

Group theory, Topology and Spin-1/2 Particles

From Dirac's belt to fermions

Louan Mol

Université Libre de Bruxelles

Brussels Summer School of Mathematics 2022

1. Dirac's belt trick and the rotation group
2. Homotopy theory
3. Covering spaces
4. Quantum spin
5. More belts
6. Fun facts
7. Conclusion

Dirac's belt trick and the rotation group

You need:

- a belt (not necessarily Dirac's)
- a heavy book

Goal: deform the belt to untwist a 2π -twist.

Note: deforming the belt is equivalent to moving its ends and keep the same orientation.

\Rightarrow it turns out to be impossible ! One turn negates the twist: $2\pi \rightarrow -2\pi$.

However, possible for a 4π twist ...

Why is that ?

Space of rotations: $\text{SO}(3)$ as a group

What is a rotation in \mathbb{R}^3 ? It is a real 3×3 matrix R that must

1. preserve the **scalar product**: $R^T R = \mathbb{1}$ ($\Leftrightarrow R$ is orthogonal)
2. preserve the **orientation**: $\det R = 1$

Special orthogonal group

$\text{SO}(3)$ is the set of 3×3 real matrices such that $R^T R = \mathbb{1}$ and $\det R = 1$.

Three “fundamental” rotations:

$$x : \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ 0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \quad y : \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & 0 & -\sin \theta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sin \theta & 0 & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \quad z : \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

any rotation can be obtained by composing those three rotation.

\Rightarrow It forms a **group**.

Space of rotations: $\text{SO}(3)$ as a manifold

Fundamental data that describes a rotation:

- an **axis** of rotation, i.e. a unit vector \vec{n} $\rightarrow 2$ parameters
- an **angle** of rotation $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ (with $-\pi \sim \pi$) $\rightarrow 1$ parameter

The space of rotations can then alternately be defined as a **3-sphere of radius π and its antipodal points identified**:

$$\boxed{\text{SO}(3) \cong B^3(\pi) / \sim}$$

and for each point:


direction \leftrightarrow axis

norm \leftrightarrow angle

\Rightarrow It is also a **manifold**, most famously known as \mathbb{RP}^3 .

We have $\dim(\text{SO}(3)) = 3$.


(Note that group + manifold = Lie group.)



Back to the belt

Mathematical description of the belt ?

- ▷ a belt is a strip, which is just a **path** + an **orientation**.
- ▷ given axis on the middle line along the belt, each set of axis is related by a rotation
- ▷ a belt configuration is equivalent to a continuous set of axis and therefore to a continuous set of translations, i.e. a **path in $SO(3)$**




There is a bijection:

$$\text{belt configuration} \Leftrightarrow \text{path in } SO(3)$$


This gives us a new language to analyze the problem !

Examples



trivial rotation





2π *x*-rotation





2π *y*-rotation




2π *z*-rotation





random rotation



closed



open path



We see that:

<u>Belt</u>		<u>Path</u>
specific configuration	\longleftrightarrow	specific path
moving the ends	\longleftrightarrow	continuous deformation
ends have same orientation	\longleftrightarrow	loop
can be flattened	\longleftrightarrow	contractible


Back to Dirac's belt trick: the rules were

1. ends of the belt must keep the same orientation \rightarrow we consider loops
2. moving the ends of the belt \rightarrow continuous deformation
3. belt in original (flat) position \rightarrow trivial constant path

The question “can the belt be flattened ?” then becomes “**which loops are contractible ?**”

Problem solved ?

- 4π -twist: We saw in the beginning the the 4π -twist can be flattened, how can we see this in terms of paths ?



\Rightarrow the 4π -twist is **contractible** ! Great.

- 2π -twist: we “clearly” see that is not contractible... no ?! Great..?..

Wierd aftertaste: our “proof” is good to show contractibility but bad to show non-contractibility and it only works for simple examples.

\Rightarrow We want a consistent and general way of studying paths in topological spaces.

Homotopy theory

Starting observation: depending on the topological space, all loops might not be contractible. Moreover, some loops are “fundamentally different” from each other, e.g. in \mathbb{R}^3 , S^2 , \mathbb{T}^2 , etc.

