Homework 1: Linkage Analysis

Lorenzo Hess

2025-02-07

Contents

1 Assumptions

1. Steady-state rate of 7450 parts in seven hours
2. Links made of 7075 Aluminum
3. Link geometry: 10cm width, 5cm thickness, 6cm joint diameter
4. Negligible gripper weight
5. Ideal revolute joints, e.g. no friction, only one DOF
6. Ideal links, e.g. no deformation, fatigue
7. Constant input angular velocity

2 Schematics

The kinematic outline of the six-bar linkage is shown in Figure 1. Recall that link FG extends beyond joint F to accommodate a gripper.


Figure 1: Kinematic outline of the linkage.

A free-body diagram of each link is shown in Figure 2, with an input torque τ_{in}, weights W, at center of masses s, and with artifact weight W_{art}.

Figure 2: Free-body diagram of each link.

3 Statics and Dynamics Equations

3.1 Forces and Moments

3.1.1 Static Equilibrium

At static equilibrium, the net force and moment on each link is zero. Each link yields one vector force equation; link AB also yields one vector moment equation. All force equations have two components, and the moment equation has three components.

For link AB, with moments about joint A:

$$R_{a,x} - R_{b,x} = 0 \qquad -R_{a,y} + R_{b,y} - W_{ab} = 0 \qquad \vec{\tau}_{in} + \vec{r}_{s/a} \times \vec{W}_{ab} + \vec{r}_{b/a} \times \vec{R}_b = 0$$

For link BC, with moments about joint B:

$$R_{b,x} - R_{c,x} = 0 \qquad -R_{b,y} + R_{c,y} - W_{bc} = 0 \qquad \vec{r}_{s/b} \times \vec{W}_{bc} + \vec{r}_{c/b} \times \vec{R}_c = 0$$

4

For link CDE, with moments about joint C:

$$R_{d,x} - R_{c,x} - R_{e,x} = 0 \qquad R_{d,y} - R_{c,y} - R_{e,y} - W_{cde} = 0 \qquad \vec{r}_{s/c} \times \vec{W}_{cde} + \vec{r}_{e/c} \times \vec{R}_e + \vec{r}_{d/c} \times \vec{R}_d = 0$$

For link EF, with moments about joint E:

$$R_{e,x} - R_{f,x} = 0 \qquad -R_{e,y} + R_{f,y} - W_{ef} = 0 \qquad \vec{r}_{s/e} \times \vec{W}_{ef} + \vec{r}_{f/e} \times \vec{R}_f = 0$$

For link FG, with moments about joint G:

$$R_{f,x} - R_{g,x} = 0 \qquad -R_{f,y} + R_{g,y} - W_{fg} - W_{\text{art}} = 0 \qquad \vec{r}_{s/g} \times \vec{W}_{fg} + \vec{r}_{f/g} \times \vec{R}_f + \vec{r}_{\text{art}/g} \times \vec{W}_{\text{art}} = 0$$

3.1.2 Dynamic Equilibrium

The dynamic equilibrium for each link is given by Newton's Second Law at the center of mass:

$$\Sigma \vec{F} = M \vec{a}_s$$

For rotational dynamics about joint i:

$$\Sigma \vec{T}_i = J_i \vec{\alpha}$$

We will compute the net force and torque on each link by computing $M\vec{a}_s$ or $J\vec{\alpha}$ after having calculated the respective accelerations.

3.2 Mass Calculations

All links were modeled in Onshape with material of Aluminum 7075. For all binary links, I placed the centroid of the flat face at the origin and used a symmetric extrude to ensure the center of mass also coincides with the origin. Link FG was extended by 1.843m beyond joint F and uses the same rounded end, with a pin for the gripper. For link CDE, joint D is placed at the origin and joint C at 0.98m distance away. Link AB is shown in Figure 3. The joint-to-joint center distance was varied to produce different links. The mass properties were computed with Onshape's "Mass and Section Properties" feature and are in Table 1.

Figure 3: Link AB modeled in Onshape.

