Childhood exposure to non-persistent endocrine disruptors, glucocorticosteroids, and neurodevelopment: A study based on the parametric g-formula

Abstract

Epidemiological evidence suggests that endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) may perturb the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical (HPA) axis, which has a major role in brain development. We aimed to evaluate the effects of childhood exposure to organophosphate pesticides, phenols, and phthalate metabolites, on urinary glucocorticosteroids and inattention measures in children using data from the Human Early-Life Exposome (HELIX) cohort. We used the parametric g-formula to estimate effects between EDCs, glucocorticosteroids, and hit reaction time standard error (HRT-SE), a measure of efficiency from the Attention Network Test (ANT), and tested for possible effect modification by sex. We observed a positive MC for exposure increases from the 10th to the 90th percentile for methyl-paraben (marginal contrast (MC) and 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.042 (0.013, 0.071)), and the phthalate metabolites oxo-MiNP (MC and 95% CI: 0.023 (0.003, 0.044)), oh-MiNP (MC and 95% CI: 0.039 (0.001, 0.076)), and MEHP (MC and 95% CI: 0.036 (0.008, 0.063)), on HRT-SE. Several EDCs were also associated with a positive MC for cortisone, cortisol, and corticosterone production. Increased levels of the glucocorticosteroids had no effect on HRT-SE, although we found a possible effect modification by sex. Our results suggest that multiple EDCs might interfere with inattentiveness outcomes in children and with the homeostasis of the HPA axis.

The prevalence of several neurodevelopmental disorders has increased in the pediatric population (1), and multiple environmental pollutants may play a role in the increased rates of these disorders (2). Multiple endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs), ubiquitous chemicals present in many every-day products and diet, are capable of interfering with the endocrine system, and have shown associations with childhood neurodevelopment and behavior (3–17). Although both pregnancy and early childhood are crucial stages of (neuro)development, most of the available literature is focused on the effects of prenatal exposure to EDCs on child neurodevelopment (2).

One group of EDCs that may have a deleterious effect on neurodevelopment is the organophosphate pesticides (OP pesticides), although the few studies assessing exposure during childhood and through the use of biomarkers suffered from a series of limitations, including a small sample size and few organophosphate pesticide (OP pesticide) metabolites measured (2). Exposure to phthalates and their metabolites during childhood and early adolescence has been associated to several adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes, but these studies were limited to few phthalate metabolites and small study populations (2). The effects of exposure to bisphenol A (BPA) during childhood on cognitive functions are still unclear (2).

Moreover, little is known about the biological mechanisms of action (2). There is some toxicological evidence, however, that exposure to certain EDCs, specifically phthalates, might interfere with the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical (HPA) axis and might interact with the glucocorticoid receptor (18–20). The HPA axis, which can be activated by stress, is responsible for the production of glucocorticosteroids. The brain, and its proper functioning, is a potential target, due to the presence of receptors for these hormones (19,21). Glucocorticosteroids are necessary for brain maturation, although their under- or over-production might interfere with its normal development and ultimately lead to long-term impaired functioning (20,21).

Taken together, these results suggest that the negative influence of exposure to certain EDCs on neurodevelopmental outcomes might be mediated, at least partially, by disruption of the HPA axis' homeostasis. In the present study, we thus estimated associations between 1) non-persistent EDCs and attention, 2) non-persistent EDCs and glucocorticosteroids, and 3) glucocorticosteroids and attention, using the parametric g-formula and marginal contrasts (MCs), in children of a large cohort in Europe.

1 Methods

1.1 Study population and design

The Human Early-Life Exposome (HELIX) project aims to characterize early-life exposures and their potential association with endogenous biomarkers and health outcomes (22). It consists of six existing population-based birth cohort studies across Europe: BiB (Born in Bradford, UK) (23), EDEN (Study of determinants of pre- and postnatal developmental, France) (24), INMA (Environment and Childhood, Spain) (25), KANC (Kaunas Cohort, Lithuania) (26), MoBa (The Norwegian Mother and

Child Cohort Study, Norway) (27), and Rhea (Mother-Child Cohort in Crete, Greece) (28). The HELIX subcohort of 1,301 mother-child pairs was fully characterized for the external and internal exposome, including exposure and omics biomarkers during childhood (29). Eligibility criteria for inclusion in the HELIX subcohort included:
a) age 6-11 years, with a preference for 7-9 years; b) availability of sufficient stored pregnancy blood and urine samples; c) availability of complete address history from first to last follow-up; d) no serious health problems, which might affect the results of the clinical testing. Ethical permission was obtained from the relevant authorities in the corresponding country.

69 1.2 Variables

1.2.1 Endocrine disrupting chemicals

Children were assessed between December 2013 and February 2016, and assessments included neurological testing and urine collection. Urine samples of the night before and the first morning void on the day of the visit were combined to provide a more reliable exposure assessment. Non-persistent EDCs assessed in the urine samples included phthalate metabolites, phenols, and organophosphate (OP) pesticide metabolites. A list of the environmental chemicals determined in urine samples and used for the present study is given in Table S1. Briefly, we analyzed a total of 7 phenols (bisphenol A (BPA), ethyl-paraben (ETPA), methyl-paraben (MEPA), n-butyl-paraben (BUPA), oxybenzone 78 (OXBE), propyl-paraben (PRPA), triclosan (TRCS)), 6 non-specific organophosphate pesticide metabolites (diethyl dithiophosphate (DEDTP), diethyl phosphate (DEP), diethyl thiophosphate (DETP), dimethyl dithiophosphate (DMDTP), dimethyl phosphate (DMP), dimethyl thiophosphate (DMTP)), and 10 phthalate metabolites (mono benzyl 82 phthalate (MBzP), monoethyl phthalate (MEP), mono-2-ethyl 5-carboxypentyl phthalate (MECPP), mono-2-ethylhexyl phthalate (MEHP), mono-2-ethyl-5-hydroxyhexyl phthalate (MEHHP), mono-2-ethyl-5-oxohexyl phthalate (MEOHP), mono-4-methyl-7-hydroxyoctyl phthalate (oh-MiNP), mono-4-methyl-7-oxooctyl phthalate (oxo-MiNP), mono-iso-butyl phthalate (MiBP), mono-n-butyl phthalate (MnBP)) originating from 6 distinct phthalate parent compounds. The laboratory protocols for the analysis are described elsewhere (30).

1.2.2 Glucocorticosteroids

Urine samples of the night before the day of the visit were used to measure levels of the glucocorticosteroids. These included glucocorticosteroids, glucocorticosteroid metabolites, glucocorticosteroid precursors, glucocorticosteroid precursor metabolites, androgens, and androgen metabolites. A list of the glucocorticosteroids determined in urine samples and used for the present study is given in Table S2.

To assess the levels of glucocorticosteroids and their metabolites, LC-MS/MS analysis was applied at the Applied Metabolomics Research Group, IMIM (Hospital del Mar Medical Research Institute). The laboratory protocols for the analysis are described elsewhere (31,32).

Three additional markers, total cortisol production, total cortisone production, and 100 total corticosterone production, were computed based on the following: cortisol 101 production as the sum of cortisol and its metabolites (20 -dihydrocortisol (20aDHF), 20 -dihydrocortisol (20bDHF), 5,20 -cortol (5a20acortol), 5,20 -cortol (5a20bcortol), 103 5 -tetrahydrocortisol (5aTHF), 5, 20 -cortol (5b20acortol), 5, 20 -cortol (5b20bcortol), 104 5-dihydrocortisol (5bDHF), 5-tetrahydrocortisol (5bTHF), 6-hydroxycortisol (60HF)), cortisone production as the sum of cortisone and its metabolites (20dihydrocortisone (20aDHE), 20 -dihydrocortisone (20bDHE), 5 -tetrahydrocortisone 107 (5aTHE), 5,20-cortolone (5b20acortolone), 5,20-cortolone (5b20bcortolone), 108 5-tetrahydrocortisone (5bTHE), 6-hydroxycortisone (6OHE)), and corticosterone 109 production as the sum of 11-dehydrocorticosterone (A), 17-deoxycortolone (17-DO-cortolone), 5-tetrahydrocorticosterone (5aTHB), 5-tetrahydrocorticosterone 111 (5bTHB). 112

113 1.2.3 Neurodevelopment

114

115

118

119

121

122

123

125

128

129

130

132

133

Neurodevelopmental outcomes were assessed with standardized, non-linguistic, and culturally blind computer tests, including the Attention Network Test (ANT) (33), which provides a measure of efficiency in three different functions of attention: alerting, orienting, and executive attention. The tests were administered in a standardized way, and with minimal interference from the field workers. Further information can be found in (29). The outcome of interest for the present study is the hit reaction time standard error (HRT-SE) (34), a measure of response speed consistency throughout the test. A high HRT-SE indicates highly variable reactions, and is considered a measure of inattentiveness.

1.2.4 Confounders

For each research question, defined by a specific type of exposure and outcome, the minimal set of covariates for inclusion in the analyses was selected on the basis of a directed acyclic graph (DAG) built with DAGitty (35) and ggdag (36). The sets of covariates were selected to estimate the total effect of the exposure on the outcome. For effect estimation of the EDCs on glucocorticosteroids and of glucocorticosteroids on HRT-SE, these sets were also sufficient to estimate direct effects. Sample-specific creatinine values were used to adjust for possible dilution effects. Further, each minimal adjustment set was augmented with precision covariates, defined as the set of parents variable of the outcome that are not parents of the exposure. The adjustment sets are provided in the Supplementary Material as text files compatible with DAGitty. Codebooks for the used covariates, by research question, are provided in Supplementary Tables Table S3, Table S4, Table S5.

1.3 Statistical methods

1.3.1 Data pre-processing

Concentrations of the glucocorticosteroids were classified as quantifiable, below the 138 limit of quantification (LOQ), possible interference or out of range, and not detected. For each metabolite, we computed the fraction of values below the LOQ and not detected, both within each cohort and overall. We proceeded to impute these values 141 using half the value of the corresponding lower limit of quantification (LLOQ), for those 142 metabolites that had less than 30% of missings within each cohort and 20% of missings overall. Information about the LLOQ for the glucocorticosteroids is provided in Table S6. The remaining missing values were imputed using kNN from the VIM R package 145 (37), for those metabolites that had less than 40% of remaining missings within each cohort and 30% of remaining missings overall. We used 5 nearest neighbors. We natural log-transformed them to improve model fit, assessed with posterior predictive checks. To do so, replicated data were simulated with the fitted models and compared to 149 the observed data. We used the check_predictions function from the performance 150 R package using the default arguments (38). Values of total cortisol, cortisone, and 151 corticosterone production were expressed in nanograms per millilitre (ng/ml). 152

Concentrations of the non-persistent EDCs were classified as quantifiable, below the 153 limit of detection (LOD), possible interference or out of range, and not analysed. Concentrations below the LOD were singly imputed using a quantile regression approach 155 for the imputation of left-censored missing data, as implemented in the impute.QRILC 156 function from the imputeLCMD R package (39). Information about the lower limits of 157 detection can be found in (30). Chemicals with more than 70% of observations below 158 the LOD were not considered in the present study. Remaining missing values were 159 imputed similarly using kNN. Values of the chemicals were expressed in μ grams per 160 litre ($\mu g/L$). 161

Missing values in the clinical outcome were imputed similarly using kNN. We natural log-transformed these to improve model fit, assessed with posterior predictive checks. Values of the clinical outcome were expressed in milliseconds (ms).

Missing values in the covariates were imputed similarly using kNN. Categorical covariates were imputed using the maxCat function, which chooses the level with the most occurrences. Creatinine values were expressed in grams per litre (g/L).

1.3.2 Estimation of balancing weights

168

To reduce the effect of measured confounders on the exposure-outcome association, stabilized balancing weights were estimated using the energy method available in the WeightIt R package (40). This methods estimates weights by minimizing an energy statistic related to covariate balance (41), thus avoiding the need to specify a parametric model. Weights below the 0.1 and above the 0.9 quantiles were trimmed. Trimming might lead to decreased covariate balance and potentially change the estimand, but can also decrease the variability of the weights. Covariate balance was assessed using

functionalities provided by the cobalt R package (42). Specifically, we used *Love* plots to visualize covariate balance before and after adjusting.

1.3.3 G-computation

We estimated MCs with the parametric g-formula, a method of standardization. The 179 parametric g-formula involves the following steps: 1) fit a outcome model including 180 both covariates and balancing weights; 2) create two new datasets identical to the original one but with the exposure shifted according to a user-specified intervention set 182 by a deterministic function of the observed exposure levels; 3) use the outcome model 183 to compute adjusted predictions in the two counterfactual datasets; 4) compute the difference between the means of the adjusted predictions in the counterfactual datasets. The causal parameter of interest ϕ was thus specified as the difference in the expected 186 counterfactual outcomes under the shifted exposure levels $(\phi^{\Delta} = \mathbb{E}[Y^{d_1}] - \mathbb{E}[Y^{d_2}])$. 187 In order for this parameter to be identified, the usual causal identifiability conditions 188 (no unmeasured confounding, positivity, and consistency) are required. Since these conditions are likely not satisfied, we focused on the estimation of a statistical estimand 190 that is as close as possible to the causal parameter of interest. 191

We fit the outcome model using the glm function and a Gaussian family with identity link from base R. The exposure variable was modeled using natural cubic splines with degrees of freedom, to more flexibly capture the average dose-response function (ADRF).

