

Zayd

• Home Page

· Assignments Due

· Progress Report

· Handouts

· Tutorials

· Homeworks

· Lab Projects

Log Out

Help

Submission number: 64553 Submission certificate: CG480438

Submission time: 2014-02-23 13:43:09 PST (GMT - 8:00)

5 Number of questions: 3.0 Positive points per question: Negative points per question: 1.0 Your score: 7

These are some questions in which students are asked to understand proofs about context-free grammars. The material is based on Chapter 5 of HM

Gradiance Online Accelerated Learning

1. Let L be the language of all strings of a's and b's such that no prefix (proper or not) has more b's than a's. Let G be the grammar with productions

```
\text{S} \rightarrow \text{aS} \text{ | aSbS | } \epsilon
```

Basis:

To prove that L = L(G), we need to show two things:

- 1. If S = > * w, then w is in L.
- 2. If w is in L, then S = >* w.

We shall consider only the proof of (2) here. The proof is an induction on n, the length of w. Here is an outline of the proof, with reasons omitted. You need to supply the reasons.

1) If n=0, then w is ε because 2) S => * w becauseInduction: 3) Either (a) w can be written as w=aw' where for w' each prefix has as many a's as b's or (b) w can be written as w=aw'bw" where for both w' and w'' hold that each prefix has as many a's as b's because . 4a) In case (a), w' is in the language because 5a) In case (a), S => * w' because 6a) In case (a), S => * w because 4b) In case (b), both w' and w" are in the language because 5b) In case (b), S => * w' because 6b) In case (b), S => * w'' because ____ 7b) In case (b), S => * w because ___

For which of the steps above is the appropriate reason "by the inductive hypothesis"?

- a) 5a
- b) 4a
- c) 6a
- d) 3

Answer submitted: a)

You have answered the question correctly.

2. Let G be the grammar:

```
S \rightarrow SS \mid (S) \mid \epsilon
```

L(G) is the language BP of all strings of balanced parentheses, that is, those strings that could appear in a well-formed arithmetic expression. We want to prove that L(G) = BP, which requires two inductive proofs:

- 1. If w is in L(G), then w is in BP.
- 2. If w is in BP, then w is in L(G).

We shall here prove only the second. You will see below a sequence of steps in the proof, each with a reason left out. These reasons belong to one of three classes:

A) Use of the inductive hypothesis.

B) Reasoning about properties of grammars, e.g., that every derivation has at least one step.

C) Reasoning about properties of strings, e.g., that every string is longer than any of its proper substrings.

The proof is an induction on the length of w. You should decide on the reason for each step in the proof below, and then identify from the available choices a correct pair consisting of a step and a kind of reason (A, B, or C).

```
Basis: Length = 0.
(1)
       The only string of length 0 in BP is \varepsilon because
(2)
       \epsilon is in L(G) because
       Induction: |w| = n > 0.
(3)
       w is of the form (x)y, where (x) is the shortest proper prefix of w that is in BP, and y is the remainder of w because
(4)
       x is in BP because ___
(5)
       y is in BP because ____
(6)
       |x| < n because
(7)
       y < n because ___
(8)
       x is in L(G) because
(9)
      y is in L(G) because _
(10)
       (x) is in L(G) because ___
```

(4) for reason A

w is in L(G) because ___ (9) for reason A

(11)

(10) for reason A

(10) for reason C

Answer submitted: b)

Your answer is incorrect.

Remember: the inductive hypothesis is used to conclude that a certain string s is in L(G), and the only way to apply the inductive hypothesis is 1 be sure that the length of s is less than that of the length (typically n) being considered in the proof of the inductive step. The "If" part of Theore 5.7 (p. 179) is a useful example. Also, see Section 1.4.2 (p. 22) on the general form of inductions on integers (which includes an induction on lengths of strings).

3. Let L be the language of all strings of a's and b's such that no prefix (proper or not) has more b's than a's. Let G be the grammar with productions

```
S \rightarrow aS \mid aSbS \mid \epsilon
```

To prove that L = L(G), we need to show two things:

1. If S = > * w, then w is in L.

2. If w is in L, then S = > * w.

We shall consider only the proof of (1) here. The proof is an induction on n, the number of steps in the derivation S = > *w. Here is an outline of the proof, with reasons omitted. You need to supply the reasons.

