Math 69: Logic	**Winter '23**

Homework assigned February 06, 2023

Prof. Marcia Groszek	***Student: Amittai Siavava***

Problem 1.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a language for first-order logic, including the equality symbol (and any number of other symbols), and $\mathcal{L}^*$ be $\mathcal{L}$ without the equality symbol, but with an additional 2-place predicate symbol E. For any formula α of $\mathcal{L}$, define α^* to be the formula of $\mathcal{L}^*$ obtained fromα by replacing every occurrence of = with E. If Σ is a set of formulas of $\mathcal{L}$, define

$$\Sigma^* = \left\{a^* \mid a \in \Sigma\right\}.$$

(a) This is a short answer problem.

For which logical axiom groups is it true that α is a logical axiom in that group if and only if α^* is a logical axiom in that group?

(b) This is a short answer problem.

Which, if any, of the following are true?

(i) If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n$ is a deduction from Σ in the language $\mathcal{L}$ then $\alpha_1^*, \alpha_2^*, \ldots, \alpha_n^*$ is a deduction from Σ^* in the language $\mathcal{L}^*$

(ii) If $\alpha_1^*, \alpha_2^*, \ldots, \alpha_n^*$ is a deduction from Σ^* in the language $\mathcal{L}^*$ then $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n$ is a deduction from Σ in the language $\mathcal{L}$

(c) Show that if Σ is a consistent set of formulas in $\mathcal{L}$ then Σ^* is a consistent set of formulas in $\mathcal{L}^*$.

You may use your answers in (a) and (b).

Hint: Recall that Σ is inconsistent if there is some α such that $\Sigma \vdash \alpha$ and $\Sigma \vdash \neg\alpha$.

(d) Show by example that it is possible for Σ to be inconsistent but Σ^* to be consistent.

Problem 2.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ have equality, a one-place function symbol f, and a two-place predicate symbol P.

Define $\mathcal{L}^*$ as in problem 1.

Suppose $\mathfrak{A}$ is a structure for $\mathcal{L}^*$ with the property that if α is a logical axiom of $\mathcal{L}$ in group 5 or group 6 then $\mathfrak{A}^*$ satisfies α^*.

You showed in last-week's homework that in this case, $E^{\mathfrak{A}^*}$ defines an equivalence relation on $|\mathfrak{A}^*|$, and $f^{\mathfrak{A}^*}$ and $P^{\mathfrak{A}^*}$ induce a well-defined function and a well-defined relation on equivalence classes.

Let $\mathfrak{B}^*$ be a structure for $\mathcal{L}^*$ defined by setting

$$|\mathfrak{B}| = |\mathfrak{A}|/E^{\mathfrak{A}^*} = \{[a] \mid a \in |\mathfrak{A}^*|\}$$

where $[a]$ denotes the equivalence class of a under the equivalence relation $E^{\mathfrak{A}^*}$, and letting $f^{\mathfrak{B}^*}$, $P^{\mathfrak{B}^*}$, and $E^{\mathfrak{B}^*}$ be induced by $f^{\mathfrak{A}^*}$, $P^{\mathfrak{A}^*}$, and $E^{\mathfrak{A}^*}$, such that:

$$f^{\mathfrak{B}^*}([a]) = [f^{\mathfrak{A}^*}(a)]$$
$$\langle [a], [b] \rangle \in P^{\mathfrak{B}^*} \iff \langle a, b \rangle \in P^{\mathfrak{A}^*}$$
$$\langle [a], [b] \rangle \in E^{\mathfrak{B}^*} \iff \langle a, b \rangle \in E^{\mathfrak{A}^*}$$

The second and third condition can be rewritten (informally) as:

$$[a] P^{\mathfrak{B}^*} [b] \iff a P^{\mathfrak{A}^*} b$$
$$[a] E^{\mathfrak{B}^*} [b] \iff a E^{\mathfrak{A}^*} b$$

Define a function h from $|\mathfrak{A}^*|$ to $|\mathfrak{B}^*|$ by $h(a) = [a]$.

(a) Show that h is a surjective homomorphism from $\mathfrak{A}^*$ onto $\mathfrak{B}^*$.

(b) Show that if α is any formula of $\mathcal{L}$ and s is a variable assignment for $|\mathfrak{A}^*|$, then

$$\mathfrak{A}^* \vDash \alpha^*[s] \iff \vDash \alpha^*[h \circ s]$$

(c) Show that

$$E^{\mathfrak{B}^*} = \{([a], [b]) \in |B^*| \times |B^*| \mid [a] = [b]\}$$

Problem 3.

With the same definitions as in problem (2), let $\mathfrak{B}$ be the *reduct* of $\mathfrak{B}^*$ to a structure for $\mathcal{L}$. This means that:

$$|\mathfrak{B}| = |\mathfrak{B}^*|$$
$$f^{\mathfrak{B}} = f^{\mathfrak{B}^*}$$
$$P^{\mathfrak{B}} = P^{\mathfrak{B}^*}$$

In other words, $\mathfrak{B}$ translates every parameter $\mathcal{L}$ in exactly the same way as $\mathfrak{B}^*$. It merely has no translation for E, since E is not a symbol of $\mathcal{L}$. (Of course, $\mathfrak{B}$ must translate = as equality).

Because $\mathfrak{B}^*$ translated E as equality, we can conclude that for any wff α of $\mathcal{L}$ and variable assignment $\overline{s}$ for $\mathfrak{B}$, since α^* is α with E replaced by =,

$$\mathfrak{B} \vDash \alpha[\overline{s}] \iff \mathfrak{B}^* \vDash \alpha^*[\overline{s}].$$

This makes sense because $\mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{B}^*$ have the same universe, so $\overline{s}$ is also a variable assignment for $\mathfrak{B}$.

By problem (2),

$$\mathfrak{B}^* \vDash \alpha[h \circ s] \iff \mathfrak{A}^* \vDash \alpha^*[s],$$

and therefore

$$\mathfrak{B} \vDash \alpha[h \circ s] \iff \mathfrak{A}^8 \vDash \alpha^*[s].$$

We conclude that if Σ is any set of formulas of $\mathcal{L}$ including all the logical axioms in groups 5 and 6, and the set Σ^* (obtained by replacing = with E in every element of $Sigma$) is satisfiable by some structure $\mathfrak{A}^*$ and variable assignment s, then the original set Σ is also satisfiable by the structure $\mathfrak{B}$ and variable assignment $h \circ s$ as defined in problem (2).

Using problem (1) and the further context, show that if every consistent set of sentences in $\mathcal{L}^*$ is satisfiable then every consistent set of sentences in $\mathcal{L}$ is satisfiable.