## **CS392 Database System Concept**

## **Assignment 7**

## **Due April 28th**, 2014

- 1. (15')Given key values: (2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 19, 23, 29, 31). Construct B<sup>+</sup>-Tree under the following three cases (assume the key is inserted in ascending order)
  - a. Four pointer per node
  - b. Six pointer per node
  - c. Eight pointer per node
- 2. (15')For each case in problem 1, show the tree after each of the following series of operations:
  - a. Insert 9
  - b. Insert 10
  - c. Insert 8
  - d. Delete 23
  - e. Delete 19
- 3. (15')Suppose there is a relation R(A, B, C), with B+ tree index with search key (A, B).
  - a. What is the worst case cost of finding records satisfying 10 < A < 50 using this index, in terms of the number of records retrieved  $n_1$  and the height h of the tree?
  - b. What is the worst case cost of finding records satisfying  $10 < A < 50 ^ 5 < B < 10$  using this index, in terms of the number of records  $n_2$  that satisfy this selection, as well as  $n_1$  and h defined above.
  - c. Under what condition on  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  would the index be an efficient way of finding records satisfying  $10 < A < 50 \land 5 < B < 10$ .
- 4. (20')Let relations  $r_1(A, B, C)$  and  $r_2(C, D, E)$  have the following properties:  $r_1$  has 50,000 tuples,  $r_2$  has 45,000 tuples, 25 tuples of  $r_1$  fit on one block, and 30 tuples of  $r_2$  fit on one block. Estimate the number of block transfers and seeks required, using each of the following join strategies for  $r_1 \bowtie r_2$ 
  - a. Nested-loop join
  - b. Block nested-loop join
  - c. Merge join
  - d. Hash join
- 5. (15')Suppose that a B+-tree index on building is available on relation department, and that no other index is available. What would be the best way to handle the following selections that involve negation?
  - a.  $\sigma \neg (building < "Watson")(department)$
  - b.  $\sigma_{\neg}$ (building = "Watson")(department)
  - c.  $\sigma \neg (building < "Watson" \lor budget < 50000)(department)$

- 6. (20')Pipelining is used to avoid writing intermediate results to disk. Suppose you need to sort relation r using sort—merge and merge-join the result with an already sorted relations.
  - a. Describe how the output of the sort of r can be pipelined to the merge join without being written back to disk.
  - b. The same idea is applicable even if both inputs to the merge-join are the outputs of sort—merge operations. However, the available memory has to be shared between the two merge operations (the merge-join algorithm itself needs very little memory). What is the effect of having to share memory on the cost of each sort—merge operation.