

**PSET 2 — 2023-01-23***Prof. Chakrabarti**Student: Amittai Siavava***Credit Statement**

I discussed ideas for this homework assignment with Paul Shin.

I also referred to the following books:

- (a) **Introduction to the Theory of Computation** by **Michael Sipser**.
- (b) **A Mathematical Introduction to Logic** by **Herbert Enderton**.

**Problem 1.**

Construct NFAs for the languages generated by each of the following regular expressions.

- (a)  $10 \cup (0 \cup 11)0^*1$




FIGURE 1.1. NFA to accept  $10 \cup (0 \cup 11)0^*1$

(b)  $(0 \cup 1)((0 \cup 1)(0 \cup 1))^* \cup ((0 \cup 1)(0 \cup 1)(0 \cup 1))^*$

Observation: the regular expression matches all strings of length  $n$  such that either  $n \pmod 2 \equiv 1$  or  $n \pmod 3 \equiv 0$ .




FIGURE 1.2. NFA to accept  $(0 \cup 1)((0 \cup 1)(0 \cup 1))^* \cup ((0 \cup 1)(0 \cup 1)(0 \cup 1))^*$

**Problem 2.**

Give regular expressions for the following languages.

- (a)  $\{x \in \{0, 1\}^* : x \text{ contains "000" or "111" (or both) as a substring}\}$ .

$$(0 \cup 1)^*(000 \cup 111)(0 \cup 1)^*$$

- (b)  $\{x \in \{0, 1\}^* : x \text{ contains both "000" and "111" as substrings}\}$ .

$$(0 \cup 1)^*((000(0 \cup 1)^*111) \cup (111(0 \cup 1)^*000))(0 \cup 1)^*$$

- (c)  $\{x \in \{0, 1\}^* : x \text{ does not contain "111" as a substring}\}$ .

$$(0^* \cup 0^*1 \cup 0^*11)(0^* \cup 00^*1 \cup 00^*11)^*$$

**Problem 3.**

Let  $L$  be the language over  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}^*$  given by the regular expression  $(ab \cup aab \cup aba)^*$ .

- (a) Design an NFA for  $L$  that has exactly 4 states, no  $\varepsilon$ -transitions, and exactly one  $a$ -transition out of its start state.





FIGURE 3.1. NFA to recognize  $L \equiv (ab \cup aab \cup aba)^*$

- (b) Convert the above NFA into a DFA for  $L$  by mechanically using the power set construction. For the sake of legibility, may avoid drawing transitions out of states that are unreachable from the start state of the resulting DFA. However, do draw every state of the power set construction. *I strongly recommend using state names like  $rt$ ,  $qst$ , etc. in your drawing and not the more formal  $\{r, t\}$ ,  $\{q, s, t\}$ , etc.*



- (c) Observe that exactly 7 states are reachable, so if you were to delete the unreachable ones, you would obtain a 7-state DFA for  $L$ . Carefully observe this DFA and argue that two of its states can be replaced by a single state. Do this and draw the resulting 6-state DFA for  $L$ .

The two states  $r$  and  $rs$  can be merged because they are both non-accepting states and the transitions out of the two states are identical, i.e.  $\delta(r, x) = \delta(rs, x)$  for all  $x \in \Sigma$ .

Resulting DFA:




FIGURE 3.3. Six-state DFA to recognize  $L \equiv (ab \cup aab \cup aba)^*$

- (d) Give clear reasons why  $L$  cannot be recognized by a DFA with 5 or fewer states. For extra credit, write your reasoning as a formal proof.

*Hint: Argue that any hypothetical DFA for  $L$  must treat the strings  $aa$  and  $ab$  differently in the sense that the state it reaches upon reading  $aa$  must be different from the state it reaches upon reading  $ab$ . Now extend this observation by identifying 6 specific strings (which may not all belong to  $L$ ) that must all be treated differently.*

Let  $M$  be a DFA that recognizes  $L$ . Let us define the function  $\delta^*$  as follows:

$$\delta^* : Q \times \Sigma^* \rightarrow Q$$

$$\delta^*(q, x) = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } x = \varepsilon. \\ \delta^*(\delta(q, s), t) & \text{otherwise, where } x = st, s \in \Sigma, t \in \Sigma^*. \end{cases}$$

Then  $M$  must differentiate between six distinct strings as follows:

$s$	$\delta^*(q_0, s)$	$\delta^*(q_0, sa)$	$\delta^*(q_0, sb)$	$\delta^*(q_0, sab)$
$a$	rejecting	rejecting	accepting	accepting
$b$	rejecting	rejecting	rejecting	
$aa$	rejecting	rejecting	accepting	rejecting
$ab$	accepting	accepting	rejecting	
$aab$	accepting	rejecting	rejecting	
$aba$	accepting	rejecting	accepting	

TABLE 1. Summary of the  $\delta$ -transitions for  $M$

As we can see, the state that  $M$  transitions to upon reading any of the six strings must be different from the state it transitions to upon reading any of the other strings because of a combination of different accepting/rejecting status and different outgoing transitions.

