1. When numerically solving a differential equation, it is always better to choose a smaller st. Explain why or why not.

Ans. False

This is because while using a smaller At will reduce the numerical discretization error, it leads to an increased number of computations to reach a desired total duration. This increase in computations results in higher round-off errors due to limits of machine accuracy.

2 -

Ans.

Adaptive methods are methods in which the Time step size is dynamic. At is computed at each timestep in order to optimize computational efficiency.

Non-adaptive methods use a constant st.

Adaptive methods are most useful in system with non-uniform dynamics. That is systems that have a fairly simple behaviour during run times, and significant dynamics at a specific section. We essentially adapt our integrator to focus on regions of key dynamism.

A major disadventage is that we cannot preallocate memory because we do not know how long it will take to run as At changes in each timestep.

The north element in the expension is - (I - A)^M The following element in the expansion will be (I-A) mt! If we expand up to m, $log(A) = - \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (\overline{1} - A) \\ 1 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} (\overline{1} - A)^{2} \\ 2 \end{array} + \cdots + \begin{array}{c} (\overline{1} - A)^{m} \\ m \end{array} \right\}$ If we expand up to mt!, $\log (A) = -\int (I-A) + (I-A)^{n} + (I-A)^{m} + (I-A)^{m}$ This converges if each new term added gets smaller as m increases

lim (- (I-A)^{M+1})

0 $\frac{-(\overline{I}-A)^{m+1}}{m+1} = \frac{(\overline{I}-A)^m}{m} \cdot \left[\frac{m}{m+1}(\overline{I}-A)\right]$ For this to approach, the term in square brackets should -> 0 $\frac{m}{m+1}$ (I-A)/<1 $\|(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{A})\| < \frac{\mathbf{m}+1}{\mathbf{m}}$ m e k

$$\log(A) = -\left[\frac{I-A}{1} + \frac{(I-A)^2}{2} + \frac{(I-A)^3}{3} + \frac{(I-A)^4}{4} + \frac{(I-A)^5}{5} + \cdots\right]$$

Mince, for each new term added, we get additional O(kn + (k-1) n³ operations.

with the second the second second second second

(() 0 4 (TO) () 1 V

4-21 (0 1 2 (0-2)

5a)	
	The LHJ of the dimensioned form to equivalent to GM H
	the KHZ of the gimensioned form is edulation to the 34.
	The LHS of the dimensionless form to equivalent do 327 1' 5 d
	Comparing both egns,
"	Yam·r = r'
	dim-less La dimension L
	dim-less dimension L
	scale factor
	units of L
	1 unit length, of 1' is equivalent to (GM). m
	W
	Velocity = $\frac{7}{4}$ If $\frac{7}{4} = \frac{1}{4} \times \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{4} \times$
	$\frac{1}{1+1} \frac{1}{1+1} = \frac{1}{1+1} $
	$\frac{1}{t} = \frac{10^{6} \text{ m/s}}{t}$
	Arbitrary 1/4 = 103/GM length /sec
	relocity = 10 GM length /sec

5b) Analytically show that if the first ster is initially stationer at the origin, and if the second and third star satisfy 12 = - 13 and with velocities $V_{n} = -V_{3}$, that The first star will remain stationary for all time. I this solution stable if r, is perturbed? Soln デーカーだ ボーヴ first star is initially at origin, then Fr = (0,0) fi-tra - fi (2) The Contraction $\ddot{r} = \frac{(-\vec{r}_{1})^{3}}{(-\vec{r}_{2})^{3}} \frac{(-\vec{r}_{3})^{3}}{(-\vec{r}_{3})^{3}}$ 15/3 + 15/3 15/3 + 15/3 $\frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}$ $\vec{\Gamma} = 0$ Hence, The first star remains at rest and never accelerates If it is slightly perturbed, egns (2) and (3) no longer hold and ster 1 begins to move.