

FINAL YEAR PROJECT, DISSERTATION OR  
PHYSICS EDUCATION REPORT

NAME:	Luka Milic
DEGREE COURSE:	Mathematics and Physics (MSci)
PROJECT TITLE:	Entanglement of photons pairs generated in silicon ring resonators
YEAR OF SUBMISSION:	2015
SUPERVISOR:	Damien Bonneau, Josh Silverstone and Mark Thompson
NUMBER OF WORDS:	282 (exclude appendices, references, captions and abstract)



### **Acknowledgements**

Thanks Lizzy, Daminen, Josh, Mark, Raf, Imad, Phil, Mark. The NSQI, CQP Admin team.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Theory</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	On chip four wave mixing . . . . .	3
2.2	Ring Resonators . . . . .	3
2.3	Bistability . . . . .	3
2.4	Self phase modulation . . . . .	3
2.5	Schmidt Rank and Purity . . . . .	3
<b>3</b>	<b>Method</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1	Coupling . . . . .	3
3.2	Bistability . . . . .	3
3.3	Joint Spectrum . . . . .	3
3.4	$g^{(2)}(0)$ . . . . .	3
<b>4</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>3</b>
4.1	Glassgow . . . . .	3
4.2	Toshiba . . . . .	3
4.3	a-Si . . . . .	3
<b>5</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Schmidt Number</b>	<b>4</b>
A.1	Definition . . . . .	4
A.2	Calculation from experimental data . . . . .	4
A.2.1	Trace method . . . . .	4

# 1 Introduction

The endeavour to build a quantum computer holds the promise of solving computational problems which are currently intractable on classical computers. This has driven many time [1]

## 2 Theory

### 2.1 On chip four wave mixing

### 2.2 Ring Resonators

### 2.3 Bistability

### 2.4 Self phase modulation

### 2.5 Schmidt Rank and Purity

## 3 Method

### 3.1 Coupling

### 3.2 Bistability

### 3.3 Joint Spectrum

### 3.4 $g^{(2)}(0)$

## 4 Results

### 4.1 Glassgow

### 4.2 Toshiba

### 4.3 a-Si

## 5 Discussion

## 6 Conclusion

## References

- [1] Georg Harder, Vahid Ansari, Benjamin Brecht, Thomas Dirmeier, Christoph Marquardt, and Christine Silberhorn. An optimized photon pair source for quantum circuits. *Opt. Express*, 21(12):13975–13985, June 2013.
- [2] Andreas Eckstein, Guillaume Boucher, Aristide Lematre, Pascal Filloux, Ivan Favero, Giuseppe Leo, John E. Sipe, Marco Liscidini, and Sara Ducci. High-resolution spectral characterization of two photon states via classical measurements. *Laser & Photonics Reviews*, 8(5):L76–L80, September 2014.

## A Schmidt Number

### A.1 Definition

Starting with some arbitrary state  $\psi$ :

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i,j} \alpha(i,j) |i\rangle_A \otimes |j\rangle_B \quad (1)$$

The schmidt number  $K$  of this state measures the degree of entanglement. If  $K = 1$  then you can find  $|\psi\rangle = |\xi\rangle \otimes |\eta\rangle$  and for  $K > 1$  you can find:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_i^K r_i |\xi_i\rangle_A \otimes |\eta_i\rangle_B \quad (2)$$

Note that  $1 \leq K \leq D$  where  $D$  is the dimension of the system. The purity is the inverse of  $K$  so:

$$P = 1/K \quad (3)$$

An expression for  $K$  can be found using the density matrix for  $\psi$ :

$$\rho_{AB} = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| = \sum_{i,j,k,l} \alpha(i,j) \alpha^*(k,l) |i\rangle\langle k| \otimes |j\rangle\langle l| \quad (4)$$

$$\rho_A = \text{Tr}_B(\rho_{AB}) = \sum_{i,j,k} \alpha(i,j) \alpha^*(k,j) |i\rangle\langle k| \quad (5)$$

