Regional Stabilization of Time-Delay Systems with Actuator Saturation and Delay

Bin Zhou* Xuefei Yang*

Abstract

to be added

Keywords: to be added

1 Introduction

2 Problem Formulation

In this section, we first consider the following linear time-delay system with actuator saturation:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + A_{r}x(t-r) + B\text{sat}(u(t)) \\ x(t) = \phi(t), u(t) = \omega(t), \forall t \in [-r, 0], \end{cases}$$

$$\tag{1}$$

where r is nonnegative scalar, and A, A_r and B are known matrices. The function sat (u): $\mathbf{R}^m \to \mathbf{R}^m$ is the standard saturation defined as follows:

$$\operatorname{sat}(u(t)) = \left[\operatorname{sat}(u_1) \quad \cdots \quad \operatorname{sat}(u_2) \right]^{\mathrm{T}},$$

with sat $(u_i) = \text{sign }(u_i) \min \{1, |u_i|\}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{n,r} = \mathcal{C}\left([-r, 0], \mathbf{R}^n\right)$ denote the Banach space of continuous vector functions mapping the interval [-r, 0] into \mathbf{R}^n with the topology of uniform convergence, and $x_t \in \mathcal{C}_{n,r}$ denote the restriction of x(t) to the interval [t-r,t] translated to [-r,0]. When a state feedback $u(t) = F^{\mathrm{T}}x(t)$ is applied on to the system (1), the resulting closed-loop system reads

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}\left(t\right) = Ax\left(t\right) + A_{r}x\left(t-r\right) + B\operatorname{sat}\left(F^{T}x\left(t\right)\right) \\ x\left(t\right) = \phi\left(t\right), \forall t \in \left[-r, 0\right]. \end{cases}$$
(2)

For an initial condition $x_0 \in \mathcal{C}_{n+m,r}$, denote the solution of system (2) as $x(t,x_0)$. Assume that the trivial solution $x(t,x_0) \equiv 0$ is asymptotically stable, then the domain of attraction of the origin is defined as

$$\mathcal{G} = \left\{ x_0 \in \mathcal{C}_{n,r} : \lim_{t \to \infty} \|x(t, x_0)\| = 0 \right\}.$$

A set $S \subset C_{n,r}$ is said to be invariant for system (2) if $x_0 \in S \Rightarrow x(t,x_0) \in S, \forall t \geq 0$. Moreover, a set S is called a contractively invariant set if it is an invariant set and is in the domain of attraction.

Problem 1 Given a state gain F, how to determine whether the closed-loop system y (???) is locally stable, and then to determine the local stability of the system x (2)?

Problem 2 For a given F, if system (??) is locally stable, how to find a set $\mathcal{L}_y \subset \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{n,r}$ such that \mathcal{L}_y is an estimate of the domian of attraction for the system (??), and is as large as possible? As a result, to get a corresponding estimate of the domian of attraction $\mathcal{L}_x \subset \mathcal{C}_{n+m,r}$ for the system (2).

^{*}The authors are with the Center for Control Theory and Guidance Technology, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, 150001, China. Email: binzhoulee@163.com, binzhou@hit.edu.cn.

Problem 3 How to disign an F such that an estimate of the domain of attraction \mathcal{L}_y for y system is maximized? And it follows that the corresponding estimate of the domain of attraction \mathcal{L}_x for the system (2) is also maximized.

Let \mathcal{V} be the set of all $m \times m$ diagonal matrices whose diagonal elements are either 1 or 0, then there are 2^m elements in \mathcal{V} . Assume that each element in \mathcal{V} is labeled as $D_i, i \in \mathcal{V}_m \triangleq \{1, 2, \dots, 2^m\}$ and let $D_i^- = I - D_i, i \in \mathcal{V}_m$. Then we give the following lemma for later use.

Lemma 1 For two vectors $u, v \in \mathbf{R}^m$, if $||v||_{\infty} \leq 1$, then

$$\operatorname{sat}(u) \in \operatorname{co}\left\{D_{i}u + D_{i}^{-}v, i \in \mathcal{V}_{m}\right\},\,$$

where $co\{\cdot\}$ denotes the convex hull of a set.