**Problem 4.**

- (a) Let  $L$  be a nonempty language recognized by an NFA  $N$ . Prove that there exists an NFA  $N_1$  that has exactly one accept state and recognizes the same language  $L$ .

Let  $N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  be an NFA that recognizes  $L$ .

We define  $N_1 = (Q \cup \{a\}, \Sigma, \delta_1, q_0, F_1)$ , where  $a$  is a new state that is not in  $Q$ , and:

$$F_1 = \{a\}$$

$$\delta_1(q, x) = \begin{cases} \delta(q, x) \cup \{a\} & \text{if } q \in F \text{ and } x = \varepsilon \\ \delta(q, x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Claim 4.1.*  $N_1$  is an NFA that recognizes  $L$ .

*Proof.*

- (i) **Completeness** ( $\mathcal{L}(N_1) \supseteq L$ )

Let  $w = w_1 \dots w_k$  be a string in  $L$  such that  $w_i \in \Sigma$  for all  $0 < i \leq k$ . Since  $N$  recognizes  $L$ , there exists a computation path  $p_w = p_0, \dots, p_n$  of  $N$  on  $w$  such that  $p_n \in F$ . Note that the state  $a$  does not exist in  $N$ , the original NFA, so  $p_i \neq a$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . Consider the computation path of  $N_1$  on  $w$ . Since  $\delta_1(q, x) \supseteq \delta(q, x)$  for all states  $q \in Q \setminus \{a\}$ , the computation path of  $N$  on  $w$  is always one of the possible computation paths of  $N_1$  on  $w$ . Suppose  $N_1$  chooses that computation path, then it will eventually transition to the state  $p_n$ , and  $p_n \in F$ . There,  $N_1$  will have the option of taking an epsilon-transition to  $a$ , the single accepting state in  $N_1$ .  $N_1$  can therefore transition to  $a$  and accept  $w$  whenever  $w$  is in  $L$ .

- (ii) **Soundness** ( $\mathcal{L}(N_1) \subseteq L$ ) Suppose  $N_1$  accepts a string  $w = w_1 \dots w_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $w_i \in \Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let the computation path of  $N_1$  on  $w$  be  $p = p_0, \dots, p_n$  such that  $p_0 = q_0$  and  $p_i = \delta_1(p_{i-1}, w_i)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Since  $N_1$  has exactly one accept state,  $p_n = a$ . However, there is only one epsilon-transition into  $a$ , which happens from a state  $q \in F$ . We can also observe that there are no transitions from  $a$ , so any path that ends at  $a$  must have transitioned into  $a$  at the last step. Therefore,  $p_{n-1} \in F$ . Since no transitions into  $a$  were taken before the last step, all transitions were identical to those that would be taken by  $N$  on  $w$ , meaning the computational path of  $N$  on  $w$



would also terminate at  $p_{n-1}$ , so  $N$  accepts  $w$ . Therefore, any sequence  $w = w_1 \dots w_n$  accepted by  $N_2$  is also accepted by  $N$ , implying that  $w \in L$ . □

- (b) Prove, by giving a concrete counterexample, that the analogous result does not hold for DFAs, i.e., that for a nonempty language  $L$  recognized by a DFA  $M$ , there might not exist any 1-accept-state DFA that recognizes  $L$ . Explain clearly why your chosen language  $L$  has this property.

For the alphabet  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ , let  $L = \{x \in \Sigma^* : |x| \in \{2, 3\}\}$ . Consider the DFA that recognizes  $L$ :

$$M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F) \quad \text{where}$$

$$Q = \{q_i : 0 \leq i \leq 4\}$$

$$\delta(q_i, x) = q_{i+1}$$

$$q_0 = q_0$$

$$F = \{q_2, q_3\}$$

Note that the DFA has two accepting states,  $q_2$  and  $q_3$ . However, we have that  $\delta(q_2, x) = q_3$  but  $\delta(q_3, x) = q_4$ , i.e.  $M$  transitions from  $q_2$  to an accepting state ( $q_3$ ), but  $M$  transitions from  $q_3$  to a non-accepting state ( $q_4$ ). Therefore, we cannot merge  $q_2$  and  $q_3$  into a single state. Furthermore, a DFA cannot move to another state without getting any valid input symbol  $x \in \Sigma$ , so we cannot add epsilon-transitions from  $q_2$  and  $q_3$  into a new accepting state.