To estimate the MCs, we used the avg_comparisons function from the marginaleffects R package (43). The two counterfactual datasets were obtained by setting the exposures levels to 90th percentile (d_1) and the 10th percentile (d_2) , for each cohort separately. The MCs were computed using the estimated balancing weights above. Robust standard errors were computed with the sandwich R package, using cohort as variable indicating clustering of observations (44,45). For each outcome, we report the results as differences between MCs.

The R code to reproduce analyses and results is available online (https://github.com/loren-zoFabbri/paper-helixSC-neuro).

205 1.3.4 Effect-modification analysis

We tested for possible effect-modification by sex. To do so, balancing weights were estimated separately for each level of the sex variable, and an interaction term between the exposure and sex was included in the outcome model. Similarly, the MCs were aggregated separately for each level of sex. We further tested for significance of the difference between the MCs of females and males.

2 Results

Table 1 and Table S7 provide descriptive statistics for the outcome and covariates for the HELIX subcohort and for each cohort, respectively. Of the 1,301 children of the HELIX subcohort, 1,297 had measurements of the non-persistent EDCs. Measurements of the glucocorticosteroids were available for 1,004 children, of which 980 were matched to the HELIX subcohort. Measurements of both non-persistent EDCs and glucocorticosteroids were available for 976 children of the subcohort. A flowchart describing the sample size for each research question is presented in Figure S1. The sample consisted of 55% girls. The median HRT-SE was 300 ms (interquartile range (IQR), 231-368), with lower median values for EDEN, MOBA, and INMA, corresponding to the cohorts with older children. At the time of visit, the median age of the children was 8.06 years. The children were mostly Caucasian (90%), and the largest minority were of Pakistani origin (6.2%).

Levels of unprocessed non-persistent EDCs, after imputation of values below the LOD, and glucocorticosteroids, are presented in Table 2, Table 3, and Table S8. Supplementary Figures Figure S2 and Figure S3 provide information on the measurement classification of the EDCs and glucocorticosteroids by cohort, respectively.

The effective sample sizes before and after balancing weights estimation are presented in Supplementary Tables Table S9, Table S10, Table S11, while basic summary statistics of the estimated balancing weights are presented in Supplementary Tables Table S12, Table S13, Table S14. As expected, the median value of the weights for each exposure was close to 1.00.

Figure 1 presents the forest plot for the MCs of the non-persistent EDCs on HRT-SE. For most EDCs, a cohort-specific decrease in the levels of the exposures from the 90th to the 10th percentiles was associated with a positive MC, indicating an increase in the values of HRT-SE. Most of the confidence interval (CIs) included the null effect, though. Statistically significant effects were observed for the phenol MEPA (MC and 95% CI: 0.042 (0.013, 0.071)), and the phthalate metabolites oxo-MiNP (MC and 95% CI: 0.023 (0.003, 0.044)), oh-MiNP (MC and 95% CI: 0.039 (0.001, 0.076)), and MEHP (MC and 95% CI: 0.036 (0.008, 0.063)). The OP pesticide DETP was negatively associated with HRT-SE (MC and 95% CI: -0.026 (-0.054, 0.001)).

Figure 2 presents the forest plot for the MCs of the non-persistent EDCs on total cortisone, cortisol, and corticosterone production. For most EDCs, a cohort-specific decrease in the levels of the exposures from the 90th to the 10th percentiles was associated with a positive MC, indicating an increase in the total production of these metabolites. Exceptions were BUPA, which was associated with negative MCs for all three outcomes, and MiBP, which was associated with a negative MC for total cortisone production only. The majority of the effects for the phenols and phthalate metabolites included the null. The phenol BPA showed the largest MCs across all three outcomes (cortisone production, MC and 95% CI: 0.264 (0.131, 0.397); cortisol production, MC and 95% CI: 0.275 (0.105, 0.444); corticosterone production, MC and 95% CI: 0.285 (0.105, 0.466)).

Figure 3 presents the forest plot for the MCs of the glucocorticosteroids on HRT-SE.
All MCs included the null, with no clear indication of directionality of the effect.

2.1 Effect modification by sex

255

271

272

274

275

276

278

279

280

281

283

286

287

288

290

291

Basic summary statistics of the estimated balancing weights for effect modification are presented in Supplementary Tables Table S15, Table S16, Table S17. As expected, the median value of the weights for each exposure was close to 1.00.

Table 4 presents the results of a hypothesis test for the difference between estimates of the MCs for females and males, for the EDCs on the glucocorticosteroids and 260 HRT-SE. For HRT-SE, significant differences were present for the phenol OXBE (MC 261 and 95% CI: -0.032 (-0.059, -0.004)) and the phthalate metabolites MEP (MC and 262 95% CI: 0.092 (0.017, 0.167)) and MbZP (MC and 95% CI: 0.063 (0.002, 0.124)). For 263 the glucocorticosteroids, significant differences were present across all three classes 264 of EDCs and for all outcomes. The largest differences were attributable to the OP pesticides DMTP (cortisol production, MC and 95% CI: 0.211 (0.088, 0.333)) and DETP (corticosterone production, (MC and 95% CI: 0.233 (0.033, 0.433)); cortisone 267 production, (MC and 95% CI: 0.214 (0.048, 0.381))). The forest plots of the individual 268 MCs are presented in Supplementary Figures Figure S4 and Figure S5. 269

Table 5 presents the results of a hypothesis test for the difference between estimates of the MCs for females and males, for the glucocorticosteroids on HRT-SE. Significant differences were present for cortisone production (MC and 95% CI: -0.135 (-0.241, -0.028)) and corticosterone production (MC and 95% CI: -0.13 (-0.253, -0.006)). Furthermore, for all exposures, the MCs had opposite sign (positive for males and negative for females). The forest plot of the individual MCs is presented in Figure S6.

3 Discussion

The impact of exposure to EDCs on human health has attracted considerable research interest. While research in this area has mainly investigated the effects of prenatal exposure on child neurodevelopment (2), little is still known about childhood exposure. In this study, consisting of 1,297 children from 6 European birth cohorts, we observed that short-term childhood exposure to non-persistent EDCs had negative effects on HRT-SE and total production of cortisol, cortisone, and corticosterone, although the majority of the CIs included the null effect. Increased production of these glucocorticosteroids did not seem to affect HRT-SE. Some of these effects differed for females and males, including significant differences for the effects of increased production of cortisone and corticosterone on HRT-SE. Specifically, an increased production of these glucocorticosteroids was associated with lower values of HRT-SE for females, and higher values for males. Taken together, these results suggest that these non-persistent EDCs might be responsible for perturbations of the HPA axis' homeostasis, and that higher levels of these glucocorticosteroids might interfere with different functions of attention in a sex-specific manner.

We are not aware of prior studies specifically investigating the effects of exposure to EDCs in relation to HRT-SE. The literature on EDCs and neurodevelopment in children has mostly focused on OP pesticides (3,4,6,8), phthalate metabolites (5,9,10,17), and BPA (7,13,14), in relation to Attention-Deficit / Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) (3,7,8,13), and intelligence scales (4–6,9,10,17). Few studies have looked into different classes of EDCs (15 in relation with the Conners Attention Deficit Scale and the Behavior Assessment System for Children,16 in relation with ADHD symptoms). Overall, and consistent with our results, these studies seem to provide further evidence of the adverse effects of several EDCs on neurodevelopment in children. While not all these studies have investigated effect modification by sex, it seems that these adverse effects are stronger in males. A major limitation of these studies is the reliance on spot urine samples, that might not be representative of long-term exposures.

Our results are consistent with prior epidemiological research that associated exposure to certain EDCs with higher levels of cortisol (18–20). There are some differences, though. First, these studies only focus on phthalates, either as individual metabolites or as mixture. Second, exposure assessment in (19) and (18) was performed during gestation or the first 15 months of life, respectively. Finally, the glucocorticosteroids were measured in cord blood (19) and hair (20). Contrary to these studies, we did find effect modification by sex. We are not aware of other epidemiological studies investigating phthalates metabolites, phenols, and OP pesticides, in relation to urinary glucocorticosteroids in childhood. Nonetheless, previous toxicological studies provide evidence for the inhibition by phthalates of human 11β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase 2 (11β -HSD2) activity, responsible for the conversion of active cortisol into inactive cortisone (46,47). There is also in silico evidence suggesting that BPA, a phenol, and Triazophos (TAP), a organophosphorus insecticide, can bind to the human glucocorticoid receptor (48,49).

We are also not aware of prior epidemiological studies specifically investigating the effects of elevated levels of glucocorticosteroids in relation to HRT-SE, although there is evidence that under- or over-production of glucocorticosteroids interfere with the normal development of the brain (21). While we did find sex-specific evidence of an effect, their clinical relevance is questionable.

Our findings should be interpreted in light of the following limitations and strengths. Limitations include the cross-sectional design of the present study. Importantly, the non-persistent EDCs were measured in a pool of night and morning urine samples before the clinical visit, to represent exposure over the previous day, whereas the glucocorticosteroids were measured in the night urine sample. Although we included a wide range of confounders there is the possibility, as with other observational studies, of residual confounding, which might lead to a bias away from the null. Some of the confounders indicated in the adjustment sets had to be remove due to large fractions of missing values. There is further the possibility of misspecification of the outcome model, although we included a spline of the exposure to relax some of the linearity assumptions. The use of more data-adaptive learners was excluded due to the relatively small sample size. We finally acknowledge the possibility that some of chemicals might not act independently (mixture effect). Further research is thus warranted.

Strengths of the present study include the use of pooled urine samples for chemical assessment, since it is known that these specific EDCs have very short half-lives (50).

We decided to model both the *treatment* mechanisms, for the estimation of balancing weights, and the outcomes, with traditional covariates adjustment, to try to obtain doubly robust effect estimates. Finally, we decided not to interpret our results by focusing on the estimated coefficients of the regression models, but by making use of the g-computation procedure and estimate MCs.

In conclusion, in a study of 1,297 children from 6 European birth cohorts, we observed that (i) exposure to non-persistent EDCs in childhood might have short-term effects on HRT-SE in childhood, (ii) exposure to non-persistent EDCs in childhood might disrupt the HPA axis in childhood, and (iii) disruption of the HPA axis in childhood might have short-term, sex-specific effects on HRT-SE. Future studies should investigate how glucocorticosteroids might mediate the adverse effects of exposure to EDCs on childhood neurodevelopment in larger populations.

• References

- Grandjean P, Landrigan PJ. Neurobehavioural effects of developmental toxicity. Lancet Neurol. 2014;13(3):330–338.
- 2. Ramírez V, Gálvez-Ontiveros Y, González-Domenech PJ, et al. Role of endocrine disrupting chemicals in children's neurodevelopment. *Environmental Research* [electronic article]. 2022;203:111890. (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0013935121011853). (Accessed January 31, 2023)
- 352 3. Bouchard MF, Bellinger DC, Wright RO, et al. Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Urinary Metabolites of Organophosphate Pesticides. *Pediatrics* [electronic article]. 2010;125(6):e1270–e1277. (https://doi.org/10.1542/peds. 2009-3058). (Accessed December 29, 2023)
- González-Alzaga B, Hernández AF, Rodríguez-Barranco M, et al. Pre- and postnatal exposures to pesticides and neurodevelopmental effects in children living in agricultural communities from South-Eastern Spain. *Environment International* [electronic article]. 2015;85:229–237. (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0160412015300593). (Accessed December 29, 2023)
- Huang H-B, Chen H-Y, Su P-H, et al. Fetal and Childhood Exposure to Phthalate Diesters and Cognitive Function in Children Up to 12 Years of Age: Taiwanese Maternal and Infant Cohort Study. PLOS ONE [electronic article]. 2015;10(6):e0131910. (https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0131910). (Accessed December 29, 2023)
- Cartier C, Warembourg C, Le Maner-Idrissi G, et al. Organophosphate Insecticide Metabolites in Prenatal and Childhood Urine Samples and Intelligence Scores at 6 Years of Age: Results from the Mother-Child PELAGIE Cohort (France). *Environmental Health Perspectives* [electronic article]. 2016;124(5):674-680. (https://ehp.niehs.nih.gov/doi/10.1289/ehp.1409472). (Accessed December 29, 2023)
- 7. Tewar S, Auinger P, Braun JM, et al. Association of Bisphenol A exposure and Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in a national sample of U.S. children. Environmental Research [electronic article]. 2016;150:112–118. (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0013935116302110). (Accessed December 29, 2023)