Link	Mass (kg)
AB	8.35
BC	20.2
CDE	34.85
EF	16.82
FG	62.11

Table 1: Link masses.

3.3 Mass Moment of Inertia Calculations

The mass moments of inertia are given by Onshape's "Mass and Section Properties" feature mentioned in Section 3.2, and are shown in Table 2. The MMI is about the axis formed by the intersection of the Front and Top planes in Figure 3.

Link	MMI (kg·m^2)
AB	0.266
BC	3.53
CDE	18.54
EF	2.05
FG	103

Table 2: Link mass moments of inertia.

3.4 Kinematics Equations

I developed my kinematic equations from two vector loops: A-B-C-D-A and D-E-F-G-D. Note that these represent two four-bar linkages in series, with ternary link DEC as the common link.

3.4.1 Position

For loop ABCDA, the position loop is:

$$\vec{r}_{b/a} + \vec{r}_{c/b} + \vec{r}_{d/c} + \vec{r}_{a/d} = 0$$

For loop DEFGD, the position loop is:

$$\vec{r}_{e/d} + \vec{r}_{f/e} + \vec{r}_{g/f} + \vec{r}_{d/g} = 0$$

3.4.2 Velocity

Differentiate the positions loop equations to derive the velocity loop equations:

$$\vec{v}_{b/a} + \vec{v}_{c/b} + \vec{v}_{d/c} + \vec{v}_{a/d} = 0$$

$$\vec{v}_{e/d} + \vec{v}_{f/e} + \vec{v}_{g/f} + \vec{v}_{d/g} = 0$$

The velocity of a joint j relative to i can be decomposed into translational and rotational components:

$$\vec{v}_{j/i} = \vec{v}_i + (\omega_{ij} \times \vec{r}_{j/i})$$

For a ground joint i, $\vec{v}_i$ is zero. For joints i and j of the same rigid link, their relative translational velocity is also zero. All joints and links in this linkage satisfy these conditions, so all translational velocity terms are zero for all joints. Therefore, the velocity loop equations for the loop equations reduce to the rotational components:

$$(\vec{\omega}_{ab} \times \vec{r}_{b/a}) + (\vec{\omega}_{bc} \times \vec{r}_{c/b}) + (\vec{\omega}_{cd} \times \vec{r}_{d/c}) + (\vec{\omega}_{da} \times \vec{r}_{a/d}) = 0$$

$$(\vec{\omega}_{de} \times \vec{r}_{e/d}) + (\vec{\omega}_{ef} \times \vec{r}_{f/e}) + (\vec{\omega}_{fg} \times \vec{r}_{g/f}) + (\vec{\omega}_{dg} \times \vec{r}_{g/d}) = 0$$

To solve the loop equations we require the steady-state crank angular velocity, ω_1. With a part per hour rate of 7450 parts per seven hours, we can compute:

$$\dot{p} = \frac{7450\text{part}}{7\text{hr}} = 0.29\frac{\text{part}}{\text{sec}}$$

$$p^{-1} = 3.38 \frac{\text{s}}{\text{part}}$$

By inspection of the PMKS+ model, we see that the output link completes one cycle at the same rate as the input link. Thus:

$$\omega_1 = \omega_5$$

The output link delivers one part per revolution, so the output link angular velocity is:

$$\omega_5 = \frac{2\pi}{p^{-1}} \cdot 1 \text{ part}$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega_1 = 1.86 \text{rad/s}$$

3.4.3 Acceleration

Differentiate the velocity loop equations to derive the acceleration loop equations. Begin by differentiating the general rotational velocity:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\omega_{j/i} \times \vec{r}_{j/i}\right) = (\vec{\alpha}_{j/i} \times \vec{r}_{j/i}) + \omega_{j/i} \times (\omega_{j/i} \times \vec{r}_{j/i})$$