We therefore *have to* retain  $q_2$  and  $q_3$  as separate states in order to recognize  $L$ .

**Problem 5.**

Recall the definitions of  $\text{MAX}(L)$  and  $\text{MIN}(L)$  from Homework 1. Prove that, for every regular language  $L$ , the languages  $\text{MAX}(L)$  and  $\text{MIN}(L)$  are both regular.

$$\text{MIN}(L) = \{x \in \Sigma^* : x \in L \text{ and no proper prefix of } x \in L\},$$

$$\text{MAX}(L) = \{x \in \Sigma^* : x \in L \text{ and } x \text{ is not a proper prefix of any string in } L\}.$$

Since  $L$  is regular, there exists a DFA  $M$  that recognizes  $L$ .

(a)  $\text{MIN}(L)$ 

Let  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  be a DFA recognizing  $L$ . Let  $s = s_1 \dots s_n, n \geq 1, s_i \in \Sigma$  be a string in  $L$  such that  $s \notin \text{MIN}(L)$ , then there exists some string  $t \in L$  that is a proper substring of  $s$ . That is,  $t = s_1 \dots s_k, k < n$ . Consider the computational path of  $M$  on  $s, p_s = p_0, \dots, p_n$  such that  $p_0 = q_0, p_i = \delta(p_{i-1}, s_i)$  for all  $i$ , and  $p_n \in F$ . Then  $p_t$ , the computation path of  $M$  on  $t$ , is equivalent to  $p_0, \dots, p_k$  since the first  $k$  letters in  $s$  are identical to the first  $k$  letters of  $t$  and both computation paths start at the same state,  $q_0$ , yet DFAs may only transition to a single state given an input letter and a state. Since  $t \in L, p_t$  must end in an accepting state, so the computation path of  $M$  on  $s \notin \text{MIN}(L)$  *must* enter and leave an accepting state. To recognize members of  $L$  that are in  $\text{MIN}(L)$ , we can prune those that are not in  $\text{MIN}(L)$  by redirecting all transitions out of accepting states to a new trap-state  $r$  that rejects.

$M_2 = (Q \cup \{r\}, \Sigma, \delta_2, q_0, F)$  where  $r \notin Q$  and

$$\delta_2(q, x) = \begin{cases} r & \text{if } q \in F \cup \{r\} \\ \delta(q, x) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(i) **Completeness** ( $\mathcal{L}(M_2) \supseteq \text{MIN}(L)$ )

Let  $s$  be a string such that  $s \in \text{MIN}L$ , then  $s$  does not have a proper prefix that happens to be in  $L$ . Let  $p_s = p_0, \dots, p_n$  be the computation path of  $M$  on  $s$ , such that  $p_0 = q_0$  and  $p_i = \delta(p_{i-1}, s_i)$  for all  $i$ . Then  $p_i \notin F$  for all  $i < n$ , so  $\delta_2(p_i, x) = \delta(p_i, x)$ . Particularly,  $M_2$  never transitions to  $r$ , since the state  $r$  does not exist in the original DFA,  $M$ , yet  $M_2$  is using the same transition function as  $M$  when  $p - i \notin F$ . Therefore,  $M_2$  never enters *and leaves* an accepting state, but eventually transitions into an accepting state  $p_n$ , thereby accepting  $s$ .

(ii) **Soundness** ( $\mathcal{L}(M_2) \subseteq \text{MIN}(L)$ )

Let  $s$  be a string accepted by  $s$ . Let  $p_s = p_0, \dots, p_n$  be the computation path of  $M_2$  on  $s$ , then  $p_i \neq r$  for all  $i$  since  $r$  is a rejecting trap-state. Notice that the transition function,  $\delta_2$ , always transitions to  $r$  when leaving a state in  $F$ , so none of the states that  $M_2$  transitions out of may be in  $F$ . But  $F$  is the same set of states that  $M$ , the original DFA, accepts! Therefore, none of the states substrings in  $s$  are accepted by  $M$ , implying that they are not in  $L$ . Consequently,  $s$  is a member of  $L$ , and no proper substring of  $s$  is in  $L$ , so  $s$  must be in  $\text{MIN}(L)$ .

(b)  $\text{MAX}(L)$ 

Let  $M$  be a DFA that recognizes  $L$ .

