



# Number-theoretic transform (integer DFT)

## Introduction

The NTT is a generalization of the classic DFT to finite fields. With a lot of work, it basically lets one perform fast convolutions on integer sequences without any round-off errors, guaranteed. Convolutions are useful for multiplying large numbers or long polynomials, and the NTT is asymptotically faster than other methods like Karatsuba multiplication.

## Review of the complex DFT

The classic discrete Fourier transform (DFT) operates on vectors of complex numbers:

1. Suppose the input vector has length  $n$ . The output vector will also have length  $n$ .
2. Let  $\omega$  (omega) be a primitive  $n$ th root of unity. In other words,  $\omega^n = 1$ , but  $\omega^k \neq 1$  for all integers  $1 \leq k < n$ . The standard choice for the DFT is  $\omega = e^{-2\pi i/n}$ .
3. Each output element equals a particular weighted sum of all input elements, using some powers of  $\omega$  as weights. Denoting the input vector as  $X$  and the output vector as  $Y$ , we have (with 0-based indexing):  

$$Y(k) = X(0)\omega^{0k} + X(1)\omega^{1k} + X(2)\omega^{2k} + \dots + X(n-1)\omega^{(n-1)k}.$$
4. The inverse transform, which restores the original vector, is given by:  

$$X(k)n = Y(0)\omega^{-0k} + Y(1)\omega^{-1k} + Y(2)\omega^{-2k} + \dots + Y(n-1)\omega^{-(n-1)k}.$$

Additional notes:

- Linear algebra guarantees that if  $\omega$  is indeed a primitive  $n$ th root of unity of the working field, then the inverse transform is correct.
- One application of the DFT is to compute a circular convolution of two same-length vectors  $X$  and  $Y$ . To do so, compute the forward DFT on  $X$  and  $Y$ , then point-wise multiply the two vectors together, compute the inverse transform on this vector, and finally divide by a scaling factor of  $n$ . Again, this procedure will work in any suitable field of numbers.
- The DFT's most popular application of analyzing/representing a signal as a sum of sine waves doesn't work in the number-theoretic transform.

## Procedure for the NTT

1. Suppose the input vector is a sequence of  $n$  non-negative integers.
2. Choose a minimum working modulus  $M$  such that  $1 \leq n < M$  and every input value is in the range  $[0, M)$ .
3. Select some integer  $k \geq 1$  and define  $N = kn + 1$  as the working modulus. We require  $N \geq M$ , and  $N$  to be a prime number. [Dirichlet's theorem](#) guarantees that for any  $n$  and  $M$ , there exists some choice of  $k$  to make  $N$  be prime.
4. Because  $N$  is prime, the multiplicative group of  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  has size  $\varphi(N) = N - 1 = kn$ . Furthermore, the group must have at least one generator  $g$ , which is also a primitive

$(N - 1)$ th root of unity.

5. Define  $\omega \equiv g^k \bmod N$ . We have  $\omega^n = g^{kn} = g^{N-1} = g^{\varphi(N)} \equiv 1 \bmod N$  due to [Euler's theorem](#). Furthermore because  $g$  is a generator, we know that  $\omega^i = g^{ik} \not\equiv 1$  for  $1 \leq i < n$ , because  $ik < nk = N - 1$ . Hence  $\omega$  is a primitive  $n$ th root of unity, as required by the DFT of length  $n$ .

6. The rest of the procedure for the forward and inverse transforms is identical to the complex DFT. Moreover, the NTT can be modified to implement a fast Fourier transform algorithm such as Cooley-Tukey.

Additional notes:

- When convolving two vectors of length  $n$  where each input value is at most  $m$ , the upper bound on each output value is  $m^2n$ . Choosing a minimum working modulus of  $M = m^2n + 1$  is sufficient to always avoid overflow in the worst case.
- For the prime field  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ , we can either find a generator of the field then derive a primitive  $n$ th root of unity (as mentioned in the procedure), or directly find a primitive  $n$ th root of unity.
  - Such a field has  $\varphi(\varphi(N)) = \varphi(N - 1) = \varphi(kn)$  generators but  $\varphi(n)$  primitive  $n$ th roots of unity. The first number is greater than or equal to the second number. So if we are sampling random candidates in the range  $[0, N)$ , we are more likely (or equally likely) to succeed in finding a generator than finding a primitive  $n$ th root.
  - To find a generator, first fully factorize  $N - 1$  and collect its set of unique prime factors. A candidate  $a \in (1, N)$  is a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  if and only if {for each  $p$  in that set of unique prime factors,  $a^{(N-1)/p} \not\equiv 1 \bmod N$ }.
  - To find a primitive root directly, first fully factorize  $n$  and collect its set of prime factors. A candidate  $a \in (1, N)$  is a primitive  $n$ th root of unity in  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  if and only if  $\{a^n \equiv 1 \bmod N$ , and for each  $p$  in that set of unique prime factors,  $a^{n/p} \not\equiv 1 \bmod N\}$ .
- Although the procedure only describes prime fields for simplicity, it might also be possible to operate on composite rings (e.g.  $\mathbb{Z}_{100}$ ) if a primitive  $n$ th root of unity exists. This could be useful if a composite modulus is much smaller than a prime modulus of the form  $N = kn + 1$ .
- Furthermore, it should be possible to operate on prime-power fields such as  $\text{GF}(2^8)$ . However, this is only useful if the input vector is composed of elements from that field, instead of being plain integers.
- Computing an NTT requires many modular multiplications. It is possible to apply [Montgomery reductions](#) (or the less efficient [Barrett reductions](#)) to speed up the modular arithmetic in an NTT.

