3/22/2014



Gradiance Online Accelerated Learning

Zavd

· Home Page

· Assignments Due

· Progress Report

· Handouts

· Tutorials

· Homeworks

· Lab Projects

· Log Out

Help

This question is giving two

ways to reach the final

conclusion. As such, it

lhas to be either 6a or 7b.

71279 **Submission number:** Submission certificate: HG341188

Submission time: 2014-03-22 18:16:37 PST (GMT - 8:00)

Number of questions: 14 Positive points per question: 3.0 Negative points per question: 1.0 Your score: 42

These questions, based on Section 5.1 of HMU, are not in either of the other two homeworks on CFG's, but are available for use.

1. Let L be the language of all strings of a's and b's such that no prefix (proper or not) has more b's than a's. Let G be the grammar with productions

 $S \rightarrow aS \mid aSbS \mid \epsilon$

To prove that L = L(G), we need to show two things:

- 1. If S = > * w, then w is in L.
- 2. If w is in L, then S => * w.

We shall consider only the proof of (1) here. The proof is an induction on n, the number of steps in the derivation S = >*w. Here is an outline of the proof, with reasons omitted. You need to supply the reasons.

Basis:

- 1) If n=1, then w is ε because ____
- 2)

w is in L because

Induction:

3)

Either (a) $S => aS =>^{n-1} w \text{ or (b) } S => aSbS =>^{n-1} w \text{ because}$.

4a)

In case (a), w = ax, and $S = >^{n-1} x$ because

5a)

6a)

In case (a), x is in L because .

In case (a), w is in L because .

4b)

In case (b), w can be written w = aybz, where S = p y and S = q z for some p and q less than n because

5b)

6b)

In case (b), y is in L because

In case (b), z is in L because

7b)

In case (b), w is in L because ____

For which of the steps above the appropriate reason is contained in the following argument:

"The following two statements are true

- (i) if string x has no prefix with more b's than a's, then neither does string ax,
- (ii) if strings y and z are such that no prefix has more b's than a's, then neither does string aybz."
 - a) 2
 - 6b b)

wrong answers:

- 1. String provided has a way to make two c's next to each other (choice a).
- 2. Major productions do not have 4 a's and 3 c's lin order.

To be accepted by this grammar, the string must

a multiple of three.

have the number of a's be

Keys to look for in

You have answered the question correctly.

/b

Answer submitted: c)

2. Which of the following grammars derives a subset of the language:

 $\{x \mid x \text{ contains a and c in proportion 4:3 and there are no two consecutive c's}\}$?

- a) $S \rightarrow \varepsilon S \rightarrow aScScaSaScSaS$
- $S \rightarrow acacaca S \rightarrow SaScSaScSaScSaS S \rightarrow SaSaSaScSaScSaScSa$
- S → acacaca S → SacSaScSaScSaS
- d) $S \rightarrow \varepsilon S \rightarrow aacacac S \rightarrow SaScSaScSaScSa$

Answer submitted: c)

You have answered the question correctly.

3. Consider the grammar G with start symbol S:

 $S \rightarrow bS \mid aA \mid b$

 $A \rightarrow bA \mid aB$

 $B \rightarrow bB \mid aS \mid a$

Which of the following is a word in L(G)?

- bababbabaababaa
- ababbbbb
- c) babbbbaaaab
- bbbaababaaa

Answer submitted: d)

You have answered the question correctly.

Be careful to read the second half of the guestion after the blanks. In choice a you use the production S->aS so must have at least as many a's as b's. For the second one, they could be equal or Ithere could be more a's than b's.

4. Consider the grammar G1: $S \to \varepsilon$, $S \to aS$, $S \to aSbS$ and the language L that contains exactly those strings of a's and b's such that every prefix has at least as many a's as b's. We want to prove the claim: G1 generates all strings in L. We take the following inductive hypothesis to prove the claim:

For n < k, G1 generates every string of length n in L.

To prove the inductive step we argue as follows:

"For each string w in L either _ (a1) or _ (a2) holds. In both cases we use the inductive hypothesis and one of the rules to show that string w can be generated by the grammar. In the first case we use rule $S \rightarrow$ aS and in the second case we use rule $S \rightarrow aSbS$."

Which phrases can replace the so that this argument is correct?

- a1: each prefix has equal number of a's and b's. a2: there is a b in string w such that the part of the string until the b belongs in L by inductive hypothesis and the part after this b belongs in L by inductive hypothesis.
- al: there is a b in string w such that for the part of the string until the b (b also included) each prefix has as many a's as b's and for the part after b each prefix has as many a's as b's. a2: each prefix has more a's than b's.
- a1: w can be written as w=aw' where each prefix of w' has as many a's as b's. a2: w can be written as w=aw'bw" where for both w' and w" it holds that each prefix has as many a's as b's.

d) a1: each prefix has equal number of b's and a's. a2: w can be written as w=aw'bw" where for both w' and w" it holds that each prefix has as many a's as b's.

Answer submitted: c)

You have answered the question correctly.