We define:

$$\delta^* : Q \times \Sigma^* \rightarrow Q$$

$$\delta^*(q, x) = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } x = \varepsilon. \\ \delta^*(\delta(q, s), t) & \text{otherwise, where } q = st, s \in \Sigma, t \in \Sigma^*. \end{cases}$$

$$R = \{q \in F : \delta^*(q, s) \in F \text{ for some } s \in \Sigma^* \setminus \{\varepsilon\}\}.$$

*Observation 5.1.*  $R$  is the set of all *accepting states* in  $M$  that have some non-empty transition sequence to some other accepting state (which could be the same state).

Let  $M_2$  be defined as follows:

$$M_2 = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F \setminus R)$$

*Claim 5.2.*  $\mathcal{L}(M_2) = \text{MAX}(L)$

*Proof.*

(i) **Completeness** ( $\mathcal{L}(M_2) \supseteq \text{MAX}(L)$ )

Suppose a string  $s$  is in  $\text{MAX}(L)$ , then  $s \in L$ , since  $\text{MAX}(L) \subseteq L$ . Let  $s = s_1 \dots s_n, n \geq 1, s_i \in \Sigma$ , and let  $p = p_0, \dots, p_n$  be the computation path of  $M$  on  $s$  where  $p_0 = q_0$  and  $p_i = \delta(p_{i-1}, s_i)$  for all  $i$ . Then  $p_n \in F$  since  $s \in L$ .

However,  $s$  is not a proper substring of any string in  $L$  (since  $s \in \text{MAX}(L)$ ), therefore  $p_n \notin R$ . This implies that  $p_n \in F \setminus R$ . Since  $M_2$  uses the same transition function as  $M$ , the computation path of  $M_2$  on  $s$  is equivalent to  $p_0, \dots, p_n$  and  $p_n$  is an accepting state in  $M_2$  so  $M_2$  accepts  $s$ .

(ii) **Soundness** ( $\mathcal{L}(M_2) \subseteq \text{MAX}(L)$ )

Let  $s$  be a string accepted by  $M_2$ . Let  $s = s_1 \dots s_n, n \geq 1, s_i \in \Sigma$ , and  $p = p_0, \dots, p_n$  be the computation path of  $M_2$  on  $s$  where  $p_0 = q_0$  and  $p_i = \delta(p_{i-1}, s_i)$  for all  $i$ . Then  $p_n \in F \setminus R$  since  $M_2$  accepts  $s$ . This implies two things:

- $p_n \in F$ , meaning  $M$ , the original DFA, accepts  $s$ . Therefore,  $s$  is a member of the language  $L$ .
- $p_n \notin R$ , meaning  $p_n$  does not have a non-empty transition sequence to some accepting state.

Therefore, there does not exist any non-empty string  $y \in \Sigma^*$  such that  $\delta^*(p_n, y) \in F$ . This

implies that there is no string in  $\Sigma^8$  that, when appended to  $s$ , results in a string that is accepted by  $M$  (that is, a string in  $L$ ). This is the same as saying that  $s$  is not a proper substring of any string in  $L$ , so  $s \in \text{MAX}(L)$ .

□

**Problem 6.**

Recall the definition of  $\text{HALF}(L)$  from Homework 1. Prove that if  $L$  is regular, so is  $\text{HALF}(L)$ .

$$\text{HALF}(L) = \{x \in \Sigma^* : \exists y \in \Sigma^* \text{ such that } xy \in L\}$$

**High Level Idea**

Since  $L$  is regular, let  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  be a DFA that recognizes  $L$ . We can track whether a string  $s$  is a subsequence of another string  $t$  in  $L$  by tracking the set of states reachable from  $q$  that eventually reach an accepting state. We can start this tracking with the start state,  $q_0$ , and the set of accepting states,  $F$ . Each time we take a step in our DFA, we replace the set  $S$  of tracked states by those states that have a transition into *at least* one member of  $S$ . Consequently, every time we transition in our DFA, we also backtrack one step. If we reach a state after processing a string  $s \in \text{HALF}(L)$ , then the state  $q_s$  must be in the set of tracked states.

