



	Arguments FOR		Arguments AGAINST	
	Rated By:		Rated By:	
ARGUER	For	Against	For	Against
Vegan	<b>6.00 (1.32)</b>	4.55 (1.58)	2.13 (1.54)	4.46 (1.81)*
Non-vegan	5.81 (1.60)*	4.33 (1.75)	2.27 (1.72)	<b>4.49 (1.80)</b>
For vaccine	<b>5.96 (1.28)</b>	3.28 (1.83)	2.51 (1.68)	5.00 (1.96)*
Against vaccine	5.21 (1.71)*	2.88 (1.95)	2.80 (1.68)	<b>5.57 (1.72)</b>
Leaver (For Brexit)	<b>5.23 (1.45)</b>	2.99 (1.61)	4.03 (1.56)	4.88 (1.84)*
Remainer (Against Brexit)	4.97 (1.74)*	2.78 (1.60)	3.98 (1.64)	<b>5.20 (1.73)</b>

Table 3: The mean (and standard deviation) ratings of every argument and arguer position on a 7 point Likert scale (1 = Strongly Disagree, 4 = Neither Agree Nor Disagree, 7 = Strongly Agree) Asterisks mark the ITT ratings, bold figures are the Baseline ratings.

Note that this table provides an insight into polarisation - in that vegans disagree relatively strongly with all arguments against veganism, whether they are provided by vegans (2.13) or non-vegans (2.27), but agree relatively strongly with arguments for veganism whether they are provided by vegans (6.00) or non-vegans (5.81). In contrast, non-vegans' ratings of arguments for and against veganism all fall between 'neither agree nor disagree' or 'somewhat agree' regardless of arguer, or surprisingly *argument* position.



Plot showing the absolute probability of Strongly Agreeing (7) to Strongly Disagreeing (1) that your ideological opponents are ignorant/unintelligent/irrational for passing and failing the ITT in the Brexit topic.



Plot showing the absolute probability of Strongly Agreeing (7) to Strongly Disagreeing (1) that your ideological opponents are immoral/unethical/of bad moral character for passing and failing the ITT in the veganism topic.