Lemma 2 For a given positive-define matrice $R \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$, any differentiable function ω in $[a,b] \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$, the following inequality holds:

$$\int_{a}^{b} \dot{\omega}^{\mathrm{T}}(s) R \dot{\omega}^{\mathrm{T}}(s) ds \ge \frac{1}{b-a} (\omega(b) - \omega(a))^{\mathrm{T}} R (\omega(b) - \omega(a)). \tag{3}$$

Lemma 3 Let $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $i \in \mathbb{I}[1, m]$, $m \ge 1$, be a series of vectors and P > 0 be given. Then

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i\right)^{\mathrm{T}} P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i\right) \le m\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^{\mathrm{T}} P x_i\right). \tag{4}$$

Lemma 4 Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{P}$ and \mathcal{F} be real matrices of appropriate dimensions such that $\mathcal{P} > 0$ and $\mathcal{F}^T \mathcal{F} \leq I$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\mathcal{P}^{-1} - \varepsilon^{-1} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{B}^T > 0$,

$$(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{H})^{\mathrm{T}} \mathcal{P} (\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{H}) \leq \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{T}} (\mathcal{P}^{-1} - \varepsilon^{-1}\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{T}})^{-1} \mathcal{A} + \varepsilon \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathcal{H}.$$
 (5)

3 Main Results

For $x_t \in \mathcal{C}_{n,r}$ and given positive-define matrices $P \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$, $Q \in \mathbf{R}^{Nn \times Nn}$, $R \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$, and an integer $N \ge 1$, we choose a Laypunov-Krasovskii functional candidate as

$$V(x_t) = V_1(x_t) + V_2(x_t) + V_3(x_t)$$
(6)

where

$$V_{1}(x_{t}) = x^{T}(t) Px(t),$$

$$V_{2}(x_{t}) = \int_{t-\frac{r}{N}}^{t} \pi^{T}(s) Q\pi(s) ds,$$

$$V_{3}(x_{t}) = \int_{-r}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} \dot{x}^{T}(\theta) R\dot{x}(\theta) d\theta ds,$$

and

$$\pi(s) = \begin{bmatrix} x(s) \\ x\left(s - \frac{1}{N}r\right) \\ \vdots \\ x\left(s - \frac{N-1}{N}\right) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then we can present the following theorem.

Theorem 1 Consider the linear time-delay system (??). Given $F \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times m}$, $r \neq 0$ and $\rho > 0$. If there exist positive-define matrices $P \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$, $Q \in \mathbf{R}^{Nn \times Nn}$, $R \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$, and $X_{\mathbf{r}} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{\mathbf{r}1}^{\mathrm{T}} & X_{\mathbf{r}2}^{\mathrm{T}} & \cdots & X_{\mathbf{r}(N+2)}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbf{R}^{(N+2)n \times n}$, $H \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times m}$, non-singular $Y \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ such that the following hold

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varpi(i) & \mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{r}} \\ * & -\frac{1}{r}\mathcal{R} \end{bmatrix} < 0, i \in \mathcal{V}_{m}, \tag{7}$$

and the relations

$$\left|H_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}y\left(t\right)\right| \leq 1, i \in \left\{1, m\right\},\$$

$$H = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} H_{1} & H_{2} & \cdots & H_{m} \end{array}\right],$$

are satisfied for all $x_t \in \mathcal{L}_V$, where

$$\mathcal{L}_{V} = \left\{ \psi \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}_{n,r} : V \left(\psi \right) \le \rho \right\},\,$$

and

$$\varpi(i) = \Gamma_{\mathrm{P}i}^{\mathrm{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{P} \\ \mathcal{P} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Gamma_{\mathrm{P}i} + \Gamma_{\mathrm{R}i}^{\mathrm{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{R} \\ \mathcal{R} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Gamma_{\mathrm{R}i} + \Gamma_{\mathrm{Q}i}^{\mathrm{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{Q} \\ \mathcal{Q} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Gamma_{\mathrm{Q}i} \\
+ \operatorname{He} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{r}1} \\ \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{r}2} \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{r}(N+2)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_{n} & 0_{n,(N-1)n} & I_{n} & 0_{n,n} \end{bmatrix} \\
+ \operatorname{He} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_{n} \\ I_{n} \\ \vdots \\ I_{n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & 0_{n,(N-1)n} & A_{\mathrm{r}} & -I_{n} \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{Y}^{\mathrm{T}} \\
+ \operatorname{He} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_{n} \\ I_{n} \\ \vdots \\ I_{n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} BD_{i}F^{\mathrm{T}}\mathfrak{Y}^{\mathrm{T}} + BD_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathcal{H} & 0_{n,(N+1)n} \end{bmatrix} ,$$