- ³⁵⁷ 8. Yu C-J, Du J-C, Chiou H-C, et al. Increased risk of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder associated with exposure to organophosphate pesticide in Taiwanese children. *Andrology* [electronic article]. 2016;4(4):695–705. (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/andr.12183). (Accessed December 29, 2023)
- Huang P-C, Tsai C-H, Chen C-C, et al. Intellectual evaluation of children exposed to phthalate-tainted products after the 2011 Taiwan phthalate episode. Environmental Research [electronic article]. 2017;156:158–166. (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0013935116309112). (Accessed December 29, 2023)
- Kim JI, Hong Y-C, Shin CH, et al. The effects of maternal and children phthalate exposure on the neurocognitive function of 6-year-old children. *Environmental Research* [electronic article]. 2017;156:519–525. (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0013935116312828). (Accessed December 29, 2023)
- Furlong MA, Herring A, Buckley JP, et al. Prenatal exposure to organophosphorus pesticides and childhood neurodevelopmental phenotypes. *Environmental Research* [electronic article]. 2017;158:737–747. (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0013935117301044). (Accessed January 31, 2023)
- Braun JM. Early-life exposure to EDCs: Role in childhood obesity and neurodevelopment. Nat Rev Endocrinol [electronic article]. 2017;13(3, 3):161–173. (https://www.nature.com/articles/nrendo.2016.186). (Accessed December 19, 2023)
- Li Y, Zhang H, Kuang H, et al. Relationship between bisphenol A exposure and attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder: A case-control study for primary school children in Guangzhou, China. *Environmental Pollution* [electronic article]. 2018;235:141–149. (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0269749117327884). (Accessed December 29, 2023)
- 363 14. Rodríguez-Carrillo A, Mustieles V, Pérez-Lobato R, et al. Bisphenol A and cognitive function in school-age boys: Is BPA predominantly related to behavior? *NeuroToxicology* [electronic article]. 2019;74:162–171. (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0161813X19300543). (Accessed December 29, 2023)
- Shoaff JR, Coull B, Weuve J, et al. Association of Exposure to Endocrine-Disrupting Chemicals During Adolescence With Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder–Related Behaviors. *JAMA Network Open* [electronic article]. 2020;3(8):e2015041. (https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.15041). (Accessed December 29, 2023)

- Oh J, Kim K, Kannan K, et al. Early childhood exposure to environmental phenols and parabens, phthalates, organophosphate pesticides, and trace elements in association with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) symptoms in the CHARGE study. Res Sq. 2023;rs.3.rs-2565914.
- Vilmand M, Beck IH, Bilenberg N, et al. Prenatal and current phthalate exposure and cognitive development in 7-year-old children from the Odense child cohort.

 Neurotoxicology and Teratology [electronic article]. 2023;96:107161. (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0892036223000119). (Accessed November 16, 2023)
- 367 18. Kim JH, Lee J, Moon H-B, et al. Association of phthalate exposures with urinary free cortisol and 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine in early childhood. Science of The Total Environment [electronic article]. 2018;627:506–513. (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048969718301475). (Accessed December 26, 2023)
- Sun X, Li J, Jin S, et al. Associations between repeated measures of maternal urinary phthalate metabolites during pregnancy and cord blood glucocorticoids. Environment International [electronic article]. 2018;121:471–479. (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0160412018315113). (Accessed November 9, 2023)
- Sears CG, Liu Y, Lanphear BP, et al. Evaluating mixtures of urinary phthalate metabolites and serum per-/polyfluoroalkyl substances in relation to adolescent hair cortisol: The HOME Study. *American Journal of Epidemiology* [electronic article]. 2023;kwad198. (https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwad198). (Accessed November 16, 2023)
- 21. Lupien SJ, McEwen BS, Gunnar MR, et al. Effects of stress throughout the lifespan on the brain, behaviour and cognition. *Nat Rev Neurosci* [electronic article]. 2009;10(6, 6):434–445. (https://www.nature.com/articles/nrn2639). (Accessed December 31, 2023)
- ³⁷¹ 22. Vrijheid M, Slama R, Robinson O, et al. The human early-life exposome (HELIX): Project rationale and design. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2014;122(6):535–544.
- Wright J, Small N, Raynor P, et al. Cohort Profile: The Born in Bradford multiethnic family cohort study. *International Journal of Epidemiology* [electronic article]. 2013;42(4):978–991. (https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dys112). (Accessed December 19, 2023)

- Heude B, Forhan A, Slama R, et al. Cohort Profile: The EDEN mother-child cohort on the prenatal and early postnatal determinants of child health and development. *International Journal of Epidemiology* [electronic article]. 2016;45(2):353–363. (https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyv151). (Accessed November 13, 2023)
- Guxens M, Ballester F, Espada M, et al. Cohort Profile: The INMA—INfancia y Medio Ambiente—(Environment and Childhood) Project. *International Journal of Epidemiology* [electronic article]. 2012;41(4):930–940. (https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyr054). (Accessed November 13, 2023)
- Grazuleviciene R, Danileviciute A, Nadisauskiene R, et al. Maternal Smoking, GSTM1 and GSTT1 Polymorphism and Susceptibility to Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health [electronic article]. 2009;6(3, 3):1282–1297. (https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/6/3/1282). (Accessed November 13, 2023)
- Magnus P, Irgens LM, Haug K, et al. Cohort profile: The Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa). *International Journal of Epidemiology* [electronic article]. 2006;35(5):1146–1150. (https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyl170). (Accessed November 13, 2023)
- ³⁷⁷ 28. Chatzi L, Plana E, Daraki V, et al. Metabolic Syndrome in Early Pregnancy and Risk of Preterm Birth. *American Journal of Epidemiology* [electronic article]. 2009;170(7):829–836. (https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwp211). (Accessed November 13, 2023)
- Maitre L, Bont J de, Casas M, et al. Human Early Life Exposome (HELIX) study: A European population-based exposome cohort. *BMJ Open* [electronic article]. 2018;8(9):e021311. (https://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/8/9/e021311). (Accessed November 13, 2023)
- 30. Haug LS, Sakhi AK, Cequier E, et al. In-utero and childhood chemical exposome in six European mother-child cohorts. *Environment International* [electronic article]. 2018;121:751–763. (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S016041201831225X). (Accessed January 17, 2022)
- 31. Marcos J, Renau N, Casals G, et al. Investigation of endogenous corticosteroids profiles in human urine based on liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. *Analytica Chimica Acta* [electronic article]. 2014;812:92–104. (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0003267013015791). (Accessed November 13, 2023)

- 32. Gomez-Gomez A, Pozo OJ. Determination of steroid profile in hair by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography A* [electronic article]. 2020;1624:461179. (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0021967320304313). (Accessed November 13, 2023)
- 33. Rueda MR, Fan J, McCandliss BD, et al. Development of attentional networks in childhood. *Neuropsychologia* [electronic article]. 2004;42(8):1029–1040. (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0028393204000041). (Accessed April 12, 2023)
- Sunyer J, Esnaola M, Alvarez-Pedrerol M, et al. Association between Traffic-Related Air Pollution in Schools and Cognitive Development in Primary School Children: A Prospective Cohort Study. *PLOS Medicine* [electronic article]. 2015;12(3):e1001792. (https://journals.plos.org/plosmedicine/article?id=10. 1371/journal.pmed.1001792). (Accessed November 21, 2023)
- 35. Textor J, van der Zander B, Gilthorpe MS, et al. Robust causal inference using directed acyclic graphs: The R package "dagitty." *International Journal of Epidemiology* [electronic article]. 2016;45(6):1887–1894. (https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyw341). (Accessed September 22, 2023)
- Barrett M. Ggdag: Analyze and Create Elegant Directed Acyclic Graphs. 2023;(https://github.com/r-causal/ggdag)
- 37. Kowarik A, Templ M. Imputation with the R Package VIM. *Journal of Statistical Software* [electronic article]. 2016;74:1–16. (https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v074.i07). (Accessed January 17, 2022)
- 38. Lüdecke D, Ben-Shachar MS, Patil I, et al. performance: An R package for assessment, comparison and testing of statistical models. *Journal of Open Source Software*. 2021;6(60):3139.
- 339. Lazar C. imputeLCMD: A collection of methods for left-censored missing data imputation. *R package, version.* 2015;2.
- ³⁸⁹ 40. Greifer N. Cobalt: Covariate balance tables and plots. 2023.
- Huling JD, Greifer N, Chen G. Independence Weights for Causal Inference with Continuous Treatments. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* [electronic article]. 2023;0(0):1–14. (https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.2023. 2213485). (Accessed September 15, 2023)
- ³⁹¹ 42. Greifer N. WeightIt: Weighting for covariate balance in observational studies. 2023.

- 43. Arel-Bundock V. Marginaleffects: Predictions, comparisons, slopes, marginal means, and hypothesis tests. 2023.(https://marginaleffects.com/)
- ³⁹³ 44. Zeileis A. Econometric computing with HC and HAC covariance matrix estimators. *Journal of Statistical Software*. 2004;11(10):1–17.
- ³⁹⁴ 45. Zeileis A, Köll S, Graham N. Various versatile variances: An object-oriented implementation of clustered covariances in R. *Journal of Statistical Software*. 2020;95(1):1–36.
- ³⁹⁵ 46. Zhao B, Chu Y, Huang Y, et al. Structure-dependent inhibition of human and rat 11 -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase 2 activities by phthalates. *Chemico-Biological Interactions* [electronic article]. 2010;183(1):79–84. (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0009279709003950). (Accessed February 13, 2024)
- Ma X, Lian Q-Q, Dong Q, et al. Environmental inhibitors of 11 -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 2. *Toxicology* [electronic article]. 2011;285(3):83–89. (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0300483X11001466). (Accessed February 13, 2024)
- Prasanth GK, Divya LM, Sadasivan C. Bisphenol-A can bind to human glucocorticoid receptor as an agonist: An in silico study. *Journal of Applied Toxicology* [electronic article]. 2010;30(8):769–774. (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/jat.1570). (Accessed February 13, 2024)
- Yang F-W, Li Y-X, Ren F-Z, et al. Assessment of the endocrine-disrupting effects of organophosphorus pesticide triazophos and its metabolites on endocrine hormones biosynthesis, transport and receptor binding in Silico. Food and Chemical Toxicology [electronic article]. 2019;133:110759. (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0278691519305496). (Accessed February 13, 2024)
- Gasas M, Basagaña X, Sakhi AK, et al. Variability of urinary concentrations of non-persistent chemicals in pregnant women and school-aged children. Environ. Int. [electronic article]. 2018;121(Pt 1, Pt 1):561–573. (http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2018.09.046)

4 Tables for descriptive data

$_{401}$ 4.1 Study populations

Table 1: Participant characteristics (HELIX subcohort; 2013-2016).

Characteristic	$ m N=1,\!297^{\it a}$	
Age (years)	8.1 (6.5, 8.9)	
Child breastfeeding	1,093.0 (84.7%)	
Unknown	6	
Child ethnicity		
Caucasian	1,157.0 (90.0%)	
Pakistani	80.0 (6.2%)	
Asian	$21.0\ (1.6\%)$	
Other	19.0 (1.5%)	
African	7.0~(0.5%)	
Native American	2.0~(0.2%)	
White non European	0.0~(0.0%)	
Unknown	11	
Child neuropsychological diagnosis	95.0 (7.3%)	
Cohort		
MOBA	272.0 (21.0%)	
INMA	$221.0\ (17.0\%)$	
BIB	$204.0\ (15.7\%)$	
KANC	$203.0\ (15.7\%)$	
RHEA	$199.0\ (15.3\%)$	
EDEN	198.0 (15.3%)	
Creatinine night sample (g/l)	$1.7 \ (0.9, \ 3.0)$	
Unknown	321	
Creatinine pooled sample (g/l)	1.0 (0.8, 1.2)	
Date of test (season)	,	
Spring	$358.0\ (27.7\%)$	
Winter	339.0 (26.2%)	
Autumn	300.0 (23.2%)	
Summer	297.0 (23.0%)	
Unknown	$\stackrel{\cdot}{3}$	
Family affluence scale		
6	410.0 (31.7%)	
5	325.0 (25.1%)	
7	248.0 (19.2%)	
4	174.0 (13.4%)	
3	92.0 (7.1%)	
2	$28.0\ (2.2\%)$	
1	$12.0\ (0.9\%)$	

0 	6.0 (0.5%)
Unknown	2
Fast food/take away (times/week)	$0.1 \ (0.1, \ 0.5)$
Unknown	7
Fasting time (hours)	$3.3\ (2.8,\ 4.0)$
Financial situation	4140 (20 107)
Doing alright	414.0 (32.1%)
Living comfortably	412.0 (31.9%)
Getting by	331.0 (25.6%)
Finding it quite difficult	86.0 (6.7%)
Finding it very difficult	40.0 (3.1%)
Does not wish to answer	$8.0 \; (0.6\%)$
Unknown	6
Fish and seafood (times/week)	$2.0\ (1.1,\ 3.5)$
Unknown	5
Fruits (times/week)	9.0 (5.9, 18.0)
Unknown	7
Head circumference (cm)	51.8 (50.6, 52.9)
Unknown	3
Height (m)	$1.3\ (1.2,\ 1.4)$
Hit reaction time standard error (ms)	299.6 (231.3, 368.2)
Unknown	18
Marital status	
Living alone	1,212.0 (94.5%)
Living with the father	39.0 (3.0%)
Other situation	31.0 (2.4%)
Unknown	15
Mood before assessment	
Usual	1,232.0 (95.1%)
Not usual	64.0 (4.9%)
Unknown	1
Organic food (times/week)	0.5 (0.0, 3.0)
Unknown	7
Pregnancy maternal active smoking	190.0 (15.1%)
Unknown	40
Pregnancy maternal passive smoking	514.0 (40.3%)
Unknown	21
	21
Rest before assessment Yes	1 200 0 (02 207)
Not as well as usual	1,209.0 (93.3%)
	87.0 (6.7%)
Unknown	1
Sex	710 0 (F.1 F.M.)
Female	710.0 (54.7%)
Male	$587.0 \ (45.3\%)$
Tobacco consumption	

Non-smoker and has never smoked	681.0~(52.6%)
Daily smoker	$200.0\ (15.5\%)$
Non-smoker but previously smoked daily	$186.0 \ (14.4\%)$
Non-smoker but previously smoked although not daily	$163.0\ (12.6\%)$
Smoker but not daily	$64.0 \ (4.9\%)$
Unknown	3
Vegetables (times/week)	6.5 (4.0, 10.0)
Unknown	6
Weight (kg)	$26.9\ (22.9,\ 32.6)$

 $^{^{}a}$ n (%); Median (IQR)

4.2 Endocrine disruptors

Table 2: Participants endocrine disruptors concentrations expressed in $\mu {\rm grams/L}$ (HELIX subcohort; 2013-2016).