Written compactly, the acceleration loop equations are thus:

$$\Sigma(\vec{\alpha}_{j/i} \times \vec{r}_{j/i}) + \omega_{j/i} \times (\omega_{j/i} \times \vec{r}_{j/i}) \text{ for } i,j \cap \{(a,b),(b,c),(c,d),(d,a)\}$$

$$\Sigma(\vec{\alpha}_{j/i} \times \vec{r}_{j/i}) + \omega_{j/i} \times (\omega_{j/i} \times \vec{r}_{j/i}) \text{ for } i,j \cap \{(d,e),(e,f),(f,g),(g,d)\}$$

3.5 Accelerations at COMs

The acceleration at the center of mass of a link is defined with respect to a grounded joint. For link ij with ground joint j:

$$\vec{a}_{s,ij} \equiv \vec{a}_{s,ij/j} = \vec{\alpha}_{ij} \times \vec{r}_{s,ij/j} + \vec{\omega}_{ij} \times \langle \vec{\omega}_{ij}, \vec{r}_{s,ij/j} \rangle$$

For a non-grounded link ik sharing a joint i with grounded link ij, the acceleration must be decomposed relative to i before relative to the ground joint j:

$$\vec{a}_{s,ik} \equiv \vec{a}_{s,ik/j} = \vec{a}_{s,ik/i} + \vec{a}_{i/j}$$

where $\vec{a}_{s,ik/i}$ and $\vec{a}_{i/j}$ are both of the form described above for a grounded joint.

4 Results

4.1 First Position

4.1.1 Joint Forces and Torques

My program output for analyzing statics is shown in Figure 4. Recall that the left-hand variables are symbolic. Various checks indicate the results make sense. Forces ABax (`ax`) and ABbx (`bx`) are the only x-forces on link AB and point in opposite directions on the FBD; thus it makes sense they should have the same magnitude.

```
ax ==> Mine: 542.424    PMKS: 734.7777
ay ==> Mine: 116.3172   PMKS: 157.627
bx ==> Mine: 542.424    PMKS: -734.7777
by ==> Mine: 198.2307   PMKS: -75.7135
cx ==> Mine: -542.424   PMKS: -734.7777
cy ==> Mine: 81.8448    PMKS: 122.4485
dx ==> Mine: 330.6676   PMKS: -455.4051
dy ==> Mine: 512.3146   PMKS: 539.6962
ex ==> Mine: 211.7565   PMKS: -279.3726
ey ==> Mine: 252.2809   PMKS: -75.3692
fx ==> Mine: -211.7565  PMKS: -279.3726
fy ==> Mine: -87.2767   PMKS: 89.635
gx ==> Mine: 211.7565   PMKS: -279.3726
gy ==> Mine: 642.0063   PMKS: 898.9341
Tin ==> Mine: 316.3881  PMKS: -339.5618
```

Figure 4: Program output for `analyzeStatics()`.

4.1.2 Postion, Velocity, and Acceleration

After 1° of rotation, non-trivial joint coordinates are:
  B 1.6787, 0.9852
  C 0.26393, 1.0352
  E 0.21116, 2.5405
  F -0.9637, 2.5770
  The non-trivial joint velocities are:
  B 0.93032, -0.51847
  C 0.94938, 0.02040
  E 2.40728, 0.07152
  F 2.43733, 1.03942
  The non-trivial joint accelerations are:
  B -0.96436, -1.73039

9

C -0.73073, -0.93563
E -1.8337, -2.38806
F 0.88135, -2.57788

4.2 Plots

All my plots are saved in the `matlab-plots` folder. Many are shown in various Figures in Section 4.3. The dynamic forces are shown in Figures 5 and 6.


Figure 5: Dynamic forces in x-direction.

Figure 6: Dynamic forces in y-direction.

4.3 Comparison with PMKS+

4.3.1 Joint Position

Comparison of the linear joint positions is shown in Figure 7. By inspection, the values are similar, though differences in computational methods yield results that are not exactly equivalent. Positions for ground joints are trivial (simply the joint coordinates).


Figure 7: Linear joint position comparison: my results (left) and PMKS+ (right).

