

# **Gradiance Online Accelerated Learning**

Zayd

· Home Page 69053 **Submission number:** Submission certificate: IG763319 · Assignments Due Submission time: 2014-03-15 21:30:32 PST (GMT - 8:00) · Progress Report · Handouts Number of questions: 14 · Tutorials Positive points per question: 3.0 Negative points per question: 1.0 · Homeworks Your score: 42 · Lab Projects · Log Out These questions, based on Section 5.1 of HMU, are not in either of the other two homeworks on CFG's, but are available for use. Help 1. Let L be the language of all strings of a's and b's such that no prefix (proper or not) has more b's than a's. Let G be the grammar with productions  $S \rightarrow aS \mid aSbS \mid \epsilon$ To prove that L = L(G), we need to show two things: 1. If S = > \* w, then w is in L. 2. If w is in L, then S => \* w. We shall consider only the proof of (1) here. The proof is an induction on n, the number of steps in the derivation S = >\*w. Here is an outline of the proof, with reasons omitted. You need to supply the reasons. Basis: 1) If n=1, then w is  $\varepsilon$  because \_\_\_\_ 2) w is in L because Induction: 3) Either (a)  $S => aS =>^{n-1} w \text{ or (b) } S => aSbS =>^{n-1} w \text{ because}$ . 4a) In case (a), w = ax, and  $S = >^{n-1} x$  because 5a) In case (a), x is in L because . 6a) In case (a), w is in L because \_\_\_\_\_. 4b) In case (b), w can be written w = aybz, where S = p y and S = q z for some p and q less than n because 5b) In case (b), y is in L because 6b) In case (b), z is in L because 7b) In case (b), w is in L because \_\_\_\_ For which of the steps above the appropriate reason is contained in the following argument: "The following two statements are true (i) if string x has no prefix with more b's than a's, then neither does string ax, (ii) if strings y and z are such that no prefix has more b's than a's, then neither does string aybz." a) 6b

b) 2

- /b
- d) 5b

#### Answer submitted: c)

You have answered the question correctly.

2. Consider the following languages and grammars.  $G_1: S \to aA|aS, A \to ab$ 

```
G_2: S \to abS | aA, A \to a
G_3: S \to Sa|AB, A \to aA|a, B \to b
G_4: S \rightarrow aS|b
L_1: {a^ib| = 1,2,...}
L_{2}: {(ab)iaa| i=0,1,...}
L_3: {a^ib| i=2,3,...}
L_{A}: {a^{i}ba^{j}| i=1,2,..., j=0,1,...}
L_5: {a^ib| i=0,1,...}
```

Match each grammar with the language it defines. Then, identify a correct match from the list below.

- a) G<sub>3</sub> defines L<sub>4</sub>.
- b) G<sub>1</sub> defines L<sub>5</sub>.
- c) G<sub>4</sub> defines L<sub>2</sub>.
- d) G<sub>2</sub> defines L<sub>4</sub>.

#### Answer submitted: a)

You have answered the question correctly.

3. Consider the grammars:

```
G_1: S \to AB, A \to aAA|_{\varepsilon}, B \to abBB|_{\varepsilon}
G_2:S \to CB, C \to aCC|aC|a, B \to abBB|abB|ab
G_3:S \to CB|C|B| \ \epsilon \ , \ C \to aCC|aC|a, \ B \to abBB|abB|ab
G_4:S \to ASB|\varepsilon, A \to aA|\varepsilon, B \to abB|\varepsilon
G_5\text{:}S \to ASB|AB,\, A \to aA|a,\, B \to abB|ab
G_6:S \to ASB|aab, A \to aA|a, B \to abB|ab
```

Describe the language of each of these grammars. Then, identify from the list below a pair of grammars that define the same language?

- a) G<sub>3</sub> and G<sub>4</sub>
- b)  $G_2$  and  $G_6$
- c) G<sub>4</sub> and G<sub>5</sub>
- d)  $G_1$  and  $G_2$

### Answer submitted: a)

You have answered the question correctly.

- 4. Which of the following grammars derives a subset  $L_s$  of the language:  $L = \{x \mid (i) \text{ x contains a and c in proportion 4:3, (ii)}\}$ x does not begin with c and (iii) there are no two consecutive c's} such that Ls is missing at most a finite number of strings from L.
  - a)  $S \rightarrow acacaca, S \rightarrow \epsilon, S \rightarrow SaScSaScSaScSaS$
  - b)  $S \rightarrow \epsilon$ ,  $S \rightarrow SaScSaScaSaSaSaS$
  - $S \rightarrow acacaca, S \rightarrow SaSaSaScSaScSaS$
  - d)  $S \rightarrow acacaca$ ,  $S \rightarrow SaScSaScSaScSaS$ ,  $S \rightarrow SaSaSaScSaScSaS$

#### Answer submitted: a)

You have answered the question correctly.

