

PSET 6 — 05/17/2024

*Prof. Miller**Student: Amittai Siavava***Problem 1.**

Define a set X such that X computes $\emptyset^{(n)}$ for all n uniformly, i.e. there is an e such that

$$\Phi_e^X(n, k) = \chi_{\emptyset^{(n)}}(k)$$

for all n, k . Justify your answer.

We define X to be the set of all possible turing jumps of \emptyset :

$$X = \left\{ \langle n, k \rangle \mid n \in \omega, k \in \emptyset^{(n)} \right\}.$$

We claim that X computes $\emptyset^{(n)}$ for all n . Define

$$\Phi_e^X(n, k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \langle n, k \rangle \in X \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For all n, k ;

1. If $k \in \emptyset^{(n)}$, then $\langle n, k \rangle \in X$, so $\Phi_e^X(n, k) = 1 = \chi_{\emptyset^{(n)}}(k)$.
2. If $k \notin \emptyset^{(n)}$, then $\langle n, k \rangle \notin X$, so $\Phi_e^X(n, k) = 0 = \chi_{\emptyset^{(n)}}(k)$.
3. Therefore, $\chi_{\emptyset^{(n)}}(k)$ is X -computable.

Problem 2.

Prove that, for all n and $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega$, there is a computable function $g : \omega^{n+1} \rightarrow \omega$ such that

$$f(x) = \lim_{s_0 \rightarrow \inf} \lim_{s_1 \rightarrow \inf} \cdots \lim_{s_{n-1} \rightarrow \inf} g(x, s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1})$$

if and only if $f \leq_T \emptyset^{(n)}$.

We will use the **limit lemma**, which states that a function $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ is limit computable if and only if $f \leq_T \emptyset'$.

Suppose $f(x) = \lim_{s_0 \rightarrow \inf} \lim_{s_1 \rightarrow \inf} \cdots \lim_{s_{n-1} \rightarrow \inf} g(x, s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1})$.

First, we see that f is Σ_{n+1}^0 :

$$\begin{aligned} \exists s_0 \forall (s_{0'} > s_0) \\ \exists s_1 \forall (s_{1'} > s_1) \\ \vdots \\ \exists s_{n-1} \forall (s_{(n-1)'} > s_{n-1}) \\ g(x, s_{0'}, s_{1'}, \dots, s_{(n-1)'}) = f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, f is Π_{n+1}^0 :

$$\begin{aligned} \forall s_0 \exists (s_{0'} > s_0) \\ \forall s_1 \exists (s_{1'} > s_1) \\ \vdots \\ \forall s_{n-1} \exists (s_{(n-1)'} > s_{n-1}) \\ g(x, s_{0'}, s_{1'}, \dots, s_{(n-1)'}) = f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $f(x)$ is Δ_{n+1}^0 .

By the limit lemma (Lemma 4), f is computable from \emptyset' if and only if f is Δ_2^0 . Since the limit lemma relativizes, f is computable from $\emptyset^{(n)}$ if and only if f is Δ_{n+1}^0 .

Furthermore, since every computable function is c.e., and every c.e. function is limit computable, f is n -limit computable

Finally, by relativizing Lemma 2. of the limit lemma, f is n -limit computable if and only if $f \leq_T \emptyset^{(n)}$.

Problem 3.

Give an example of a set X such that $X \perp_T \emptyset^{(n)}$ for all $n > 1$.

Hint: we are only required to perform (priority) constructions computably.

We use a priority construction to define X .

Define the requirements R_e and Q_e as follows:

$$R_e : \chi_X \neq \Phi_e^{\emptyset^{(e)}}$$

Let $X_0 = \emptyset$. At each step $s + 1$, pick $x \notin X_s$. Simulate $\Phi_x^{\emptyset^{(s)}}(x)$. If $\Phi_x^{\emptyset^{(s)}}(x) \downarrow = 0$, then set $X_{s+1} = X_s \cup \{x\}$. Otherwise, repeat this step until such an x is found.

For each $n > 1$, let x_n be the n -th element that was added to X , then $\Phi_x^{\emptyset^{(n)}}(x_n) \downarrow = 0$, so $\chi_X(x_n) = 1 \neq \Phi_x^{\emptyset^{(n)}}(x_n)$. Thus, $X \perp_T \emptyset^{(n)}$ for all $n > 1$.

Problem 4.

We say that $X =^* Y$ if X and Y agree on all but finitely many numbers. Show that there are sequences of sets $\{A_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ and $\{B_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ such that $A_n =^* B_n$ for all n , but $\bigoplus_{n \in \omega} A_n \neq^* \bigoplus_{n \in \omega} B_n$.

Let $A_n = \{2n\}$ and $B_n = \{2n+1\}$. Then $A_n =^* B_n$ for all n , since A_n and B_n are disjoint, but they are each singleton sets, meaning they agree on all elements except for two: $2n$ and $2n+1$.

However, $\bigoplus_{n \in \omega} A_n = \{0, 2, 4, 6, \dots\}$ and $\bigoplus_{n \in \omega} B_n = \{1, 3, 5, 7, \dots\}$, so $\bigoplus_{n \in \omega} A_n \neq^* \bigoplus_{n \in \omega} B_n$ since they disagree on infinitely many numbers.

Problem 5.

Show that HW3 Q5 relativizes. That is, show that A is X -computable if and only if A and A^c are both X -ce.

(\Rightarrow)

Suppose A is X -computable. Then there is an e such that $\Phi_e^X = \chi_A$. This means that for each n ,

$$\Phi_e^X(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } n \notin A \end{cases} \quad (\text{hence } n \in A^c)$$

A can be computably enumerated by a turing machine that goes through all $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and outputs n if $\Phi_e^X(n) = 1$.

TM 1: Enumerate A

```
1 for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  do
2   if  $\Phi_e^X(n) = 1$  then
3     output  $n$ 
```

Similarly, A^c can be computably enumerated by a turing machine that goes through all $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and outputs n if $\Phi_e^X(n) = 0$.

TM 2: Enumerate A^c

```
1 for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  do
2   if  $\Phi_e^X(n) = 0$  then
3     output  $n$ 
```

Therefore, A and A^c are both X -ce.

(\Leftarrow)

Suppose A and A^c are both X -ce. Then A is the domain of some X -computable function f , and A^c is the domain of some X -computable function g . We can define a function h that computes A as follows:

$$h(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f(n) \text{ is defined} \\ 0 & \text{if } g(n) \text{ is defined} \end{cases}$$

Specifically, let $f = \Phi_i^X$ and $g = \Phi_j^X$.

Then we can define Φ_h^X as follows:

TM 3: Compute A

```

1 On input  $n$ :
2 for  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  do
3   if  $\Phi_{i,k}^X(n) \downarrow$  then
4     output 1
5   if  $\Phi_{j,k}^X(n) \downarrow$  then
6     output 0
```

Since *both* A and A^c are X -ce and $A \cup A^c = \omega$, for any $n \in \omega$, eventually either one of $\Phi_i^X(n)$ or $\Phi_j^X(n)$, simulated for some finite k steps, will halt. Thus, the TM eventually halts and outputs either 1 or 0 for any $n \in \omega$, effectively computing χ_A .

Therefore, A is X -computable.