Characteristic	$\mathbf{N}=1,297^{a}$	
OP pesticide metabolites		
DEP	1.8 (0.4, 4.6); 2.0 (0.2)	
DETP	$0.1\ (0.1,\ 1.7);\ 21.0\ (1.6)$	
DMP	0.4 (0.3, 4.6); 6.0 (0.5)	
DMTP	2.8 (1.2, 6.3); 1.0 (0.1)	
Phenols		
BPA	3.8(2.3, 7.0); 12.0(0.9)	
BUPA	0.1 (0.0, 0.1); 5.0 (0.4)	
ETPA	0.7 (0.4, 1.2); 3.0 (0.2)	
MEPA	6.3 (3.1, 24.1); 2.0 (0.2)	
OXBE	$2.0\ (0.8,\ 6.6);\ 0.0\ (0.0)$	
PRPA	0.2 (0.0, 1.6); 17.0 (1.3)	
TRCS	0.6 (0.3, 1.5); 0.0 (0.0)	
Phthalate metab	olites	
MBzP	4.8 (2.7, 8.7); 1.0 (0.1)	
MECPP	32.8 (19.9, 57.6); 1.0 (0.1)	
MEHHP	$19.3\ (11.4,\ 33.1);\ 3.0\ (0.2)$	
MEHP	2.8 (1.6, 5.1); 41.0 (3.2)	
MEOHP	12.2 (7.1, 20.4); 1.0 (0.1)	
MEP	32.5 (15.0, 79.2); 0.0 (0.0)	
MiBP	40.2 (24.5, 71.1); 0.0 (0.0)	
MnBP	22.7 (14.5, 38.8); 0.0 (0.0)	
oh-MiNP	5.0 (3.1, 9.3); 0.0 (0.0)	

^aMedian (IQR); N missing (% missing)

4.3 Glucocorticosteroids

Table 3: Participants derived glucocorticosteroids concentrations expressed in ng/ml (HELIX subcohort; 2013-2016).

Characteristic	$ m N=1,\!004^{\it a}$	$\mathbf{N}=976^{a,b}$
cortisol production	4,607.9 (2,860.5, 6,787.6); 18.0 (1.8)	4,559.5 (2,834.5, 6,731.7); 17.0 (1.7)
cortisone production	4,608.1 (2,920.8, 6,843.9); 19.0 (1.9)	4,580.7 (2,899.3, 6,800.5); 18.0 (1.8)
corticosterone production	257.8 (157.9, 410.5); 3.0 (0.3)	256.7 (157.5, 409.7); 3.0 (0.3)

 $[^]a$ Median (IQR); N missing (% missing)

5 Tables for other analyses

5.1 Marginal hypotheses for effect modification

Table 4: Pairwise differences between sex-specific marginal contrasts for the effect of a decrease from the 90th to the 10th percentile of EDCs on HRT-SE, expressed in ms, and the glucocorticosteroids, expressed in ng/ml (HELIX subcohort; 2013-2016).

	$\mathrm{HRT} ext{-}\mathrm{SE}^a$	corticosterone production a	cortisol production a	cortisone producti
OP pesticio	le metabolites			
DEP	-0.006 (-0.033, 0.021)	0.009 (-0.179, 0.198)	0.102 (-0.123, 0.327)	0.046 (-0.162, 0.2
DETP	-0.024 (-0.12, 0.072)	$0.233\ (0.033,\ 0.433)$	0.178 (-0.024, 0.379)	0.214 (0.048, 0.38
DMP	0.027 (-0.031, 0.085)	-0.002 (-0.187, 0.184)	0.033 (-0.063, 0.13)	0.065 (-0.1, 0.23
DMTP	-0.002 (-0.1, 0.097)	0.045 (-0.092, 0.182)	$0.211 \ (0.088, \ 0.333)$	0.15 (-0.038, 0.33
Phenols				
BPA	-0.038 (-0.095, 0.019)	0.142 (0.027, 0.257)	0.111 (-0.023, 0.245)	0.073 (-0.059, 0.20
BUPA	0.022 (-0.024, 0.067)	0.111 (-0.019, 0.241)	$0.126 \ (0.041, \ 0.211)$	0.007 (-0.095, 0.10
ETPA	-0.015 (-0.056, 0.026)	0.133 (-0.011, 0.277)	0.089 (-0.108, 0.287)	0.119 (-0.101, 0.3
MEPA	-0.032 (-0.095, 0.031)	$0.106 \ (0.002, \ 0.211)$	$0.142\ (0.012,\ 0.272)$	0.103 (0.016, 0.19
OXBE	-0.032 (-0.059, -0.004)	0.213 (-0.058, 0.484)	0.078 (-0.149, 0.306)	0.067 (-0.133, 0.20
PRPA	-0.021 (-0.081, 0.04)	0.108 (-0.018, 0.233)	0.086 (-0.103, 0.274)	0.109 (-0.008, 0.2)
TRCS	-0.001 (-0.035, 0.033)	0.041 (-0.113, 0.195)	0.049 (-0.091, 0.19)	0.092 (0.039, 0.14

 $^{^{}b}$ Measurements available for the HELIX subcohort.

Phthalate metabolites

MBzP	0.063 (0.002, 0.124)	0.01 (-0.053, 0.073)	0.003 (-0.115, 0.12)	0.07 (-0.041, 0.18
MECPP	-0.005 (-0.087, 0.077)	0.06 (-0.12, 0.24)	$0.072\ (0.005,\ 0.139)$	0.017 (-0.078, 0.1
MEHHP	-0.017 (-0.113, 0.079)	$0.079 \; (-0.125, 0.282)$	$0.105 \ (-0.008, \ 0.218)$	0.022 (-0.056, 0.10
MEHP	-0.009 (-0.105, 0.086)	$0.144 \ (0.045, \ 0.244)$	$0.178\ (0.084,\ 0.272)$	0.144 (0.032, 0.25
MEOHP	-0.005 (-0.086, 0.076)	$0.1 \ (-0.086, \ 0.286)$	$0.1\ (0.01,\ 0.191)$	0.014 (-0.066, 0.09
MEP	$0.092\ (0.017,\ 0.167)$	-0.124 (-0.289, 0.041)	-0.118 (-0.201, -0.035)	-0.029 (-0.185, 0.1
MiBP	0.025 (-0.089, 0.14)	-0.027 (-0.247, 0.193)	0.047 (-0.189, 0.284)	0.022 (-0.126, 0.10
MnBP	0.026 (-0.09, 0.143)	$0.092 \; (-0.188, 0.372)$	$0.053 \ (-0.189, \ 0.295)$	0.11 (-0.054, 0.27
oh-MiNP	-0.053 (-0.112, 0.007)	0.098 (-0.07, 0.267)	$0.146 \ (0.004, \ 0.288)$	0.137 (-0.001, 0.2)
oxo-MiNP	$0.02 \ (-0.016, \ 0.055)$	$0.066 \ (-0.1,\ 0.232)$	0.107 (-0.069, 0.283)	0.091 (-0.019, 0.20

^aEstimate and 95% CI.

Table 5: Pairwise differences between sex-specific marginal contrasts for the effect of a decrease from the 90th to the 10th percentile of the glucocorticosteroids on HRT-SE expressed in ms (HELIX subcohort; 2013-2016).

	$\mathrm{HRT} ext{-}\mathrm{SE}^a$
Glucocorticosteroids	
corticosterone pruduction cortisol production cortisone production	-0.13 (-0.253, -0.006) -0.1 (-0.238, 0.039) -0.135 (-0.241, -0.028)

 $[^]a\mathrm{Estimate}$ and 95% CI.

- $_{\scriptscriptstyle 411}$ 6 Figures for main results
- 412 6.1 Marginal contrasts




Figure 1: Marginal contrasts for the effect of a decrease from the 90th to the 10th percentile of the EDCs on HRT-SE expressed in ms (HELIX subcohort; 2013-2016). Circles indicate effect estimates. Solid lines indicate the 95% CI.




Figure 2: Marginal contrasts for the effect of a decrease from the 90th to the 10th percentile of the EDCs on the glucocorticosteroids expressed in ng/ml (HELIX subcohort; 2013-2016). Circles, triangles, and squares indicate effect estimates. Solid lines indicate the 95% CI.




Figure 3: Marginal contrasts for the effect of a decrease from the 90th to the 10th percentile of the glucocorticosteroids on HRT-SE expressed in ms (HELIX subcohort; 2013-2016). Circles indicate effect estimates. Solid lines indicate the 95% CI. Abbreviations: cortisone production (cortisone prod.); cortisol production (cortisol prod.); corticost. prod. (corticosterone production).

