Math 63: Real Analysis

Winter 2024

PSET 4 — 01/31/2024

Prof. Erchenko

Student: Amittai Siavava

Credit Statement

I worked on these problems alone, with reference to class notes and the following books:

(a) Introduction to Analysis by Maxwell Rosenlicht

Problem 1.

If a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots is a bounded sequence of real numbers, define

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n \coloneqq \sup \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid a_n > x \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} a_n := \inf \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid a_n < x \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

Prove that $\liminf_{n\to\infty} a_n \le \limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n$ with the equality holding if and only if the sequence converges.

Let a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots be a bounded sequence of real numbers as defined above. Let

$$L\coloneqq \liminf_{n\to\infty} a_n, \qquad U\coloneqq \limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n.$$

For convenience, define

$$S_U := \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid a_n > x \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

$$S_L := \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid a_n < x \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

such that $L = \inf S_L$ and $U = \sup S_U$.

We shall first prove that $\liminf_{n\to\infty} a_n \le \limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n$, then show that the equality holds if and only if the sequence converges.

Claim 1.1. u < l for all $l \in S_L$ and $u \in S_U$.

Proof. Let $l \in S_L$ and $u \in S_U$. By definition of S_L and S_U , $u < a_n < l$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, u < l. \square

Claim 1.2. $L \leq U$.

Proof. L is a lower bound of S_L and U is an upper bound of S_U . This means that $L \leq l$ for all $l \in S_L$ and $u \leq U$ for all $u \in S_U$. By the previous claim, u < l, Suppose U < L. Since L was a lower bound on S_L , this would imply that U is also a lower bound on S_L , hence $U \leq l$ for all $l \in S_L$.

Problem 2.

Let
$$x_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n$$
 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 2.1. The Euler number e can be defined as $\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)^n$.

(i) Using induction, show that for all x > -1 and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(1+x)^n \ge 1 + nx.$$

We shall prove the claim by induction on n.

Proof. Let x > -1 and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(a) Base case: n = 1.

$$(1+x)^n = (1+x)^1 = 1+x = 1+1 \cdot x = 1+nx$$

Since $1 + nx \ge 1 + nx$, the inequality holds for n = 1.

(b) Inductive step: Suppose $(1+x)^n \ge 1 + nx$.

$$(1+x)^{n+1} = (1+x)^n (1+x)$$

$$\geq (1+nx)(1+x) = 1+x+nx+nx^2$$

$$\geq 1 + x + nx \qquad = 1 + (n+1)x$$

Therefore, $(1+x)^n \ge 1 + nx$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(ii) Using the previous item, show that $\frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} \ge 1$ so x_n is monotonically increasing.

To show this, we need to show that $x_{n+1} \ge x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\begin{split} \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} &= \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)^{n+1}}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n} \\ &= \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)^n}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1 + \frac{1}{n+1}}{1 + \frac{1}{n}}\right)^n \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1 + \frac{1}{n+1}}{n+1}\right)^n \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{n + \frac{n}{n+1}}{n+1}\right)^n \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{n}{n+1} + \frac{n}{(n+1)^2}\right)^n \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{n}{(n+1)^2}\right)^n \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}\right)^n \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}\right)^n \cdot \left(1 + \frac{n}{n+1}\right) \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{n}{(n+1)^2}\right) \cdot \left(1 + \frac{n}{n+1}\right) \qquad \text{(subtracting a bigger term)} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{n}{n+1}\right)\right) \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n(n+1)} \\ &= \frac{n(n+1) - n + (n+1) - 1}{n(n+1)} \\ &= \frac{n(n+1)}{n(n+1)} \\ &= \frac{n(n+1)}{n(n+1)} \\ &= 1 \end{split}$$

(iii) Show that x_n is bounded using the binomial formula

$$(a+b)^{n} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}a^{n-k}b^{k} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \choose k}a^{n-k}b^{k}.$$

Fix a and b to arbitrary real numbers. We shall prove this by induction on n.

