

GV217 - Conflict Analysis

University of Essex - Department of Government

Lorenzo Crippa

Week 24 – 13 March, 2020

Online test

Online test

- The test will assess your knowledge of class content including concepts
- It is **not** designed to cause you troubles
- Multiple-choice questions
- It will be available on Moodle
- **You will not get a notification from FASER**
- The test opens next week for 24h
- Once opened you will have 30m to complete

Conflict management: resolution and maintenance of peace

General framework

Two factors favor a conflict resolution:

General framework

Two factors favor a conflict resolution:

1. Military victory

General framework

Two factors favor a conflict resolution:

1. Military victory
2. Negotiated, **mediated** settlement. Examples of mediators?

General framework

Two factors favor a conflict resolution:

1. Military victory
2. Negotiated, **mediated** settlement. Examples of mediators?
 - Third-party states

Two factors favor a conflict resolution:

1. Military victory
2. Negotiated, **mediated** settlement. Examples of mediators?
 - Third-party states
 - International organisations

General framework

Two factors favor a conflict resolution:

1. Military victory
2. Negotiated, **mediated** settlement. Examples of mediators?
 - Third-party states
 - International organisations

Two factors keep a conflict from re-emerging:

General framework

Two factors favor a conflict resolution:

1. Military victory
2. Negotiated, **mediated** settlement. Examples of mediators?
 - Third-party states
 - International organisations

Two factors keep a conflict from re-emerging:

1. Power-sharing

General framework

Two factors favor a conflict resolution:

1. Military victory
2. Negotiated, **mediated** settlement. Examples of mediators?
 - Third-party states
 - International organisations

Two factors keep a conflict from re-emerging:

1. Power-sharing
2. Peacekeeping (next week)

General framework

Two factors favor a conflict resolution:

1. Military victory
2. Negotiated, **mediated** settlement. Examples of mediators?
 - Third-party states
 - International organisations

Two factors keep a conflict from re-emerging:

1. Power-sharing
2. Peacekeeping (next week)

Yet, problem of right-truncation (we don't have any information about when/if a conflict will re-ignite in the future)

Mediation

What is mediation?

What is mediation?

“A process of conflict management where disputants seek the assistance of, or accept an offer of help from, an individual, group, state, or organization to settle their conflict or resolve their differences without resorting to physical force or invoking the authority of the law” (Bercovitch, Anagnoson, and Wille 1991: 8).

Incentives for mediators and for parties

Third-parties often have an interest in peace in a region or state.

Examples of interest:

Incentives for mediators and for parties

Third-parties often have an interest in peace in a region or state.

Examples of interest:

- Security (reducing conflicts and militia)

Incentives for mediators and for parties

Third-parties often have an interest in peace in a region or state.

Examples of interest:

- Security (reducing conflicts and militia)
- Economic (trade and investments)

Incentives for mediators and for parties

Third-parties often have an interest in peace in a region or state.

Examples of interest:

- Security (reducing conflicts and militia)
- Economic (trade and investments)
- Political (increasing soft-power)

Incentives for mediators and for parties

Third-parties often have an interest in peace in a region or state.

Examples of interest:

- Security (reducing conflicts and militia)
- Economic (trade and investments)
- Political (increasing soft-power)

Problems for parties in the talks are in common with bargaining in general:

Incentives for mediators and for parties

Third-parties often have an interest in peace in a region or state.

Examples of interest:

- Security (reducing conflicts and militia)
- Economic (trade and investments)
- Political (increasing soft-power)

Problems for parties in the talks are in common with bargaining in general:

- Information asymmetry
- Commitment problems

Bargaining Strategies for mediators

Three strategies to mediate

Bargaining Strategies for mediators

Three strategies to mediate

1. **Facilitate talks:** good offices, information, clarification, message transmission

Bargaining Strategies for mediators

Three strategies to mediate

1. **Facilitate talks:** good offices, information, clarification, message transmission
2. **Formulate talks:** setting up the meeting, controlling pace and formality, structure and agenda, suggest concession and aid in face-saving, highlight interests

Bargaining Strategies for mediators

Three strategies to mediate

1. **Facilitate talks:** good offices, information, clarification, message transmission
2. **Formulate talks:** setting up the meeting, controlling pace and formality, structure and agenda, suggest concession and aid in face-saving, highlight interests
3. **Manipulate talks:** change expectations, show commitments, promise resources or threaten withdrawal, threaten punishments

Mediation Outcomes: Formal Agreement

- Most likely when both sides agree the outcome lies within the bargaining range
- Manipulation is most likely to end in formal agreement because it creates the largest bargaining range and/or provides security guarantees.

Mediation Outcomes: Formal Agreement

- Most likely when both sides agree the outcome lies within the bargaining range
- Manipulation is most likely to end in formal agreement because it creates the largest bargaining range and/or provides security guarantees.