7 Supplementary information

7.1 Directed Acyclic Graphs

```
dag {
416 age_child
417 biomarker
418 breastfeeding
419 bw
420 characteristics_child
421 chemical [exposure]
422 child_diet
423 child_smoking
424 cohort
425 creatinine
426 envFactors_visit
427 ethnicity_child
428 ethnicity_mother
429 familySEP
430 gestational_age
431 maternalAlcohol_preg
432 maternalDiet_preg
433 maternalSEP_preg
434 maternalSmoking_preg
435 neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
436 outcome [outcome]
437 paternalSEP_preg
438 season_visit
439 sex_child
   time_lastMeal
   type_sample
442 age_child -> biomarker
443 age_child -> characteristics_child
   age_child -> creatinine
   age_child -> outcome
   age_child -> type_sample
   biomarker -> outcome
   breastfeeding -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
   breastfeeding -> outcome
449
450 bw -> characteristics_child
bw -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
452 characteristics_child -> biomarker
453 characteristics child -> chemical
454 characteristics_child -> creatinine
455 characteristics_child -> outcome
```

```
chemical -> biomarker
   chemical -> outcome
458 child_diet -> biomarker
459 child_diet -> characteristics_child
460 child_diet -> chemical
   child_diet -> outcome
   child_smoking -> biomarker
   child_smoking -> characteristics_child
463
   child_smoking -> creatinine
465 child_smoking -> outcome
466 cohort -> biomarker
467 cohort -> bw
468 cohort -> characteristics_child
469 cohort -> chemical
470 cohort -> child_diet
471 cohort -> creatinine
472 cohort -> outcome
473 creatinine -> biomarker
474 creatinine -> chemical
475 creatinine -> outcome
476 envFactors_visit -> outcome
   ethnicity_child -> biomarker
   ethnicity_child -> bw
   ethnicity_child -> characteristics_child
   ethnicity_child -> chemical
   ethnicity_child -> child_diet
482 ethnicity_child -> child_smoking
483 ethnicity_child -> creatinine
ethnicity_child -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
485 ethnicity_child -> outcome
486 ethnicity_mother -> biomarker
487 ethnicity_mother -> breastfeeding
488 ethnicity_mother -> bw
489 ethnicity_mother -> characteristics_child
490 ethnicity_mother -> child_diet
  ethnicity_mother -> familySEP
   ethnicity_mother -> maternalAlcohol_preg
   ethnicity_mother -> maternalDiet_preg
   ethnicity_mother -> maternalSEP_preg
   ethnicity_mother -> maternalSmoking_preg
   ethnicity_mother -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
497 ethnicity_mother -> outcome
498 familySEP -> biomarker
499 familySEP -> characteristics_child
500 familySEP -> chemical
```

```
501 familySEP -> child_diet
502 familySEP -> child_smoking
503 familySEP -> creatinine
504 familySEP -> outcome
  gestational_age -> bw
   gestational_age -> characteristics_child
   gestational_age -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
   maternalAlcohol_preg -> bw
   maternalAlcohol_preg -> characteristics_child
510 maternalAlcohol_preg -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
_{511} maternalAlcohol_preg -> outcome
512 maternalDiet_preg -> characteristics_child
513 maternalDiet_preg -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
514 maternalDiet_preg -> outcome
_{515} maternalSEP_preg -> breastfeeding
516 maternalSEP_preg -> bw
517 maternalSEP_preg -> characteristics_child
518 maternalSEP_preg -> familySEP
519 maternalSEP_preg -> maternalAlcohol_preg
   maternalSEP_preg -> maternalDiet_preg
   maternalSEP_preg -> maternalSmoking_preg
   maternalSEP_preg -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
   maternalSEP_preg -> outcome
   maternalSmoking_preg -> bw
525 maternalSmoking_preg -> characteristics_child
526 maternalSmoking_preg -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
527 maternalSmoking_preg -> outcome
528 neuropsychologicalDiagnosis child -> outcome
_{529} paternalSEP_preg -> breastfeeding
   paternalSEP_preg -> bw
   paternalSEP_preg -> characteristics_child
532 paternalSEP_preg -> familySEP
paternalSEP_preg -> maternalAlcohol_preg
paternalSEP_preg -> maternalDiet_preg
paternalSEP_preg -> maternalSmoking_preg
paternalSEP_preg -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
   paternalSEP_preg -> outcome
   season_visit -> biomarker
   season_visit -> chemical
540 sex_child -> biomarker
541 sex_child -> characteristics_child
542 sex_child -> chemical
543 sex_child -> child_diet
sex_child -> child_smoking
sex_child -> creatinine
```

```
sex_child -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
547 sex_child -> outcome
sex_child -> type_sample
549 time_lastMeal -> biomarker
550 time_lastMeal -> chemical
551 type_sample -> chemical
   type_sample -> creatinine
553
554 dag {
555 age_child
556 biomarker [outcome]
557 breastfeeding
559 characteristics_child
560 chemical [exposure]
561 child_diet
562 child_smoking
563 cohort
564 creatinine
565 envFactors_visit
566 ethnicity_child
567 ethnicity_mother
568 familySEP
569 gestational_age
570 maternalAlcohol_preg
571 maternalDiet_preg
572 maternalSEP_preg
573 maternalSmoking_preg
neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
   outcome
576 paternalSEP_preg
577 season_visit
578 sex_child
579 time_lastMeal
580 type_sample
581 age_child -> biomarker
582 age_child -> characteristics_child
583 age_child -> creatinine
_{584} age_child -> outcome
585 age_child -> type_sample
586 biomarker -> outcome
587 breastfeeding -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
588 breastfeeding -> outcome
589 bw -> characteristics_child
```

```
590 bw -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
   characteristics_child -> biomarker
592 characteristics_child -> chemical
593 characteristics_child -> creatinine
   characteristics_child -> outcome
   chemical -> biomarker
   chemical -> outcome
   child_diet -> biomarker
   child_diet -> characteristics_child
599 child_diet -> chemical
600 child_diet -> outcome
601 child_smoking -> biomarker
602 child_smoking -> characteristics_child
603 child_smoking -> creatinine
   child_smoking -> outcome
605 cohort -> biomarker
606 cohort -> bw
607 cohort -> characteristics_child
608 cohort -> chemical
609 cohort -> child_diet
610 cohort -> creatinine
  cohort -> outcome
   creatinine -> biomarker
613 creatinine -> chemical
614 creatinine -> outcome
envFactors_visit -> outcome
616 ethnicity_child -> biomarker
617 ethnicity child -> bw
618 ethnicity_child -> characteristics_child
619 ethnicity_child -> chemical
620 ethnicity_child -> child_diet
ethnicity_child -> child_smoking
622 ethnicity_child -> creatinine
ethnicity_child -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
624 ethnicity_child -> outcome
625 ethnicity_mother -> biomarker
   ethnicity_mother -> breastfeeding
   ethnicity_mother -> bw
   ethnicity_mother -> characteristics_child
   ethnicity_mother -> child_diet
   ethnicity_mother -> familySEP
   ethnicity_mother -> maternalAlcohol_preg
   ethnicity_mother -> maternalDiet_preg
633 ethnicity_mother -> maternalSEP_preg
634 ethnicity_mother -> maternalSmoking_preg
```

```
ethnicity_mother -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
636 ethnicity_mother -> outcome
637 familySEP -> biomarker
638 familySEP -> characteristics_child
639 familySEP -> chemical
640 familySEP -> child_diet
   familySEP -> child_smoking
   familySEP -> creatinine
643 familySEP -> outcome
644 gestational_age -> bw
   gestational_age -> characteristics_child
646 gestational_age -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
647 maternalAlcohol_preg -> bw
648 maternalAlcohol_preg -> characteristics_child
maternalAlcohol_preg -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
650 maternalAlcohol_preg -> outcome
651 maternalDiet_preg -> characteristics_child
maternalDiet_preg -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
653 maternalDiet_preg -> outcome
   maternalSEP_preg -> breastfeeding
   maternalSEP_preg -> bw
   maternalSEP_preg -> characteristics_child
   maternalSEP_preg -> familySEP
   maternalSEP_preg -> maternalAlcohol_preg
   maternalSEP_preg -> maternalDiet_preg
  maternalSEP_preg -> maternalSmoking_preg
maternalSEP_preg -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
662 maternalSEP_preg -> outcome
663 maternalSmoking_preg -> bw
maternalSmoking_preg -> characteristics_child
maternalSmoking_preg -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
666 maternalSmoking_preg -> outcome
neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child -> outcome
668 paternalSEP_preg -> breastfeeding
669 paternalSEP_preg -> bw
670 paternalSEP_preg -> characteristics_child
   paternalSEP_preg -> familySEP
   paternalSEP_preg -> maternalAlcohol_preg
   paternalSEP_preg -> maternalDiet_preg
   paternalSEP_preg -> maternalSmoking_preg
   paternalSEP_preg -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
676 paternalSEP_preg -> outcome
season_visit -> biomarker
678 season_visit -> chemical
679 sex_child -> biomarker
```

```
680 sex_child -> characteristics_child
_{681} sex_child -> chemical
682 sex_child -> child_diet
sex_child -> child_smoking
sex_child -> creatinine
sex_child -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
   sex_child -> outcome
   sex_child -> type_sample
   time_lastMeal -> biomarker
689 time_lastMeal -> chemical
690 type_sample -> chemical
   type_sample -> creatinine
692 }
693 dag {
694 age_child
695 biomarker [exposure]
696 breastfeeding
697 bw
698 characteristics_child
699 chemical
700 child_diet
701 child_smoking
702 cohort
703 creatinine
704 envFactors_visit
705 ethnicity_child
706 ethnicity_mother
707 familySEP
708 gestational_age
709 maternalAlcohol_preg
710 maternalDiet_preg
711 maternalSEP_preg
712 maternalSmoking_preg
713 neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
714 outcome [outcome]
715 paternalSEP_preg
716 season_visit
717 sex_child
718 time_lastMeal
719 type_sample
720 age_child -> biomarker
721 age_child -> characteristics_child
722 age_child -> creatinine
723 age_child -> outcome
```

```
724 age_child -> type_sample
725 biomarker -> outcome
726 breastfeeding -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
727 breastfeeding -> outcome
728 bw -> characteristics child
729 bw -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
   characteristics_child -> biomarker
   characteristics_child -> chemical
   characteristics_child -> creatinine
733 characteristics_child -> outcome
734 chemical -> biomarker
735 chemical -> outcome
736 child_diet -> biomarker
737 child_diet -> characteristics_child
738 child_diet -> chemical
739 child diet -> outcome
r40 child_smoking -> biomarker
741 child_smoking -> characteristics_child
742 child_smoking -> creatinine
743 child_smoking -> outcome
744 cohort -> biomarker
745 cohort -> bw
   cohort -> characteristics_child
_{747} cohort -> chemical
748 cohort -> child_diet
749 cohort -> creatinine
750 cohort -> outcome
751 creatinine -> biomarker
752 creatinine -> chemical
753 creatinine -> outcome
754 envFactors_visit -> outcome
755 ethnicity_child -> biomarker
756 ethnicity_child -> bw
757 ethnicity_child -> characteristics_child
758 ethnicity_child -> chemical
759 ethnicity_child -> child_diet
760 ethnicity_child -> child_smoking
   ethnicity_child -> creatinine
   ethnicity_child -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
   ethnicity_child -> outcome
   ethnicity_mother -> biomarker
765 ethnicity_mother -> breastfeeding
766 ethnicity_mother -> bw
767 ethnicity_mother -> characteristics_child
768 ethnicity_mother -> child_diet
```

```
ethnicity_mother -> familySEP
   ethnicity_mother -> maternalAlcohol_preg
  ethnicity_mother -> maternalDiet_preg
772 ethnicity_mother -> maternalSEP_preg
   ethnicity_mother -> maternalSmoking_preg
   ethnicity_mother -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
   ethnicity_mother -> outcome
   familySEP -> biomarker
777 familySEP -> characteristics_child
778 familySEP -> chemical
779 familySEP -> child_diet
780 familySEP -> child_smoking
781 familySEP -> creatinine
782 familySEP -> outcome
   gestational_age -> bw
   gestational_age -> characteristics_child
785 gestational_age -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
786 maternalAlcohol_preg -> bw
787 maternalAlcohol_preg -> characteristics_child
   maternalAlcohol_preg -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
   maternalAlcohol_preg -> outcome
   maternalDiet_preg -> characteristics_child
   maternalDiet_preg -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
   maternalDiet_preg -> outcome
   maternalSEP_preg -> breastfeeding
   maternalSEP_preg -> bw
795 maternalSEP_preg -> characteristics_child
796 maternalSEP_preg -> familySEP
797 maternalSEP_preg -> maternalAlcohol_preg
798 maternalSEP_preg -> maternalDiet_preg
   maternalSEP_preg -> maternalSmoking_preg
maternalSEP_preg -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
801 maternalSEP_preg -> outcome
802 maternalSmoking_preg -> bw
803 maternalSmoking_preg -> characteristics_child
  maternalSmoking_preg -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
   maternalSmoking_preg -> outcome
   neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child -> outcome
   paternalSEP_preg -> breastfeeding
   paternalSEP_preg -> bw
   paternalSEP_preg -> characteristics_child
   paternalSEP_preg -> familySEP
   paternalSEP_preg -> maternalAlcohol_preg
   paternalSEP_preg -> maternalDiet_preg
   paternalSEP_preg -> maternalSmoking_preg
```

```
paternalSEP_preg -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
   paternalSEP_preg -> outcome
   season_visit -> biomarker
   season_visit -> chemical
   sex_child -> biomarker
818
   sex_child -> characteristics_child
819
   sex_child -> chemical
   sex_child -> child_diet
821
   sex_child -> child_smoking
822
  sex_child -> creatinine
   sex_child -> neuropsychologicalDiagnosis_child
  sex_child -> outcome
  sex_child -> type_sample
   time_lastMeal -> biomarker
   time_lastMeal -> chemical
   type_sample -> chemical
   type_sample -> creatinine
831
```

8 Supplementary tables

- 8.1 Tables for descriptive data
- 8.1.1 Information about the endocrine disruptors
- 8.1.2 Information about the glucocorticosteroids
- 836 8.1.3 Codebooks
- 8.1.4 Lower limits of quantification of the glucocorticosteroids
- 838 8.1.5 Study populations
- 839 8.1.6 Concentrations of the glucocorticosteroids
- 8.2 Tables for main results
- 8.2.1 Balancing weights: sample sizes
- 8.2.2 Balancing weights: summary statistics
- 8.3 Tables for other results
- 8.3.1 Balancing weights for effect modification: summary statistics

5 9 Supplementary figures

- 9.1 Figures for descriptive data
- 9.1.1 Study populations
- 9.1.2 Description of endocrine disruptors
- 849 9.1.3 Description of glucocorticosteroids
- 9.2 Figures for other results
- 9.2.1 Marginal contrasts for effect modification

Compound	Symbol	Variable name	PubChem CID	Parental compoun
OP pesticide metabolites				
diethyl dithiophosphate	DEDTP	dedtp	9274	
diethyl phosphate	DEP	dep	654	
diethyl thiophosphate	DETP	$\det p$	3683036	
dimethyl dithiophosphate	DMDTP	dmdtp		
dimethyl phosphate	DMP	dmp	13134	
dimethyl thiophosphate	DMTP	dmtp	168140	
Phenols				
bisphenol A	BPA	bpa	6623	
n-butyl-paraben	BUPA	bupa	7184	
ethyl-paraben	ETPA	etpa	8434	
methyl-paraben	MEPA	mepa	7456	
oxybenzone	OXBE	oxbe	4632	
propyl-paraben	PRPA	prpa	7175	
triclosan	TRCS	trcs	5564	
Phthalate metabolites				
mono benzyl phthalate	MBzP	mbzp	31736	BBzP
mono-2-ethyl 5-carboxypentyl phthalate	MECPP	mecpp	148386	DEHP
mono-2-ethyl-5-hydroxyhexyl phthalate	MEHHP	mehhp	170295	DEHP
mono-2-ethylhexyl phthalate	MEHP	mehp	21924291	DEHP
mono-2-ethyl-5-oxohexyl phthalate	MEOHP	meohp	119096	DEHP
monoethyl phthalate	MEP	mep	75318	DEP
mono-iso-butyl phthalate	MiBP	mibp	92272	DiBP
mono-n-butyl phthalate	MnBP	mnbp	8575	DnBP
mono-4-methyl-7-hydroxyoctyl phthalate	oh-MiNP	ohminp	102401880	MiNP
mono-4-methyl-7-oxooctyl phthalate	oxo-MiNP	oxominp	102401881	MiNP

Table S1: Information about non-persistent EDCs, including the full compound name, the standard symbol, the used variable name, the identifier from PubChem, and the parental compound.

