

Homework assigned February 01, 2023

Prof. Marcia Groszek

Student: Amittai Siavava

Problem 3.

- (a) Let \mathfrak{A} be a structure and let $s : V \rightarrow |\mathfrak{A}|$. Define a truth assignment on the set of prime formulas by

$$v(\alpha) = T \quad \text{iff} \quad \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \alpha[s].$$

Show that for any formula (prime or not),

$$\bar{v}(\alpha) = T \quad \text{iff} \quad \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \alpha[s].$$

Remark: This result reflects the fact that \neg and \rightarrow were treated in Chapter 2 the same as in Chapter 1.

Since the set $\{\neg, \rightarrow\}$ is complete we can construct any formula α from prime formulas $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ using some combination of \neg and \rightarrow connectives. Suppose $\bar{v}(\alpha) = T$. We prove by induction on the form of α that $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \alpha[s]$.

Base Case: α is prime.

Then $\bar{v}(\alpha) = v(\alpha)$, so $\bar{v}(\alpha) = T$ iff $v(\alpha) = T$, and $v(\alpha) = T$ iff $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \alpha[s]$.

Therefore, $\bar{v}(\alpha) = T$ iff $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \alpha[s]$

Inductive Step 1: Suppose $\alpha = \neg\alpha_1$ for some formula α_1 . Then:

$$\bar{v}(\alpha) = \bar{v}(\neg\alpha_1) = \neg\bar{v}(\alpha_1)$$

$$\bar{v}(\alpha) = T \iff \bar{v}\alpha_1 = F$$

$$\bar{v}(\alpha) = T \iff \not\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \alpha_1[s]$$

$$\bar{v}(\alpha) = T \iff \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \neg\alpha_1[s]$$

$$\bar{v}(\alpha) = T \iff \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \alpha[s]$$

Inductive Step 2: Suppose $\alpha = \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2$ for some formulas α_1, α_2 . Then:

$$\bar{v}(\alpha) = \bar{v}(\alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2) = \bar{v}(\alpha_1) \rightarrow \bar{v}(\alpha_2)$$

$$\bar{v}(\alpha) = T \iff \bar{v}(\alpha_1) = F \text{ or } \bar{v}(\alpha_2) = T$$

$$\bar{v}(\alpha) = T \iff \not\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \alpha_1[s] \text{ or } \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \alpha_2[s]$$

$$\bar{v}(\alpha) = T \iff \models_{\mathfrak{A}} (\alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2)[s]$$

$$\bar{v}(\alpha) = T \iff \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \alpha[s]$$

Therefore, for all formulas α , $\bar{v}(\alpha) = T$ iff $\models_{\mathfrak{A}} \alpha[s]$.

(b) Conclude that if Γ tautologically implies φ , then Γ logically implies φ .

Let \mathfrak{A} , s , and v be as defined above. Suppose \mathfrak{A} satisfies all members of Γ with s , then $\bar{v}(\gamma) = T$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Since Γ tautologically implies φ , and s satisfies all members of Γ , we have that $\Gamma \models_{\mathfrak{A}} \varphi[s]$, so $\bar{v}(\varphi) = T$.

\mathfrak{A} and s are arbitrary, so the same condition holds for any other structure \mathfrak{A} and assignment function s given \mathfrak{A} satisfies all members of Γ with s . Therefore, Γ logically implies φ .

Problem 4.

Give a deduction (from \emptyset) of $\forall x\varphi \rightarrow \exists x\varphi$.

Note: You should not merely prove that such a deduction exists; write out the entire deduction.

