

Notes on Quiver Gauge Theories

Louan Mol
Université Libre de Bruxelles

Abstract

These notes are meant as a work support. The goal is to reproduce and regroup the basics of quiver gauge theories.

Last updated on February 25, 2022.

Contents

1	The brane-world paradigm	2
2	The simplest case: $S = \mathbb{C}^3$	3
2.1	Generalities	3
2.2	Matter content	3
3	Projection to daughter theories	4
3.1	Representation theory point of view	5
A	Reminder on $D = 4, \mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory	6
B	Calabi-Yau manifolds, orbifolds and crepant resolutions	6
List of Markers		8

1 | The brane-world paradigm

We consider our world to be a slice in the ten-dimensional spacetime of type II superstring theory, i.e. the worldvolume of a D3-brane. More precisely, we consider a stack of n D3-branes in order to have a $U(n)$ gauge group. The spacetime is therefore not necessarily $\mathbb{R}^{1,9}$ but of the more general form

$$M = \mathbb{R}^{1,3} \times M^{(6)}.$$

This is the so-called *brane-world paradigm*.

Independently from string theory, we can require to have $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry in four dimensions. This constrains $M^{(6)}$ to be compact, complex, Kähler and to have $SU(3)$ holonomy. Namely, $M^{(6)}$ must be a Calabi-Yau threefold. Requiring $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry is equivalent to asking that the configuration resulting from compactification has at least one Killing spinor (covariantly constant spinor). This constant spinor then defines a residual supersymmetry by contracting with the local supersymmetry current. On real 6-folds, spinors transform under $SO(6)$. We are therefore looking for the biggest subgroup of $SO(6)$ that leaves a component invariant of the spinor invariant (in that case the spinor $(1, 0, 0, 0)$ is covariantly conserved). Using the fact that $SO(6) \cong SU(4)$, can clearly see that this subgroup is $SU(3)$. Our transverse space must therefore have $SU(3)$ holonomy such that the parallel transport of the spinor $(1, 0, 0, 0)$ under any closed loop is a lower $SU(3)$ rotation.

If we let the worldvolume of the D3-branes carry the requisite gauge theory while the bulk contains gravity, we can relax the compactness condition and study non-compact threefolds. In other words, $M^{(6)}$ is an affine variety that locally models a Calabi-Yau threefold. Intuitively, this can be understood as a Kaluza-Klein compactification where we take the size of the compact dimensions to infinity. The four-dimensional gravity coupling constant being inversely proportional to this quantity, there is no gravity in this limit. This makes the analysis much simpler and therefore also serves as an argument to ignore gravity in the worldvolume theory. Thus far, we have n D3-branes on which there is a $U(n)$ gauge group and transverse to which gravity propagates.

The only smooth Calabi-Yau threefold is \mathbb{C}^3 so we are lead to consider singular Calabi-Yau manifolds or, more precisely, Calabi-Yau orbifolds. We usually denote $S \equiv M^{(6)}$ to remind us of the singular aspect. String theory being a theory of extended objects, it is well-defined on such singularities. We will see that this singular structure of the geometry will break $U(n)$ into products of gauge groups.

From the point of view of the orbifold, the D3-brane is a point. Consequently, there is a crucial relationship between the D3-brane worldvolume theory and the Calabi-Yau singularity: the former parametrizes the latter. In other words, the classical vacuum of the gauge theory should be, in explicit coordinates, the defining equation of S .

Mathematically, this brane-world paradigm is the realization of branes as supports of vector bundles (sheaf). Gauge theories on branes are intimately related to algebraic constructions of stable bundles, i.e. holomorphic or algebraic vector bundles that are stable in the sense of geometric invariant theory. In particular, D-brane gauge theories manifest as a natural description of symplectic quotients and their resolutions in geometric invariant theory.

To summarize in more mathematical terms, our D-branes, together with the stable vector bundle (sheaf) supported thereupon, resolves the transverse Calabi-Yau orbifold which is the vacuum for the gauge theory on the worldvolume as a GIT quotient.

We consider n D3-branes carrying a $U(n)$ gauge group on various orbifolds of \mathbb{R}^6 . Requiring $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry for the worldvolume theory imposes that the transverse space must be a Calabi-Yau orbifold. Ignoring gravity for the worldvolume theory and if we want more interesting cases, we can actually consider the larger class of non-compact singular Calabi-Yau spaces.