5. Programming languages are often described using an extended form of context-free grammar, where curly brackets are used to denote a construct that can repeat 0, 1, 2, or any number of times. For example, $A \rightarrow B\{C\}D$ says that an A can be replaced by a B and a D, with any number of C's (including 0) between them. This notation does not allow us to describe anything but context-free languages, since an extended production can always be replaced by several conventional productions.

Suppose a grammar has the extended production:

$A \rightarrow a\{b\}B$

Convert this extended production to conventional productions. Identify, from the list below, the conventional productions that are equivalent to the extended production above.

```
a) A \rightarrow aA_1B
        \mathbb{A}_1 \ \rightarrow \ b\mathbb{A}_1 \ | \ b
h) A \rightarrow aB | abB | abbB | abbbB | ...
     A \to aA_1B
        \mathbb{A}_1 \ \to \ b \ \mid \ \epsilon
     A \rightarrow aA_1B
        A_1 \rightarrow bA_1 \mid \epsilon
```

Answer submitted: d)

You have answered the question correctly.

6. Consider the following languages and grammars. $G_1: S \to aA|aS, A \to ab$

```
G_2: S \to abS | aA, A \to a
G_3: S \rightarrow Sa|AB, A \rightarrow aA|a, B \rightarrow b
G_4: S \rightarrow aS|b
L_1: {a^ib| i=1,2,...}
L_2: {(ab)iaa| i=0,1,...}
L_3: {a^ib| i=2,3,...}
L_{a}: {a^{i}ba^{j}| i=1,2,..., j=0,1,...}
L_5: {a^ib| = 0,1,...}
```

Match each grammar with the language it defines. Then, identify a correct match from the list below.

- a) G₄ defines L₅. b) G₁ defines L₂.
- c) G₄ defines L₂.
- d) G₄ defines L₁.

Answer submitted: a)

You have answered the question correctly.

production that can lead to any number of b's. Selection b lis invalid as there lmust be a finite number of productions.

Choose the right

G1 defines L3. G2 defines L2. G3 defines L4. G4 defines L5.

alternating a's and b's, although there are some exceptions, and not all grammars generate all such strings.

G1, G2, G4, and G8 produce same language

```
1. S \rightarrow abS \mid ab
2. S \rightarrow SS \mid ab
3. S \rightarrow aB; B \rightarrow bS \mid a
4. S \rightarrow aB; B \rightarrow bS \mid b
5. S \rightarrow aB; B \rightarrow bS \mid ab
6. S \rightarrow aB \mid b; B \rightarrow bS
```

7. $S \rightarrow aB \mid a; B \rightarrow bS$ 8. $S \rightarrow aB \mid ab; B \rightarrow bS$

The initial symbol is S in all cases. Determine the language of each of these grammars. Then, find, in the list below, the pair of grammars that define the same language.

a)
$$G1: S \rightarrow aB, B \rightarrow bS, B \rightarrow ab$$

 $G2: S \rightarrow aB, B \rightarrow bS, S \rightarrow ab$
b) $G1: S \rightarrow aB, B \rightarrow bS, B \rightarrow b$
 $G2: S \rightarrow aB, B \rightarrow bS, S \rightarrow b$

c) G1:
$$S \rightarrow abS$$
, $S \rightarrow ab$
G2: $S \rightarrow aB$, $B \rightarrow bS$, $B \rightarrow ab$

d)
$$G1: S \rightarrow SS, S \rightarrow ab$$

 $G2: S \rightarrow aB, B \rightarrow bS, S \rightarrow ab$

Answer submitted: d)

You have answered the question correctly.

8. Which of the following pairs of grammars define the same language?

a)
$$G_1: S \to AB|a, A \to b$$

 $G_2: S \to a$

b)
$$\begin{split} G_1 \colon S \to AB, \, A \to aAA|\epsilon \,, \, B \to baBB|\epsilon \\ G_2 \colon S \to CB|B|\epsilon \,, \, C \to aCC|aC|a, \, B \to baBB|baB|ba \end{split}$$

c)
$$G_1: S \rightarrow SaScSaS|aca|\epsilon$$

 $G_2: S \rightarrow SaBaS|aca, B \rightarrow cS|\epsilon$

d)
$$G_1: S \to AB, A \to aAA|\epsilon$$
, $B \to baB|\epsilon$
 $G_2: S \to CB|C|B$, $C \to aCC|aC|a$, $B \to baBB|baB|ba$

For choice a, S->AB is useless as no production for B. For choice c, the issue arises when B->epsilon so no middle c. For choice d, the issue is the first grammar can produce the empty string while the second cannot.

Answer submitted: a)

You have answered the question correctly.

wrong answers: 1. String provided has a way to make two c's next to each other (choice a).

Keys to look for in

Major productions do not have 4 a's and 3 c's lin order.

