# Math 63: Real Analysis

Winter 2024

# PSET 4 — 01/31/2024

Prof. Erchenko

Student: Amittai Siavava

#### **Credit Statement**

I worked on these problems alone, with reference to class notes and the following books:

(a) Introduction to Analysis by Maxwell Rosenlicht

#### Problem 1.

If  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots$  is a bounded sequence of real numbers, define

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n \coloneqq \sup \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid a_n > x \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} a_n := \inf \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid a_n < x \text{ for infinitely many } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

Prove that  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} a_n \le \limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n$  with the equality holding if and only if the sequence converges.

Let  $A = a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots$  be a bounded sequence of real numbers as defined above.

Claim 1.1.  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} a_n \le \limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n$ 

*Proof.* Let  $L = \liminf_{n \to \infty} a_n$  and  $U = \limsup_{n \to \infty} a_n$ . By the definitions of  $\liminf$  and  $\limsup$ , for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$a_n \ge L - \varepsilon$$
 for infinitely many  $n > n_1$ 

$$a_n \le U + \varepsilon$$
 for infinitely many  $n > n_2$ 

Thus, for any  $n > \max\{n_1, n_2\}$ , we have

$$L - \varepsilon \le a_n \le U + \varepsilon$$

**Claim 1.2.** If the sequence converges, then  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} a_n = \limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n$ .

*Proof.* Suppose the sequence  $A = a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots$  converges to some point a.

Then, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(a_n, a) < \varepsilon$  for all  $n \ge N$ , so that  $a - \varepsilon < a_n < a + \varepsilon$  for infinitely

many  $n \ge N$ . Therefore,  $\liminf_{n \to \infty} a_n > a - \varepsilon$  and  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} a_n < a + \varepsilon$ . So we have:

$$a-\varepsilon < \liminf_{n \to \infty} a_n \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} a_n < a+\varepsilon$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Given that  $\{a_n\}_1^{\infty}$  converges, we can make  $\varepsilon$  arbitrarily small, so that  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} a_n = \limsup_{n\to\infty} a_n = a$ .

# Problem 2.

Let  $x_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Remark 2.1. The Euler number e can be defined as  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)^n$ .

(i) Using induction, show that for all x > -1 and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$(1+x)^n \ge 1 + nx.$$

We shall prove the claim by induction on n.

*Proof.* Let x > -1 and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(a) Base case: n = 1.

$$[(1+x)^n]_{n=1} = (1+x)^1 = 1+x = 1+1 \cdot x = 1+nx$$

Since  $1 + nx \ge 1 + nx$  (since any number is greater than or equal to itself), the inequality holds for n = 1.

(b) Inductive step: Assume  $(1+x)^n \ge 1 + nx$ . We show that the invariant holds for n+1.

$$(1+x)^{n+1} = (1+x)^n (1+x)$$

$$\geq (1 + nx)(1 + x) = 1 + x + nx + nx^2$$

$$\geq 1 + x + nx \qquad = 1 + (n+1)x$$

Therefore,  $(1+x)^n \ge 1 + nx$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(ii) Using the previous item, show that  $\frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} \ge 1$  so  $x_n$  is monotonically increasing.

To show this, we need to show that  $x_{n+1} \ge x_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$\begin{split} \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} &= \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)^{n+1}}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n} \\ &= \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right)^n}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1 + \frac{1}{n+1}}{1 + \frac{1}{n}}\right)^n \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1 + \frac{1}{n+1}}{n+1}\right)^n \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{n + \frac{n}{n+1}}{n+1}\right)^n \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{n}{n+1} + \frac{n}{(n+1)^2}\right)^n \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{n}{(n+1)^2}\right)^n \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}\right)^n \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}\right)^n \cdot \left(1 + \frac{n}{n+1}\right) \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{n}{(n+1)^2}\right) \cdot \left(1 + \frac{n}{n+1}\right) \qquad \text{(subtracting a bigger term)} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{n}{n+1}\right)\right) \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n(n+1)} \\ &= \frac{n(n+1) - n + (n+1) - 1}{n(n+1)} \\ &= \frac{n(n+1)}{n(n+1)} \\ &= \frac{n(n+1)}{n(n+1)} \\ &= 1 \end{split}$$

(iii) Show that  $x_n$  is bounded using the binomial formula

$$(a+b)^{n} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}a^{n-k}b^{k} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \choose k}a^{n-k}b^{k}.$$

Fix a and b to arbitrary real numbers. We shall prove this by induction on n.

