CS 83: Computer Vision

Winter '23 Year

Prof. Pediredla

Student: Amittai Siavava

Credit Statement

I worked on these problems alone, with reference to class notes and the following books:

(i) Computer Vision: Algorithms and Applications by Richard Szeliski

Problem 1.

In class, we discussed how, given a windowing function w(s,t), we can use the following *covariance* metric:

$$E_w(u, v; x, y) = \sum_{s, t} w(s, t) \left[I(x - s + u, y - t + v) - I(x - s, y - t) \right]^2, \tag{1.1}$$

in order to identify whether an image patch centered at (x, y) looks like a corner. In particular, large values of E_w for all possible displacements (u, v) of the window indicate that the patch is a corner.

Assuming that the displacements u and v are small, show that the metric of Equation (1.1) can be approximated as:

$$E_w(u, v; x, y) \approx [u, v] \cdot \mathcal{M}_w(x, y) \cdot [u, v]^T, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\mathcal{M}_w(x,y)$ is the *covariance matrix*:

$$\mathcal{M}_w(x,y) =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{s,t} w(s,t) I_x(x-s,y-t) I_x(x-s,y-t) & \sum_{s,t} w(s,t) I_x(x-s,y-t) I_y(x-s,y-t) \\ \sum_{s,t} w(s,t) I_y(x-s,y-t) I_x(x-s,y-t) & \sum_{s,t} w(s,t) I_y(x-s,y-t) I_y(x-s,y-t) \end{bmatrix}.$$
(1.3)

Since the displacements of u and v are small, consider the first-order Taylor approximation of I(x-s+u,y-t+v) around the point (x-s,y-t). Following the expansion

$$f(x,y) \approx f(a,b) + f_x(a,b)(x-a) + f_y(a,b)(y-b),$$

we have:

$$I(x-s+u,y-t+v) \approx I(x-s,y-t) + I_x(x-s,y-t)u + I_y(x-s,y-t)v$$
 (1.4)

Plugging equation (1.4) into equation (1.1) and simplifying, we have:

$$E_{w}(u, v; x, y) = \sum_{s,t} w(s,t) \left[I(x - s + u, y - t + v) - I(x - s, y - t) \right]^{2}$$

$$\approx \sum_{s,t} w(s,t) \left[I(x - s, y - t) + I_{x}(x - s, y - t)u + I_{y}(x - s, y - t)v - I(x - s, y - t) \right]^{2}$$

$$\approx \sum_{s,t} w(s,t) \left[I_{x}(x - s, y - t)u + I_{y}(x - s, y - t)v \right]^{2}$$

$$\approx \sum_{s,t} w(s,t) \left[(I_{x}(x - s, y - t)u)^{2} + 2 (I_{x}(x - s, y - t) \cdot I_{y}(x - s, y - t) \cdot uv) + (I_{y}(x - s, y - t)v)^{2} \right]$$

$$\approx \sum_{s,t} w(s,t) \left[u,v \right] \begin{bmatrix} I_{x}(x - s, y - t)^{2} & I_{x}(x - s, y - t)I_{y}(x - s, y - t) \\ I_{x}(x - s, y - t)I_{y}(x - s, y - t)^{2} & I_{y}(x - s, y - t)^{2} \end{bmatrix} [u,v]^{T}$$

$$I_{y}(x - s, y - t)^{2}$$

$$I_{x}(x - s, y - t)^{2}$$

Therefore, we can estimate $E_w(u, v; x, y)$ as in equation (1.5), (which is equivalent to equation 1.2 when the covariance matrix is factored out).

Problem 2.

Show that the covariance matrix can be written equivalently as:

$$\mathcal{M}_{w}(x,y) = w(x,y) * \begin{bmatrix} I_{x}(x,y)I_{x}(x,y) & I_{x}(x,y)I_{y}(x,y) \\ I_{y}(x,y)I_{x}(x,y) & I_{y}(x,y)I_{y}(x,y) \end{bmatrix},$$
(2.1)

where * indicates convolution of the windowing function w(x, y) with each element of the matrix.