5. Which of the following pairs of grammars define the same language?

$$a) \quad G_1{:}\: S \to AB | a, \: A \to b, \: B \to b$$

$$G_2: S \rightarrow a$$

b) 
$$G_1: S \to AB, A \to aAA|\epsilon, B \to baBB|\epsilon$$

$$G_2: S \to CB|B|\epsilon$$
 ,  $C \to aCC|aC|a$ ,  $B \to baBB|baB|ba$ 

c) 
$$G_1: S \rightarrow SaScSaS|_{\epsilon}$$

$$G_2: S \to SaSAaS | \varepsilon, A \to cS$$

d) 
$$G_1: S \rightarrow SaScSaS|aca|\epsilon$$

$$G_2: S \rightarrow SaBaS | aca, B \rightarrow cS | \epsilon$$

## Answer submitted: c)

You have answered the question correctly.

6. Which of the following grammars derives a subset of the language:

 $\{x \mid x \text{ contains a and c in proportion 4:3 and there are no two consecutive c's}\}$ ?

- a)  $S \rightarrow acacaca S \rightarrow SaSaSaScSaScSaS$
- b)  $S \rightarrow \varepsilon S \rightarrow SaScSaScaSaSaSaS$
- c)  $S \rightarrow acacaca S \rightarrow SaScSaScSaScSaS$
- $S \rightarrow acacaca S \rightarrow SaScSaScSaScSaS S \rightarrow SaSaSaScSaScSa$

#### Answer submitted: c)

You have answered the question correctly.

7. Consider the grammar G and the language L:

$$G: S \rightarrow AB \mid a \mid abC, A \rightarrow b, C \rightarrow abC \mid c$$

L: {w | w a string of a's, b's, and c's with an equal number of a's and b's}.

Grammar G does not define language L. To prove, we use a string that either is produced by G and not contained in L or

is contained in L but is not produced by G. Which string can be used to prove it?

- aabb
- b) cacabbb
- c) cabaca
- ababc

#### Answer submitted: a)

You have answered the question correctly.

- 8. Here are eight simple grammars, each of which generates an infinite language of strings. These strings tend to look like alternating a's and b's, although there are some exceptions, and not all grammars generate all such strings.
  - 1.  $S \rightarrow abS \mid ab$
  - 2.  $S \rightarrow SS \mid ab$
  - 3.  $S \rightarrow aB; B \rightarrow bS \mid a$
  - 4.  $S \rightarrow aB$ ;  $B \rightarrow bS \mid b$

- 5.  $S \rightarrow aB; B \rightarrow bS \mid ab$
- 6.  $S \rightarrow aB \mid b; B \rightarrow bS$
- 7.  $S \rightarrow aB \mid a; B \rightarrow bS$
- 8.  $S \rightarrow aB \mid ab; B \rightarrow bS$

The initial symbol is S in all cases. Determine the language of each of these grammars. Then, find, in the list below, the pair of grammars that define the same language.

a) G1: 
$$S \rightarrow aB$$
,  $B \rightarrow bS$ ,  $B \rightarrow b$ 

G2: 
$$S \rightarrow aB$$
,  $B \rightarrow bS$ ,  $S \rightarrow b$ 

b) G1: 
$$S \rightarrow aB$$
,  $B \rightarrow bS$ ,  $B \rightarrow ab$ 

G2: 
$$S \rightarrow aB$$
,  $B \rightarrow bS$ ,  $S \rightarrow ab$ 

c) G1: 
$$S \rightarrow aB$$
,  $B \rightarrow bS$ ,  $B \rightarrow ab$ 

G2: 
$$S \rightarrow SS$$
,  $S \rightarrow ab$ 

d) G1: 
$$S \rightarrow abS$$
,  $S \rightarrow ab$ 

G2: 
$$S \rightarrow SS$$
,  $S \rightarrow ab$ 

Answer submitted: d)

You have answered the question correctly.

9. Programming languages are often described using an extended form of context-free grammar, where curly brackets are used to denote a construct that can repeat 0, 1, 2, or any number of times. For example,  $A \to B\{C\}D$  says that an A can be replaced by a B and a D, with any number of C's (including 0) between them. This notation does not allow us to describe anything but context-free languages, since an extended production can always be replaced by several conventional productions.

Suppose a grammar has the extended production:

Convert this extended production to conventional productions. Identify, from the list below, the conventional productions that are equivalent to the extended production above.

a) 
$$A \rightarrow BCA_1F$$

$$A_1 \rightarrow A_1DE \mid DE$$

b) 
$$A \rightarrow BCA_1F$$

$$\texttt{A}_1 \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{A}_1 \texttt{DE} \ | \ \epsilon$$

$$c) \quad \text{A} \to \text{BCA}_1\text{F}$$

$$A_1 \rightarrow DE \mid \epsilon$$

 ${\tt A}_1 \ \to \ {\tt A}_1 {\tt DE} \ | \ \epsilon$ 

Answer submitted: b)

You have answered the question correctly.