(a) Base case: n = 1

$$(a+b)^{n} = a+b$$

$$= \binom{1}{0}a^{1} \cdot b^{0} + \binom{1}{1}a^{0}b^{1}$$

$$= \binom{1}{0}a^{1-0}b^{0} + \binom{1}{1}a^{1-1}b^{1} = \sum_{k=0}^{1} \binom{n}{k}a^{n-k}b^{k}$$

(b) Inductive step: Assume the invariant holds for  $(a+b)^n$ . We shall show that it holds for  $(a+b)^{(n+1)}$ .

$$(a+b)^{n+1} = (a+b)^{n} (a+b)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a^{n-k} b^{k}\right) (a+b)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a^{n-k} b^{k} \cdot a + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a^{n-k} b^{k} \cdot b$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a^{n+1-k} b^{k} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a^{n-k} b^{k+1}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a^{n+1-k} b^{k} + a^{n-k} b^{k+1}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a^{n+1-k} b^{k} + \binom{n}{k-1} a^{n+1-k} b^{k} \qquad \text{(Grouping together equal powers)}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left(\frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} + \frac{n!}{(k-1)!(n-k+1)!}\right) a^{n+1-k} b^{k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left(\frac{(n+1-k) n! + kn!}{k!(n+1-k)!}\right) a^{n+1-k} b^{k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left(\frac{(n+1) n!}{k!(n+1-k)!}\right) a^{n+1-k} b^{k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left(\frac{(n+1)!}{k!(n+1-k)!}\right) a^{n+1-k} b^{k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n+1}{k!} a^{n+1-k} b^{k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} a^{n+1-k} b^{k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} a^{n+1-k} b^{k}$$

Since a and b were arbitrary, this holds for all a and b, including a = 1 and  $b = \frac{1}{n}$ , thus  $x_n$  is bounded by the binomial formula since equality satisfies both *less than or equal to* and *greater than or equal to*.

# (iv) Show that $\{x_n\}$ is convergent.

As seen in (ii) and (iii),  $\{x_n\}$  is monotonically increasing and is bounded above.

# Claim 2.2. $\{x_n\}$ is convergent.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\{x_n\}$  is not convergent. Since  $\{x_n\}$  is monotonically increasing, this implies that it must not be bounded above and  $\lim_{n\to\infty}x_n=\infty$ , which contradicts the known fact (by remark 2.1) that  $\lim_{n\to\infty}x_n=e\neq\infty$ . Therefore, the sequence must be convergent.

# Problem 3.

Show that a complete subspace of a metric space is a closed subset.

**Definition 3.1.** A metric space X is complete if every Cauchy sequence in X has a limit point in X.

**Definition 3.2.** A subset S of a metric space X is closed if S contains all its limit points.

**Claim 3.3.** Every convergent sequence is Cauchy.

*Proof.* Let X be a metric space and  $P \coloneqq \{p_n\}_1^\infty \subseteq X$  be a convergent sequence in X. Let  $p \in X$  be the limit of P. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , since P converges to p, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(p_n, p) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for all  $n \ge N$ . Therefore,

$$d(p_n, p_m) \le d(p_n, p) + d(p, p_m) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$$

Therefore, *P* is Cauchy.

Claim 3.4. A complete subspace of a metric space is a closed subset.

*Proof.* Let X be a metric space and  $Y \subseteq X$  be a complete subspace of X.

Let  $P := \{p_n\}_1^\infty \subseteq Y$  be an arbitrary convergent sequence in Y (i.e. a sequence that has a limit). To show that Y is closed, we need to show that any such P has a limit point in Y.

By claim 3.3, the convergence of P implies that P is Cauchy. Since Y is complete by definition, and P is Cauchy, P has a limit point  $p \in Y$ .

Since P was arbitrary, Y contains all its limit points, whenever limit points exist, so Y is closed.

## Problem 4.

**Definition 4.1.** A set S is compact if every open cover of S has a finite subcover.

**Definition 4.2.** An open cover of a set S is a collection of open sets  $\{U_a\}_{a\in A}$  such that  $S\subseteq \bigcup_{a\in A}U_a$ .

Let 
$$A \coloneqq \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}$$
.

(i) Show that A is not compact directly using the definition.

Claim 4.3. A has no finite subcover.

*Proof.* For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_n = \frac{1}{n} \in A$ , set

$$r_n = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \min \left\{ \frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right\}$$

such that  $r_n \leq \frac{1}{2}d(a_{n-1},a_n)$  and  $r_n \leq \frac{1}{2}d(a_n,a_{n+1})$ . Define the open ball

$$B_n := B_{r_n}(a_n) = (a_n - r_n, a_n + r_n).$$

Then each  $B_n$  is a non-empty open set containing *only* the single element  $a_n$ . Furthermore, any two distinct  $B_n$  and  $B_m$  are disjoint. Therefore,  $\{B_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is an infinite open cover of A, (since there are infinitely many  $B_n$ ), and each  $a_n$  is contained in exactly one  $B_n$ , so there is no finite subcover.

(ii) Show that  $A \cup \{0\}$  is compact directly using the definition.