## Examples

- Input vector  $X = (6, 0, 10, 7, 2)$  with length  $n = 5$ . Compute a forward transform.
  1. Define the minimum working modulus of  $M = 11$ . We have  $1 \leq n < M$ , and every input value is in the range  $[0, 11)$ .
  2. Select  $k = 2$  so that with  $N = kn + 1 = 2 \times 5 + 1 = 11$ , we have  $N \geq M$ , and  $N$  is prime.

3. Try to find a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$ . Fully factorize  $N - 1 = 10 = 2 \times 5$ . Choose a candidate

$a = 6$ . We have  $\{a^{10/2} = a^5 \equiv 10 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{11}\}$  and  $\{a^{10/5} = a^2 \equiv 3 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{11}\}$ , so  $g = 6$  is indeed a generator.

4. Calculate  $\omega = g^k = 6^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{11}$ . This is our primitive 5th root of unity.

5. Compute the output vector  $Y$ :

$$Y(0) = X(0)\omega^{0 \times 0} + X(1)\omega^{0 \times 1} + X(2)\omega^{0 \times 2} + X(3)\omega^{0 \times 3} + X(4)\omega^{0 \times 4} \equiv 3 \pmod{11}.$$

$$Y(1) = X(0)\omega^{1 \times 0} + X(1)\omega^{1 \times 1} + X(2)\omega^{1 \times 2} + X(3)\omega^{1 \times 3} + X(4)\omega^{1 \times 4} \equiv 7 \pmod{11}.$$

$$Y(2) = X(0)\omega^{2 \times 0} + X(1)\omega^{2 \times 1} + X(2)\omega^{2 \times 2} + X(3)\omega^{2 \times 3} + X(4)\omega^{2 \times 4} \equiv 0 \pmod{11}.$$

$$Y(3) = X(0)\omega^{3 \times 0} + X(1)\omega^{3 \times 1} + X(2)\omega^{3 \times 2} + X(3)\omega^{3 \times 3} + X(4)\omega^{3 \times 4} \equiv 5 \pmod{11}.$$

$$Y(4) = X(0)\omega^{4 \times 0} + X(1)\omega^{4 \times 1} + X(2)\omega^{4 \times 2} + X(3)\omega^{4 \times 3} + X(4)\omega^{4 \times 4} \equiv 4 \pmod{11}.$$

- Input vector  $Y = (3, 7, 0, 5, 4)$  with length  $n = 5$ . Compute the corresponding inverse transform for the example above.

1. We must use the same values of  $k = 2$ ,  $N = 11$ , and  $\omega = 3$  as in the forward transform. Note that  $\omega^{-1} \equiv 4 \pmod{11}$ .

2. Compute the unscaled output vector  $Xn$ :

$$X(0)n = Y(0)\omega^{-0 \times 0} + Y(1)\omega^{-0 \times 1} + \dots + Y(4)\omega^{-0 \times 4} \equiv 8 \pmod{11}.$$

$$X(1)n = Y(0)\omega^{-1 \times 0} + Y(1)\omega^{-1 \times 1} + \dots + Y(4)\omega^{-1 \times 4} \equiv 0 \pmod{11}.$$

$$X(2)n = Y(0)\omega^{-2 \times 0} + Y(1)\omega^{-2 \times 1} + \dots + Y(4)\omega^{-2 \times 4} \equiv 6 \pmod{11}.$$

$$X(3)n = Y(0)\omega^{-3 \times 0} + Y(1)\omega^{-3 \times 1} + \dots + Y(4)\omega^{-3 \times 4} \equiv 2 \pmod{11}.$$

$$X(4)n = Y(0)\omega^{-4 \times 0} + Y(1)\omega^{-4 \times 1} + \dots + Y(4)\omega^{-4 \times 4} \equiv 10 \pmod{11}.$$

3. Finally, multiply each element by  $n^{-1} = 5^{-1} \equiv 9 \pmod{11}$  to get back the original values:  
 $(8, 0, 6, 2, 10) \times 9 \equiv (6, 0, 10, 7, 2) \pmod{11}$

- Input vectors  $X = (4, 1, 4, 2, 1, 3, 5, 6)$  and  $Y = (6, 1, 8, 0, 3, 3, 9, 8)$  with length  $n = 8$ . Compute their circular convolution.

1. Define the minimum working modulus of  $M = 9 \times 9 \times 8 + 1 = 649$ , because each input value is a single-digit integer (less than 10) and we want to avoid the convolution output from overflowing.

