$q_1 = 1$ dalla tabella sopra

Analisi del tempo medio

Sia α il rango del pivot

$$T_M(n) = \begin{cases} k_1 & \text{se } n \leq 1 \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \left[T_M(q_\alpha) + T_M(n-q_\alpha) + k_2 \, n \right] & \text{se } n > 1 \end{cases} \qquad \frac{\text{rango}}{1} \qquad \frac{q}{1} \qquad \frac{|[p,q]|}{1} \\ \frac{1}{n} \text{ perché sto calcolando la media} \qquad \frac{\sum_{\alpha=1}^n}{n} \\ k_n \, n \, \text{ viene da} \, \Theta(n) \, \text{ (tempo di } \textit{Partition)} \end{cases} \qquad \text{se } n \leq 1$$

 $k_2 n$ viene da $\Theta(n)$ (tempo di *Partition*)

 q_{α} è l'indice del pivot quando il rango è α

$$T_M(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \left[T_M(q_\alpha) + T_M(n-q_\alpha) + k_2 \, n \right]$$

$$T_M(n) = \frac{1}{n} \left[T_M(q_1) + T_M(n-q_1) + k_2 \, n + \sum_{\alpha=2}^n \left[T_M(q_\alpha) + T_M(n-q_\alpha) + k_2 \, n \right] \right]$$
 Estraggo il primo elemento della sommatoria.
$$\alpha \, \text{partirà da 2}$$

 $\underbrace{T_M(1)}_{k_1} + \underbrace{T_M(n-1)}_{k_2} + k_2 \; n \qquad \text{approssimo ad un and amento quadratico}$

$$k_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} + k_{\scriptscriptstyle 3} \, n^2 + k_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, n = n \left(\frac{k_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}}{n} + k_{\scriptscriptstyle 3} \, n + k_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \right)$$

$$T_M(n) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \left[n \left(\frac{k_1}{n} + k_3 \, n + k_2 \right) \right]}_{\text{semplifico le due } n \text{ a sinistra}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n} \left[T_M(q_\alpha) + T_M(n - q_\alpha) + k_2 \, n \right]}_{\text{semplifico le due } n \text{ a sinistra}} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \left[n \left(\frac{k_1}{n} + k_3 \, n + k_2 \right) \right]}_{\text{semplifico le due } n \text{ a sinistra}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n} \left[T_M(q_\alpha) + T_M(n - q_\alpha) + k_2 \, n \right]}_{\text{semplifico le due } n \text{ a sinistra}} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \left[n \left(\frac{k_1}{n} + k_3 \, n + k_2 \right) \right]}_{\text{semplifico le due } n \text{ a sinistra}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n} \left[T_M(q_\alpha) + T_M(n - q_\alpha) + k_2 \, n \right]}_{\text{semplifico le due } n \text{ a sinistra}} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \left[n \left(\frac{k_1}{n} + k_3 \, n + k_2 \right) \right]}_{\text{semplifico le due } n \text{ a sinistra}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n} \left[T_M(q_\alpha) + T_M(n - q_\alpha) + k_2 \, n \right]}_{\text{semplifico le due } n \text{ a sinistra}} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \left[n \left(\frac{k_1}{n} + k_3 \, n + k_2 \right) \right]}_{\text{semplifico le due } n \text{ a sinistra}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n} \left[T_M(q_\alpha) + T_M(n - q_\alpha) + k_2 \, n \right]}_{\text{semplifico le due } n \text{ a sinistra}} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n} \left[T_M(q_\alpha) + T_M(n - q_\alpha) + k_2 \, n \right]}_{\text{semplifico le due } n \text{ a sinistra}} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n} \left[T_M(q_\alpha) + T_M(n - q_\alpha) + k_2 \, n \right]}_{\text{semplifico le due } n \text{ a sinistra}} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n} \left[T_M(q_\alpha) + T_M(n - q_\alpha) + k_2 \, n \right]}_{\text{semplifico le due } n \text{ a sinistra}} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n} \left[T_M(q_\alpha) + T_M(n - q_\alpha) + k_2 \, n \right]}_{\text{semplifico le due } n \text{ a sinistra}} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n} \left[T_M(q_\alpha) + T_M(n - q_\alpha) + k_2 \, n \right]}_{\text{semplifico le due } n \text{ a sinistra}} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n} \left[T_M(q_\alpha) + T_M(n - q_\alpha) + k_2 \, n \right]}_{\text{semplifico le due } n \text{ a sinistra}} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n} \left[T_M(q_\alpha) + T_M(n - q_\alpha) + k_2 \, n \right]}_{\text{semplifico le due } n \text{ a sinistra}} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n} \left[T_M(q_\alpha) + T_M(n - q_\alpha) + k_2 \, n \right]}_{\text{semplifico le due } n \text{ a sinistra}} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n} \left[T_M(q_\alpha) + T_M(n - q_\alpha) + k_2 \, n \right]}_{\text{semplifico le due } n \text{ a sinistra}} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n} \left[T_M(q_\alpha) + T_M(q_\alpha) + t_2 \, n \right]}_{\text{semplifico le due }$$