Metabolite	Symbol	HMDB ID	CAS number
Androgen			
Androsternedione	AED	HMDB0000053	63-05-8
Testosterone	T	${\rm HMDB0000234}$	58-22-0
Androgen metabolite			
Androsterone	Andros	HMDB0000031	53-41-8
Etiocholanolone	Etio	${\rm HMDB}0000490$	53-42-9
Glucocorticosteroid			
11-dehydrocorticosterone	A	HMDB0004029	72-23-1
Corticosterone	В	HMDB0001547	50-22-6
Cortisol	F	HMDB0000063	50-23-7
Cortisone	E	HMDB0002802	53-06-5
Glucocorticosteroid metabol	ite		
11 -hydroxyandrosterone	110HAndros	HMDB0002984	57-61-4
17-deoxycortolone	17-DO-cortolone	NA	NA
20 -dihydrocortisol	20aDHF	NA	NA
20 -dihydrocortisone	20aDHE	NA	NA
20 -dihydrocortisol	20bDHF	NA	NA
20 -dihydrocortisone	20bDHE	NA	NA
5 ,20 -cortol	5a20acortol	HMDB0003180	516-38-1
5 ,20 -cortol	5a20bcortol	HMDB0005821	667-65-2
5 -tetrahydrocorticosterone	5aTHB	HMDB0000449	600-63-5
5 -tetrahydrocortisol	5aTHF	HMDB0000526	302-91-0
5 -tetrahydrocortisone	5aTHE	NA	NA
5 ,20 -cortol	5b20acortol	HMDB0003180	516-38-1
5,20 -cortolone	5b20acortolone	HMDB0003128	516-42-7
5 ,20 -cortol	5b20bcortol	HMDB0005821	667-65-2
5,20 -cortolone	5b20bcortolone	NA	NA
5 -dihydrocortisol	5bDHF	HMDB0003259	1482-50-4
5 -tetrahydrocorticosterone	5bTHB	HMDB0000268	68-42-8
5 -tetrahydrocortisol	5bTHF	HMDB0000949	1953-02-01
5 -tetrahydrocortisone	5bTHE	NA	NA
6 -hydroxycortisol	6OHF	HMDB0247074	1111
6 -hydroxycortisone	6OHE	NA	NA
Glucocorticosteroid precurso			
17-hydroxyprogesterone	17OHP	HMDB0000374	68-96-2
Cortexolone	S	HMDB0000015	152-58-9
Deoxycorticosterone	DOC	HMDB0000016	64-85-7
Glucocorticosteroid precurso	or metabolite		
17-hydroxypregnanolone	17HP	HMDB0000363	387-79-1
5 -dihydrocortexolone	5bDHS	NA	NA
5 -tetrahydrocortexolone	5bTHS	NA NA	NA NA
	PT 38	NA NA	NA 1098-45-9
Pregnantriol Tetrahydragartavalana	r ı		
Tetrahydrocortexolone	THS	HMDB0005972	68-60-0

Abbreviations: Human Metabolome Database (HMDB); Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS).

Table S2: Information about the glucocorticosteroids, including the full metabolite name, the standard symbol, the identifier from the HMDB, and the CAS number.

	type	description
age_child		
hs_age_years	numerical	Age of the child at clinical assessment
breastfeeding		
hs_bf	categorical	Child breastfeeding
characteristics_child		
hs_c_height	numerical	Height of the child
hs_c_weight	numerical	Weight of the child
hs_head_circ	numerical	Head circumference of the child
child_diet		
hs_fastfood	numerical	Visits a fast food restaurant/take away
hs_org_food	numerical	Eats organic food
hs_total_fish hs total fruits	numerical numerical	Food group: fish and seafood (hs_canfish+hs_oilyfish+hs_whfish+Food group: fruits (hs_canfruit+hs_dryfruit+hs_freshjuice+hs_fruit)
hs_total_veg	numerical	Food group: vegetables (hs_cookveg+hs_rawveg)
child_smoking	1	<u> </u>
hs_tob	categorical	Which of the following best describes your consumption of tobacco?
cohort	caregorical	
	hohoma = 4	Cohort name
cohort	character	Cohort name
creatinine	1	
hs_creatinine_cg	numerical	Creatinine in child in pooled sample
envFactors_visit		
hs_mood	categorical	Mood of the child in the last few days before assessment
hs_rest_nth	categorical	Child rested the night before assessment
ethnicity_child		
h_ethnicity_c	character	Which is the ethnicity of the child?
ethnicity_mother		
h_ethnicity_m	integer	Which is the ethnicity of the mother?
familySEP		
FAS_score	numerical	Family Affluence Scale (FAS II) continuous
hs_finance	categorical	How well would you say your family is managing financially these da
maternalAlcohol_preg		
e3_alcpreg_g	numerical	Alcool during pregnancy
maternalDiet_preg	1	<u> </u>
h_cereal_preg	numerical	Cereal consumption during pregnancy
n_cereal_preg h_dairy_preg	numerical	Dairy consumption during pregnancy
h_fastfood_preg	numerical	3Dast food consumption during pregnancy
h_fish_preg	numerical	Fish consumption during pregnancy
h_fruit_preg	numerical	Fruit consumption during pregnancy
h_legume_preg h_meat_preg	numerical numerical	Legume consumption during pregnancy Meat consumption during pregnancy
n_meat_preg h_veg_preg	numerical	Vegetables consumption during pregnancy
maternalSEP_preg	_ i	5
e3 edum	categorical	Maternal education
e3_edum e3_marital	categorical	Marital status
e3_ses	categorical	Socioeconomic status of the parents
maternalSmoking_preg		
e3_asmokyn_p	categorical	Maternal active smoking during pregnancy
o3 psmokanyt	categorical	Maternal passive smoking during pregnancy

	$_{\mathrm{type}}$	description
age_child		
hs_age_years	numerical	Age of the child at clinical assessment
characteristics_child		
hs_c_height	numerical	Height of the child
hs_c_weight	numerical	Weight of the child
hs_head_circ	numerical	Head circumference of the child
child_diet		
$hs_fastfood$	numerical	Visits a fast food restaurant/take away
hs_org_food	numerical	Eats organic food
hs_total_fish	numerical	Food group: fish and seafood (hs_canfish+hs_oilyfish+hs_whfish+l
hs_total_fruits	numerical	Food group: fruits (hs_canfruit+hs_dryfruit+hs_freshjuice+hs_fru
hs_total_veg	numerical	Food group: vegetables (hs_cookveg+hs_rawveg)
child_smoking		
hs_tob	categorical	Which of the following best describes your consumption of tobacco?
cohort		
cohort	character	Cohort name
creatinine		
$creatinine_to_helix$	numerical	Creatinine in child in night sample
hs_creatinine_cg	numerical	Creatinine in child in pooled sample
ethnicity_child		
h_ethnicity_c	character	Which is the ethnicity of the child?
ethnicity_mother		
h_ethnicity_m	integer	Which is the ethnicity of the mother?
familySEP		
FAS_score	numerical	Family Affluence Scale (FAS II) continuous
hs_finance	categorical	How well would you say your family is managing financially these da
season_visit		
hs_date_neu	date	Date of test
sex_child		
e3_sex	categorical	Child's sex
time_lastMeal		
hs_dift_mealblood_imp	numerical	Imputed difference between blood time extraction and last meal time
^a Percentage of confounders	included in t	he models: 95%.

Table S4: Codebook for the covariates used in the estimation of the marginal comparisons of EDCs on the glucoconticosteroids.

	type	description
age_child		
hs_age_years	numerical	Age of the child at clinical assessment
breastfeeding		
hs bf	categorical	Child breastfeeding
	1 ~	Cilia breastreeding
characteristics_child		
hs_c_height	numerical	Height of the child
hs_c_weight hs head circ	numerical numerical	Weight of the child Head circumference of the child
chemical	numericai	nead circumcrence of the child
	1 . 1	Dr. L. LA (DDA)
hs_bpa_c	numerical numerical	Bisphenol A (BPA) N-Butyl paraben (BUPA)
hs_bupa_c hs_dedtp_cadj	numerical	Diethyl dithiophosphate (DEDTP) adjusted for creatinine
hs dep c	numerical	Diethyl phosphate (DEP)
hs_detp_c	numerical	Diethyl thiophosphate (DETP)
hs_dmdtp_craw	numerical	Dimethyl dithiophosphate (DMDTP)
hs_dmp_c	numerical	Dimethyl phosphate (DMP)
hs_dmtp_c	numerical	Dimethyl thiophosphate (DMTP)
hs_etpa_c	numerical	Ethyl paraben (ETPA)
hs_mbzp_c	numerical	Mono benzyl phthalate (MbzP)
hs_mecpp_c	numerical	Mono-2-ethyl 5-carboxypentyl phthalate (MECPP)
hs_mehhp_c hs_mehp_c	numerical numerical	Mono-2-ethyl-5-hydroxyhexyl phthalate (MEHHP) Mono-2-ethylhexyl phthalate (MEHP)
hs_menp_c hs_meohp_c	numerical	Mono-2-ethyl-5-oxohexyl phthalate (MEOHP)
hs_mep_c	numerical	Monoethyl phthalate (MEP)
hs_mepa_c	numerical	Methyl paraben (MEPA)
hs_mibp_c	numerical	Mono-iso-butyl phthalate (MiBP)
hs_mnbp_c	numerical	Mono-n-butyl phthalate (MnBP)
hs_ohminp_c	numerical	Mono-4-methyl-7-hydroxyoctyl phthalate (OHMiNP)
hs_oxbe_c	numerical	Oxybenzone (OXBE)
hs_oxominp_c	numerical	Mono-4-methyl-7-oxooctyl phthalate (OXOMiNP)
hs_prpa_c	numerical numerical	Propyl paraben (PRPA) Triclosan (TRCS)
hs_trcs_c	lumencar	Theosan (Theos)
child_diet		
hs_fastfood	numerical	Visits a fast food restaurant/take away
hs_org_food	numerical	Eats organic food
hs_total_fish hs_total_fruits	numerical	Food group: fish and seafood (hs_canfish+hs_oilyfish+hs_whfish+hs_se Food group: fruits (hs_canfruit+hs_dryfruit+hs_freshjuice+hs_fruits)
hs_total_veg	numerical numerical	Food group: vegetables (hs cookveg+hs rawveg)
	numericai	Tool group. Vegetables (IIS_cookveg+IIS_Tawveg)
child_smoking	1	
hs_tob	categorical	Which of the following best describes your consumption of tobacco?
cohort		41
cohort	character	Cohort name
creatinine		
creatinine_to_helix	numerical	Creatinine in child in night sample
envFactors_visit		
hs mood	categorical	Mood of the child in the last few days before assessment
hs_rest_nth	categorical	Child rested the night before assessment
ethnicity_child	, ,	
h_ethnicity_c	character	Which is the ethnicity of the child?
ethnicity_mother		men is the comment of the child.
		Which is the athricity of the mathen?
h ethnicity m	integer	Which is the ethnicity of the mother?

Metabolite	LLOQ
5aTHF	5.00
5bTHE	5.00
5b20acortolone	5.00
5b20bcortolone	5.00
5a20acortol	2.50
5a20bcortol	2.50
5b20acortol	2.50
5b20bcortol	2.50
11OHAndros	2.00
17HP	2.00
PT	2.00
$20 \mathrm{bDHF}$	0.50
5bTHF	0.50
6OHF	0.50
E	0.50
20aDHE	0.50
20bDHE	0.50
$5 \mathrm{aTHE}$	0.50
6OHE	0.50
$5 \mathrm{aTHB}$	0.50
5bTHB	0.50
17DOcortolone	0.50
5bTHS	0.50
Andros	0.50
Etio	0.50
F	0.25
20aDHF	0.25
5bDHF	0.10
A	0.10
S	0.10
5bDHS	0.10
${ m T}$	0.10
AED	0.10

Abbreviations: lower limit of quantification (LLOQ).

Table S6: Lower limits of quantification expressed in ng/ml for the glucocorticosteroids (HELIX subcohort; 2013-2016).

