### AMS 241 Homework 1 - DP Priors

### Arthur Lui

### 12 October 2015

## Q1

#### a:

 $\hat{\phi} = 1.294 > \frac{\chi^2_{n-p}(.95)}{n-p} = \frac{\chi^2_{73}(.95)}{73} = 1.287$ . At the 95% confidence level, we conclude that the there is overdispersion. The variance in the model is higher than that if we do not model overdispersion.

#### b:

The coefficient for group is significant. The log odds of death in the treatment group is  $1 - e^{-.9289} = 60.5\%$  less than that of the control group. This is desired as the treatment is supposed to reduce the likelihood of death.

## **Q2**:

#### a:

The overdispersion parameter is  $\hat{\phi} = 0.05602$ , which is significant at the .05  $\alpha$  level. We conclude that there is overdispersion. The variance of the parameters in the model is higher that that if we do not model overdispersion.

#### b:

The expected mean number of deaths in the treatment group is  $1 - e^{-.8522} = 57\%$  less than that of the control group. This is expected for the same reason in Q1. The effect of group is significant.

## Q3:

### a:

The overdispersion parameter is  $\hat{\phi} = 2.313 > 1.28$ , which is significant at the .05  $\alpha$  level. We conclude that there is overdispersion. The variance of the parameters in the model is higher that that if we do not model overdispersion.

#### b:

The expected mean number of deaths in the treatment group is  $1 - e^{-.8754} = 58\%$  less than that of the control group. This is expected for the same reason in Q1. The effect of group is significant.

## **Q4**:

#### a:

The overdispersion parameter is  $\hat{\phi} = 1.090 < 1.28$ , which is not significant at the .05  $\alpha$  level. We conclude that there is not overdispersion. The variance of the parameters in the model is not significantly higher than that if we do not model overdispersion.

### b:

The expected mean number of deaths in the treatment group is  $1 - e^{-1.036} = 65\%$  less than that of the control group. This is expected for the same reason in Q1. The effect of group is significant.

# **Q4**:

I prefer the quasi-binomial model because we can make inference about the odds of death given a lamb received the treatment. I think this may be more useful than knowing the number of deaths because we can convert odds to probabilities, and use the probabilities to estimates of the number of deaths.