Paths and homotopies

For a topological space X :

- *Path* in X : continuous map $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$,
- *Loop* : closed path, i.e. embedded circle,
- γ_1 and γ_2 are *homotopically equivalent* if one can be deformed into the other: there exists $F : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ such that

$$F(0, t) = \gamma_1(t) \quad \text{and} \quad F(1, t) = \gamma_2(t).$$

This is an equivalence relation (\sim).

For each $x_0 \in X$, we define

$$\pi_1(X, x_0) = \{\text{all loops based at } x_0\} / \sim,$$

→ set of “fundamentally different” loops passing through x_0 .

Fundamental group

The elements of $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ are $[\gamma]$, called the *homotopy class* of γ .

Group structure on $\pi_1(X, x_0)$:

- **Product** of paths: $\gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2 = \text{“}\gamma_1 \text{ then } \gamma_2\text{”}$
- **Inverse** path: $\gamma^{-1} = \text{“}\gamma \text{ traversed in the opposite direction”}$
- **Neutral** path: $e = \text{“constant path at the identity”}$
- For homotopy classes: $[\gamma_1] \cdot [\gamma_2] = [\gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2]$ and $[\gamma]^{-1} = [\gamma^{-1}]$

Important fact: if X is path-connected, $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ does not depend on x_0 , up to isomorphism.

\Rightarrow we denote it as $\pi_1(X)$, it is called the **fundamental group** of X .

Contractible loops are \sim to a point, i.e. they are the element of $[e]$.

Proposition (product of spaces)

If X and Y are path-connected, $\pi_1(X \times Y) \cong \pi_1(X) \times \pi_1(Y)$.

Proposition (maps between spaces)

If $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous map, it induces a homomorphism $\varphi_* : \pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y, \varphi(x_0))$ though $\varphi_*([\gamma]) = [\varphi \circ \gamma]$.

Fundamental group

Which space have the same fundamental groups ? Evidently, homeomorphic ones, but we can be less restrictive:


Proposition

If $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a homotopy equivalence, then the induced homomorphism $\varphi_* : \pi_1(X, x_0) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y, \varphi(x_0))$ is an isomorphism for all $x_0 \in X$.

How to compute $\pi_1(X)$? Can be difficult, there are different methods (e.g. Van Kampen theorem, Hopf fibrations, Hurewicz theorems, etc), not discussed here. A lot of homotopy groups are still unknown !

Examples:

- $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3) = 0$
- $\pi_1(S^2) = 0$
- $\pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2) = \pi_1(S^1) \times \pi_1(S^1) = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$
- $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{p\}) = \mathbb{Z}$



Remark: $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{p\}) = \mathbb{Z}$ but $\pi_1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{p\}) = 0$, higher homotopy groups for higher-dimensional holes ?

Fundamental group of the circle

Using the covering space technology, we can show that

$$\pi_1(S^1) = \mathbb{Z},$$

which intuitively make sense. This results alone implies many famous theorems of topology:

Theorem (fundamental theorem of algebra)

Every non-constant polynomial with coefficients in \mathbb{C} has a root in \mathbb{C} .

Theorem (Brouwer fixed point)

Every continuous map $h : D^2 \rightarrow D^2$ has a fixed point.

And, using the same techniques:

Theorem (Borsuk-Ulam)

For every continuous map $f : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ there exist a pair of antipodal points x and $-x$ in S^2 such that $f(x) = f(-x)$.

One can generalize and show that $\pi_n(S^n) = \mathbb{Z}$. These theorems are then generalized accordingly.

Homotopy groups of spheres

Good example of the complexity of homotpy groups:

- ▷ embedding a sphere in a higher-dimensional one is always trivial
- ▷ embedding a sphere in itself always works in the same way, regardless of the dimension
- ▷ embedding a sphere in lower-dimensional one is much more complicated: periodic for a bit, then completely chaotic