9. Which of the following grammars derives a subset L_s of the language: L= {x | (i) x contains a and c in proportion 4:3, (ii) x does not begin with c and (iii) there are no two consecutive c's} such that Ls is missing at most a finite number of strings from L.

a) $S \rightarrow \varepsilon$, $S \rightarrow SaScSaScSa$

b) $S \rightarrow \epsilon$, $S \rightarrow SaScSaScSaSaSaS$

c) $S \rightarrow \varepsilon$, $S \rightarrow acacaScSaS$

d) $S \rightarrow \varepsilon$, $S \rightarrow SaScSaScSaScSaS$

Answer submitted: d)

You have answered the question correctly.

10. Let L be the language of all strings of a's and b's such that no prefix (proper or not) has more b's than a's. Let G be the grammar with productions

```
S \rightarrow aS \mid aSbS \mid \epsilon
```

To prove that L = L(G), we need to show two things:

- 1. If S = > * w, then w is in L.
- 2. If w is in L, then S = > * w.

We shall consider only the proof of (1) here. The proof is an induction on n, the number of steps in the derivation S = >*w. Here is an outline of the proof, with reasons omitted. You need to supply the reasons.

```
Basis:
1)
      If n=1, then w is \varepsilon because
2)
      w is in L because _____.
      Induction:
3)
      Either (a) S => aS =>^{n-1} w \text{ or (b) } S => aSbS =>^{n-1} w \text{ because}.
4a)
      In case (a), w = ax, and S = >^{n-1} x because _____.
5a)
      In case (a), x is in L because
6a)
      In case (a), w is in L because _____
4b)
      In case (b), w can be written w = aybz, where S = p y and S = q z for some p and q less than n because
5b)
      In case (b), y is in L because .
6b)
      In case (b), z is in L because
7b)
      In case (b), w is in L because ____
```

This question is asking for the step that divides the problem into two separate cases. It has to be step #3, #4a, or #4b as those either define the "a" and "b" cases below or are the "a" and "b" cases.

For which of the steps above the appropriate reason is contained in the following argument:

"All n-step derivations of w produce either ε (for n=1) or use one of the productions with at least one nonterminal in the body (for n > 1). In case the production $S \to aS$ is used, then w=ax with x being produced by a (n-1)-step derivation. In case the production $S \rightarrow aSbS$ is used then w=aybz with y and z being produced by derivations with number of steps less than n."

- a) 2
- b) 5a
- c)
- d) 3

Answer submitted: d)

You have answered the question correctly.

All that is required is to find a valid parenthesis string of six characters.

- 11. Identify in the list below a sentence of length 6 that is generated by the grammar $S \to (S)S \mid \epsilon$
 - a))()(()
 - b) (()))(
 - c) ())(()
 - d) (())()

Answer submitted: d)

You have answered the question correctly.

12. Consider the grammar G and the language L:

$$G: S \rightarrow AB \mid a \mid abC, A \rightarrow b, C \rightarrow abC \mid c$$

L: {w | w a string of a's, b's, and c's with an equal number of a's and b's}.

In the grammar, a is always before b but that is not required by the language.

Grammar G does not define language L. To prove, we use a string that either is produced by G and not contained in L or is contained in L but is not produced by G. Which string can be used to prove it?

- abababc
- cacabbb b)
- c) bababa
- ababc

Answer submitted: c)

You have answered the question correctly.

13. Consider the grammar G1:

$$S \rightarrow \epsilon \mid aS \mid aSbS$$

Which of the following is correct (for a choice to be correct, all propositions must be correct)?

- a) The string aaba is not generated by the grammar.
- In choice d, the substring aaabbbabb has lmore b's than a's.

G1, G3, and G4 are equal.

G2 and G5 are equal.

- a) G1 generates all and only the strings of a's and b's such that every string has at least as many a's as b's. b) The inductive hypothesis to prove it is: For n < k, it holds: Any word in G1 of length n, is such that all its prefixes contain more a's than b's or as many a's as b's.
- a) G1 generates all and only the strings of a's and b's such that every prefix has at least as many a's as b's. b) The following inductive hypothesis will prove it: For $n \le k$, it holds that: Any word in G1 of length n, is such that all its prefixes contain at least as many a's as b's.
- The string aaabbbaabbaabbaaabb is not generated by the grammar.

Answer submitted: d)

You have answered the question correctly.

14. Consider the grammars:

$$G_1: S \to AB, A \to aAA|\epsilon$$
, $B \to abBB|\epsilon$

$$G_2:S \to CB, C \to aCC|aC|a, B \to abBB|abB|ab$$

$$G_3:S \to CB|C|B|$$
 ϵ , $C \to aCC|aC|a$, $B \to abBB|abB|ab$

$$G_4:S \to ASB|\epsilon, A \to aA|\epsilon, B \to abB|\epsilon$$

$$G_5:S \to ASB|AB, A \to aA|a, B \to abB|ab$$

$$G_6:S \to ASB|aab, A \to aA|a, B \to abB|ab$$

Describe the language of each of these grammars. Then, identify from the list below a pair of grammars that define the same language?

- a) G₁ and G₆
- b) G₁ and G₃
- c) G₅ and G₆
- d) G₃ and G₂

Answer submitted: b)

Vou have answered the question correctly

I ou have answered the question correctly.

Copyright © 2007-2013 Gradiance Corporation.