

Worksheet on

Seiberg-Witten Theory

Written by Louan Mol

Last update on June 29, 2022.

Contents

1	$\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories	1
1.1	Lagrangian	1
1.2	Classical moduli space of vacua	2
1.3	Low energy effective lagrangian	2
2	SU(2) $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory in $D = 4$	3
3	Generalization to other groups	3
4	SW geometry from string duality	3

1 | $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories

1.1 | Lagrangian

We consider a general pure SYM theory with gauge group G . The $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector multiplet can be written in terms of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ superfields as follows

$$[\mathcal{N} = 2 \text{ vector multiplet}] : V = (\lambda_\alpha, A_\mu, D) \oplus \Phi = (\phi, \psi_\alpha, F) \quad (1.1)$$

where V is a vector superfield and Φ is a chiral superfield. We use the notation

$$\phi = \phi^a T_a, \quad \psi_\alpha = \psi_\alpha^a T_a, \quad F = F^a T_a, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\lambda = \lambda^a T_a, \quad \mathcal{D}_\alpha = \mathcal{D}_\alpha^a T_a, \quad A_\mu = A_\mu^a T_a, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\{T_a\}_{a=1, \dots, \dim G}$ are the generators of \mathfrak{g} , the complexified Lie algebra of G . Note that all fields except A_μ are complex and therefore actually belong to the complexified Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C} \equiv \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} + i\mathfrak{g}$.

The representation under which the fields transform is dictated by their $\mathcal{N} = 2$ origin: V and Φ must transform in the adjoint representation of the gauge group while H_1 and \bar{H}_2 both transform in any representation R . Our notation implies that H_2 transform in the complex conjugate representation \bar{R} .

The R-symmetry group is $U(2)_R$ and the lagrangian should be invariant under its compact component $SU(2)_R$. This is a necessary and sufficient condition to have $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry. All bosonic fields A_μ, D, F and ϕ transform as singlets and $(\lambda_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)$ transform as a doublet.

The most general pure gauge lagrangian reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{SYM}}^{\mathcal{N}=2} = \frac{1}{32\pi} \text{Im} \left[\tau \int d^2\theta W^\alpha W_\alpha \right] + \int d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \text{tr} (\bar{\Phi} e^{2gV} \Phi) \quad (1.4)$$

We note that the lagrangian does not have a superpotential. The auxiliary fields equation of motions are

$$F^a = 0, \quad (1.5)$$

$$D^a = -g[\phi, \phi^\dagger]^a. \quad (1.6)$$

Even though there is no superpotential, there is still a potential term of the scalar fields coming from the D-terms, it reads

$$V(\phi, \phi^\dagger) = \frac{1}{2} D^a D_a = \frac{1}{2} g^2 \text{tr}[\phi, \phi^\dagger]^2. \quad (1.7)$$

1.2 | Classical moduli space of vacua

The potential (1.7) vanishes if and only if ϕ belongs to the complexified Cartan $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}$ of \mathfrak{g} . At a general point of the moduli space, the scalar fields matrices can be diagonalized using the gauge symmetry. The moduli space is therefore parametrized by the eigenvalues of the matrices, i.e. by r complex numbers, where r is the rank of \mathfrak{h} . The diagonalization step does not fully break the gauge symmetry, there still is $U(1)^r$ symmetry. The low energy dynamic is the that of r massless vector multiplets and $\dim G - r$ massive ones, with masses depending on the specific VEV's.

Since there is only scalar fields coming from the vector superfield (transforming in the adjoint representation), there is only a Coulomb branch \mathcal{M}_V and the Higgs branch \mathcal{M}_H is empty. The classical moduli space is therefore

$$\mathcal{M}_c = \mathcal{M}_V \times \mathcal{M}_H = \frac{\mathbb{C}^r}{G_{\text{Weyl}}} \quad (1.8)$$

where the G_{Weyl} factor is the Weyl group of the Cartan subalgebra, the remenant of the gauge symmetry that acts on the Cartan algebra.

clarify with the $U(1)$'s

1.3 | Low energy effective lagrangian

It is known (just from supersymmetry) that the low energy effective lagrangian¹ must be of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^{\mathcal{N}=2} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \text{Im} \left[\int d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} K(\Phi, \bar{\Phi}) + \int d^2\theta \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum \tau(\Phi) W^\alpha W_\alpha \right) \right] \quad (1.9)$$

with

$$K(\Phi, \bar{\Phi}) = -\frac{i}{32\pi} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}(\Phi)}{\partial \Phi^a} \bar{\Phi}^a + \text{h.c.}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{ab}(\Phi) = \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}(\Phi)}{\partial \Phi^a \partial \Phi^b}. \quad (1.10)$$

It is therefore completely determined by the holomorphic function \mathcal{F} , called the *prepotential*. The same model can be obtained from the usual lagrangian by just relaxing the renormalizability condition. We recover a renormalizable lagrangian by taking $\mathcal{F}(\Phi) = \frac{1}{2} \tau \text{tr} \Phi^2$

The map $K(A, \bar{A})$ is the Kähler potential, which gives a supersymmetric non-linear sigma-model for the field Φ . It defines a metric on the moduli space as

$$ds^2 = g_{i\bar{j}}(\Phi, \bar{\Phi}) d\Phi^a d\bar{\Phi}^b = \frac{\partial^2 K(\Phi, \bar{\Phi})}{\partial \Phi^a \partial \bar{\Phi}^b} d\Phi^a d\bar{\Phi}^b. \quad (1.11)$$

From (1.10), we see that

$$ds^2 = \frac{\partial^2 K(\Phi, \bar{\Phi})}{\partial \Phi^a \partial \bar{\Phi}^b} d\Phi^a d\bar{\Phi}^b = \text{Im} \left[\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}(\Phi)}{\partial \Phi^a \partial \Phi^b} \right] d\Phi^a d\bar{\Phi}^b \quad (1.12)$$

thus the coefficients \mathcal{F}_{ab} can be interpreted as coupling constant but they are also related to the metric on the moduli space.

The potential in the effective lagrangian is given by

$$V(\phi, \phi^\dagger) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} (\text{Im} \mathcal{F}_{ab}(\phi))^{-1} [\phi^\dagger, \mathcal{F}_c(\phi) T_c]^a [\phi^\dagger, \mathcal{F}_d(\phi) T_d]^b. \quad (1.13)$$

¹By “low energy effective lagrangian”, we mean the part of the effective lagrangian that contains the leading terms when the momenta vanish. In the full effective lagrangian there are of course infinitely many higher derivative terms. These are not governed by holomorphic quantities and we therefore have no control on them regarding quantum corrections.

The Coulomb branch is therefore a Kähler manifold. Since the the Kähler potential can be written in terms of a holomorphic function as in (1.10), it is more precisely a special Kähler manifold.

If we were to add a hypermultiplet, we would have to additional complex scalars (one from each chiral superfields of the decomposition of the hypermultiplet). This new sigma-model would then define quaternionic manifold known as a Hyperkähler manifold. Due to the existence of those two sets of scalars (those belonging to the vector multiplet and those belonging to the hypermultiplet), the classical moduli space has the more general form

$$\mathcal{M}_c = \mathcal{M}_V \times \mathcal{M}_H \quad (1.14)$$

where \mathcal{M}_V is a Kähler manifold and \mathcal{M}_H a Hyperkähler manifold.

2 | $SU(2)$ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory in $D = 4$

3 | Generalization to other groups

4 | SW geometry from string duality