

## Homework assigned January 6, 2023

*Prof. Marcia Groszek*

*Student: Amittai Siavava*

### Credit Statement

I worked on these problems alone, with reference to class notes and the following books:

- (a) **A Mathematical Introduction to Logic** by **Herbert Enderton**.

### Problem 2.

- (a) Is  $((P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow P) \rightarrow P$  a tautology?

Yes. Let's start by constructing a simple truth table for the connective  $(\rightarrow)$ .

$\alpha$	$\beta$	$\alpha \rightarrow \beta$
$T$	$T$	$T$
$T$	$F$	$F$
$F$	$T$	$T$
$F$	$F$	$T$

Let  $v$  be a truth assignment, and suppose  $\bar{v}(((P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow P) \rightarrow P) = F$ .

From the second row of the truth table, we can infer that  $\bar{v}((P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow P) = T$  and  $\bar{v}(P) = F$ .

But if  $\bar{v}((P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow P) = T$  and  $v(P) = F$ , then we can infer from the fourth row of the truth table that  $\bar{v}(P \rightarrow Q) = F$ .

However, since we earlier inferred that  $v(P) = F$ , the truth table tells us that  $\bar{v}(P \rightarrow Q) = T$  irrespective of the value of  $Q$ . This contradicts the deduction that  $\bar{v}(P \rightarrow Q) = F$ .

Therefore, it must be that  $\bar{v}(((P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow P) \rightarrow P) = T$  for any truth assignment to  $P$  and  $Q$ .

(b) Define  $\sigma_k$  recursively as follows:

$$\sigma_0 = (P \rightarrow Q)$$

$$\sigma_{k+1} = (\phi_k \rightarrow P).$$

For which values of  $k$  is  $\sigma_k$  a tautology? *Note: Part A corresponds to  $k = 2$ .*

We can prove that  $\sigma_k$  whenever (and only when)  $k$  is a non-zero positive integer by induction on  $k$ .

**Base Cases:**

- (i)  $k = 0$ :  $\sigma_0 = (P \rightarrow Q)$  is not a tautology, since  $\bar{v}(P \rightarrow Q) = F$  whenever  $v(P) = T$  and  $v(Q) = F$ .
- (ii)  $k = 1$ :  $\sigma_0 \rightarrow P$  is also not a tautology; if  $v(P) = F$ , then  $\bar{v}(\sigma_0) = T$  and  $\bar{v}(\sigma_0 \rightarrow P) = F$ .
- (iii) However,  $\sigma_2$  is a tautology (see part (a) for proof).

**Inductive Step:**

Suppose  $\sigma_k$  is a tautology, then  $\bar{v}(\sigma_k) = T$  for all values of  $P$  and  $Q$ .

First, consider  $\sigma_{k+1} = (\sigma_k \rightarrow P)$ . Since  $\sigma_k$  is a tautology,  $\sigma_{k+1} = (T \rightarrow v(P))$ . Therefore,  $\sigma_{k+1} = T$  whenever  $v(P) = T$ , and  $\sigma_{k+1} = F$  whenever  $v(P) = F$  (or,  $\sigma_{k+1} = v(P)$ ). When  $v(P) = F$ ,  $\sigma_{k+1} = F$ , therefore  $\sigma_{k+1}$  is not a tautology.

Next, consider  $\sigma_{k+2} = (\sigma_{k+1} \rightarrow P)$ . As demonstrated above, whenever  $\sigma_k$  is a tautology, we have that  $\sigma_{k+1} = v(P)$ . This means  $\sigma_{k+2} = (v(P) \rightarrow P)$ , which evaluates to  $T$  for all possible values of  $P$ . Therefore,  $\sigma_{k+2}$  is a tautology.

By induction, we can conclude that whenever  $\sigma_k$  is a tautology, then  $\sigma_{k+1}$  is not a tautology, but  $\sigma_{k+2}$  is a tautology. Since the first tautology in the sequence is  $\sigma_2$ , the set of tautologies will be the set  $\{\sigma_n \mid n \in \{2, 4, 6, 8, \dots\}\}$  — that is,  $\sigma_n$  is a tautology whenever  $n$  is an even positive integer.

**Problem 4.**

Recall that  $\Sigma; \alpha = \Sigma \cup \{\alpha\}$ , the set  $\Sigma$  together with the one possibly new member  $\alpha$ .

Show that the following hold:

(a)  $\Sigma; \alpha \models \beta \iff \Sigma \models (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ .

(i)  $\Sigma; \alpha \models \beta \implies \Sigma \models (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$

Suppose  $\Sigma; \alpha \models \beta$ . Let  $v$  be a truth assignment satisfying  $\Sigma$ .

If  $\bar{v}(\alpha) = T$ , then  $v$  satisfies  $\Sigma; \alpha$  (since  $v$  already satisfies  $\Sigma$ ), and  $\Sigma; \alpha \models \beta$ , implying that  $\bar{v}(\beta) = T$ . Therefore,  $\bar{v}(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) = (T \rightarrow T) = T$ .

If  $\bar{v}(\alpha) = F$ , then  $\bar{v}(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) = (F \rightarrow \bar{v}(\beta)) = T$ .

(ii)  $\Sigma; \alpha \models \beta \longleftarrow \Sigma \models (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$

Suppose  $\Sigma \models (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$  but  $\Sigma; \alpha \not\models \beta$ .

Let  $v$  be a truth assignment satisfying  $\Sigma$ . Suppose  $\bar{v}(\alpha) = T$ .

- First, we can note that  $\bar{v}(\alpha) = T$  implies that  $v$  satisfies  $\Sigma; \alpha$ , which further implies that  $\bar{v}(\beta) = F$ , since  $\Sigma; \alpha \not\models \beta$ .
- Next, since  $\Sigma \models (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ ,  $\bar{v}(\alpha) = T$  implies  $\bar{v}(\beta) = T$ . This is a contradiction.

Therefore, it must be the case that  $\Sigma \models (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \implies \Sigma; \alpha \models \beta$

(b)  $\alpha \models \beta \iff \models (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta)$ .

Let  $v$  be any truth assignment to  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that  $\alpha \models \beta$ . Then:

- $\bar{v}(\beta) = T$  whenever  $\bar{v}(\alpha) = T$  (since  $\alpha \models \beta$ ).
- $\bar{v}(\alpha) = T$  whenever  $\bar{v}(\beta) = T$  (since  $\beta \models \alpha$ ).
- Consequently,  $\neg(\bar{v}(\alpha)) \leftrightarrow \neg(\bar{v}(\beta))$ , implying that  $\bar{v}(\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta) = T$ .

Therefore, any truth assignment to  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  satisfying the wff  $\alpha \models \beta$  also satisfies  $\models (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta)$ .

**Problem 5.**

Prove or refute each of the following assertions:

- (a) If either  $\Sigma \models \alpha$  or  $\Sigma \models \beta$ , then  $\Sigma \models (\alpha \vee \beta)$ .

True.

Suppose  $\Sigma \models \alpha$ , and let  $v$  be any truth assignment satisfying  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\bar{v}(\alpha) = T$ , which implies that  $\bar{v}(\alpha \vee \beta) = (T \vee \bar{v}(\beta)) = T$ .

Therefore,  $\Sigma \models \alpha \implies \Sigma \models (\alpha \vee \beta)$ .

In the alternate case, suppose  $\Sigma \models \beta$ , and let  $v$  be a truth assignment satisfying  $\Sigma$ . Then  $\bar{v}(\beta) = T$ , which implies that  $\bar{v}(\alpha \vee \beta) = (\bar{v}(\alpha) \vee T) = T$ .

Therefore,  $\Sigma \models \beta \implies \Sigma \models (\alpha \vee \beta)$ .

- (b) If  $\Sigma \models (\alpha \vee \beta)$ , then either  $\Sigma \models \alpha$  or  $\Sigma \models \beta$ .

True.

Suppose  $\Sigma \models (\alpha \vee \beta)$  but  $\Sigma \not\models \alpha$  and  $\Sigma \not\models \beta$ .

Let  $v$  be any truth assignment satisfying  $\Sigma$ , then:

- $\bar{v}(\alpha \vee \beta) = T$  since  $\Sigma \models (\alpha \vee \beta)$ , which implies that either  $\bar{v}(\alpha) = T$  or  $\bar{v}(\beta) = T$ .
- $\bar{v}(\alpha) = F$  since  $\Sigma \not\models \alpha$ .
- $\bar{v}(\beta) = F$  since  $\Sigma \not\models \beta$ .

This is a clear contradiction, thus it must be the case that either  $\Sigma \models \alpha$  or  $\Sigma \models \beta$  whenever  $\Sigma \models (\alpha \vee \beta)$ .