- (i) $(\forall x\neg\varphi \rightarrow \neg\varphi) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \neg\forall x\neg\varphi)$ (Tautology)
- (ii) $(\forall x\neg\varphi \rightarrow \neg\varphi)$ (Axiom 2)
- (iii) $(\varphi \rightarrow \neg\forall x\neg\varphi)$ (Modus Ponens on (i), (ii))
- (iv) $(\varphi \rightarrow \neg\forall x\neg\varphi) \rightarrow ((\neg\forall x\neg\varphi \rightarrow \exists x\varphi) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \exists x\varphi))$ (Tautology)
- (v) $(\neg\forall x\neg\varphi \rightarrow \exists x\varphi) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \exists x\varphi)$ (Modus Ponens on (iii), (iv))
- (vi) $(\neg\forall x\neg\varphi \leftrightarrow \exists x\varphi)$ (Axiom 5)
- (vii) $(\varphi \rightarrow \exists x\varphi)$ (Modus Ponens on (v), (vi))
- (viii) $(\forall x\varphi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow ((\varphi \rightarrow \exists x\varphi) \rightarrow (\forall x\varphi \rightarrow \exists x\varphi))$ (Tautology)
- (ix) $\forall x\varphi \rightarrow \varphi$ (Axiom 2)
- (x) $(\varphi \rightarrow \exists x\varphi) \rightarrow (\forall x\varphi \rightarrow \exists x\varphi)$ (Modus Ponens on (viii), (ix))
- (xi) $\forall x\varphi \rightarrow \exists x\varphi$ (Modus Ponens on (vii), (x))

Problem 9.

(Re-replacement lemma)

- (a) Show by example that $(\varphi_y^x)_x^y$ is not in general equal to φ . And that it is possible for both for x to occur in $(\varphi_y^x)_x^y$ at a place it did not occur in φ , and for x to occur in φ at a place it does not occur in $(\varphi_y^x)_x^y$.

Let P be a one-place predicate symbol and Q be a two-place predicate symbol.

Let $\varphi = \forall y Px \rightarrow Qxy$, then $\varphi_y^x = \forall y Py \rightarrow Pyy$ and $(\varphi_y^x)_x^y = \forall y Py \rightarrow Qxx$

In the example above, we see one instance where x occurs in $(\varphi_y^x)_x^y$ at a position where it does not occur in φ (at Qxx vs. Qxy) and one instance where x occurs in φ at a position where it does not occur in $(\varphi_y^x)_x^y$ (at $\forall y Px$ vs. $\forall y Py$).

- (b) Show that if y does not occur at all in φ then x is substitutable for y in φ_y^x and that $(\varphi_y^x)_x^y$ is equal to φ .

Suggestion: Use induction on φ .

Base Case 1: $\varphi = \alpha$ for some variable or constant α .

Then $\varphi_y^x = \alpha_y^x = y$ if $\alpha = x$, or else $\alpha_y^x = \alpha$. Therefore, $(\varphi_y^x)_x^y = x$ iff $\alpha = x$ else α , meaning $(\varphi_y^x)_x^y = \varphi$ irrespective of whether $\alpha = x$.

Base Case 2: $\varphi = Px_1x_2 \dots x_n$ for some n -place predicate symbol p .

Then $\varphi_y^x = (Px_1x_2 \dots x_n)_y^x = P(x_1)_y^x(x_2)_y^x \dots (x_n)_y^x$.

Therefore, $(\varphi_y^x)_x^y = P((x_1)_y^x)_x^y((x_2)_y^x)_x^y \dots ((x_n)_y^x)_x^y$.

Since $((x_k)_y^x)_x^y = x_k$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ $(\varphi_y^x)_x^y = Px_1x_2 \dots x_n = \varphi$, so $(\varphi_y^x)_x^y = \varphi$.

Inductive Step: Let $\varphi = (\beta * \gamma)$ for some β, γ , and a connective $*$, and that y does not occur in φ . Then y must not occur in β or γ .

By definition, $\varphi_y^x = (\beta_y^x * \gamma_y^x)$ and $(\varphi_y^x)_x^y = (\beta_y^x * \gamma_y^x)_x^y = (\beta_y^x)_x^y * (\gamma_y^x)_x^y$.

By the inductive hypothesis, assume $(\beta_y^x)_x^y = \beta$ and $(\gamma_y^x)_x^y = \gamma$, then $(\varphi_y^x)_x^y = (\beta_y^x)_x^y * (\gamma_y^x)_x^y = \beta * \gamma = \varphi$.