42

Characteristic		Overall, $N = 1,297^a$	$BIB, N = 204^a$	F
Age (years)		8.1 (6.5, 8.9)	6.6 (6.5, 6.8)	
Child breastfeeding		$1,093.0 \ (84.7\%)$	$147.0 \ (72.4\%)$	
Unknown		6	1	
Child ethnicity				
Caucasian		1,157.0 (90.0%)	87.0 (42.6%)	
Pakistani		80.0 (6.2%)	80.0 (39.2%)	
Asian		$21.0\ (1.6\%)$	13.0 (6.4%)	
Other		19.0 (1.5%)	17.0 (8.3%)	
African		7.0~(0.5%)	$7.0 \ (3.4\%)$	
Native American		$2.0 \; (0.2\%)$	$0.0 \ (0.0\%)$	
White non European		0.0 (0.0%)	0.0 (0.0%)	
Unknown		11	0	
Child neuropsychological diagnosis		95.0 (7.3%)	3.0 (1.5%)	
Creatinine night sample (g/l)		1.7 (0.9, 3.0)	0.8 (0.6, 1.1)	
Unknown		321	72	
Creatinine pooled sample (g/l)		$1.0 \ (0.8, 1.2)$	1.0 (0.8, 1.2)	
Date of test (season)		1.0 (0.0, 1.2)	1.0 (0.0, 1.2)	
Spring		358.0 (27.7%)	48.0 (23.5%)	
Winter		339.0 (26.2%)	40.0 (23.5%)	
Autumn		300.0 (23.2%)	49.0 (24.0%)	
Summer		297.0 (23.0%)	` ,	
Unknown		` ,	67.0 (32.8%)	
		3	0	
Family affluence scale		410.0 (21.707)	24.0 (10.707)	
6		410.0 (31.7%)	34.0 (16.7%)	
5		325.0 (25.1%)	48.0 (23.5%)	
7		248.0 (19.2%)	26.0 (12.7%)	
4		174.0 (13.4%)	40.0 (19.6%)	
3		92.0 (7.1%)	34.0 (16.7%)	
2		28.0 (2.2%)	16.0 (7.8%)	
1		12.0 (0.9%)	4.0 (2.0%)	
0		$6.0 \; (0.5\%)$	$2.0 \ (1.0\%)$	
Unknown		2	0	
Fast food/take away (times/week)		$0.1 \ (0.1, \ 0.5)$	$0.5 \ (0.1, \ 1.0)$	
Unknown		7	0	
Fasting time (hours)		3.3 (2.8, 4.0)	3.3 (2.8, 4.1)	
Financial situation		4		
Doing alright		$414.0 \; (32.1\%)$	73.0 (35.8%)	
Living comfortably		$412.0 \ (31.9\%)$	$59.0\ (28.9\%)$	
Getting by		$331.0\ (25.6\%)$	59.0~(28.9%)	
Finding it quite difficult		86.0~(6.7%)	8.0 (3.9%)	
Finding it very difficult		40.0 (3.1%)	$5.0\ (2.5\%)$	
Does not wish to answer		8.0~(0.6%)	0.0~(0.0%)	
Unknown		6	0	
Fish and seafood (times/week)		$2.0\ (1.1,\ 3.5)$	$2.0\ (1.0,\ 3.1)$	
Unknown		5	1	
Fruits (times/week)	43	9.0 (5.9, 18.0)	15.5 (10.0, 21.0)	
Unknown		7	2	
Head circumference (cm)		51.8 (50.6, 52.9)	51.4 (50.3, 52.3)	
Unknown		3	0	
Height (m)		1.3 (1.2, 1.4)	1.2(1.2, 1.2)	
Hit reaction time standard error (ms)		299.6 (231.3, 368.2)	355.1 (292.1, 397.5)	2
Unknown		18	3	
Marital status			-	
Living alone		1,212.0 (94.5%)	178.0 (87.3%)	
Living with the father		39.0 (3.0%)	0.0 (0.0%)	
Other situation		31.0 (2.4%)	26.0 (12.7%)	
Unknown		31.0 (2.470) 15	0	
Mood before assessment		19	U	
		1 000 0 (05 107)	100 0 (07 107)	
Usual		1,232.0 (95.1%)	198.0~(97.1%)	

Characteristic	Overall, $N = 1,004^a$	$BIB, N = 154^a$	$EDEN, N = 137^a$	INI
Glucocorticosteroio	d			
A Unknown	4.3 (2.4, 8.2) 1	4.8 (2.8, 9.0)	$5.1\ (2.6,\ 9.1)$	3
E F	22.9 (13.1, 38.5) 5.5 (3.2, 9.5)	25.7 (14.5, 41.4) 6.3 (4.2, 10.4)	28.6 (14.1, 42.0) 7.8 (4.2, 11.4)	17. 4
Unknown	2	0	0	
Glucocorticosteroio	d metabolite			
11OHAndros Unknown	234.2 (130.3, 390.5) 3	259.7 (151.9, 375.0) 0	413.0 (221.7, 617.0) 0	256.
17-DO-cortolone Unknown	57.5 (29.1, 101.7)	56.1 (32.8, 100.6) 0	76.5 (46.0, 137.6) 0	61.3
20aDHE Unknown	16.6 (9.7, 27.5) 11	$14.2\ (7.0,\ 25.8)$	$25.8 (15.1, 37.8) \\ 0$	15.
20aDHF Unknown	6.6 (3.3, 13.3)	7.2 (3.8, 14.0)	$10.0\ (5.7,\ 19.5)\\0$	5
20bDHE Unknown	9.5 (6.2, 14.3) 17	8.7 (4.8, 14.8) 14	$13.2 (9.7, 17.3) \\ 0$	9.
20bDHF	15.2 (9.1, 24.8)	16.5 (10.8, 26.5)	19.9 (12.0, 32.0)	13
5a20acortol Unknown	88.9 (52.1, 141.6)	109.8 (61.7, 177.3) 9	$103.0 \ (58.0, \ 153.8) \\ 0$	83.0
5a20bcortol Unknown	122.4 (70.4, 185.0) 5	131.0 (66.3, 182.3) 5	$148.8 \ (108.8, \ 226.1) \\ 0$	124.
5aTHB	$133.1\ (76.1,\ 222.4)$	$159.8 \ (101.7, \ 241.3)$	$144.2 \ (87.9,\ 255.3)$	115.
5aTHE Unknown	73.9 (39.7, 124.0) 1	$82.0 \ (52.1, 145.7)$	83.9 (41.5, 132.7) 0	62.
5aTHF 5b20acortol	2,870.0 (1,663.7, 4,389.0) 147.7 (83.5, 225.8)	3,394.6 (2,288.1, 5,308.1) 177.4 (98.9, 302.3)	3,474.2 (1,856.1, 5,253.4) 169.7 (91.1, 252.9)	2,756.9 $141.$
Unknown	11	11	0	
5b 20 acortolone	$641.9 \ (366.0, 983.1)$	$638.3 \ (385.0, 1,028.2)$	903.7 (574.5, 1,296.1)	654.
5b20bcortol Unknown	$195.7\ (120.1,\ 302.4)$	$242.7 \ (152.0,\ 356.8)$	$225.2\ (142.1,\ 371.5)$	199.9
5b20bcortolone 5bDHF	546.9 (336.3, 837.1) 1.4 (0.9, 2.0)	561.3 (331.3, 889.9) 1.4 (0.9, 2.2)	682.3 (452.0, 1,031.1) 1.8 (1.3, 2.6)	534. 1
Unknown	$\frac{1.4 (0.9, 2.0)}{2}$	0	0	1
5bTHB	49.3 (28.0, 82.7)	53.3 (27.5, 98.3)	60.9 (34.9, 94.5)	50.
Unknown	1	0	0	
5bTHE	3,138.3 (1,889.5, 4,694.0)	$3,552.8 \ (2,335.3,\ 4,797.4)$	$3,649.6 \ (2,293.5,\ 5,317.1)$	2,911.6
5bTHF	906.5 (548.0, 1,416.1)	1,116.2 (660.8, 1,644.8)	$1,238.6 \ (743.1,\ 1,578.3)$	882.9
Unknown	2	2	0	
6OHE 6OHF	11.9 (6.5, 18.4) 42.8 (22.5, 76.7)	13.2 (7.6, 20.6) 51.9 (29.8, 93.9)	12.2 (6.1, 17.4) 55.8 (29.8, 82.3)	$\frac{9}{32}$
Glucocorticosteroio	d precursor	,	, ,	
S	0.4 (0.3, 0.8)	0.5 (0.3, 0.9)	0.4 (0.3, 0.7)	0
Unknown	94 44	6	5	
Glucocorticosteroio	d precursor metabolite			
17HP	22.3 (15.1, 33.5)	17.0 (11.1, 27.6)	33.2 (23.5, 44.0)	20.
Unknown 5bDHS	0.3 (0.2, 0.4)	$0 \\ 0.3 (0.2, 0.4)$	$0 \\ 0.3 \ (0.2, \ 0.5)$	0
Unknown	132	5	20	
5bTHS Unknown	$30.7 \ (18.5, 50.5)$	35.7 (20.7, 59.2) 0	34.5 (19.8, 52.1) 0	27.
PT	200.6 (112.8, 342.0)	149.1 (87.6, 246.3)	378.8 (230.8, 542.8)	253.
Androgen				
AED	$0.2 \ (0.2, \ 0.3)$	$0.2\ (0.2,\ 0.3)$	$0.3 \ (0.2, \ 0.5)$	0
Unknown	407	0 7 (0.5. 1.0)	34	

Exposure	Unadjusted	$Adjusted^a$	
Phenols			
ETPA	1,297	1,289	
OXBE	1,297	1,277	
BUPA	1,297	1,276	
PRPA	1,297	1,275	
MEPA	1,297	1,266	
TRCS	1,297	1,255	
BPA	1,297	1,137	
OP pesticid	le metabolites		
DETP	1,297	1,222	
DEP	1,297	1,222	
DMTP	1,297	1,219	
DMP	1,297	1,172	
Phthalate metabolites			
oxo-MiNP	1,297	1,199	
oh-MiNP	1,297	1,172	
MBzP	1,297	1,113	
MEHP	1,297	1,089	
MEP	1,297	1,055	
MnBP	1,297	1,035	
MEHHP	1,297	1,010	
MEOHP	1,297	1,001	
MECPP	1,297	980.0	
MiBP	1,297	927.1	

 $[^]a$ Truncated weights.

 $\label{thm:prop:size} \begin{tabular}{ll} Table S9: Effective sample size before and after balancing weights estimation (exposures: EDCs; outcome: HRT-SE) (HELIX subcohort; 2013-2016). \end{tabular}$

Exposure	Unadjusted	${\rm Adjusted}^a$	
Phenols			
OXBE	976.0	960.1	
PRPA	976.0	955.8	
MEPA	976.0	953.8	
BUPA	976.0	952.5	
ETPA	976.0	951.7	
TRCS	976.0	943.0	
BPA	976.0	855.7	
OP pesticid	le metabolites		
DETP	976.0	922.4	
DEP	976.0	921.5	
DMTP	976.0	907.3	
DMP	976.0	892.5	
Phthalate metabolites			
oh-MiNP	976.0	878.3	
oxo-MiNP	976.0	874.0	
MBzP	976.0	827.7	
MEHP	976.0	827.4	
MEP	976.0	795.7	
MEHHP	976.0	783.7	
MECPP	976.0	767.4	
MEOHP	976.0	761.5	
MnBP	976.0	745.9	
MiBP	976.0	689.8	

 $[^]a\mathrm{Truncated}$ weights.

Table S10: Effective sample size before and after balancing weights estimation (exposures: EDCs; outcomes: glucocorticosteroids) (HELIX subcohort; 2013-2016).

Exposure	Unadjusted	${\rm Adjusted}^a$
cortisone production	976.0	777.2
corticosterone production	976.0	758.1
cortisol production	976.0	751.6

^aTruncated weights.

Table S11: Effective sample size before and after balancing weights estimation (exposures: glucocorticosteroids; outcome: HRT-SE) (HELIX subcohort; 2013-2016).

	Median (IQR)	Range
${\bf Characteristic}^a$	$\overline{\mathrm{N}=1,\!297^a}$	$\overline{{f N}=1,\!297^a}$
OP pesticide meta	bolites	
DMP	0.98 (0.73, 1.25)	0.49, 1.51
DMTP	1.00 (0.81, 1.20)	0.59, 1.39
DEP	1.01 (0.81, 1.19)	0.59, 1.38
DETP	0.99 (0.81, 1.18)	0.61, 1.41
Phenols		
MEPA	1.01 (0.90, 1.13)	0.74, 1.25
ETPA	1.01 (0.96, 1.07)	0.88, 1.14
PRPA	1.01 (0.92, 1.12)	0.80, 1.23
BPA	0.99(0.70, 1.27)	0.38, 1.57
BUPA	1.01 (0.91, 1.11)	0.81, 1.22
OXBE	1.01 (0.92, 1.10)	0.79, 1.21
TRCS	1.01 (0.87, 1.13)	0.68, 1.28
Phthalate metabol	ites	
MEP	0.93 (0.61, 1.27)	0.28, 1.77
MiBP	0.91 (0.46, 1.38)	0.05, 1.93
MnBP	$0.98 \ (0.59, 1.33)$	0.19, 1.74
MBzP	0.98 (0.66, 1.28)	0.34, 1.62
MEHP	0.98 (0.64, 1.27)	0.31, 1.68
MEHHP	$0.96 \ (0.54, 1.35)$	0.16, 1.75
MEOHP	$0.96 \ (0.52, 1.35)$	0.15, 1.78
MECPP	$0.95 \ (0.50, 1.34)$	0.14, 1.84
oh-MiNP	1.01 (0.74, 1.24)	0.47, 1.50
oxo-MiNP	1.01 (0.78, 1.20)	0.52, 1.43

 $[^]a$ Truncated weights.