	Basis:
1)	
2)	If $n=1$, then w is ϵ because
۷)	w is in L because
	Induction:
3)	
	Either (a) $S => aS =>^{n-1} w \text{ or (b) } S => aSbS =>^{n-1} w \text{ because } \$
4a)	
- \	In case (a), $w = ax$, and $S = >^{n-1} x$ because
5a)	In case (a), x is in L because
6a)	in case (a), A is in L occause
,	In case (a), w is in L because
4b)	
	In case (b), w can be written $w = aybz$, where $S =>^p y$ and $S =>^q z$ for some p and q less than n because
5b)	
6b)	In case (b), y is in L because
30)	In case (b), z is in L because .
7b)	
	In case (b), w is in L because

Some of the steps above have one of the following reasons:

- I) "The following two statements are true:
- (i) if string x has no prefix with more b's than a's, then neither does string ax,
- (ii) if strings y and z are such that no prefix has more b's than a's, then neither does string aybz."
- II) "All n-step derivations of w produce either ε (for n=1) or use one of the productions with at least one nonterminal in the body (for n > 1). In case the production $S \to aS$ is used, then w=ax with x being produced by a (n-1)-step derivation. In case the production $S \to aSbS$ is used then w=aybz with y and z being produced by derivations with number of steps less than n."
- III) "by the inductive hypothesis"

Choose as correct a (STEP, REASON) pair. (I.e., a correct pair means that step STEP is true because of reason REASON.)

- a) (4b,II)
- b) (4b,III)
- c) (3,I)
- d) (2,I)

Answer submitted: c)

Your answer is incorrect.

The correct reason for 3 is:

All derivations of more than one step must begin with the use of a production that has at least one nonterminal in the body. For G, these first steps can only be aS or aSbS.

The "Only If" part of Theorem 5.7 (p. 180) is a useful example, as is the proof of Theorem 5.18 (p. 193). Also, see Section 1.4.2 (p. 22) on t general form of inductions on integers (which includes an induction on the lengths of derivations).

4. Let G be the grammar:

```
S \rightarrow SS \mid (S) \mid \epsilon
```

L(G) is the language BP of all strings of balanced parentheses, that is, those strings that could appear in a well-formed arithmetic expression. We want to prove that L(G) = BP, which requires two inductive proofs:

- 1. If w is in L(G), then w is in BP.
- 2. If w is in BP, then w is in L(G).

We shall here prove only the first. You will see below a sequence of steps in the proof, each with a reason left out. These reasons belong to one of three classes:

	5
A)	
B)	Use of the inductive hypothesis.
	Reasoning about properties of grammars, e.g., that every derivation has at least one step.
C)	Reasoning about properties of strings, e.g., that every string is longer than any of its proper substrings.
	proof is an induction on the number of steps in the derivation of w. You should decide on the reason for each step in the proof below, then identify from the available choices a correct pair consisting of a step and a kind of reason (A, B, or C).
(1)	Basis: One step.
(1)	The only 1-step derivation of a terminal string is $S \Rightarrow \epsilon$ because
(2)	ε is in BP because Induction: An n-step derivation for some n>1.
(3)	
	The derivation $S =>^n w$ is either of the form
	(a) $S \Rightarrow SS \Rightarrow^{n-1} W$ or of the form
	(b) $S => (S) =>^{n-1} w$ because
	Case (a):
(4)	
(5)	$w = xy$, for some strings x and y such that $S =>^p x$ and $S =>^q y$, where p <n and="" because<="" q<n="" td=""></n>
(-)	x is in BP because
(6)	
(7)	y is in BP because
(.)	w is in BP because
	Case (b):
(8)	$W = (z)$ for some string z such that $S = >^{n-1} z$ because
(9)	$W = (z)$ for some string z such that $S = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} z_i$ because
(2)	z is in BP because
(10)	w is in BP because
a	a) (8) for reason C
b	b) (5) for reason C
c	e) (10) for reason B

(1) for reason B

Answer submitted: d)

You have answered the question correctly.

5. Consider the grammars:

$$G_1:S \to AB \mid a \mid abC, A \to b, C \to abC \mid c$$

$$G_2\!:\!S \to a \mid b \mid cC,\, C \to cC \mid c$$

These grammars do not define the same language. To prove, we use a string that is generated by one but not by the other grammar. Which of the following strings can be used for this proof?

- cabaca
- b) abac
- c) cc
- ababccc

Answer submitted: c)

You have answered the question correctly.

Copyright © 2007-2013 Gradiance Corporation.