H1: Manipulative > Formulative > Facilitative > No mediation

Mediation Outcomes: Tension reduction

- When outcome is likely to persist tensions are reduced
- Most likely to occur when outcome is near the centre of the bargaining range
- Formulation is expected to bring actors closest to this mid-point
- Manipulation increases bargaining space but does not guarantee outcomes close to the centre; also guarantors may be unreliable

Mediation Outcomes: Tension reduction

- When outcome is likely to persist tensions are reduced
- Most likely to occur when outcome is near the centre of the bargaining range
- Formulation is expected to bring actors closest to this mid-point
- Manipulation increases bargaining space but does not guarantee outcomes close to the centre; also guarantors may be unreliable

H2: Formulative > Facilitative > Manipulative > No mediation

Mediation Outcomes: Crisis Abatement

- The threat of violence ends
- Strategies that increase the costs of conflict will most powerfully increase the chances of conflict abatement

- The threat of violence ends
- Strategies that increase the costs of conflict will most powerfully increase the chances of conflict abatement

H3: Manipulative > Formulative > Facilitative

Mediation strategies and outcomes: General findings

- Pretty much in line with theory (except that facilitation seems to be more efficient than formulation at reducing tensions)

Mediation strategies and outcomes: General findings

- Pretty much in line with theory (except that facilitation seems to be more efficient than formulation at reducing tensions)
- Mediators should use a mix of strategies

Mediation strategies and outcomes: General findings

- Pretty much in line with theory (except that facilitation seems to be more efficient than formulation at reducing tensions)
- Mediators should use a mix of strategies
- Most durable agreements are those achieved with as little help as possible

Mediation strategies and outcomes: General findings

- Pretty much in line with theory (except that facilitation seems to be more efficient than formulation at reducing tensions)
- Mediators should use a mix of strategies
- Most durable agreements are those achieved with as little help as possible
- Finding an agreement in line with the true bargaining range minimises risk of conflict recurrence and need for third party intervention

Power sharing

Power can be shared in four ways:

Power sharing

Power can be shared in four ways:

1. **Political:**

Power sharing

Power can be shared in four ways:

1. **Political**: divide appointments in public offices. Examples:

Power sharing

Power can be shared in four ways:

1. **Political**: divide appointments in public offices. Examples:
 - Lebanon, Iraq, Bosnia

Power sharing

Power can be shared in four ways:

1. **Political:** divide appointments in public offices. Examples:
 - Lebanon, Iraq, Bosnia
2. **Territorial:**

Power sharing

Power can be shared in four ways:

1. **Political**: divide appointments in public offices. Examples:
 - Lebanon, Iraq, Bosnia
2. **Territorial**: divide land and territory. Examples:

Power sharing

Power can be shared in four ways:

1. **Political**: divide appointments in public offices. Examples:
 - Lebanon, Iraq, Bosnia
2. **Territorial**: divide land and territory. Examples:
 - The Colombian-FARC peace agreement

Power sharing

Power can be shared in four ways:

1. **Political:** divide appointments in public offices. Examples:
 - Lebanon, Iraq, Bosnia
2. **Territorial:** divide land and territory. Examples:
 - The Colombian-FARC peace agreement
3. **Military:**

Power sharing

Power can be shared in four ways:

1. **Political**: divide appointments in public offices. Examples:
 - Lebanon, Iraq, Bosnia
2. **Territorial**: divide land and territory. Examples:
 - The Colombian-FARC peace agreement
3. **Military**: share participation to military offices.

Power can be shared in four ways:

1. **Political**: divide appointments in public offices. Examples:
 - Lebanon, Iraq, Bosnia
2. **Territorial**: divide land and territory. Examples:
 - The Colombian-FARC peace agreement
3. **Military**: share participation to military offices.
4. **Economic**:

Power can be shared in four ways:

1. **Political**: divide appointments in public offices. Examples:
 - Lebanon, Iraq, Bosnia
2. **Territorial**: divide land and territory. Examples:
 - The Colombian-FARC peace agreement
3. **Military**: share participation to military offices.
4. **Economic**: share access to natural resources and their revenues.

Power sharing

Power can be shared in four ways:

1. **Political**: divide appointments in public offices. Examples:
 - Lebanon, Iraq, Bosnia
2. **Territorial**: divide land and territory. Examples:
 - The Colombian-FARC peace agreement
3. **Military**: share participation to military offices.
4. **Economic**: share access to natural resources and their revenues.

Durable peace becomes more likely as more elements are included in an agreement

Power sharing – hypotheses and findings

- The more extensive the power-sharing arrangements in a civil war settlement, the more likely it the peace will endure

Power sharing – hypotheses and findings

- The more extensive the power-sharing arrangements in a civil war settlement, the more likely it the peace will endure
- Settlements of civil wars characterized by high casualty rates are unlikely to yield a durable peace

Power sharing – hypotheses and findings

- The more extensive the power-sharing arrangements in a civil war settlement, the more likely it the peace will endure
- Settlements of civil wars characterized by high casualty rates are unlikely to yield a durable peace
- Settlements that call for third-party **enforcement** are more likely to produce a durable peace than those that make no provision for enforcement by third-party actors

Power sharing – hypotheses and findings

- The more extensive the power-sharing arrangements in a civil war settlement, the more likely it the peace will endure
- Settlements of civil wars characterized by high casualty rates are unlikely to yield a durable peace
- Settlements that call for third-party **enforcement** are more likely to produce a durable peace than those that make no provision for enforcement by third-party actors
- Negotiated settlements are more likely to produce an enduring peace when the issue at stake in the conflict is politico-economic rather than identity based

Power sharing – hypotheses and findings

- The more extensive the power-sharing arrangements in a civil war settlement, the more likely it the peace will endure
- Settlements of civil wars characterized by high casualty rates are unlikely to yield a durable peace
- Settlements that call for third-party **enforcement** are more likely to produce a durable peace than those that make no provision for enforcement by third-party actors
- Negotiated settlements are more likely to produce an enduring peace when the issue at stake in the conflict is politico-economic rather than identity based
- The risk of war breaking out again following the negotiated settlement of a civil war should decline with time

Mediation and power sharing in Burundi



All clear? More questions?
See you next week!