

Worksheet on

Geometry and quotients

Written by Louan Mol

Last update on December 21, 2022.

Contents

1	Symplectic manifolds	2
1.1	Symplectic structure	2
1.2	Group action	2
1.3	Momentum map	3
1.4	Symplectic quotient	3
2	Kähler manifolds	4
2.1	Kähler structure	4
2.2	Kähler quotients	6
3	Hyperkähler manifolds	6
3.1	Hyperkähler structure	6
3.2	Hyperkähler quotients	8
4	$\mathcal{N} = 1$ field theories and Kähler geometry	9
5	$\mathcal{N} = 2$ field theories and Hyperkähler geometry	9

1 | Symplectic manifolds

1.1 | Symplectic structure

Definition 1.1. A 2-form ω on M is said to be *non-degenerate* if it satisfies the following property: if there exists $X_p \in T_p M$ such that $\omega(X_p, Y_p) = 0$ for all $Y_p \in T_p M$ then $X_p = 0$.

Proposition 1.1. A 2-form ω is non-degenerate if and only if the matrix formed by its components ω_{ab} such that $\omega = \omega_{ab} dx^a \wedge dx^b$ is invertible.

In odd dimensions, skew-symmetric matrices are always singular, the requirement that ω be non-degenerate therefore implies that M must have even dimension.

Definition 1.2. A *symplectic form* ω on a smooth manifold M is a closed non-degenerate 2-form. (M, ω) is then called a *symplectic manifold*.

Definition 1.3. A diffeomorphism between two symplectic manifolds $f : (M, \omega) \rightarrow (M', \omega')$ is called a *symplectomorphism* if $f^* \omega' = \omega$. The set of symplectomorphisms from M to M form a pseudo-group¹, called the *symplectomorphism group*.

Definition 1.4. A vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is called *symplectic* if

$$\mathcal{L}_X \omega = 0.$$

Proposition 1.2. A vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is symplectic if and only if its flow $\phi_t : M \rightarrow M$ is a symplectomorphism for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

This means that the infinitesimal version of symplectomorphisms are symplectic vector fields. These vector fields form a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{X}(M)$.

Definition 1.5. Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold and G a group. We say that an action $\phi : G \times M \rightarrow M$ is *symplectic* each map $\phi(g, \cdot)$ is a symplectomorphism.

1.2 | Group action

Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold and $\cdot : G \times M \rightarrow M$ a symplectic action of G on M . If \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra of G , let

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{g}^* \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad (1.1)$$

be the canonical pairing between \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{g}^* . Any $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ induces a vector field $\rho(\xi) \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ on M describing the infinitesimal action of ξ . More precisely, at a point $p \in M$ the tangent vector $\rho(\xi)_p$ is defined as

$$\rho(\xi)_p \equiv \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (\exp(t\xi) \cdot p), \quad (1.2)$$

where $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$ is the exponential map. Let $\iota_{\rho(\xi)} \omega$ denotes the contraction of this vector field with ω .

Proposition 1.3. If G acts by symplectomorphisms (\cdot is a symplectic action), then $\iota_{\rho(\xi)} \omega$ is closed for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$.

This can be seen directly by using the formula $\mathcal{L}_X \omega = d(\iota_X \omega) + \iota_X d\omega$, which holds for every differential form ω and any vector field X . The 1-form $\iota_{\rho(\xi)} \omega$ is thus closed, but not necessarily exact, except for subclass of symplectic actions:

Definition 1.6. The G -action is said to be *Hamiltonian* if and only if the following conditions hold:

- for every $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ the one-form $\iota_{\rho(\xi)} \omega$ is exact, meaning that

$$\iota_{\rho(\xi)} \omega = dH_\xi \quad (1.3)$$

¹A pseudo-group is a set of diffeomorphisms between open sets of a space, satisfying group-like and sheaf-like properties. It is a generalisation of the concept of a group.

for some function $H_\xi \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$. If this holds, then one may choose the H_ξ to make the map $H : \xi \mapsto H_\xi$ linear.