(a) Base case: n = 1

$$(a+b)^{n} = a+b$$

$$= \binom{1}{0}a^{1} \cdot b^{0} + \binom{1}{1}a^{0}b^{1}$$

$$= \binom{1}{0}a^{1-0}b^{0} + \binom{1}{1}a^{1-1}b^{1} = \sum_{k=0}^{1} \binom{n}{k}a^{n-k}b^{k}$$

(b) Inductive step: Assume the invariant holds for $(a+b)^n$. We shall show that it holds for $(a+b)^{(n+1)}$.

$$(a+b)^{n+1} = (a+b)^{n} (a+b)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a^{n-k} b^{k}\right) (a+b)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a^{n-k} b^{k} \cdot a + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a^{n-k} b^{k} \cdot b$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a^{n+1-k} b^{k} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a^{n-k} b^{k+1}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a^{n+1-k} b^{k} + a^{n-k} b^{k+1}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a^{n+1-k} b^{k} + \binom{n}{k-1} a^{n+1-k} b^{k} \qquad \text{(Grouping together equal powers)}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left(\frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} + \frac{n!}{(k-1)!(n-k+1)!}\right) a^{n+1-k} b^{k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left(\frac{(n+1-k) n! + kn!}{k!(n+1-k)!}\right) a^{n+1-k} b^{k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left(\frac{(n+1) n!}{k!(n+1-k)!}\right) a^{n+1-k} b^{k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left(\frac{(n+1)!}{k!(n+1-k)!}\right) a^{n+1-k} b^{k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n+1}{k!} a^{n+1-k} b^{k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} a^{n+1-k} b^{k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} a^{n+1-k} b^{k}$$

Since a and b were arbitrary, this holds for all a and b, including a = 1 and $b = \frac{1}{n}$, thus x_n is bounded by the binomial formula since equality satisfies both *less than or equal to* and *greater than or equal to*.

(iv) Show that $\{x_n\}$ is convergent.

As seen in (ii) and (iii), $\{x_n\}$ is monotonically increasing and is bounded above.

Claim 2.2. $\{x_n\}$ is convergent.

Proof. Suppose $\{x_n\}$ is not convergent. Since $\{x_n\}$ is monotonically increasing, this implies that it must not be bounded above and $\lim_{n\to\infty}x_n=\infty$, which contradicts the known fact (by remark 2.1) that $\lim_{n\to\infty}x_n=e\neq\infty$. Therefore, the sequence must be convergent.

Problem 3.

Show that a complete subspace of a metric space is a closed subset.

Definition 3.1. A metric space X is complete if every Cauchy sequence in X has a limit point in X.

Definition 3.2. A subset S of a metric space X is closed if S contains all its limit points.

Claim 3.3. Every convergent sequence is Cauchy.

Proof. Let X be a metric space and $P \coloneqq \{p_n\}_1^\infty \subseteq X$ be a convergent sequence in X. Let $p \in X$ be the limit of P. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, since P converges to p, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(p_n, p) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for all $n \ge N$. Therefore,

$$d(p_n, p_m) \le d(p_n, p) + d(p, p_m) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$$

Therefore, *P* is Cauchy.

Claim 3.4. A complete subspace of a metric space is a closed subset.

Proof. Let X be a metric space and $Y \subseteq X$ be a complete subspace of X.

Let $P := \{p_n\}_1^\infty \subseteq Y$ be an arbitrary convergent sequence in Y (i.e. a sequence that has a limit). To show that Y is closed, we need to show that any such P has a limit point in Y.

By claim 3.3, the convergence of P implies that P is Cauchy. Since Y is complete by definition, and P is Cauchy, P has a limit point $p \in Y$.

Since P was arbitrary, Y contains all its limit points, whenever limit points exist, so Y is closed.

Problem 4.

Definition 4.1. A set S is compact if every open cover of S has a finite subcover.

Definition 4.2. An open cover of a set S is a collection of open sets $\{U_a\}_{a\in A}$ such that $S\subseteq \bigcup_{a\in A}U_a$.