2 | The simplest case: $S = \mathbb{C}^3$

2.1 | Generalities

Let us by studying the simplest configuration where the transverse Calabi-Yau space is non-singular, i.e. it is a proper smooth Calabi-Yau threefold. There actually only one possibility because there is only one smooth Calabi-Yau threefold: $S = \mathbb{C}^3$. In this case, the spacetime is simply flat space $\mathbb{R}^{1,9} = \mathbb{R}^{1,3} \times \mathbb{R}^6$ with a choice a complex structure on \mathbb{R}^6 . As mentioned above, the worldvolume theory has a $U(n)$ gauge group. Type IIB superstring theory is a ten-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory so it has 32 supercharges. The presence of the branes breaks the Lorentz symmetry of $\mathbb{R}^{1,9}$ as

$$SO(1,9) \rightarrow SO(1,3) \times SO(6), \quad (2.1)$$

whereby breaking half of the supersymmetries. We are thus left with 16 supercharges. In four dimensions, this corresponds to $\mathcal{N} = 4$. The worldvolume theory for $S = \mathbb{C}^3$ is therefore $D = 4\mathcal{N} = 4$ $U(n)$ SCFT gauge theory. This worldvolume theory, obtained in the non-singular case $S = \mathbb{C}^3$, is called the *parent theory*.

Note that the D3-brane will warp the flat space metric to that of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and the bulk geometry is not strictly \mathbb{C}^3 . However, as stated above, we are only concerned with the local gauge theory and not with gravitational back-reaction, therefore it suffices to consider S as \mathbb{C}^3 .

2.2 | Matter content

As discussed in appendix A, there is only one $D = 4, \mathcal{N} = 4$ SCFT theory, up to a choice of gauge group. In our case, we consider the gauge group $G = U(n)$. The theory has an $SU(4)_R$ R-symmetry group (transverse rotation group of the D3brane) and there are $3n^2$ chiral scalar superfields Φ_{IJ} and n^2 vector superfields. More precisely, if we remove the auxiliary fields, matter content is the following:

- gauge field A_μ which are singlets of $SU(4)_R$. They can be seen as $A_\mu \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$.
- Weyl fermions ψ_{IJ}^α ($I, J = 1, \dots, n, \alpha = 1, \dots, 4$) transforming under the adjoint representation of $U(n)$ and under the representation **4** (fundamental) of $SU(4)_R$:

$$\psi^\alpha \mapsto U \psi^\alpha U^\dagger, \quad U \in U(n), \quad (2.2)$$

$$\psi_{IJ} \mapsto R \psi_{IJ}, \quad R \in SU(4)_R. \quad (2.3)$$

In other words, we have $\psi \in \mathbf{4} \otimes \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$.

- complex scalar fields ϕ_{IJ}^m ($m = 1, \dots, 6$) transforming under the adjoint representation of $U(n)$ and under the two-times anti-symmetric representation of $SU(4)_R$ which is nothing

but the representation $\mathbf{6}$ (fundamental) of $\mathrm{SO}(6)_R$ (recall that $\mathrm{SU}(4) \cong \mathrm{SO}(6)$). They are the superpartners of the fermions:

$$\phi^m \mapsto U \phi^m U^\dagger, \quad U \in \mathrm{U}(n), \quad (2.4)$$

$$\phi_{IJ} \mapsto R \phi_{IJ}, \quad R \in \mathrm{SO}(6)_R. \quad (2.5)$$

In other words, we have $\phi \in \mathbf{6} \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)$.

Since $\mathrm{U}(n)$ is Lie group of dimension n^2 , its adjoint representation is of dimension n^2 and there are therefore n^2 scalars and n^2 Weyl fermions, as anticipated with our index notation.

3 | Projection to daughter theories

When the transverse space is singular, the worldvolume theory corresponds to a specific projection of the parent theory that we found in the smooth case $S = \mathbb{C}^3$. We call it the *daughter theory*. This projections depends on the type of singularity that one considers.

We now wish to pick a discrete group Γ and which acts non-trivially on \mathbb{R}^6 . There are several possibilities:

- $\Gamma \subset (\mathrm{SU}(4) \cong \mathrm{SO}(6))$ naturally acts on \mathbb{R}^6 . This does not require a choice of complex structure. We get an $\mathcal{N} = 0$ theory.
- $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SU}(3)$ naturally acts on \mathbb{C}^3 , this also requires a choice of complex structure on \mathbb{R}^6 . We get an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theory.
- $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SU}(2)$ naturally acts on the second factor of $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^2$, so this requires a choice of complex structure on \mathbb{R}^6 . We get an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory.