- the map

$$H : \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{g} & \longrightarrow & C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}) \\ \xi & \longmapsto & H_\xi \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.4)$$

is a Lie algebra homomorphism, $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ being understood as the algebra of smooth function on M with the Poisson bracket.

1.3 | Momentum map

Let us consider an Hamiltonian action of a group FG on (M, ω) . The 1-form $\iota_{\rho(\xi)}\omega$ can then be written as

$$\iota_{\rho(\xi)}\omega = dH_\xi \quad (1.5)$$

for some function $H_\xi \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$, and $H : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism. We then have the following definition.

Definition 1.7. A *momentum map* for the action of G on (M, ω) is a map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ such that

$$\iota_{\rho(\xi)}\omega = d(\langle \mu, \xi \rangle) \quad (1.6)$$

for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. Here $\langle \mu, \xi \rangle$ is the function from M to \mathbb{R} defined by $\langle \mu, \xi \rangle(p) \equiv \langle \mu(p), \xi \rangle$.

The momentum map is uniquely defined up to an additive constant of integration. A momentum map is often also required to be G -equivariant, where G acts on \mathfrak{g}^* via the coadjoint action (dual of the adjoint action). If the group is compact or semisimple, then the constant of integration can always be chosen to make the momentum map coadjoint equivariant. However, in general the coadjoint action must be modified to make the map equivariant (this is the case for example for the Euclidean group). The modification is by a 1-cocycle on the group with values in \mathfrak{g}^* .

Example 1.1. In the case of the Hamiltonian action of the circle $G = U(1)$, the Lie algebra dual \mathfrak{g}^* is naturally identified with \mathbb{R} , and the momentum map is simply the Hamiltonian function that generates the circle action.

Example 1.2. Another classical case occurs when M is the cotangent bundle of \mathbb{R}^3 and G is the Euclidean group generated by rotations and translations. That is, G is a six-dimensional group, the semidirect product of $SO(3)$ and \mathbb{R}^3 . The six components of the momentum map are then the three angular momenta and the three linear momenta.

Proposition 1.4. We have the following properties:

- Let G be a group with Hamiltonian action on (M_1, ω_1) and H be a group with Hamiltonian action on (M_2, ω_2) . Then the natural action of $G \times H$ on $(M_1 \times M_2, \omega_1 \times \omega_2)$ is Hamiltonian, with momentum map the direct sum of the two momentum maps. Here $\omega_1 \times \omega_2 \equiv \pi_1^*\omega_1 + \pi_2^*\omega_2$, where $\pi_i : M_1 \times M_2 \rightarrow M_i$ denotes the projection map.
- Let G be a group with Hamiltonian action on (M, ω) , and N a submanifold of M invariant under G such that the restriction of the symplectic form on M to N is non-degenerate. This imparts a symplectic structure to N in a natural way. Then the action of G on N is also Hamiltonian, with momentum map the composition of the inclusion map with M 's momentum map.

1.4 | Symplectic quotient

Suppose that the action of a compact Lie group G on the symplectic manifold (M, ω) is Hamiltonian, as defined above, with momentum map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. From the Hamiltonian condition, it follows that the zero-level set of the momentum map

$$M_0 \equiv \mu^{-1}(0) \quad (1.7)$$

is invariant under G .

Assume now that 0 is regular value of μ and that G acts freely and properly on M_0 . Thus M_0 and its quotient M_0/G are both manifolds. The quotient inherits a symplectic form from M . That is, there is a unique symplectic form on the quotient whose pullback to M_0 equals the restriction of ω to M_0 . Thus the quotient is a symplectic manifold.

Definition 1.8. The symplectic manifold M_0/G is called *symplectic quotient* (also called *Marsden-Weinstein quotient* or *symplectic reduction*) of M by G and is denoted $M//G$.