$$\rho_A^2 = \sum_{i',j',k'} \sum_{i,j,k} \alpha(i,j) \alpha(k,j) \alpha^*(i',j') \alpha^*(k',j') |i\rangle\langle k| |i'\rangle\langle k'| \quad (6)$$

$$= \sum_{j',k'} \sum_{i,j,k} \alpha(i,j) \alpha^*(k,j) \alpha(k,j') \alpha^*(k',j') |i\rangle\langle k'| \quad (7)$$

$$\text{Tr}_A(\rho_A^2) = \sum_{i,j,k,j'} \alpha(i,j) \alpha^*(k,j) \alpha(k,j') \alpha^*(i,j') \quad (8)$$

$$(9)$$

For a unentangled  $\psi$  we know that  $\text{Tr}_A(\rho_A^2) = 1$  For  $\psi$  entangled this will be smaller than 1 (proof comes from the property of the density operator that its eigenvalues are all smaller than 1). This fits the definition of the purity of a quantum state hence we can write:

$$P = \frac{1}{K} = \sum_{i,j,k,l} \alpha(i,j) \alpha^*(k,j) \alpha(k,l) \alpha^*(i,l) \quad (10)$$

### A.2 Calculation from experimental data

#### A.2.1 Trace method

In the lab we can measure  $|\phi(\omega_1, \omega_2)|^2$ , here I outline how to extract the schmidt number from this set of values. Taking the positive square root of the matrix of values obtained from the lab you have a matrix  $\mathbf{f}$  given by:

$$\mathbf{f} = \sum_{\omega_1, \omega_2} \phi(\omega_1, \omega_2) |\omega_1\rangle\langle\omega_2| \quad (11)$$

(This seems to be some weird way of writing the wavefunction as a matrix, bare with me it turns out to be useful)

$$\mathbf{f}^\dagger \mathbf{f} = \sum_{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3} \phi(\omega_1, \omega_2) \phi(\omega_3, \omega_2) |\omega_1\rangle \langle \omega_3| \quad (12)$$

$$(\mathbf{f}^\dagger \mathbf{f})^2 = \sum_{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4, \omega_5, \omega_6} \phi(\omega_1, \omega_2) \phi(\omega_3, \omega_2) \phi(\omega_4, \omega_5) \phi(\omega_6, \omega_5) |\omega_1\rangle \langle \omega_3| \omega_4\rangle \langle \omega_6| \quad (13)$$

$$(\mathbf{f}^\dagger \mathbf{f})^2 = \sum_{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4, \omega_5, \omega_6} \phi(\omega_1, \omega_2) \phi(\omega_3, \omega_2) \phi(\omega_3, \omega_5) \phi(\omega_6, \omega_5) |\omega_1\rangle \langle \omega_6| \quad (14)$$

$$\text{Tr} [(\mathbf{f}^\dagger \mathbf{f})^2] = \sum_{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4} \phi(\omega_1, \omega_2) \phi(\omega_3, \omega_2) \phi(\omega_3, \omega_4) \phi(\omega_1, \omega_4) \quad (15)$$

I've done it this way because I wanted to figure out where the equation in [2] comes from. You can now see that equation 10 is of exactly the same form as  $\text{Tr} [(\mathbf{f}^\dagger \mathbf{f})^2]$  (barring the conjugates but this is okay since  $\phi$  is real.) Taking the parallel further it can be seen that equation 12 is of the form of a reduced density matrix. Here we must make sure to normalise to make sure this is a valid reduced density matrix. The normalisation is:

$$N = \text{Tr} [\mathbf{f}^\dagger \mathbf{f}] = \sum_{\omega_1, \omega_2} \phi(\omega_1, \omega_2)^2 \quad (16)$$

Giving:

$$\rho_A = \frac{\mathbf{f}^\dagger \mathbf{f}}{N} \quad (17)$$

We can then write:

$$\frac{1}{K} = \frac{\text{Tr} [(\mathbf{f}^\dagger \mathbf{f})^2]}{\text{Tr} [\mathbf{f}^\dagger \mathbf{f}]^2} \quad (18)$$