with

$$\Gamma_{\mathrm{P}i} = \begin{bmatrix}
0_{n,n} & 0_{n,Nn} & I_{n} \\
I_{n} & 0_{n,Nn} & 0_{n,n}
\end{bmatrix}, \Gamma_{\mathrm{R}i} = \begin{bmatrix}
0_{n,n} & 0_{n,Nn} & 0_{n,n} \\
0_{n,n} & 0_{n,Nn} & \sqrt{r}I_{n}
\end{bmatrix}, \Gamma_{\mathrm{Q}i} = \begin{bmatrix}
I_{Nn} & 0_{Nn,n} & 0_{Nn,n} \\
0_{Nn,n} & I_{Nn} & 0_{Nn,n}
\end{bmatrix}, (8)$$

$$\mathfrak{Y} = Y^{-1}, \mathcal{Y} = \mathrm{diag} \{\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{Y}, \cdots, \mathfrak{Y}\}_{(N+2)n}, \mathcal{Y}_{1} = \mathrm{diag} \{\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{Y}, \cdots, \mathfrak{Y}\}_{Nn},$$

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathfrak{Y} \mathcal{P} \mathfrak{Y}^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathcal{R} = \mathfrak{Y} \mathcal{R} \mathfrak{Y}^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Y}_{1} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{Y}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathcal{H} = \mathfrak{Y} \mathcal{H},$$

$$\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{r}} = \begin{bmatrix}
\mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{r}1}^{\mathrm{T}} & \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{r}2}^{\mathrm{T}} & \cdots & \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{r}(N+2)}^{\mathrm{T}}
\end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{r}j} = \mathfrak{Y} \mathcal{X}_{\mathrm{r}j} \mathfrak{Y}^{\mathrm{T}}, j \in \mathbf{I} [1, N+2],$$

then the solution $x(t) \equiv 0$ is asymptotically stable for the closed-loop system (??) with the set \mathcal{L}_V contained in the domain of attraction.

Proof. We choose the Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional in the form of (6). Then the derivatives of $V_i(x_t)$, i = 1, 2, 3, are given by

$$\dot{V}_{1}(x_{t}) = \dot{x}^{T}(t) Px(t) + x^{T}(t) P\dot{x}(t),
\dot{V}_{2}(x_{t}) = \pi^{T}(t) Q\pi(t) - \pi^{T}(t - \frac{r}{N}) Q\pi(t - \frac{r}{N}),
\dot{V}_{3}(x_{t}) = r\dot{x}^{T}(t) R\dot{x}(t) - \int_{-r}^{0} \dot{x}^{T}(t+s) R\dot{x}(t+s) ds.$$
(9)

By denoting $\eta^{\mathrm{T}}\left(t\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\pi^{\mathrm{T}}\left(t\right) & x^{\mathrm{T}}\left(t-r\right) & \dot{x}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(t\right)\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}},$ we have

$$\dot{V}(x_t) = \dot{V}_1(x_t) + \dot{V}_2(x_t) + \dot{V}_3(x_t)
= \eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t) \Gamma_1 \eta(t) - \int_{t-r}^t \dot{x}^{\mathrm{T}}(s) R \dot{x}(s) ds,$$
(10)

where Γ_1 is given by

$$\Gamma_{1} = \Gamma_{\mathrm{P}i}^{\mathrm{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & P \\ P & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Gamma_{\mathrm{P}i} + \Gamma_{\mathrm{R}i}^{\mathrm{T}} \begin{bmatrix} R & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{bmatrix} \Gamma_{\mathrm{R}i} + \Gamma_{\mathrm{Q}i}^{\mathrm{T}} \begin{bmatrix} Q & 0 \\ 0 & -Q \end{bmatrix} \Gamma_{\mathrm{Q}i}, \tag{11}$$

where Γ_{Pi} , Γ_{Ri} , Γ_{Qi} are in the form of (??). By using the Newton-Leibniz formula and the system (2), we have the following two identities:

$$0 = 2\eta^{T}(t) X_{r} \left(x(t) - x(t-r) - \int_{t-r}^{t} \dot{x}(s) ds \right)$$

$$0 = 2\eta^{T}(t) Y_{r} \left(Ax(t) + A_{r}x(t-r) + Bsat \left(F^{T}x(t) \right) - \dot{x}(t) \right),$$
(12)

where $Y_{\eta} \in \mathbf{R}^{(N+2)n \times n}$ is defined as

$$Y_{\eta} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} Y & Y & \cdots & Y \end{array} \right]^{\mathrm{T}}. \tag{13}$$

