

Homework 1: Linkage Analysis

Lorenzo Hess

2025-02-07

Contents

1	Assumptions	2
2	Schematics	2
3	Statics and Dynamics Equations	4
3.1	Forces and Moments	4
3.1.1	Static Equilibrium	4
3.1.2	Dynamic Equilibrium	5
3.2	Mass Calculations	5
3.3	Kinematics Equations	6
3.3.1	Position	7
3.3.2	Velocity	7
3.3.3	Acceleration	8
3.4	Accelerations at COMs	8
4	Results	9
4.1	First Position	9
4.1.1	Joint Forces and Torques	9
4.1.2	Position, Velocity, and Acceleration	9
4.1.3	Masses and Mass Moments of Inertia	9
4.2	Plots	9
4.3	Comparison with PMKS+	9
4.3.1	Joint Position	9
4.3.2	Joint Velocity	9
4.3.3	Joint Acceleration	10

4.3.4	Link Angular Velocity	10
4.3.5	Link Angular Acceleration	10
5	MATLAB Code	10
5.1	linkage_analysis.m	11
5.2	Linkage.m	11
5.3	Link.m	11
5.4	Crank.m	11
5.5	Joint.m	11
5.6	compute_coords.m	11
6	Discussion	11
7	References	11

1 Assumptions

1. Steady-state rate of 7450 parts in seven hours
2. Links made of 7075 Aluminum

2 Schematics

The kinematic outline of the six-bar linkage is shown in Figure 1. Recall that link FG extends beyond joint F to accommodate a gripper.




Figure 1: Kinematic outline of the linkage.

A free-body diagram of each link is shown in Figure ??.




Figure 2: Free-body diagram of each link.

3 Statics and Dynamics Equations

3.1 Forces and Moments

3.1.1 Static Equilibrium

At static equilibrium, the net force and moment on each link is zero. Figures ??, ??, ??, ??, and ?? show free-body diagrams of each link, with an input torque τ_{in} , weights W , at center of masses s , and with artifact weight W_{art} .

Each link yields one vector force equations; link AB also yields one vector moment equation. All force equations have two components, and the moment equation has three components.

For link AB, with moments about joint A:

$$R_{a,x} - R_{b,x} = 0 \quad -R_{a,y} + R_{b,y} - W_{ab} = 0 \quad \vec{\tau}_{in} + \vec{r}_{s/a} \times \vec{W}_{ab} + \vec{r}_{b/a} \times \vec{R}_b = 0$$

For link BC, with moments about joint B:

$$R_{b,x} - R_{c,x} = 0 \quad -R_{b,y} + R_{c,y} - W_{bc} = 0 \quad \vec{r}_{s/b} \times \vec{W}_{bc} + \vec{r}_{c/b} \times \vec{R}_c = 0$$

For link CDE, with moments about joint C:

$$R_{d,x} - R_{c,x} - R_{e,x} = 0 \quad R_{d,y} - R_{c,y} - R_{e,y} - W_{cde} = 0 \quad \vec{r}_{s/c} \times \vec{W}_{cde} + \vec{r}_{e/c} \times \vec{R}_e + \vec{r}_{d/c} \times \vec{R}_d = 0$$

For link EF, with moments about joint E:

$$R_{e,x} - R_{f,x} = 0 \quad -R_{e,y} + R_{f,y} - W_{ef} = 0 \quad \vec{r}_{s/e} \times \vec{W}_{ef} + \vec{r}_{f/e} \times \vec{R}_f = 0$$

For link FG, with moments about joint G:

$$R_{f,x} - R_{g,x} = 0 \quad -R_{f,y} + R_{g,y} - W_{fg} - W_{art} = 0 \quad \vec{r}_{s/g} \times \vec{W}_{fg} + \vec{r}_{f/g} \times \vec{R}_f + \vec{r}_{art/g} \times \vec{W}_{art} = 0$$

3.1.2 Dynamic Equilibrium

The dynamic equilibrium for each link is given by Newton's Second Law at the center of mass:

$$\Sigma \vec{F} = M \vec{a}_s$$

For rotational dynamics:

$$\Sigma \vec{T} = J \vec{\alpha}$$

We will compute the net force and torque on each link by computing $M \vec{a}_s$ or $J \vec{\alpha}$ after having calculated the respective accelerations.

