

**PSET 3 — 01/30/2023***Prof. Chakrabarti**Student: Amittai Siavava***Credit Statement**

I discussed ideas for this homework assignment with Paul Shin.

I also referred to the following books:

- (a) **Introduction to the Theory of Computation** by **Michael Sipser**.
- (b) **A Mathematical Introduction to Logic** by **Herbert Enderton**.

**Problem 1.**

Recall the CYCLE operation from Homework 1:

$$\text{CYCLE}(L) = \{yx : x, y \in \Sigma^* \text{ and } xy \in L\}$$

Prove that if  $L$  is regular, then so is  $\text{CYCLE}(L)$ .

**Problem 2.**

- (a) Draw a 3-state DFA for the language

$$D_3 = \{x \in \{0, 1\}^* : \beta(x) \text{ is divisible by } 3\},$$

where  $\beta(x)$  is the string  $x$  interpreted as a binary number.

- (b) Convert the DFA into a regular expression using the  $R_{ij}^k$  method.

To do this systematically, make a big table with 9 rows indexed by the pairs  $(i, j)$  and 4 columns indexed by the possible values of  $k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ . Fill each cell of the table with a regular expression that generates the corresponding  $R_{ij}^k$ . Sometimes, you'll obtain a complicated regular expression if you directly apply the equations from class. In such cases, show what the equations give you and only then simplify. You may use the shorthand  $X+$  to denote  $XX^*$ , where  $X$  is an arbitrary regular expression.

**Problem 3.**

For each of the following languages, decide whether it is regular or not. If it is regular, give a finite automaton or a regular expression for it. If it is not regular, use any combination of the pumping lemma, closure properties, or results *proved* in class.

- (a)  $L_1 = \{0^m 1^n 0^{m+n} : m, n \geq 0\}$ .
- (b)  $L_2 = \{xwx^R : x, w \in \{0, 1\}^*, |x| > 0 \text{ and } |w| > 0\}$ .
- (c)  $L_3 = \{0^m 1^n : m \text{ divides } n\}$ .
- (d)  $L_4 = \{0^n 1^p : n \leq 4 \text{ or } p \text{ is prime (or both)}\}$ .
- (e) The infinite union  $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_i$ , where each  $A_i$  is a regular language. *The question should be interpreted as asking whether the union is **guaranteed** to be regular no matter how the sets  $A_i$  are chosen.*
- (f) The infinite intersection  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} A_i$ , where each  $A_i$  is a regular language. *The question should be interpreted as asking whether the intersection is **guaranteed** to be regular no matter how the sets  $A_i$  are chosen.*

**Problem 4.**

- (a) For a language  $A$  over alphabet  $\Sigma$ , define the relation  $\equiv_A$  on strings in  $\Sigma^*$  as follows: “ $x \equiv_A y$ ” means:

$$\forall w \in \Sigma^* (xw \in A \iff yw \in A).$$

Formally (and concisely) prove that  $\equiv_A$  is an equivalence relation.

If  $\equiv_A$  is an equivalence relation, then it must be reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

(i) **Reflexivity:**

(ii) **Symmetry:**

(iii) **Transitivity:**

- (b) The equivalence  $\equiv_A$  is called the *left equivalence relation* of the language  $A$ . An equivalence relation on a set partitions the set into disjoint subsets called equivalence classes in the following way: two elements belong to the same class iff they are related by the equivalence relation. Thus,  $\equiv_A$ , which is a relation on  $\Sigma^*$ , partitions  $\Sigma^*$  into equivalence classes: these are called the left equivalence classes of the language  $A$ .

For example, consider the language  $C = \{x \in 0, 1^* : |x| \text{ is even}\}$  over the alphabet  $\{0, 1\}$ . Convince yourself that any two even-length strings are related by  $\equiv_C$ , as are any two odd-length strings. Also, no odd-length string is related by  $\equiv_C$  to an even-length string. Thus,  $C$  has exactly two left equivalence classes: (1) odd-length strings, i.e.,  $\{0, 1\}^* - C$ , and (2) even-length strings, i.e.,  $C$ .

Similarly, convince yourself that the language  $B = (01)^*$  over the alphabet  $\{0, 1\}$  has three equivalence classes, which are: (1)  $B$ , (2)  $(01)^*0$ , and (3)  $\{0, 1\}^* - (B \cup (01)^*0)$ .

Describe the left equivalence classes of each of the following languages (no proofs required):

- (i)  $L_1 = \{a, aa, aaa, b, ba, baa\}$  over the alphabet  $\{a, b\}$ .
- (ii)  $L_2 = a * b * c^*$  over the alphabet  $\{a, b, c\}$ .
- (iii)  $L_3 = (ab \cup ba)^*$  over the alphabet  $\{a, b\}$ .
- (iv)  $L_4 = \{0^n 1^n : n \geq 0\}$  over the alphabet  $\{0, 1\}$ .

**Problem 5.**

Now, apply the notion of left equivalence to the theory of regular languages.

- (a) For a language  $A$  over alphabet  $\Sigma$  and a string  $x \in \Sigma$ , let  $[x]_A$  denote the equivalence class (of  $A$ ) to which  $x$  belongs. For instance, consider the set  $X_1 = (01)^*$ ,  $X_2 = (01)^*0$ , and  $X_3 = \{0, 1\}^* - (X_1 \cup X_2)$ , then:

- (i)  $[010]_B$  denotes the set  $X_2$ ,
- (ii)  $[01010]_B$  also denotes the same set  $X_2$ , and
- (iii)  $[\varepsilon]_B$  and  $[0101]_B$  both denote the set  $X_1$ .

There are rarely one unique way way to write an equivalence class  $[x]_A$  — there are usually multiple choices for  $x$ . These choices are called *representatives* of the equivalence class.

Prove that for any  $x \in \Sigma^*$  and  $a \in \Sigma$ , the class  $[xa]_A$  is completely determined by the class  $[x]_A$  and the alphabet symbol  $A$  — that is, prove that the particular  $x$  we pick as a representative for the class  $[x]_A$  is inconsequential.

- (b) Suppose a language  $A$  over alphabet  $\Sigma$  has finitely many equivalence classes;  $[x_1]_A, [x_2]_A, \dots, [x_n]_A$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . Prove that  $A$  is regular.

**Problem 6.**

The connection between left equivalence and regularity is even deeper.

- (a) Let  $A$  be a regular language over alphabet  $\Sigma$ . Prove (formally and rigorously) that  $A$  has finitely many distinct left equivalence classes. The function  $\delta^*$  we defined in class might be useful.

- (b) Using one or more of the results proved above, give alternate proofs that the following languages are not regular:

- (i)  $\{0^n 1^n : n \geq 0\}$ .

- (ii)  $\{x \in \{0, 1\}^* : x = x^R\}$ .