**Formal Definition**

Let  $\psi : \mathcal{P}(Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q)$  be a function as follows:

$$\psi(S) = \bigcup_{s \in S} \{q \in Q : \exists y \in \Sigma, \delta(q, y) = s\}.$$

We may also define function exponentiation as repeated function application, i.e.

$$\psi^n(S) = \begin{cases} S & \text{if } n = 0 \\ \psi^{n-1}(\psi(S)) & \text{if } n \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

Define a new DFA  $M_2$  as follows:

$$M_2 = (Q \times \mathcal{P}(Q), \Sigma, \delta_2, q_{0_2}, F_2) \text{ where}$$

$$q_{0_2} = (q_0, F)$$

$$\delta_2((q, S), x) = (\delta(q, x), \psi(S))$$

$$F_2 = \{(q, S) \in Q \times \mathcal{P}(Q) : q \in S\}.$$

*Claim 6.1.*  $\mathcal{L}(M_2) = \text{HALF}(L)$ .

*Proof.*

(i) **Completeness** ( $\mathcal{L}(M_2) \supseteq \text{HALF}(L)$ )

Suppose a string  $u$  is a member of  $\text{HALF}(L)$ , then there exists a string  $t \in L$  and a string  $v \in \Sigma^*$  such that  $|u| = |v|$  and  $t = uv$ . Let  $t = t_1, \dots, t_n, \dots, t_{2n}$  where  $t_i \in \Sigma$  for all  $0 < i \leq 2n$ , and let  $p = p_0, \dots, p_n, \dots, p_{2n}$  be the computational path of  $M$  on  $t$  such that  $p_0 = q_0$  and  $p_i = \delta(p_{i-1}, t_i)$ . Since  $t \in L$ ,  $M$  recognizes  $t$ ,  $p_n \in F$ . Furthermore,  $t = uv$  and  $|u| = |v|$ , so we can write  $u = t_1, \dots, t_n$  and  $v = t_{n+1}, \dots, t_{2n}$ .

Consider the computational path of  $M_2$  on  $u$ .  $M_2$  starts in state  $(q_0, F)$  and processes each of the characters  $t_1$  up to  $t_n$  in sequence, transitioning from the states  $(q_0, F)$  to  $(q_1, \psi(F))$ , to  $(q_2, \psi^2(F))$ , all the way to  $(q_n, \psi^n(F))$  and so on.

Recall that  $t \in L$  and  $p = p_1 \dots p_n, \dots, p_{2n}$  is the computational path of  $M$  on  $t$ . Therefore,  $p_{2n} \in F$ . Since  $\delta(p_{2n-1}, t_n) = p_{2n}$ , we have that  $p_{2n-1} \in \psi(F)$ . Similarly,  $\delta(p_{2n-2}, t_{2n-1}) = p_{2n-1}$ , so  $p_{2n-2} \in \psi^2(F)$ , and  $p_{2n-i} \in \psi^i(F)$  for all  $0 < i \leq n$ . Therefore,  $p_n \in \psi^n(F)$ , and  $(q_n, \psi^n(F))$  is an accepting state of  $M_2$ .

(ii) **Soundness** ( $\mathcal{L}(M_2) \subseteq \text{HALF}(L)$ )

Suppose a string  $s$  is accepted by  $M_2$ . Let  $s = s_1, \dots, s_n$  where  $s_i \in \Sigma$  for all  $0 < i \leq n$ . Let  $p = p_0, \dots, p_n$  be the computational path of  $M_2$  on  $s$ , then  $p_n \in \psi^n(F)$  (by definition of the accepting states of  $M_2$ ). That implies that there exists a state  $p_{n+1} \in Q$  such that  $\delta(p_n, x) = p_{n+1}$  for some  $x \in \Sigma$ . Therefore,  $p_{n+1} \in \psi^{n-1}(F)$ . Similarly, there must exist a state  $p_{n+2} \in Q$  such that  $\delta(p_{n+1}, x_1) = p_{n+2}$  for some  $x_1 \in \Sigma$ . This implies again that  $p_{n+2} \in \psi^{n-2}(F)$ , so there must exist a state  $p_{n+3} \in Q$ , such that  $\delta(p_{n+2}, x_3) = p_{n+3}$  for some  $x_3 \in \Sigma$ . More generally, for each  $0 < i \leq n$ , there must exist a state  $p_{n+i} \in Q$  such that  $\delta(p_{n+i-1}, x) = p_{n+i}$  for some  $x \in \Sigma$ . Since it took  $n$  transitions to reach the accepting state  $(p_n, \psi^n(F))$ , there must be  $n$  states  $p_{n+1}, \dots, p_{2n}$  such that  $\delta(p_{n+i-1}, x) = p_{n+i}$  for all  $0 < i \leq n$ , and  $p_{2n} \in F$ , meaning the corresponding string  $s' = s_1 \dots s_{2n}$  is a member of  $L$ . Therefore,  $s \in \mathcal{L}(M_2)$ .

□