$$= \underbrace{\left(\frac{k_{1}}{n} + k_{3} n + k_{2}\right)}_{k_{4}} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n} \left[T_{M}(q_{\alpha}) + T_{M}(n - q_{\alpha}) + k_{2} n\right]$$

$$=k_4 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n} [T_M(q_{\alpha}) + T_M(n - q_{\alpha}) + k_2 n] \le$$

$$\leq k_4 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n} \left[T_M(\alpha-1) + T_M(n-(\alpha-1)) + k_2 n \right] =$$
 Imposto $\alpha-1=i$

$$k_4 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [T_M(i) + T_M(n-i) + k_2 n] =$$

$$k_4 + \frac{1}{n} \; k_2 \; n(n-1) + \; \frac{1}{n} \; \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[T_M(i) + T_M(n-i) \right] = \quad \text{Ho portato fuori dalla sommatoria} \; k_2 \; n \; \text{per} \; (n-1) \; \text{volted} \; n = 1 \; \text{volted} \; n$$

$$(k_4 k_2)n - k_2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [T_M(i) + T_M(n-i)] =$$

$$(k_4 k_2)n - k_2 + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} T_M(i)$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[T_M(i) + T_M(n-i) \right] = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} T_M(i) \qquad \text{perche } T_M(i) \text{ compare due volte nella sommatoria}$$

$$i = 1, \quad T(i) = T(1) \qquad \qquad i = n-1, \quad T(n-i) = T(n-(n-1)) = T(1)$$

$$i = 2, \quad T(i) = T(2) \qquad \qquad i = n-2, \quad T(n-i) = T(n-(n-2)) = T(2)$$
 e così via

$$T_M(n) = \begin{cases} k_1 & \text{se } n \leq 1 \\ (k_{_4}\,k_{_2})n - k_{_2} + \; \frac{2}{n} \; \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n-1} T_M(i) & \text{altrimenti} \end{cases}$$

Ora si vuole dimostrare (per **induzione**) che $T(n) \le c \, n \log n$ oppure che $T(n) = O(n \log n)$

Passo base: n=2

$$T_M(2) = (k_4 k_2) 2 - k_2 + \frac{2}{2} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{2-1} T_M(i)}_{T_M(1) = k_1} = (k_4 k_2) 2 - k_2 + k_1$$

Per una costante $c \ge (k_4 k_2)2 - \frac{k_2}{2} + \frac{k_1}{2}$

Ipotesi induttiva: $\forall p \leq n, \quad T_M(p) \leq c \ p \log p \Rightarrow T_M(n+1) \leq c \ n \log n$

Sia $(k_4 k_2) = k_5$

$$T_M(n) = k_5 \ n - k_2 + \ \frac{2}{n} \ \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} T_M(p) \ \leq \ k_5 \ n - k_2 + \ \frac{2}{n} c \ \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} [p \log p]$$
 sviluppiamo questa sommatoria a

$$\sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \left[p \log p \right] = \underbrace{\sum_{p=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} \left[p \log p \right]}_{\log(p) \ \mapsto \ \log \frac{n}{2}} + \underbrace{\sum_{p=\frac{n-1}{2}}^{n-1} \left[p \log p \right]}_{\log(p) \ \mapsto \ \log(n)} \leq \qquad \text{divido la sommatoria in due per cercare una buona approssimazione } \mathbf{superiore}$$

$$\sin h = \frac{n-1}{2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{p=1}^{h-1} \left[p \log \frac{n}{2} \right] + \sum_{p=h}^{n-1} \left[p \log n \right] = (\log n - \underbrace{\log 2}_{-1}) \sum_{p=1}^{h-1} p \ + \ \log n \ \sum_{p=h}^{n-1} p =$$

$$\log n \sum_{p=1}^{h-1} p - \sum_{p=1}^{h-1} p + \log n \sum_{p=h}^{n-1} p = \log n \underbrace{\left(\sum_{p=1}^{h-1} p + \sum_{p=h}^{n-1} p\right)}_{p=1} - \underbrace{\sum_{p=1}^{h-1} p}_{2} = \underbrace{\frac{h(h-1)}{2}}_{p=1}$$

$$(\log n) \left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\right) - \frac{h(h-1)}{2} \le \frac{1}{2}(\log n) n^2 - \frac{n^2}{8}$$

Svolta quella sommatoria, abbiamo infine:

$$T_M(n) = k_{\scriptscriptstyle 5} \, n - k_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} + \, \frac{2}{n} \, \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} T_M(p) \, \, \leq \, \, k_{\scriptscriptstyle 5} \, n - k_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} + \, \frac{2c}{n} \, \left[\frac{n^2}{2} (\log n) - \frac{n^2}{8} \right] = c \, n \log n + k_{\scriptscriptstyle 5} \, n - \frac{c \, n}{4} - k_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}$$

Si ricorda che si vuole dimostrare che $T_M(n) \leq c \, n \log n$, ovvero che $c \, n \log n + k_{\scriptscriptstyle 5} \, n - \frac{c \, n}{4} - k_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, \leq c \, n \log n$

Questo è vero quando
$$k_{\scriptscriptstyle 5}\,n - \frac{c\,n}{4} - k_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \leq 0 \ \Rightarrow \ c \geq 4k_{\scriptscriptstyle 5} - \frac{4k_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}}{n}$$