Math 69: Logic Winter '23

Homework assigned January 19, 2023

Prof. Marcia Groszek

Student: Amittai Siavava

Credit Statement

I worked on these problems alone, with reference to class notes and the following books:

(a) A Mathematical Introduction to Logic by Herbert Enderton.

Problem 1.

Show that tautological equivalence is an equivalence relation on the set of wffs of sentential logic; that is, if we define

$$\alpha \equiv \beta \iff \alpha \vDash \beta$$
,

then *equiv* is an equivalence relation on the set of wffs.

(i) Show that \equiv is reflexive.

Let α be a under some truth assignment v.

If $\overline{v}(\alpha) = T$, then $\alpha \models \alpha$. We also have that $\alpha = \alpha$ by the same argument.

If $\overline{v}(\alpha) = F$, then $\alpha \models \models \alpha$ since we may conclude anything from a false statement. The same argument applies to $\alpha = \alpha$ since the deduction itself is the assignment to α , and α has been assigned to F. If we were deducing a different variable, say γ , then if $\overline{v}(\alpha) = F$, and $\overline{v}(\gamma) = T$, we would have $\overline{v}(\alpha \models \gamma) = T$ but $\overline{v}(\gamma \models \alpha) = F$, so $\overline{v}(\alpha \models \exists \gamma) = F$ and $\alpha \not\equiv \gamma$.

(ii) Show that \equiv is symmetric.

Let $\alpha \equiv \beta$ under some truth assignment v. By definition, $\alpha \models \exists \beta$. This implies that:

- if $\overline{v}(\alpha) = T$, then $\overline{v}(\beta) = T$;
- if $\overline{v}(\alpha) = F$, then $\overline{v}(\beta) \neq T$, as that would imply $\overline{v}(\alpha = \beta) = F$. Therefore, $\overline{v}(\beta) = F$.

Therefore, $\overline{v}(\alpha) = \overline{v}(\beta)$, so $\overline{v}(\beta \models \exists \alpha) = T$, and $\beta \equiv \alpha$.

(iii) Show that \equiv is transitive.

Amittai, S Math 69: Logic

Let $\alpha \equiv \beta$ and $\beta \equiv \gamma$ under some truth assignment v. By definition, $\alpha \models \exists \beta$ and $\beta \models \exists \gamma$. As shown in part (ii), If $\overline{v}(x \models \exists y) = T$, then $\overline{v}(x) = \overline{v}(y)$. Therefore, $\overline{v}(\alpha) = \overline{v}(\beta)$ and $\overline{v}(\beta) = \overline{v}(\gamma)$, implying that $\overline{v}(\alpha) = \overline{v}(\gamma)$. Therefore, $\alpha \models \exists \gamma$, so $\alpha \equiv \gamma$. Amittai, S Math 69: Logic

Problem 3.

Let X be the set of all wffs of sentential logic and \equiv be tautological equivalence. Define a binary (2-place) function on equivalence classes, which we could call conjunction, by

$$[\alpha] \wedge [\beta] = [\alpha \wedge \beta]$$

Prove that this function is well-defined.

As you do this, at some point you are going to have to prove that two wffs are tautologically equivalent. For this exercise, please do this by showing explicitly that any truth assignment that satisfies one of the formulas also satisfies the other, and conversely.

You may think it's obvious that these wffs are tautologically equivalent. I agree, and after this proof, you can get away with saying so, or giving a more informal explanation, in similar circumstances.

Let v be a truth assignment.

Suppose that $v(\alpha) = x \in \{T, F\}$, then $v(\alpha_i) = T$ for all α_i in the equivalence relation $[\alpha]$.

Similarly, suppose that $v(\beta) = y \in \{T, F\}$, then $v(\beta_j) = T$ for all β_j in the equivalence relation $[\beta]$. Then;

$$\overline{v}(\alpha_i \wedge \beta_j) = \overline{v}(\alpha \wedge \beta) = x \wedge y = \begin{cases} T & \text{if } x = y = T \\ F & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, any truth assignment assigns the same value to $(\alpha_i \wedge \beta_j)$ for all $\alpha_i \in [\alpha]$ and for all $\beta_j \in [\beta]$. This tautological equivalence implies that hence $[\alpha_i] \wedge [\beta_j] = [\alpha_j \wedge \beta_l]$ Amittai, S Math 69: Logic

Problem 5.

Let X be the set of all wffs of sentential logic and \equiv be tautological equivalence. Define a binary (2-place) relation on equivalence classes by

$$[\alpha] \vDash [\beta] \iff \alpha \vDash \beta.$$

Determine whether this relation is well-defined and prove your answer is correct.

Let v be a truth assignment.

Suppose that $v(\alpha) = x \in \{T, F\}$, then $v(\alpha_i) = T$ for all α_i in the equivalence relation $[\alpha]$.

Similarly, suppose that $v(\beta) = y \in \{T, F\}$, then $v(\beta_j) = T$ for all β_j in the equivalence relation $[\beta]$.

Then;

$$\overline{v}(\alpha_i \vDash \beta_j) = \overline{v}(\alpha \vDash \beta) = x \vDash y = \begin{cases} F & \text{if } x = T \text{ and } y = F \\ T & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, any truth