	π_1	π_2	π_3	π_4	π_5	π_6	π_7	π_8	π_9	π_{10}	π_{11}	π_{12}	π_{13}	π_{14}	π_{15}
S^0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S^1	\mathbb{Z}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S^2	0	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_{12}	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_3	\mathbb{Z}_{15}	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2^2	$\mathbb{Z}_{12} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_{84} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^2$	\mathbb{Z}_2^2
S^3	0	0	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_{12}	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_3	\mathbb{Z}_{15}	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2^2	$\mathbb{Z}_{12} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_{84} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^2$	\mathbb{Z}_2^2
S^4	0	0	0	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{12}$	\mathbb{Z}_2^2	\mathbb{Z}_2^2	$\mathbb{Z}_{24} \times \mathbb{Z}_3$	\mathbb{Z}_{15}	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2^3	$\mathbb{Z}_{120} \times \mathbb{Z}_{12} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_{84} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^5$
S^5	0	0	0	0	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_{24}	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_{30}	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2^3	$\mathbb{Z}_{72} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$
S^6	0	0	0	0	0	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_{24}	0	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_{80}	$\mathbb{Z}_{24} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	\mathbb{Z}_2^3
S^7	0	0	0	0	0	0	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_{24}	0	0	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_{120}	\mathbb{Z}_2^3
S^8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_2	\mathbb{Z}_{24}	0	0	\mathbb{Z}_2	$\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{120}$

Question we had: are all loops in $\text{SO}(3)$ contractible ?

In homotopy language: is $\pi_1(\text{SO}(3))$ trivial ?

Answer: NO, one can show that

$$\pi_1(\text{SO}(3)) = \mathbb{Z}_2$$

\Rightarrow There only two “fundamentally different” loops in $\text{SO}(3)$!

\Rightarrow there is only one kind of non-contractible loop !

The belt trick is a way of physically demonstrating that the fundamental group of $\text{SO}(3)$ is \mathbb{Z}_2 .

Indeed, there only two different initial configurations (i.e. two possible loops in $\text{SO}(3)$):

- $4\pi k$ -twists which are all equivalent
- $4\pi k + 2\pi$ -twists which are all equivalent

with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.


We have a better understanding Dirac's belt trick. **But still no proof !**
Homotopy theory allowed us to understand the ways of embedding loops in some spaces. we now need a tool to lift this ambiguity: **covering space !**

Covering spaces

Covering space

For a topological space X , a *covering space* is a topological space \tilde{X} with a *projection map* $p = \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that there exists an open cover $\{U_\alpha\}$ for which $p^{-1}(U_\alpha)$ is a disjoint union of open sets in \tilde{X} , each of which is mapped by p homeomorphically on U_α .

If X is connected, $|p^{-1}(x)|$ is constant and called the number of *sheets*.



Example: covering the circle. Many possibilities: .

- \mathbb{R} covers S^1 with $p(t) = (\cos(2\pi t), \sin(2\pi t))$,
- \mathbb{R} covers S^1 with $p(t) = (\cos(5t), \sin(5t))$,
- S^1 covers S^1 in several ways, with $p(z) = z^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $S^1 \sqcup S^1$ covers S^1 with $p(k, t) = t$, $k = 0, 1$.

We observe that

1. **Some covering spaces are “equivalent”:**

Isomorphisms

Two covering space \tilde{X} and \tilde{X}' of X are *isomorphic* if there exists a homeomorphism $h : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}'$ such that $p_2 \circ h = p_1$.

2. **The lifting of point can, by definition, be ambiguous.**

Deck transformations

A *Deck transformation* is a homeomorphism $d : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ such that $p \circ d = p$. With composition, they form a group $G(\tilde{X})$.

For S^1 , $G(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $G(S^1) = \mathbb{Z}_n$.

3. **Some covering spaces are “included“ in others:** we restrict ourselves to connected covering spaces.
4. **There can exists many covering spaces for the same base space.** If \tilde{X} is simply connected and X is (locally) path-connected, then it is a covering space of any other covering space. It is maximal, unique and called *universal covering space* (UCS). \mathbb{R} is the UCS of S^1 .

Covering spaces and paths



Lifting property of paths

For each path $\gamma : I \rightarrow X$ starting at x_0 , if we fix $\tilde{x}_0 \in p^{-1}(x_0)$, there is unique lifted path $\tilde{\gamma} : I \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ starting at \tilde{x}_0 .

We see that:

- ▷ loops are not necessarily lifted to loops
- ▷ the lift of a constant path is still constant

Most importantly : the lifts of homotopy-equivalent paths are homotopically equivalent.




The covering is exactly what solves the homotopy ambiguity, i.e. the space that contains the same information plus the topological information of non-equivalent paths!

Conclusion: the fundamental group and the covering space are two pictures

Covering space of $\text{SO}(3)$?