3.2 Mass Calculations

All links were modeled in Onshape with material of Aluminum 7075. For all binary links, the centroid of the flat face is placed at the origin and the extrude is symmetric to ensure the center of mass also coincides with the origin. Link FG was extended by 1.843m beyond joint F and uses a similar rounded end with a pin for the gripper. For link CDE, joint D is placed at the origin and joint C at 0.98m distance. Link AB is shown as an example in Figure 3. The joint-to-joint center distance was varied to produce different links. The mass properties are computed with Onshape's "Mass and Section Properties" feature and are in Table 1.




Figure 3: Link AB modeled in Onshape.

Link	Mass (kg)
AB	8.35
BC	20.2
CDE	34.85
EF	16.82
FG	62.11

Table 1: Link masses.

The mass moments of inertia are given by Onshape’s “Mass and Section Properties” feature mentioned in Section 3.2, and are shown in Table 2. The MMI is about the axis formed by the intersection of the Front and Top planes in Figure 3.

Link	MMI ($\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^2$)
AB	0.266
BC	3.53
CDE	18.54
EF	2.05
FG	103

Table 2: Link mass moments of inertia.

3.3 Kinematics Equations

I developed my kinematic equations from two vector loops: A-B-C-D-A and D-E-F-G-D. Note that these represent two four-bar linkages in series, with ternary link DEC as the common link.

3.3.1 Position

For loop ABCDA, the position loop is:

$$\vec{r}_{b/a} + \vec{r}_{c/b} + \vec{r}_{d/c} + \vec{r}_{a/d} = 0$$

For loop DEFGD, the position loop is:

$$\vec{r}_{e/d} + \vec{r}_{f/e} + \vec{r}_{g/f} + \vec{r}_{g/d} = 0$$

3.3.2 Velocity

Differentiate the positions loop equations to derive the velocity loop equations:

$$\vec{v}_{b/a} + \vec{v}_{c/b} + \vec{v}_{d/c} + \vec{v}_{a/d} = 0$$

$$\vec{v}_{e/d} + \vec{v}_{f/e} + \vec{v}_{g/f} + \vec{v}_{g/d} = 0$$

The velocity of a joint j relative to i can be decomposed into translational and rotational components:

$$\vec{v}_{j/i} = \vec{v}_i + (\omega_{ij} \times \vec{r}_{j/i})$$

For a ground joint i , \vec{v}_i is zero. For joints i and j of the same rigid link, their relative translational velocity is also zero. All joints and links in this linkage satisfy these conditions, so all translational velocity terms are zero for all joints. Therefore, the velocity loop equations for the loop equations reduce to the rotational components:

$$(\vec{\omega}_{ab} \times \vec{r}_{b/a}) + (\vec{\omega}_{bc} \times \vec{r}_{c/b}) + (\vec{\omega}_{cd} \times \vec{r}_{d/c}) + (\vec{\omega}_{da} \times \vec{r}_{a/d}) = 0$$

$$(\vec{\omega}_{de} \times \vec{r}_{e/d}) + (\vec{\omega}_{ef} \times \vec{r}_{f/e}) + (\vec{\omega}_{fg} \times \vec{r}_{g/f}) + (\vec{\omega}_{dg} \times \vec{r}_{g/d}) = 0$$

To solve the loop equations we require the steady-state crank angular velocity, ω_1 . With a part per hour rate of 7450 parts per seven hours, we can compute:

$$\dot{p} = \frac{7450 \text{part}}{7 \text{hr}} = 0.29 \frac{\text{part}}{\text{sec}}$$

$$p^{-1} = 3.38 \frac{\text{s}}{\text{part}}$$

By inspection of the PMKS+ model, we see that the output link completes one cycle at the same rate as the input link. Thus:

$$\omega_1 = \omega_5$$

The output link delivers one part per revolution, so the output link angular velocity is:

$$\omega_5 = \frac{2\pi}{p^{-1}} \cdot 1 \text{ part}$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega_1 = 1.86 \text{ rad/s}$$

3.3.3 Acceleration

Differentiate the velocity loop equations to derive the acceleration loop equations. Begin by differentiating the general rotational velocity:

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\omega_{j/i} \times \vec{r}_{j/i}) = (\vec{\alpha}_{j/i} \times \vec{r}_{j/i}) + \omega_{j/i} \times (\omega_{j/i} \times \vec{r}_{j/i})$$

Written compactly, the acceleration loop equations are thus:

$$\Sigma(\vec{\alpha}_{j/i} \times \vec{r}_{j/i}) + \omega_{j/i} \times (\omega_{j/i} \times \vec{r}_{j/i}) \text{ for } i, j \in \{(a, b), (b, c), (c, d), (d, a)\}$$

$$\Sigma(\vec{\alpha}_{j/i} \times \vec{r}_{j/i}) + \omega_{j/i} \times (\omega_{j/i} \times \vec{r}_{j/i}) \text{ for } i, j \in \{(d, e), (e, f), (f, g), (g, d)\}$$

3.4 Accelerations at COMs

The acceleration at the center of mass of a link is defined with respect to a grounded joint. For link ij with ground joint j :

$$\vec{a}_{s,ij} \equiv \vec{a}_{s,ij/j} = \vec{\alpha}_{ij} \times \vec{r}_{s,ij/j} + \vec{\omega}_{ij} \times \langle \vec{\omega}_{ij}, \vec{r}_{s,ij/j} \rangle$$

For a non-grounded link ik sharing a joint i with grounded link ij , the acceleration must be decomposed relative to i before relative to the ground joint j :

$$\vec{a}_{s,ik} \equiv \vec{a}_{s,ik/j} = \vec{a}_{s,ik/i} + \vec{a}_{i/j}$$

where $\vec{a}_{s,ik/i}$ and $\vec{a}_{i/j}$ are both of the form described above for a grounded joint.

4 Results

4.1 First Position

4.1.1 Joint Forces and Torques

4.1.2 Position, Velocity, and Acceleration

4.1.3 Masses and Mass Moments of Inertia

4.2 Plots

4.3 Comparison with PMKS+

4.3.1 Joint Position

Comparison of the linear joint positions is shown in Figure 4. By inspection, the values are similar, though differences in computational methods yield results that are not exactly equivalent. Positions for ground joints are trivial (joint coordinates).




Figure 4: Linear joint position comparison: my results (left) and PMKS+ (right).

4.3.2 Joint Velocity

Comparison of the linear joint velocities is shown in Figure 5. By inspection, the values are similar, though differences in computational methods yield results that are not exactly equivalent. Velocities for ground joints are trivial (zero).

4.3.3 Joint Acceleration

Comparison of the joint accelerations is shown in Figure 6. By inspection, the values are similar, though differences in computational methods yield results that are not exactly equivalent. Accelerations for ground joints are trivial (zero).

4.3.4 Link Angular Velocity

Comparison of the link angular velocity is shown in Figure 7. By inspection, the values are similar, though differences in computational methods yield results that are not exactly equivalent. Angular velocity for the crank is trivial (ω_{in}).

4.3.5 Link Angular Acceleration

Comparison of the link angular acceleration is shown in Figure 8. By inspection, the values are similar, though differences in computational methods yield results that are not exactly equivalent. Angular acceleration for the crank is trivial (zero).

5 MATLAB Code

I have employed object-oriented programming to manage state across a single event loop for computing dynamic kinematic and kinetic variables. The Link and Joint classes form the basic elements of the linkage, and the Linkage class is instantiated a single time and comprises the linkage itself. Given that I have not ended up abstracting further into classes such as TernaryLink and implementing functionality to, for example, define kinematic loops, many hacks and inefficiencies are present in this program. Coding this was quite interesting, as it got me thinking about the mechanical state of an entire linkage. This was also more exciting than having a bunch of structs and independent scripts.

5.1 linkage_analysis.m

5.2 Linkage.m

5.3 Link.m

5.4 Crank.m

5.5 Joint.m

5.6 compute_coords.m

6 Discussion

7 References



Figure 5: Linear joint velocity comparison: my results (above) and PMKS+ (below).




Figure 6: Linear joint acceleration comparison: my results (above) and PMKS+ (below).




Figure 7: Link angular velocity comparison: my results (above) and PMKS+ (below).




Figure 8: Link angular acceleration comparison: my results (above) and PMKS+ (below).