**Claim 4.4.**  $A \cup \{0\}$  has a finite subcover.

Proof. Let  $S := A \cup \{0\}$ . First, note that A is bounded below by 0 and bounded above by 1. Let  $\{U_a\}_{a \in A}$  be an open cover of S. Since  $0 \in S$ , there exists  $a_0 \in A$  such that  $0 \in U_{a_0}$ .  $U_{a_0}$  is an open set, so there exists some  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $B_{\varepsilon}(0) \subseteq U_{a_0}$ . However, we know that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{N} < \varepsilon$ , and by the order properties of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < \frac{1}{N} < \varepsilon \implies 0 < \frac{1}{n} \ge \frac{1}{N} < \varepsilon$  for all  $n \ge N$ .

Therefore,  $B_{\varepsilon}(0)$  contains infinitely many points, and only the points  $\frac{1}{k}$  for k < N are not contained in  $B_{\varepsilon}(0)$ . Therefore,  $\{B_{\varepsilon}(0)\} \cup \{U_k \mid k < N\}$  is a finite subcover of S.

## Problem 5.

Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $S \subset X$ . Show directly that if S is sequentially compact then S is limit-point compact without using the theorem we proved in class.

**Definition 5.1.** A metric space X is sequentially compact if every sequence in X has a convergent subsequence converging to a point in X. **Definition 5.2.** A subset S of a metric space X is limit-point compact if every infinite subset of S has a limit point in S. **Claim 5.3.** If a subsequence of a sequence converges to a point in S, then the sequence also converges to that point.

Proof. Let X be a metric space and  $S \subseteq X$ . Let  $P := \{p_n\}_1^\infty \subseteq S$  be a sequence in S, and let  $Q := \{p_{n_k}\}_1^\infty \subseteq P$  be a subsequence of P. Suppose Q converges to  $q \in S$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(q_n, q) < \varepsilon$  for all  $n \ge N$ . Since  $Q \subseteq P$ , this implies that  $d(p_k, q) < \varepsilon$  for infinitely many distinct  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , hence  $d(p_k, q) > \varepsilon$  for finite  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Pick  $K = \max\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid d(p_k, q) > \varepsilon\}$ . Then, for all  $n \ge K$ ,  $d(p_n, q) < \varepsilon$ , so P also converges to q. **Claim 5.4.** If S is sequentially compact then S is limit-point compact.

Proof. For any infinite subset of S, we can construct a sequence P in S by picking any arbitrary element  $p_1$  in the subset, then picking any arbitrary element  $p_2$  in the subset that is not  $p_1$ , and so on. Since the subset is infinite, we can always pick an element that is not in the sequence so far.

Suppose S is sequentially compact. Then, by definition of sequential compactness, every such sequence P in S has a convergent subsequence Q converging to some point  $q \in S$ . By claim 5.3, P also converges to q, so P itself has a limit point in S.

Therefore, *S* is limit-point compact.

## Problem 6.

Prove that every bounded sequence of real numbers has a convergent subsequence (This statement is known as the *Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem*).

[Hint]: Construct a Cauchy subsequence from the given sequence by constructing a sequence of nested intervals whose length converges to 0 and each interval has infinitely many elements from the original sequence.

Let  $P := \{p_n\}_1^\infty \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded sequence of real numbers. Then P is contained in some interval [a,b]. For an interval S, let  $\mathcal{L}(S)$  denote the length of the interval. We shall construct a sequence of nested intervals as follows:

- **1.** Let  $I_0 := [a, b]$ . Note that  $\mathcal{L}(I_0) = |a b|$ .
- 2. Let  $I_1$  be whichever of  $\left[a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right]$  and  $\left[\frac{a+b}{2}, b\right]$  contains infinitely many elements of P at least one of them must contain infinitely many elements of P, since their union is  $I_0$ , which contains infinitely many elements of P. If both contain infinitely many elements of P, pick either one. Note that  $\mathcal{L}(I_1) = \frac{|a-b|}{2}$  and  $I_1 \subseteq I_0$ .
- **3.** Construct  $I_2$  to be whichever half of  $I_1$  contains infinitely many elements of P such that  $\mathcal{L}(I_2) = \frac{|a-b|}{2^2}$  and  $I_2 \subseteq I_1$ .
- **4.** For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , recursively construct  $I_n$  to be whichever half of  $I_{n-1}$  contains infinitely many elements of P so that  $\mathcal{L}(I_n) = \frac{|a-b|}{2^n}$  and  $I_n \subseteq I_{n-1} \subseteq \ldots \subseteq I_0$ .

Next, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , pick any element  $q_n$  from the sequence P such that  $q_n \in I_n$ .

**Claim 6.1.**  $\{q_n\}$  is Cauchy.

*Proof.* For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{L}(I_n) = 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathcal{L}(I_N) < \varepsilon$ . Note that for any n, m > N,  $q_m \in I_N$  and  $q_n \in I_N$ . Therefore,

$$d(q_m, q_n) \le \mathcal{L}(I_N) < \varepsilon$$

Thus,  $\{q_n\}_1^{\infty}$  is Cauchy, and  $\{q_n\}_1^{\infty} \subseteq [a,b]$ , so  $\{q_n\}_1^{\infty}$  is bounded. By the completeness of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\{q_n\}_1^{\infty}$  converges.