Special unitary group

$\text{SU}(2)$ is the set of 2×2 complex matrices such that $U^\dagger U = \mathbb{1}$ and $\det U = 1$.



The projection is

$$p \left(\begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ -\bar{y} & \bar{x} \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Re}(x^2 - y^2) & \text{Im}(x^2 + y^2) & -2\text{Re}(xy) \\ -\text{Im}(x^2 - y^2) & \text{Re}(x^2 + y^2) & 2\text{Im}(xy) \\ 2\text{Re}(x\bar{y}) & 2\text{Im}(x\bar{y}) & |x|^2 - |y|^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

with $|x|^2 + |y|^2 = 1$.

SU(2) and SO(3)

What is the most general form of $U \in \text{SU}(2)$? Imposing $U^\dagger = U^{-1}$ and $\det U = 1$, we find

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} X + iY & Z + iW \\ -Z + iY & X - iY \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

with $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + W^2 = 1 \Rightarrow \text{SU}(2) \cong S^3$

so $\text{SU}(2)$ can be viewed as a group and a manifold, it is a Lie group

$\text{SU}(2)$ and $\text{SO}(3)$:

1. both Lie groups of dimension three
2. both are connected
3. $-\mathbb{1} \in \text{SU}(2)$ but $-\mathbb{1} \notin \text{SO}(3)$

How could we represent $\text{SU}(2) \cong S^3$ in $3d$?

Observation: S^2 is equivalent to two disks glued along their boundary

Similarly: S^3 is equivalent to balls glued along their boundary

BUT, are those spheres related to $\text{SO}(3)$?

In other words: how are the two notions of rotations related ? They are the sheets!

Group relation:

There is recurring theme: two things $SU(2)$ correspond to one in $SO(3)$. This comes from the fact that $SU(2)$ is a **double**-cover of $SO(3)$, which can be seen in practice with

$$p(U) = p(-U).$$

Intuitively, we should be able to recover $SO(3)$ from $SU(2)$ if $U \sim -U$.

And, indeed,


$$SO(3) \cong SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2,$$

where the quotient means exactly that we identify U with $-U$.

Other formulation:


S^3 is a universal double-sheeted cover of \mathbb{RP}^3 , $\pi_1(S^3) = \{e\}$, and $\pi_1(\mathbb{RP}^3) = \mathbb{Z}_2$. This formulation makes a lot of sense since $\mathbb{RP}^3 = S^3 / \{(x, y, z) \sim (-x, -y, -z)\}$, or $\mathbb{RP}^3 = S^3 / \mathbb{Z}_2$, so exactly get the previous group relation.

1. $SU(2)$ is the universal covering space of $SO(3)$, it has two sheets
2. we can construct an explicit projection map
3. we, finally, completely understand Dirac's belt trick
4. ...

What is the lift of the 2π -twist ? The path going from I to $-I$ .

Proof that 2π -twist is non-contractible in $\text{SO}(3)$:

Let us suppose that the 2π -twist is contractible. At each step of its contraction, we can lift the path to $\text{SU}(2)$. This provides us with a contraction of the lifted 2π -twist. However, the lifted 2π -twist does not have the same start and endpoint, therefore it is not contractible, and so is the non-lifted path.

On the other hand, the 4π -twist lifts to a path going from I to $-I$ then to I again (). So its a loop and the argument does not hold anymore. Makes sense, since we already “showed” its contractibility.

Are there other manifestation of homotopy in our practical world ?

Yes: the **spin** ! (you don't need a belt, but you need an electron)

Initially, this trick was a demonstration invented by P. Dirac (1902-1984) to explain the notion of spin to his students.

Quantum spin

For vectors: recall that the scalar product on \mathbb{R}^3 is $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^3} = (v_1)^T v_2$ and

$$\langle Rv_1, Rv_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^3} = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^3} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad R^T R = \mathbb{1}$$

so $\text{SO}(3)$ is the isometry group of \mathbb{R}^3 (+ orientation preserving).

For spin vectors: the scalar product on \mathbb{C}^2 is $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2} = (v_1)^\dagger v_2$ and

$$\langle Uv_1, Uv_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2} = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad U^\dagger U = \mathbb{1}$$

so $\text{SU}(2)$ is the isometry group of \mathbb{C}^2 (+ orientation preserving).