For now, we are interested with $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theories so we consider $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SU}(3)$ with the action

$$\cdot : \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma \times \mathbb{C}^3 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^3 \\ (\gamma, z) & \longmapsto & \gamma \cdot z \end{pmatrix}$$

is the representation of Γ coming from the fundamental representation of $\mathrm{SU}(3)$, so \cdot is just the matrix product. We can see that the origin is always a fixed point so this action is never free. Since \mathbb{C}^3 is a smooth manifold, this makes \mathbb{C}^3/Γ an orbifold.

Note that if Γ is a general finite group the condition that \mathbb{C}^3/Γ is an Calabi-Yau orbifold means that there must exist a resolution of this orbifold such that the corresponding smooth space is Calabi-Yau, i.e. a crepant resolution. Existence of such a resolution constrains $\Gamma(?)$.

The prescription is straight-forward: we can use the elements $\gamma \in \Gamma$ to project out that states that are not Γ -invariant. That is, only the fields such that

$$\gamma A_\mu \gamma^{-1} = A_\mu, \quad (3.1)$$

$$R(\gamma) \gamma \psi_{IJ} \gamma^{-1} = \psi_{IJ}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$R(\gamma) \gamma \phi_{IJ} \gamma^{-1} = \phi_{IJ} \quad (3.3)$$

are kept in the spectrum. Note that the fields that transform non-trivially under R-symmetry also have an extra induced action of Γ . The R-symmetry untouched by Γ will be the resulting R-symmetry of daughter theory.

3.1 | Representation theory point of view

Let $\{\mathbf{r}_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a complete set of irreducible representations of Γ . Since Γ is finite, it is particular compact and those representation can be taken to be unitary.

S	Gauge group	Matter content
\mathbb{C}^3	$U(n)$	$\Phi_{IJ}^{\mathbf{6}}, \Psi_{IJ}^{\mathbf{4}}$

Table 1: Worldvolume theory in terms of S .

A | Reminder on $D = 4, \mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory

For $D = 4, \mathcal{N} = 4$, there is one kind of supermultiplet, the vector multiplet. The decomposition of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ vector superfield in terms of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ representations is as follows:

$$[\mathcal{N} = 4 \text{ vector multiplet}] : V = (\lambda_\alpha, A_\mu, D) \oplus \Phi_A = (\phi^A, \psi_\alpha^A, F^A). \quad (\text{A.1})$$

with $A = 1, 2, 3$, i.e. in terms of one vector supermultiplet and three chiral scalar supermultiplets. The propagating degrees of freedom are therefore a vector field, six scalars and four gauginos.

The Lagrangian is very much constrained by $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetry. First, the chiral superfields Φ^A should transform in the adjoint representation of the gauge group G , since internal symmetries commute with supersymmetry. This means that all fields transform in the adjoint of G .

Moreover, there is a large R-symmetry group, $\text{SU}(4)_R$. The four Weyl fermions transform in the fundamental of $\text{SU}(4)_R$, while the six real scalars in the two times anti-symmetric representation, which is nothing but the fundamental representation of $\text{SO}(6)$. The auxiliary fields are singlets under the R-symmetry group. Using $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superfield formalism the Lagrangian reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{SYM}}^{\mathcal{N}=4} = & \frac{1}{32\pi} \text{Im} \left(\tau \int d^4x \text{tr}(W^\alpha W_\alpha) \right) + \int d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \text{tr} \sum_{A=1}^3 \bar{\Phi}^A e^{2gV} \Phi^A \\ & - \int d^2\theta \sqrt{2g} \text{tr} \Phi_1 [\Phi_2, \Phi_3] + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where the commutator in the third term appears for the same reason as for the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Lagrangian. Notice that the choice of a single $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry generator breaks the full $\text{SU}(4)_R$ R-symmetry to $\text{SU}(3) \times \text{U}(1)_R$. The three chiral superfields transform in the **3** of $\text{SU}(3)$ and have R-charge $R = 2/3$ under the $\text{U}(1)_R$. It is an easy but tedious exercise to perform the integration in superspace and get an explicit expression in terms of fields. Finally, one can solve for the auxiliary fields and get an expression where only propagating degrees of freedom are present, and where $\text{SU}(4)_R$ invariance is manifest (the fact that the scalar fields transform under the fundamental representation of $\text{SO}(6)$, which is real, makes the R-symmetry group of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory being at most $\text{SU}(4)$ and not $\text{U}(4)$, in fact).