4.3.2 Joint Velocity

Comparison of the linear joint velocities is shown in Figure 8. By inspection, the values are similar, though differences in computational methods yield results that are not exactly equivalent. Velocities for ground joints are trivial (zero).

4.3.3 Joint Acceleration

Comparison of the joint accelerations is shown in Figure 9. By inspection, the values are similar, though differences in computational methods yield results that are not exactly equivalent. Accelerations for ground joints are trivial (zero).

4.3.4 Link Angular Velocity

Comparison of the link angular velocity is shown in Figure 10. By inspection, the values are similar, though differences in computational methods yield results that are not exactly equivalent. Angular velocity for the crank is trivial (ω_{in}).

4.3.5 Link Angular Acceleration

Comparison of the link angular acceleration is shown in Figure 11. By inspection, the values are similar, though differences in computational methods yield results that are not exactly equivalent. Angular acceleration for the crank is trivial (zero).

4.3.6 Static Force

As shown in Figure 4, my output approximately aligns with that of PMKS+. While the PMKS+ values differ, my values are similar in magnitude, and the PMKS+ sign convention appears to account for differences in sign.

5   MATLAB Code

I have employed object-oriented programming to manage state across a single event loop for computing dynamic kinematic and kinetic variables. The `Link` and `Joint` classes form the basic elements of the linkage, and the `Linkage` class is instantiated a single time and comprises the linkage itself. Given that I have not ended up abstracting further into classes such as `TernaryLink` and implementing functionality to, for example, define kinematic loops, many hacks and inefficiencies are present in this program. Coding this was quite interesting, as it got me thinking about the real-time, mechanical state of an entire linkage. This was also more exciting than having a bunch of structs and independent scripts.

This entire report, its code, and media like photos and plots are all stored at this Github repository: github.com/lorenzohess/ME-4322-Linkage-Homework. To run the program, invoke `linkage_analysis.m`. Note that this script presumes a certain folder hierarchy, so simply downloading the script (even with associated classes) will not necessarily work. This code works on Arch Linux, and although untested on Windows and Mac, should work out of the box. The simulation takes about 5 minutes to run, with some of that time devoted to generating images from plots.

6   Discussion

By inspection of values at the peaks and troughs of the curves, most of my results align closely with those from PMKS+. The exceptions are: 1) the angular acceleration of links EF and FG; 2) thus the linear COM accelerations of CDE, EF, and FG; 3) the linear acceleration of joint F. This may partially be the result of the high mass moment of inertia of link FG (103kgm^2)

The dynamic forces are of smaller magnitude, on the order of 10s of Newtons, than the static forces, which are on the order of hundreds of Newtons. Though perhaps counterin-

tuitive, a dynamic link doesn't require the net forces to be reduced to zero; rather, the net forces can sometimes be reduced to a positive quantity ($M\vec{a}$).

We require a maximum motor torque of about 2500 Nm and a minimum torque of about -1300 Nm. The speed would be slow for most motors; about 18 rpm. Thus, a DC motor, for example, ideally brushless, with a gear reduction to convert high speed into torque would be a good candidate.

max min joint and motor torque

I chose 7075 Aluminum because of its good performance and lower density compared to stronger materials like steel. The 7075 alloy appears to have high fatgiue strength, and, according to Xometry, is "widely employed in highly stressed structural applications".

Any prototype would need to maintain mechanical "similarity", which could be thought of along the lines of thermofluidic similarity. A scale model would need to be built out of a material whose properties also "scaled" compared to those of the production material. In all likelihood, it would be easier to simply build a life-size model out of the actual material. Proper care would be taken during testing to ensure that testing would not accidentally damage the prototype and introduce safety risks.

7   References

Figure 8: Linear joint velocity comparison: my results (above) and PMKS+ (below).

Figure 9: Linear joint acceleration comparison: my results (above) and PMKS+ (below).

BC

CDE

EF

FG

Figure 10: Link angular velocity comparison: my results (above) and PMKS+ (below).

16

Figure 11: Link angular acceleration comparison: my results (above) and PMKS+ (below).