Let
$$A \coloneqq \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}$$
.

(i) Show that A is not compact directly using the definition.

Claim 4.3. A has no finite subcover.

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_n = \frac{1}{n} \in A$, set

$$r_n = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \min \left\{ \frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right\}$$

such that $r_n \leq \frac{1}{2}d(a_{n-1},a_n)$ and $r_n \leq \frac{1}{2}d(a_n,a_{n+1})$. Define the open ball

$$B_n := B_{r_n}(a_n) = (a_n - r_n, a_n + r_n).$$

Then each B_n is a non-empty open set containing *only* the single element a_n . Furthermore, any two distinct B_n and B_m are disjoint. Therefore, $\{B_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an infinite open cover of A, (since there are infinitely many B_n), and each a_n is contained in exactly one B_n , so there is no finite subcover.

(ii) Show that $A \cup \{0\}$ is compact directly using the definition.

Claim 4.4. $A \cup \{0\}$ has a finite subcover.

Proof. Let $S := A \cup \{0\}$. First, note that A is bounded below by 0 and bounded above by 1. Let $\{U_a\}_{a \in A}$ be an open cover of S. Since $0 \in S$, there exists $a_0 \in A$ such that $0 \in U_{a_0}$. U_{a_0} is an open set, so there exists some $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B_{\varepsilon}(0) \subseteq U_{a_0}$. However, we know that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{N} < \varepsilon$, and by the order properties of \mathbb{R} , $0 < \frac{1}{N} < \varepsilon \implies 0 < \frac{1}{n} \ge \frac{1}{N} < \varepsilon$ for all $n \ge N$.

Therefore, $B_{\varepsilon}(0)$ contains infinitely many points, and only the points $\frac{1}{k}$ for k < N are not contained in $B_{\varepsilon}(0)$. Therefore, $\{B_{\varepsilon}(0)\} \cup \{U_k \mid k < N\}$ is a finite subcover of S.

Problem 5.

Let (X, d) be a metric space and $S \subset X$. Show directly that if S is sequentially compact then S is limit-point compact without using the theorem we proved in class.

Definition 5.1. A metric space X is sequentially compact if every sequence in X has a convergent subsequence converging to a point in X. **Definition 5.2.** A subset S of a metric space X is limit-point compact if every infinite subset of S has a limit point in S. **Claim 5.3.** If a subsequence of a sequence converges to a point in S, then the sequence also converges to that point.

Proof. Let X be a metric space and $S \subseteq X$. Let $P := \{p_n\}_1^\infty \subseteq S$ be a sequence in S, and let $Q := \{p_{n_k}\}_1^\infty \subseteq P$ be a subsequence of P. Suppose Q converges to $q \in S$. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(q_n, q) < \varepsilon$ for all $n \ge N$. Since $Q \subseteq P$, this implies that $d(p_k, q) < \varepsilon$ for infinitely many distinct $k \in \mathbb{N}$, hence $d(p_k, q) > \varepsilon$ for finite $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Pick $K = \max\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid d(p_k, q) > \varepsilon\}$. Then, for all $n \ge K$, $d(p_n, q) < \varepsilon$, so P also converges to q. **Claim 5.4.** If S is sequentially compact then S is limit-point compact.

Proof. For any infinite subset of S, we can construct a sequence P in S by picking any arbitrary element p_1 in the subset, then picking any arbitrary element p_2 in the subset that is not p_1 , and so on. Since the subset is infinite, we can always pick an element that is not in the sequence so far.

Suppose S is sequentially compact. Then, by definition of sequential compactness, every such sequence P in S has a convergent subsequence Q converging to some point $q \in S$. By claim 5.3, P also converges to q, so P itself has a limit point in S.

Therefore, *S* is limit-point compact.

Problem 6.

Prove that every bounded sequence of real numbers has a convergent subsequence (This statement is known as the *Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem*).

[Hint]: Construct a Cauchy subsequence from the given sequence by constructing a sequence of nested intervals whose length converges to 0 and each interval has infinitely many elements from the original sequence.