Covariance matrix:

$$\mathcal{M}_w(x,y)$$
 =

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{s,t} w(s,t)I_x(x-s,y-t)I_x(x-s,y-t) & \sum_{s,t} w(s,t)I_x(x-s,y-t)I_y(x-s,y-t) \\ \sum_{s,t} w(s,t)I_y(x-s,y-t)I_x(x-s,y-t) & \sum_{s,t} w(s,t)I_y(x-s,y-t)I_y(x-s,y-t) \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.2)

These are the elements in the covariance matrix:

$$\sum_{s,t} w(s,t) I_x(x-s,y-t) I_x(x-s,y-t)$$
 (2.3)

$$\sum_{s,t} w(s,t) I_x(x-s,y-t) I_y(x-s,y-t)$$
 (2.4)

$$\sum_{s,t} w(s,t) I_y(x-s,y-t) I_x(x-s,y-t)$$
 (2.5)

$$\sum_{s,t} w(s,t) I_y(x-s,y-t) I_y(x-s,y-t)$$
 (2.6)

Recall discrete function convolution:

$$(f * g)(x,y) = \sum_{s,t} f(s,t)g(x-s,y-t)$$
 (2.7)

We notice that:

$$\sum_{s,t} w(s,t)I_x(x-s,y-t)I_x(x-s,y-t) = w(x,y) * I_x(x,y)I_x(x,y)$$

$$\sum_{s,t} w(s,t)I_x(x-s,y-t)I_y(x-s,y-t) = w(x,y) * I_x(x,y)I_y(x,y)$$

$$\sum_{s,t} w(s,t)I_y(x-s,y-t)I_x(x-s,y-t) = w(x,y) * I_x(x,y)I_y(x,y)$$

$$\sum_{s,t} w(s,t)I_y(x-s,y-t)I_y(x-s,y-t) = w(x,y) * I_y(x,y)I_y(x,y)$$

Therefore, we can express the covariance matrix as:

$$\mathcal{M}_{w}(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} w(x,y) * I_{x}(x,y)I_{x}(x,y) & w(x,y) * I_{x}(x,y)I_{y}(x,y) \\ w(x,y) * I_{y}(x,y)I_{x}(x,y) & w(x,y) * I_{y}(x,y)I_{y}(x,y) \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.8)

Equivalently, if we define * to be the convolution of the windowing function w(x, y) with each element of the matrix, we can write

$$\mathcal{M}_{w}(x,y) = w(x,y) * \begin{bmatrix} I_{x}(x,y)I_{x}(x,y) & I_{x}(x,y)I_{y}(x,y) \\ I_{y}(x,y)I_{x}(x,y) & I_{y}(x,y)I_{y}(x,y) \end{bmatrix},$$
(2.9)

Problem 3.

As we discussed in class, we can derive various "cornerness" metrics that take the form of functionals of *only* the product and sum of the eigenvalues of the covariance matrix.

Pick your favorite one (or propose your own), and explain how you would compute this metric efficiently for the entire image, using only convolutions and element-wise operations between images without explicitly computing eigenvalues. You can explain this either verbally, or using pseudocode.

I chose to implement the Harris corner metric, which is defined as:

$$R(x,y) = \det \mathcal{M}_w(x,y) - \kappa \cdot (\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{M}_w(x,y))^2$$
(3.1)

where κ is a constant.

Pseudocode for the Harris Corner Metric (see next page)

Algorithm 1 Compute the Harris Corner Metric for an Image

```
procedure Harris(I, w, \kappa)
   G_{\sigma}^{x} \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}I_{x} \leftarrow G_{\sigma}^{x} * I
                                                                                                                         ▷ Sobel derivative filter.
     I_{x^2} \leftarrow I_x \times I_x
     I_u \leftarrow (G_\sigma^x)^T * I
     I_{u^2} \leftarrow I_u \times I_u
     I_{xy} \leftarrow I_x \times I_y
     R \leftarrow [[0 \text{ for } y \in I] \text{ for } x \in I]
                                                                                         ▶ Initialize corner metric to zero for each pixel.
     for x \in I do
          for y \in I do
               M \leftarrow w(x,y) * \begin{bmatrix} I_{x^2}[x,y] & I_{xy}[x,y] \\ I_{xy}[x,y] & I_{y^2}[x,y] \end{bmatrix}
                                                                                                     R[x,y] \leftarrow \det M - \kappa \cdot (\operatorname{tr} M)
                                                                                                           end for
     end for
     for x \in I do
          for y \in I do
                for (i, j) \in \{-1, 0, 1\} \times \{-1, 0, 1\} do
                     if R[x+i, y+j] > R[x, y] then
                           R[x,y] \leftarrow 0
                                                                                                               ⊳ Non-maximum suppression.
                           break
                     end if
                end for
          end for
     end for
     return R
end procedure
```