As a consequence from the non-renormalization theorems, this theory is conformal.

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills theory. There is only one $D = 4, \mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills theory and it contains 3 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ chiral scalar supermultiplet and 1 $\mathcal{N} = 1$ vector supermultiplet (up to g and τ). This theory is conformal and can be recovered from dimensional reduction of $D = 10, \mathcal{N} = 1$ Yang-Mills on \mathbb{T}^6 .

B | Calabi-Yau manifolds, orbifolds and crepant resolutions

Simply put, as *Calabi-Yau manifold* is a Kähler manifold with trivial canonical bundle or, equivalently, with a Kähler metric whose global holonomy is contained in $SU(n)$. Not that this is equivalent to having a trivial canonical bundle.

A *Calabi-Yau orbifold* is the quotient of a smooth Calabi-Yau manifold by a discrete group action which generically has fixed points. From a geometrical perspective we can try to resolve

the orbifold singularity. A resolution (X, π) of \mathbb{C}^n/Γ is a non-singular complex manifold X of dimension n with a proper biholomorphic map

$$\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma \tag{B.1}$$

that induces a biholomorphism between dense open sets.

Definition B.1. A resolution (X, π) of \mathbb{C}^n/Γ is called a *crepant resolution*¹ if the canonical bundles of X and \mathbb{C}^n/Γ are isomorphic, i.e.

$$K_X \cong \pi^*(K_{\mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma}).$$

Since Calabi-Yau manifolds have trivial canonical bundle, to obtain a Calabi-Yau structure on X one must choose a crepant resolutions of singularities.

It turns out that the amount of information we know about a crepant resolution of singularities of \mathbb{C}^n/Γ depends dramatically on the dimension n of the orbifold. For $n = 3$, a crepant resolution always exists but it is not unique; they are related by flops. However all the crepant resolutions have the same Euler and Betti numbers: the *stringy* Betti and Hodge numbers of the orbifold.

¹For a resolution of singularities we can define a notion of discrepancy. A crepant resolution is a resolution without discrepancy.

List of Markers

1	4
---	-------	---

References

- [He04] Yang-Hui He. *Lectures on D-branes, Gauge Theories and Calabi-Yau Singularities*. 2004. arXiv: [hep-th/0408142](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [DM96] Michael R. Douglas and Gregory Moore. *D-branes, Quivers, and ALE Instantons*. 1996. arXiv: [hep-th/9603167](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [JM97] Clifford V. Johnson and Robert C. Myers. “Aspects of type IIB theory on asymptotically locally Euclidean spaces”. In: *Phys. Rev. D* 55 (10 May 1997), pp. 6382–6393. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.55.6382](#).
- [KS98] Shamit Kachru and Eva Silverstein. “4D Conformal Field Theories and Strings on Orbifolds”. In: *Physical Review Letters* 80.22 (June 1998), pp. 4855–4858. ISSN: 1079-7114. DOI: [10.1103/physrevlett.80.4855](#).
- [CV20] Andrés Collinucci and Roberto Valandro. “A string theory realization of special unitary quivers in 3 dimensions”. In: *Journal of High Energy Physics* 2020.11 (Nov. 2020). ISSN: 1029-8479. DOI: [10.1007/jhep11\(2020\)157](#).
- [Lin12] Ulf Lindström. *Supersymmetric Sigma Model geometry*. 2012. arXiv: [1207.1241](#) [[hep-th](#)].
- [Hit+87] Nigel J. Hitchin et al. “Hyperkahler Metrics and Supersymmetry”. In: *Commun. Math. Phys.* 108 (1987), p. 535. DOI: [10.1007/BF01214418](#).
- [NST03] Lei Ni, Yuguang Shi, and Luen-Fai Tam. “Ricci Flatness of Asymptotically Locally Euclidean Metrics”. In: *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 355.5 (2003), pp. 1933–1959. ISSN: 00029947.
- [Ber21] Matteo Bertolini. *Introduction to Supersymmetry*. 2021. URL: <https://people.sissa.it/~bertmat/teaching.htm>.