Inserting (12) into (10) gives

$$\dot{V}(x_{t}) = \eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t) \Gamma_{3} \eta(t) + 2 \eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t) Y_{\eta} B \operatorname{sat}\left(F^{\mathrm{T}} x(t)\right)$$

$$- \int_{t-r}^{t} z^{\mathrm{T}}(s) R^{-1} z(s) ds$$

$$\leq \eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t) \Gamma_{3} \eta(t) + 2 \eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t) Y_{\eta} B \operatorname{sat}\left(F^{\mathrm{T}} x(t)\right), \tag{14}$$

where

$$z\left(s\right) = X_{r}^{T} \eta\left(t\right) + R\dot{x}\left(s\right),$$

and

$$\Gamma_3 = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 + rX_rR^{-1}X_r^T \tag{15}$$

with Γ_2 defined as

$$\Gamma_2 = \operatorname{He}\left(X_{\mathbf{r}} \begin{bmatrix} I_n & 0_{n,(N-1)n} & I_n & 0_{n,n} \end{bmatrix}\right) + \operatorname{He}\left(Y_{\eta} \begin{bmatrix} A & 0_{n,(N-1)n} & A_{\mathbf{r}} & -I_n \end{bmatrix}\right)$$
(16)

From Lemma 1, there exist a series of nonnegative number $\lambda_i, i \in \mathcal{V}_m$ such that

$$\operatorname{sat}\left(F^{\mathrm{T}}y\left(t\right)\right) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_{m}} \lambda_{i} \left(D_{i}F^{\mathrm{T}} + D_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}H^{\mathrm{T}}\right) y\left(t\right), \ \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_{m}} \lambda_{i} = 1.$$

$$(17)$$

We conclude from (17) that,

$$2\eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t) Y_{\eta} B \operatorname{sat}\left(F^{\mathrm{T}} x\left(t\right)\right) = 2\eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t) Y_{\eta} B \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_{m}} \lambda_{i} \left(D_{i} F^{\mathrm{T}} + D_{i}^{-} H^{\mathrm{T}}\right) x\left(t\right)$$

$$= 2\eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t) \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}_{m}} \lambda_{i} \left[Y_{\eta} B\left(D_{i} F^{\mathrm{T}} + D_{i}^{-} H^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \quad 0_{n,(N+1)n}\right] \eta\left(t\right)$$

$$\leq \eta^{\mathrm{T}}(t) \max_{i \in \mathcal{V}_{m}} \left\{\Gamma_{4}\left(i\right)\right\} \eta\left(t\right), \tag{18}$$

where

$$\Gamma_4(i) = \operatorname{He}\left(\left[Y_{\eta} B\left(D_i F^{\mathrm{T}} + D_i^{-} H^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \quad 0_{n,(N+1)n} \right]\right). \tag{19}$$

Then substituting (18) into (14) gives

$$\dot{V}\left(x_{t}\right) \leq \max_{i \in \mathcal{V}_{m}} \eta^{\mathrm{T}}\left(t\right) \varsigma\left(i\right) \eta\left(t\right),$$

where $\varsigma(i) = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_4(i) + rX_rR^{-1}X_r^{\mathrm{T}}$.

By the Schur complement, $\varsigma(i) < 0, i \in \mathcal{V}_m$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_4(i) & X_r \\ * & -\frac{1}{r}R \end{bmatrix} < 0, \tag{20}$$

which is equivalent to (7) by setting $\mathfrak{Y}=Y^{-1}$, $\mathcal{Y}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\mathfrak{Y},\mathfrak{Y},\cdots,\mathfrak{Y}\right\}_{(N+2)n}$, $\mathcal{Y}_1=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\mathfrak{Y},\mathfrak{Y},\cdots,\mathfrak{Y}\right\}_{Nn}$,

 $\mathcal{P} = \mathfrak{Y} P \mathfrak{Y}^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathcal{R} = \mathfrak{Y} R \mathfrak{Y}^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Y}_1 Q \mathcal{Y}_1^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathcal{H} = \mathfrak{Y} H, \mathcal{X}_{rj} = \mathfrak{Y} X_{rj} \mathfrak{Y}^{\mathrm{T}}, j \in \mathbf{I} [1, N+2]$ and performing a congruence transformation by \mathcal{Y} to (20). That is to say that (20) holds if (7) is satisfied. Then we have $\dot{V}(x_t) < 0$.