 $\label{thm:prop:statistics} \begin{tabular}{ll} Table S12: {\bf Summary statistics of the estimated balancing weights (exposures: EDCs; outcome: HRT-SE) (HELIX subcohort; 2013-2016). \end{tabular}$

	Median (IQR)	Range		
${\bf Characteristic}^a$	$N = 976^a$	$\overline{{ m N}=976^a}$		
OP pesticide metabolites				
DMP	0.99 (0.75, 1.23)	0.51, 1.46		
DMTP	1.00(0.79, 1.23)	0.56, 1.41		
DEP	0.99 (0.81, 1.19)	0.63, 1.42		
DETP	0.99 (0.82, 1.18)	0.62, 1.41		
Phenols				
MEPA	1.00 (0.90, 1.13)	0.75, 1.26		
ETPA	1.02 (0.90, 1.14)	0.72, 1.24		
PRPA	1.00 (0.92, 1.12)	0.77, 1.26		
BPA	0.99(0.70, 1.26)	0.40, 1.58		
BUPA	1.01 (0.89, 1.13)	0.75, 1.27		
OXBE	1.01 (0.92, 1.10)	0.78, 1.21		
TRCS	1.01 (0.86, 1.13)	0.69, 1.29		
Phthalate metabolites				
MEP	0.93 (0.60, 1.27)	0.28, 1.74		
MiBP	0.88 (0.43, 1.38)	0.08, 1.98		
MnBP	0.97 (0.53, 1.36)	0.14, 1.84		
MBzP	0.94 (0.68, 1.28)	0.35, 1.69		
MEHP	0.98 (0.64, 1.29)	0.33, 1.63		
MEHHP	$0.98 \ (0.56, 1.35)$	0.21, 1.70		
MEOHP	$0.98 \ (0.52, 1.35)$	0.18, 1.78		
MECPP	$0.95 \ (0.55, 1.36)$	0.19, 1.77		
oh-MiNP	$1.00\ (0.73,\ 1.25)$	0.46, 1.49		
oxo-MiNP	1.01 (0.71, 1.25)	0.45, 1.52		

^aTruncated weights.

Table S13: Summary statistics of the estimated balancing weights (exposures: EDCs; outcomes: glucocorticosteroids) (HELIX subcohort; 2013-2016).

	Median (IQR)	Range
${\bf Characteristic}^a$	$\overline{ m N}=976^a$	$\overline{{ m N}=976^a}$
cortisol production cortisone production corticosterone production	1.00 (0.54, 1.40) 1.01 (0.58, 1.39) 0.98 (0.56, 1.39)	0.14, 1.80 0.19, 1.73 0.16, 1.78

 $[^]a$ Truncated weights.

 $\label{thm:prop:statistics} \begin{tabular}{ll} Table S14: {\bf Summary statistics of the estimated balancing weights (exposures: glucocorticosteroids; outcome: HRT-SE) (HELIX subcohort; 2013-2016). \end{tabular}$

	Median (IQR)		Range		
${\bf Characteristic}^a$	females, $N = 587^a$	$\mathbf{males},\mathrm{N}=710^a$	females, $N = 587^a$	$\mathbf{males}, \mathrm{N} = 710^a$	
OP pesticide metabolites					
DMP	0.99 (0.74, 1.25)	1.00 (0.74, 1.25)	0.53, 1.46	0.53, 1.46	
DMTP	1.00(0.79, 1.22)	1.01 (0.82, 1.20)	0.58, 1.38	0.58, 1.38	
DEP	1.01 (0.82, 1.19)	1.02 (0.84, 1.17)	0.64, 1.36	0.64, 1.36	
DETP	$1.00 \ (0.77, \ 1.22)$	$1.01 \ (0.82, \ 1.20)$	0.57, 1.39	0.57, 1.39	
Phenols					
MEPA	1.02 (0.89, 1.15)	1.02 (0.94, 1.11)	0.76, 1.23	0.76, 1.23	
ETPA	$1.02 \ (0.96, \ 1.08)$	$1.01\ (0.97,\ 1.06)$	0.91, 1.12	0.91, 1.12	
PRPA	$1.02 \ (0.92, \ 1.13)$	$1.02 \ (0.95, \ 1.10)$	0.82, 1.21	0.82, 1.21	
BPA	$1.02 \ (0.73, \ 1.28)$	1.02 (0.74, 1.25)	0.41, 1.50	0.41, 1.50	
BUPA	1.02 (0.95, 1.10)	$1.01 \ (0.81, \ 1.19)$	0.67, 1.29	0.67, 1.29	
OXBE	$1.03 \ (0.92, \ 1.12)$	1.02 (0.94, 1.09)	0.80, 1.19	0.80, 1.19	
TRCS	$1.03 \ (0.92, \ 1.13)$	$1.01 \ (0.89, \ 1.12)$	0.74, 1.25	0.74, 1.25	
Phthalate metabolites					
MEP	0.96 (0.67, 1.26)	0.93 (0.61, 1.30)	0.31, 1.67	0.31, 1.67	
MiBP	$0.93 \ (0.51, \ 1.39)$	$0.96 \ (0.52, \ 1.40)$	0.16, 1.85	0.16, 1.85	
MnBP	$1.00 \ (0.62, \ 1.33)$	$0.98 \ (0.59, \ 1.35)$	0.28, 1.68	0.28, 1.68	
MBzP	$1.00 \ (0.71, \ 1.27)$	$0.99 \ (0.69, 1.28)$	0.40, 1.57	0.40, 1.57	
MEHP	$1.02 \ (0.69, \ 1.27)$	$0.98 \ (0.62, \ 1.32)$	0.33, 1.62	0.33, 1.62	
MEHHP	$1.01\ (0.60,\ 1.29)$	$0.95 \ (0.55, \ 1.36)$	0.26, 1.72	0.26, 1.72	
MEOHP	$1.00 \ (0.63, \ 1.29)$	$0.95 \ (0.53, \ 1.41)$	0.23, 1.74	0.23, 1.74	
MECPP	$1.00 \ (0.59, \ 1.33)$	$0.95 \ (0.50, \ 1.38)$	0.23, 1.76	0.23, 1.76	
oh-MiNP	$1.02 \ (0.78, \ 1.22)$	$1.00 \ (0.76, \ 1.23)$	0.51, 1.46	0.51, 1.46	
oxo-MiNP	$1.02 \ (0.84, \ 1.17)$	$1.01 \ (0.77, \ 1.21)$	0.58, 1.39	0.58, 1.39	

 $[\]overline{^a}$ Truncated weights.

Table S15: Summary statistics of the estimated balancing weights for effect modification (exposures: EDCs; outcome: HRT-SE; modifier: sex) (HELIX subcohort; 2013-2016).

	Median (IQR)		Range			
${\bf Characteristic}^a$	females, $N = 434^a$	$males, N = 542^a$	females, $N = 434^a$	$males, N = 542^a$		
OP pesticide meta	OP pesticide metabolites					
DMP	0.98 (0.76, 1.23)	1.01 (0.75, 1.21)	0.57, 1.45	0.57, 1.45		
DMTP	1.03 (0.78, 1.22)	1.01 (0.79, 1.23)	0.56, 1.40	0.56, 1.40		
DEP	$1.01 \ (0.85, 1.16)$	1.00 (0.84, 1.19)	0.67, 1.36	0.67, 1.36		
DETP	$1.00\ (0.77,\ 1.23)$	$1.00 \ (0.86, \ 1.17)$	0.57, 1.40	0.57, 1.40		
Phenols						
MEPA	1.01 (0.88, 1.17)	1.03 (0.94, 1.11)	0.73, 1.26	0.73, 1.26		
ETPA	1.04 (0.92, 1.12)	1.02 (0.92, 1.12)	0.78, 1.22	0.78, 1.22		
PRPA	$1.03 \ (0.87, 1.16)$	1.02 (0.96, 1.10)	0.74, 1.24	0.74, 1.24		
BPA	$1.00 \ (0.71, \ 1.28)$	$1.01 \ (0.75, \ 1.24)$	0.44, 1.52	0.44, 1.52		
BUPA	1.02 (0.95, 1.11)	$1.01 \ (0.80, \ 1.19)$	0.64, 1.30	0.64, 1.30		
OXBE	$1.03 \ (0.86, \ 1.16)$	1.02 (0.95, 1.09)	0.76, 1.23	0.76, 1.23		
TRCS	$1.03 \ (0.92, \ 1.13)$	$1.01 \ (0.88, \ 1.14)$	0.73, 1.25	0.73, 1.25		
Phthalate metabolites						
MEP	$0.99\ (0.70,\ 1.24)$	$0.95 \ (0.55, \ 1.30)$	0.31, 1.68	0.31, 1.68		
MiBP	0.92 (0.46, 1.40)	$0.92 \ (0.54, \ 1.38)$	0.15, 1.85	0.15, 1.85		
MnBP	0.97 (0.51, 1.40)	$0.98 \ (0.56, \ 1.33)$	0.21, 1.78	0.21, 1.78		
MBzP	$1.00 \ (0.70, \ 1.26)$	$0.98 \ (0.66, \ 1.31)$	0.38, 1.58	0.38, 1.58		
MEHP	$1.01\ (0.72,\ 1.28)$	$0.99 \ (0.61, \ 1.34)$	0.37, 1.57	0.37, 1.57		
MEHHP	$1.02 \ (0.65, \ 1.31)$	$1.00 \ (0.59, \ 1.34)$	0.30, 1.62	0.30, 1.62		
MEOHP	$1.00 \ (0.62, \ 1.31)$	$1.01 \ (0.50, \ 1.41)$	0.24, 1.68	0.24, 1.68		
MECPP	$0.98 \ (0.62, \ 1.32)$	$0.99 \ (0.53, \ 1.39)$	0.29, 1.67	0.29, 1.67		
oh-MiNP	$1.00 \ (0.73, \ 1.26)$	$1.00 \ (0.77, \ 1.24)$	0.49, 1.45	0.49, 1.45		
oxo-MiNP	$1.03 \ (0.73, \ 1.27)$	$1.02 \ (0.77, \ 1.23)$	0.48, 1.45	0.48, 1.45		

 $[\]overline{^a}$ Truncated weights.

Table S16: Summary statistics of the estimated balancing weights for effect modification (exposures: EDCs; outcomes: glucocorticosteroids; modifier: sex) (HELIX subcohort; 2013-2016).

	Median (IQR)		Ran	ge
${\bf Characteristic}^a$	females, $N = 434^a$	males, $N = 542^a$	females, $N = 434^a$	males, $N = 542^{\circ}$
cortisol production cortisone production corticosterone production	0.98 (0.57, 1.40) 1.00 (0.60, 1.40) 1.01 (0.61, 1.39)	1.02 (0.59, 1.34) 1.00 (0.60, 1.38) 1.03 (0.56, 1.37)	0.23, 1.71 0.27, 1.69 0.22, 1.70	0.23, 1.71 0.27, 1.69 0.22, 1.70

^aTruncated weights.

Table S17: Summary statistics of the estimated balancing weights for effect modification (exposures: glucocorticosteroids; outcome: HRT-SE; modifier: sex) (HELIX subcohort; 2013-2016).




Figure S1: Flowchart describing the sample size for each research question.




Figure S2: Measurement classification of EDCs, by cohort (HELIX subcohort; **2013-2016**). Coding: 1, quantifiable; 2, <LOD; 3, interference or out of range; 4. not analysed.




Figure S3: Measurement classification of the glucocorticosteroids, by cohort (HELIX subcohort; 2013-2016). Coding: 1, quantifiable; 2, <LOQ; 3, interference or out of range; 4, not detected.




Figure S4: Marginal contrasts for effect modification by sex of a decrease from the 90th to the 10th percentile of the EDCs on HRT-SE expressed in ms (HELIX subcohort; 2013-2016). Circles and triangles indicate effect estimates. Solid lines indicate the 95% CI.




Figure S5: Marginal contrasts for effect modification by sex of a decrease from the 90th to the 10th percentile of the EDCs on the glucocorticosteroids expressed in ng/ml (HELIX subcohort; 2013-2016). Circles and triangles indicate effect estimates. Solid lines indicate the 95% CI.




Figure S6: Marginal contrasts for effect modification by sex of a decrease from the 90th to the 10th percentile of the glucocorticosteroids on HRT-SE expressed in ms (HELIX subcohort; 2013-2016). Circles and triangles indicate effect estimates. Solid lines indicate the 95% CI. Abbreviations: cortisone production (cortisone prod.); cortisol production (cortisol prod.); corticost. prod. (corticosterone production).