they can make before they vanish. The nodes detect unique *events* and *queries* can be made in any node for that event, the network will then send the *query* to find the *node* that detected the *event*.

Communication is done by using the rumour-routing algorithm [1]. An event in the simulation is an ID, a time stamp and a position of where it happened. Nodes know its neighbors and they are static in the grid. Nodes can have a maximum of 8 neighbors depending on location. Nodes have a table of events and know how far away every other node is. Nodes generate messages in the form of agents and queries. Queries should come back to the node within 45 steps if not the node should send another one. Queries are messages that carry the information of an event it's trying to find and a stack of nodes it has traveled through. The node the query passes through checks its table to see if it knows about the event, if it finds it it will send the query on its way to the event node. If it doesn't find it, it will send it to a random neighbor. If a query finds its target node it will get sent back to its original node the same way it got to the event so it can report about it.

In our simulation a query will vanish if it can't find the event in 45 steps. Agents are messages that carry a table of events and the path to these events. The agents travel through the network and synchronize with the nodes it passes. The events with the shortest distance gets saved in both the nodes and the agents tables. In our simulation the agents will vanish in 50 steps. In our simulation agents have a 50% chance to be generated when an event is detected. In our simulation the network is 50 by 50 and runs for 10000 time steps. Every 400 time steps four randomly chosen nodes are supposed to generate queries.

## 2 Compiling and Running of the Program

The program is written according to Java 1.7 and compiles on the commandline with standard command `javac RumourRoutingApp.java`. Invoking the compiled program is done by calling `java ./RumourRoutingApp.class` from the command line. A typical run will result in screen output similar to the following:

```
Event at x: 30 y 120, at time 1135, id 317
Event at x: 210 y 20, at time 1040, id 286
Event at x: 0 y 50, at time 1422, id 389
Event at x: 150 y 250, at time 1410, id 385
Event at x: 130 y 0, at time 1826, id 490
Event at x: 130 y 220, at time 3002, id 748
Event at x: 50 y 270, at time 4546, id 1164
Event at x: 130 y 210, at time 3848, id 977
Experiment finished
```

A number of parameters can be modified in the `RumourRoutingApp.java` file. The meaning of these will be described in the section 'Description of Program Structure'.

```

int NODES_X          = 50;
int NODES_Y          = 50;
int NO_NODES         = NODES_X * NODES_Y;
double NEW_EVENTS     = 0.0001;
int NODE_RANGE       = 15;
double PROB_AGENT     = 0.5;
int TTL_AGENT        = 10;
int TTL_QUERY        = 50;
int QUERY_NODES       = 4;
int QUERY_PERIODICITY = 400;
int TIMESTEPS        = 10000;
int NUMBER_OF_RECENT_NODES = 5;
int QUERY_RESEND_WAIT = TTL_QUERY * 8;

```

## 2.1 Javadoc

JavaDoc pages were created with the built-in functions in IntelliJ and can be found in the javadoc subdirectory. The pages are in HTML format and can be viewed by opening index.html in the javadoc directory with a web browser.

## 3 General Program Structure

The design of our rumour routing implementation from ‘OU3’ assignment proofed to be mostly feasible for implementation. Certainly, some details that were left open in the design slightly changed other structures in the design, but mostly the presented code can be seen as an implementation of ‘OU3’. Hence below follows just a rather short account for the general design and we will focus more on practical implementation details.

A general aim of object oriented design is to develop a model where real world entities have their counterparts modelled as classes/objects with similar properties, behaviour and relations. For the current implementation, the real world entities of interest are the sensor *nodes* with the capability to detect events and send/receive information. These three activities define two other abstract real world entities: *events* and *messages* that can be sent and received. Finally, as we are interested to investigate specific situations involving a large number of the above mentioned entities, we also consider the *environment* as a required entity in our implementation. Hence, *environment*, *node*, *event* and *message* were chosen as the base classes. To modell the behaviour described as *rumour routing* algorithm, we designed specialications of the class *message*: *agent* and *query*.

## 4 Specific Design Decisions

### 4.1 A generic Environment

To obtain a generic environment that allows positioning nodes in which ever way desired, a coordinate based system was implemeted. Then, to simplify the main loop, a data structure containing the neighbour nodes in send/receive range is generated prior to the actual experiment. During the experiment, no more distances need to be calculated, only the initialized node neighbour data structure can be accessed.

## 4.2 Time management

Time in our system is semi continuous and more like a turn/step based game system. In each time step, each node has at least once focus to do one activity. The activities that consume such a time slot at the node are sending and receiving a message while detecting an event and creating messages does not consume the time step.

### Busy States

The steps that consume a time slot at the node, sending/receiving always involve two nodes. Hence, two scenarios related to concurrency have to be handled: (1) A node wants to send a message and the receiver node has already used it's turn, (2) when the sequence comes to a node, he has already used his turn by receiving a message earlier in the same time step.

We defined a boolean *busy state* property on the node class which is switched to *true* after having used the current time step. After looping through all nodes in one time step for activities, a further loop for switching the *busy state* back to *false*.

## 4.3 Events - copy or clone?

## 4.4 Managing the Routing Tables

## 4.5 Where to go - nextNode mode

Figure 1 shows the UML diagram of the chosen design, automatically produced using IntelliJ.


## 5 Limitations and Future Development

## 6 Testing Framework

## 7 Reflections and Account for Individual Contributions

### 7.1 Johan Eklund

We had a pretty good idea what we wanted to do right from the first meeting. We made a solid UML-diagram early on and we've used it make pseudo-code that that helped us a lot through the implementation of the code. We got a group chat and a git setup early in the project which has helped a lot. We had an early idea of making unit tests before implementing code, in the end we did mostly the other way around, which made the tests a bit redundant. Differences in knowledge of the different tools has made some of the group members spend a lot of time learning the tools and not contribute as much as the more knowledgeable members. More meetings and joint programming may have helped. The problem specification can be read in different ways and a lot of different solutions can be made for whatever you believe the assignment to be. We assume this is part of the idea of the assignment.



Powered by yFiles

**Figure 1:** UML diagram for implementing a sensory network application that allows testing of the rumour routing algorithm.

**7.2 Tommie Lindberg**

**7.3 Jakob Lundin**

**7.4 Lorenz Gerber**

## **References**

- [1] D. Braginsky and D. Estrin. Rumor routing algorithm for sensor networks. In *Proceedings of the 1st ACM international workshop on Wireless sensor networks and applications*, pages 22–31. ACM, 2002.