2. Select  $k = 84$  so that with  $N = 84 \times 8 + 1 = 673$ , we have  $N \geq M$ , and  $N$  is prime.

3. Try to find a primitive 8th root of unity directly. Fully factorize  $n = 8 = 2 \times 2 \times 2$ . Choose a candidate  $a = 326$ . We have  $\{a^8 \equiv 1 \pmod{673}\}$  and  $\{a^{8/2} = a^4 \equiv 672 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{673}\}$ , so  $\omega = 326$  is indeed a primitive 8th root of unity.

4. Compute the forward transforms:

$$X' = \text{NTT}(X) = (26, 338, 228, 115, 2, 457, 437, 448)$$

$$Y' = \text{NTT}(Y) = (38, 594, 224, 157, 14, 201, 433, 406)$$

5. Compute the point-wise multiplication of the two vectors modulo  $N$ :

$$Z' = X'Y' = (315, 218, 597, 557, 28, 329, 108, 178)$$

6. Compute the inverse NTT with scaling:

$$Z = \text{INTT}(Z') = (123, 120, 106, 92, 139, 144, 140, 124)$$

7. This result represents a circular convolution because, for example,  
 $Z(0) = X(0)Y(0) + X(1)Y(7) + X(2)Y(6) + \dots + X(7)Y(1)$ . That is to say,

$$\text{each } Z(i) \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} X(j)Y((i-j) \bmod n) \bmod N.$$

## Source code

### Python

- [numbertheoretictransform.py](#)
- [numbertheoretictransform-test.py](#)

### Java (      size)

- [SmallNumberTheoreticTransform.java](#)
- [SmallNumberTheoreticTransformTest.java](#)

### Java (                      size)

- [BigNumberTheoreticTransform.java](#)
- [BigNumberTheoreticTransformTest.java](#)

### Library features:

- Finding suitable prime modulus
- Finding primitive  $n$ th root of unity
- Computing forward and inverse transforms (naive  $\Theta(n^2)$  algorithm)
- Computing fast forward transform (Cooley-Tukey  $\Theta(n \log n)$  algorithm)
- Computing circular convolution (using naive algorithm)
- Unit tests for all functions (some hard-coded vectors, some randomized cases)

## Proof of DFT/NTT correctness

1. The forward NTT is given by the following matrix:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \omega^0 & \omega^0 & \omega^0 & \dots & \omega^0 \\ \omega^0 & \omega^1 & \omega^2 & \dots & \omega^{n-1} \\ \omega^0 & \omega^2 & \omega^4 & \dots & \omega^{2(n-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \omega^0 & \omega^{n-1} & \omega^{2(n-1)} & \dots & \omega^{(n-1)^2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

2. The unscaled inverse NTT is given by the following matrix:

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \omega^{-0} & \omega^{-0} & \omega^{-0} & \dots & \omega^{-0} \\ \omega^{-0} & \omega^{-1} & \omega^{-2} & \dots & \omega^{-(n-1)} \\ \omega^{-0} & \omega^{-2} & \omega^{-4} & \dots & \omega^{-2(n-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \omega^{-0} & \omega^{-(n-1)} & \omega^{-2(n-1)} & \dots & \omega^{-(n-1)^2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

3. We want to show that their product is a scaled identity matrix, i.e.  $C = AB = nI$ , where

$$I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

4. Examine an arbitrary cell on the main diagonal:

$$C_{i,i} = [A \text{ row } i] \cdot [B \text{ col } i] = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega^{ik} \omega^{-ik} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega^0 = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 1 = n$$

5. Now examine an arbitrary cell off the main diagonal, with  $i \neq j$ , letting  $\delta = i - j$ , where  $-n < \delta < n$  and  $\delta \neq 0$ :

$$C_{i,j} = [A \text{ row } i] \cdot [B \text{ col } j] = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega^{ik} \omega^{-jk} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega^{(i-j)k} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega^{\delta k}.$$

This sum is a geometric series. We know that in general,

$$1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + \cdots + x^{n-1} = \frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1}.$$

$$\text{Hence } C_{i,j} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega^{\delta k} = \frac{\omega^{\delta n} - 1}{\omega^{\delta} - 1} = 0, \text{ which requires a bit more explanation.}$$

In the numerator,  $\omega^n = 1$  because  $\omega$  is a (primitive)  $n$ th root of unity, so  $(\omega^n)^\delta = 1$  and the overall numerator is 0.

In the denominator,  $\omega$  is a *primitive*  $n$ th root of unity and  $-n < \delta < n$  and  $\delta \neq 0$ , thus  $\omega^\delta \neq 1$ , which avoids division by zero.

6. Therefore we have proven that the matrix product  $AB$  is a scaled identity matrix, which shows that the number-theoretic transform is invertible up to a scale factor.

## More info

- [apfloat: Number theoretic transforms](#)
- [Wikipedia: Discrete Fourier transform \(general\) - Number-theoretic transform](#)
- [University of California, Los Angeles: EE133A \(Applied Numerical Computing\) - Orthogonal matrices](#)

