

## Comments

I also read through the part on recursions and I thought the recursive definitions of well-formed formulas as  $n$ -ary functions taking in  $n$  arguments (each of which may be a function applied to its arguments) made a lot of sense.

On the other hand, polish notation without parenthesizing the arguments felt more complicated than the infix notation we have been using thus far. I understand how it reduces ambiguity, but I found it more tasking to process and mentally parse the wffs written in polish notation.

## Questions

In the section about 0-ary connectives, we say that  $\top$  and  $\perp$  can be thought of as the constants  $T$  and  $F$  having  $\bar{v}(\perp) = F$  and  $\bar{v}(\top) = T$  for every  $v$ . Wouldn't we get a contradiction if we have a function that negates  $v$ ? For instance, if  $w = \neg v$  for some function  $v$ , doesn't  $\bar{w}(\perp) = \neg \bar{v}(\perp)$  imply that  $\bar{w}(\perp) = T$ ?

## Exercises

1. Let  $G$  be the following three-place Boolean function.

$$\begin{array}{ll} G(F, F, F) = T, & G(T, F, F) = T, \\ G(F, F, T) = T, & G(T, F, T) = F, \\ G(F, T, F) = T, & G(T, T, F) = F, \\ G(F, T, T) = F, & G(T, T, T) = F. \end{array}$$

- (a) Find a wff using at most the connectives  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ , and  $\neg$ , that realizes  $G$ .

Truth table for  $G$ :

| $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\gamma$ | $G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ |
|----------|---------|----------|----------------------------|
| $T$      | $T$     | $T$      | $F$                        |
| $T$      | $T$     | $F$      | $F$                        |
| $T$      | $F$     | $T$      | $F$                        |
| $T$      | $F$     | $F$      | $T$                        |
| $F$      | $T$     | $T$      | $F$                        |
| $F$      | $T$     | $F$      | $T$                        |
| $F$      | $F$     | $T$      | $T$                        |
| $F$      | $F$     | $F$      | $T$                        |

$G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  always disagrees with the majority of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ .

That is,

$$G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \neg((\alpha \wedge \beta) \vee (\alpha \wedge \gamma) \vee (\beta \wedge \gamma))$$

- (b) Then find such a wff in which connective symbols occur at not more than 5 places.

$$G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \neg(\# \alpha \beta \gamma)$$

Using only  $\wedge, \vee, \neg$ :

$$G(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \neg( (\alpha \wedge (\beta \vee \gamma)) \vee (\beta \wedge \gamma) )$$