Like $\text{SO}(3)$ it is a Lie group so it can be viewed...

What is the spin ?

Skipping most of the physics background:

Spin in quantum mechanics

1. the *spin* is an inherent property of any “particle”:
 - number $s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$, in our case $s = 1/2$
 - does not change, like the mass, charge, etc
 - classifies particles
2. a particle of spin s is, at a given moment, in a certain state described by the *spin vector*:
 - unit vector of $v \in \mathbb{C}^{2s+1}$, in our case $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^2$
 - can evolve over time
3. what we can measure yet another quantity, called *observed spin*:
 - discrete value $s_{\text{obs.}} \in \{s, s-1, \dots, 0, \dots, -s+1, -s\}$
In our case, $s_{\text{obs.}} \in \{1/2, -1/2\}$ that we denote \uparrow and \downarrow
 - given a direction, e.g. $i = x, y, z$
 - outcome is random, we can only compute the probabilities of the different outcomes

What is the spin ?

How do measures happen ?

Let us introduce

$$v_{x,\uparrow} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}, v_{x,\downarrow} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ -1/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}, v_{y,\uparrow} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ i/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}, v_{y,\downarrow} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ -i/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}, v_{z,\uparrow} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, v_{z,\downarrow} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The probability of measuring $s_{\text{obs.}}$ in the direction i is given by the projection

$$P(i, s_{\text{obs.}}) = |\langle v_{i,k}, v \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2}|^2 \quad (2)$$

where v is the spin vector of the particle.

Example: in the direction z ,

$$P(z, \uparrow) = |\alpha|^2, \quad P(z, \downarrow) = |\beta|^2. \quad (3)$$

Consequently:

- we must have $\langle v, v \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^2} = |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$
- to “measure” the spin state, we must repeat the experience many times
- there are states that are always spin \uparrow or always spin \downarrow

The bizarre nature of fermions

The group which acts on spin vectors is $SU(2)$.

Question: how do rotations act on spin vectors ? The rotation group of euclidean space is still $SO(3)$, so we need a way of doing an $SO(3)$ rotation through $SU(2)$ transformations.

This is exactly what the covering technology provides us: a unique way to lift a rotation from $SO(3)$ to $SU(2)$.

The 2π -twist is not closed \Rightarrow walking around such a particle would not give back the particle in the same states, it would invert the spin state.

But, very odd property ... could such exotic particles exist ? Or is it an error of interpretation ?

Yes, they do exist! Out of the 18 elementary particles, 12 of them have spin $1/2$! And this have been experimentally

Elementary particles




Figure 1: Standard Model of particle physics.

Technical details:

- instead of walking around the particle, we rotate it using a magnetic field (Lamor procession)
- we cannot detect the effect if there only one particle
- we do not actually use electrons but neutrons (see neutron interferometry).

Spin in nature:

- in nature, only spins 0 (Higgs boson), 1/2 (electrons, quarks, etc), 1 (photons, gluons, etc) and 2 (graviton)
- spins higher than 2 are very problematic, and not well-understood yet. Current topic of research (UMons !)
- spin-1/3 particles ? No, impossible, because $\pi_1(SO(3)) = \mathbb{Z}_2$. Example of mathematical constraint on physical models.




Figure 2: Standard Model of particle physics.

Behind quantum mechanics:

The spinors we encountered previously are spinors in Quantum Mechanics, which is non-relativistic. Modern Physics is relativistic therefore we mainly care about the indefinite rotation groups rather than the usual rotation groups, because of special relativity. The whole theory can be generalized accordingly.

	Non-relativistic	Relativistic
rotation group	$SO(3)$	$SO(1, 3)$
UCS	$Spin(3) = SU(2)$	$Spin(1, 3) = SL(2, \mathbb{C})$


Summary on spinors

1. There are two topologically distinguishable classes (homotopy classes) of paths through rotations that result in the same overall rotation, as illustrated by the Dirac's belt trick. (True in any dimension.)
2. Nothing forces us to consider only objects that do not make this difference (vectors). We should consider the most general case, this is what spinors are.
3. Spinors change in different ways depending not just on the overall final rotation, but the details of how that rotation was achieved (by a continuous path in the rotation group).
4. The spin group is the group of all rotations keeping track of the class. It doubly covers the rotation group, since each rotation can be obtained in two in-equivalent ways as the endpoint of a path.
5. The space of spinors by definition is equipped with a (complex) linear representation of the spin group.
6. A spinor is characterized by its **spin**. Depending on the dimension of the space, the dimensions of the spin representations vary but all spins are always possible.