- 10. Identify in the list below a sentence of length 6 that is generated by the grammar  $S \to (S)S \mid \epsilon$ 
  - a) ))((()
  - b) )((())
  - c) ))(()(
  - d) (())()

Answer submitted: d)

You have answered the question correctly.

11. Let L be the language of all strings of a's and b's such that no prefix (proper or not) has more b's than a's. Let G be the grammar with productions

 $S \rightarrow aS \mid aSbS \mid \epsilon$ To prove that L = L(G), we need to show two things: 1. If S = > \* w, then w is in L. 2. If w is in L, then S = > \* w. We shall consider only the proof of (1) here. The proof is an induction on n, the number of steps in the derivation S = >\*w. Here is an outline of the proof, with reasons omitted. You need to supply the reasons. Basis: 1) If n=1, then w is  $\varepsilon$  because 2) w is in L because \_\_\_\_\_. Induction: 3) Either (a)  $S => aS =>^{n-1} w \text{ or (b) } S => aSbS =>^{n-1} w \text{ because}$ . 4a) In case (a), w = ax, and  $S = >^{n-1} x$  because \_\_\_\_\_. 5a) In case (a), x is in L because \_\_\_\_\_. 6a) In case (a), w is in L because \_\_\_\_\_. 4b) In case (b), w can be written w = aybz, where S = p y and S = q z for some p and q less than n because 5b) In case (b), y is in L because . 6b) In case (b), z is in L because . 7b) In case (b), w is in L because \_\_\_\_ For which of the steps above the appropriate reason is contained in the following argument: "All n-step derivations of w produce either  $\varepsilon$  (for n=1) or use one of the productions with at least one nonterminal in the body (for n > 1). In case the production  $S \to aS$  is used, then w=ax with x being produced by a (n-1)-step derivation. In case the production  $S \rightarrow aSbS$  is used then w=aybz with y and z being produced by derivations with number of steps less than n." a) 2 7b b) c) 5b d) 4b Answer submitted: d) You have answered the question correctly. 12. Consider the grammar G1:  $S \to \epsilon$ ,  $S \to aS$ ,  $S \to aSbS$  and the language L that contains exactly those strings of a's and b's such that every prefix has at least as many a's as b's. We want to prove the claim: G1 generates all strings in L. We take the following inductive hypothesis to prove the claim: For  $n \le k$ , G1 generates every string of length n in L. To prove the inductive step we argue as follows: (a1) or \_\_\_\_\_ (a2) holds. In both cases we use the inductive "For each string w in L either hypothesis and one of the rules to show that string w can be generated by the grammar. In the first case we use rule S  $\rightarrow$  aS and in the second case we use rule S  $\rightarrow$  aSbS."

Which phrases can replace the \_\_\_\_\_\_ so that this argument is correct?

#### Gradiance Online Accelerated Learning

a2: there is a unique b in string w such that for the part of the string until the b (b also included) each prefix has as many a's as b's and for the part after b each prefix has as many a's as b's.

- a1: each prefix has more a's than b's.
  - a2: w can be written as w=aw'bw" where for both w' and w" it holds that each prefix has as many a's as b's.
- a1: w can be written as w=aw'bw" where for both w' and w" it holds that each prefix has as many a's as b's. a2: each prefix has more a's than b's.
- d) a1: each prefix has equal number of a's and b's.
  - a2: there is a b in string w such that the part of the string until the b belongs in L by inductive hypothesis and the part after this b belongs in L by inductive hypothesis.

Answer submitted: **b**)

You have answered the question correctly.

**13.** Consider the grammar G with start symbol S:

$$S \rightarrow bS \mid aA \mid b$$

$$A \rightarrow bA \mid aB$$

$$B \rightarrow bB \mid aS \mid a$$

Which of the following is a word in L(G)?

- babbbbaaaab
- b) ababbbb
- abbbaaababaa c)
- aababbabbabba

Answer submitted: d)

You have answered the question correctly.

14. Consider the grammar G1:

$$S \to \epsilon \mid aS \mid aSbS$$

Which of the following is correct (for a choice to be correct, all propositions must be correct)?

- The string aaabbbabababababa is not generated by the grammar.
- The string aaabbbaabbaabbaaabb is not generated by the grammar.
- The string aaba is not generated by the grammar.
- a) G1 generates all and only the strings of a's and b's such that every prefix has at least as many a's as b's. b) The inductive hypothesis to prove it is: For n < k, it holds that: For any word in G1, any prefix of length n, is such that all its prefixes contain at least as many a's as b's.

Answer submitted: b)

You have answered the question correctly.

Copyright © 2007-2013 Gradiance Corporation.