#### **Pediatric OT Report Generator: Developer Brief**

**Client:** Fushia Crooms, FMRC Health Group

**Purpose:** Automate the generation of pediatric OT evaluation reports using AI to extract data directly from uploaded PDFs. Output must match the clinical tone, detail, and structure of the Master Report 6:4 example.

### High-Level Workflow

- 1. User uploads PDF files
- 2. Al extracts all necessary data (demographics, scores, notes)
- 3. Al calculates chronological age
- 4. Al interprets scores in detailed clinical narrative
- 5. Al generates the final report text
- 6. Output is written into a structured Google Doc
- 7. Email notification is sent to user with the Doc link

## ✓ Functional Requirements

#### 1. PDF Upload Intake

- Upload portal should accept multiple PDFs per case (Facesheet, Bayley-4, SP2, ChOMPS, PediEAT, Notes)
- Accept both native PDFs and scanned documents (OCR-ready)
- Uploaded files are stored securely for AI processing

#### 2. AI-Based Extraction and Processing

- Extract fields:
  - Child's Full Name, DOB, Parent/Guardian, UCI#, Sex, Language, Date of Encounter
  - Clinical Notes in bullet-point format
  - Assessment score tables or summary blocks from standardized tools
- Auto-calculate chronological age using DOB and Date of Encounter

#### 3. Bullet-to-Narrative Conversion

• Al must convert bullet-point observations (e.g., posture, behaviors, reactions) into professional narrative paragraphs using the user's tone

#### Example:

Input: - Overstuffed mouth - Gagged several times - Used both hands to self-feed

**Output:** > Child overstuffed his mouth several times and gagged in response to large bolus sizes. He used both hands during self-feeding, demonstrating independence with finger foods but limited oral control.

#### 4. Score Interpretation (Detailed)

Al must interpret scores from the following assessments with rich clinical detail:

- **A. Bayley-4** Report: Scaled Score, Age Equivalent, % Delay Interpretation must: Compare to chronological age Include range classification (e.g., "extremely low") Link to observed functional limitations
- **B. SP2** Explain Seeking, Avoiding, Sensitivity, Registration scores Include real-world implications (e.g., grooming, play, feeding)
- **C. ChOMPS** Report domain-specific scores and levels of concern Describe feeding risks: bolus control, gagging, food hoarding
- **D. PediEAT** Interpret elevated symptoms in Physiology, Processing, Mealtime Behavior, Selectivity Identify safety and endurance concerns during meals

#### 5. GPT Prompt Assembly

- Structured prompt sent to GPT-4 with all extracted fields
- Al instructed to fill in only highlighted sections (green/yellow)
- Must replicate tone and language of Master Report 6:4

#### 6. Output into Google Docs

- Use Google Docs API to create a new document for each child
- Report must use a standardized FMRC Health template
- Output includes:
  - Chronological age
  - Rewritten test observations
  - Detailed interpretations
  - Summary, goals, and recommendations

#### 7. Email Notification

- Once report is ready, system emails Fushia at fushia.crooms@gmail.com
- Include child name and Google Docs link

### Tech Stack Suggestions

Task	Tools
PDF Parsing	PDF.co, Docparser, Amazon Textract, Azure Form Recognizer
Al Language	Azure OpenAI (HIPAA) or OpenAI GPT-4 API

Task	Tools
Automation	Python (Flask/FastAPI), Power Automate, or Zapier
Output	Google Docs API
Notification	Gmail API, Zapier, or Google Apps Script
Hosting	Azure Functions, AWS Lambda, or Google Cloud Run

# Security

- Use HIPAA-compliant storage and processing (Azure preferred)
- Ensure no PHI is sent to non-compliant APIs
- Maintain audit logs of uploaded files and report generations

### **End of Developer Brief**

Prepared for: Fushia Crooms

Preferred Email: fushia.crooms@gmail.com