More belts



Anti-twister mechanisms



Expanding the Dirac's belt trick setup, one can attach two belts to an object and rotate it by 720° without it getting tangled. Combining the two movements, the object can spin continuously without becoming tangled.



Increasing the number of belts does not change this behavior. Notice that after the cube completed a 360° rotation, the spiral is reversed from its initial configuration. It only returns to its original configuration after spinning a full 720° .




A more extreme example demonstrating that this works with any number of strings. In the limit, a piece of solid continuous space can rotate in place like this without tearing or intersecting itself.

Fun facts

Fun facts

- anti-twister mechanism is used in engineering to supply electric power to rotating devices
- cup on the hand trick (balinese candle dance or Philippine wine dance)
- tangloids is mathematical game based on the same principles
- link with quaternions



(a) Tangloids.



(b) Balinese candle dance.

Conclusion

1. Dirac's belt trick can be understood by studying the fundamental group of $SO(3)$.
2. The universal cover of $SO(3)$ is $SU(2)$, in which the homotopy ambiguity is solved. Spin vectors transform under $SU(2)$ and covering space technology then allows us to better understand the nature of the spin in quantum mechanics.
3. Spinors can be defined in any dimension and for any spin. Leading to a generalization of usual vectors that take into account the topological difference between some rotations that, a priori, could look equivalent.
4. Spinors are fundamental in Physics and in particular all modern theories of fundamental interactions. Spinors model most of elementary particles. In particular, exactly like Dirac's belt, electrons rotate through the lift in $SU(2)$ thus taking into account the homotopy class of the rotation, how cool !

Thank you

Three different block environments are pre-defined and may be styled with an optional background color.

Some text.

Default

Block content.

Alert

Block content.

Example

Block content.

aaaaa

Introduction to the spin

Spin in quantum mechanics

The **spin** of an “particle” is a number $s \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$.

The **spin state** of a particle of spin s is a unit vector in \mathbb{C}^{2s+1} .

The spin is a **property**, it cannot change (e.g. mass, charge)

The spin state is a **characteristic**, it evolves

How to interpret it ?

1. **directions:** we choose the direction in which we want to measure it
2. **probabilistic theory:** the outcome of the measure, we can only compute the probabilities of the different outcomes
3. **discrete quantity:** in the chosen direction, the spin will either appear to up or down (\uparrow or \downarrow)

The probability of measuring the spin $k = \uparrow, \downarrow$ in the direction $i = x, y, z$ is given by

$$P(i, k) = |\langle v_{i,k}, v \rangle|^2 \quad (4)$$

where v is the spin state of the particle, for some given vectors $v_{i,k}$.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ is generated by the **Pauli matrices**

$$\sigma_x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

From SU(2) to SO(3)

(maybe put the appendix if no time)

What is the projection map ?

We introduce the *Pauli matrices*

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

Then, for $\vec{r} = (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, the matrix

$$\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\sigma} = r^i \sigma_i = \begin{bmatrix} z & x - iy \\ x + iy & -z \end{bmatrix}$$

is **traceless** and **self-adjoint**, i.e. $\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$. More precisely, σ_i are the generators of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. Moreover $\det(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) = -(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)$.

We can then shown that, the new matrix $U(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\sigma})U^\dagger$, with $U \in \text{SU}(2)$ is

- still traceless and self-adjoint
 $\Rightarrow \exists \vec{r}_U \in \text{SO}(3)$ such that $U(\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\sigma})U^\dagger = \vec{r}_U \cdot \vec{\sigma}$
- has same determinant, i.e $|\vec{r}| = |\vec{r}_U|$
 $\Rightarrow \exists R_U \in \text{SO}(3)$ such that $\vec{r}_U = R_U \vec{r}$

In then end, for each $U \in \text{SU}(2)$, we have $p(U) \equiv R_U \in \text{SO}(3)$. This maps



A. Hatcher.

Algebraic Topology.

Algebraic Topology. Cambridge University Press, 2002.



N. Miller.

Representation theory and quantum mechanics, 2018.