Its dimension equals the dimension of M minus twice the dimension of G :

$$\dim(M//G) = \dim M - 2 \dim G. \quad (1.8)$$

Note that the construction carries over if one replaces the zero-level set M_0 by the preimage $\mu^{-1}(\xi)$ under μ of some non-zero invariant element ξ of \mathfrak{g}^* (which corresponds to the residual freedom in the definition of the momentum map), or even by the preimage of the whole orbit of an element of \mathfrak{g}^* under G .

2 | Kähler manifolds

2.1 | Kähler structure

Definition 2.1. An almost complex structure J is said to be *compatible* with the symplectic ω if

$$\omega(JX, JY) = \omega(X, Y)$$

for all $X, Y \in TM$.

Compatible almost complex structure are very important because it means that the bilinear form g on the tangent space of M defined as

$$g(X_p, Y_p) = \omega(X_p, JY_p) \quad (2.1)$$

is symmetric and positive-definite. In other words, g is a riemannian metric. Given a symplectic structure and a compatible almost complex structure therefore naturally provides us with a riemannian structure too. The component of the metric are, logically, fully determined by the ones of the symplectic form:

$$g_{a\bar{b}} = \partial_a \bar{\partial}_{\bar{b}} K \quad (2.2)$$

in terms of local holomorphic coordinates (z^a, \bar{z}^a) and where K defines the symplectic form (see (2.13)). For such a metric, one can show that the only non-vanishing coefficient of the Levi-Civita connection are

$$\Gamma_{bc}^a = g^{a\bar{d}} \partial_b g_{c\bar{d}}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\Gamma_{b\bar{c}}^{\bar{a}} = g^{\bar{a}d} \partial_{\bar{b}} g_{d\bar{c}}. \quad (2.4)$$

The condition $\omega(JX, JY) = \omega(X, Y)$ also says that under the decomposition

$$\Omega^2(M) = \Omega^{2,0} \oplus \Omega^{1,1} \oplus \Omega^{0,2} \quad (2.5)$$

of 2-forms into their holomorphic and antiholomorphic parts, the symplectic form ω actually lies in $\Omega^{1,1}$.

Definition 2.2. A *Kähler manifold* is a symplectic manifold equipped with a compatible, integrable almost complex structure.

A Kähler manifold is therefore the union of three structures: a riemannian structure, a symplectic structure and a complex structure. It is the fact that we are provided with a compatible complex structure that allows us to construct a metric from the symplectic form. We can therefore use the three points of view at will.

For real manifolds, the Poincaré lemma states that any closed form is locally exact. On a complex manifold, we have the decomposition

$$d = \partial + \bar{\partial} \quad (2.6)$$

into the exterior derivative in the holomorphic and antiholomorphic directions.

Example 2.1. If $M = \mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$d = dx \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + dy \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = dz \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + d\bar{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \partial + \bar{\partial} \quad (2.7)$$

where $dz = dx + idy$ and $d\bar{z} = dx - idy$.

In particular, we have

$$0 = d^2 = \partial^2 + (\partial\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}\partial) + \bar{\partial}^2 \quad (2.8)$$

but these operators lie in different spaces:

$$\partial^2 : \Omega^{p,q} \rightarrow \Omega^{p+2,q}, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\partial\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}\partial : \Omega^{p,q} \rightarrow \Omega^{p+1,q+1}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\bar{\partial}^2 : \Omega^{p,q} \rightarrow \Omega^{p,q+2} \quad (2.11)$$

so they must be zero separately:

$$\partial^2 = 0, \quad \partial\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}\partial = 0, \quad \bar{\partial}^2 = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

In particular, for a closed form ω , one must have $\partial\omega = 0$ and $\bar{\partial}\omega = 0$ separately. Combined with the Poincaré lemma, one finds that the symplectic form can always be locally written as

$$\omega = i\partial\bar{\partial}K \quad (2.13)$$

for some function K , on the Kähler manifold. Notice that K is defined up to the transformations

$$K(z, \bar{z}) \mapsto K(z, \bar{z}) + f(z) + f(\bar{z}) \quad (2.14)$$

where f is holomorphic.

Definition 2.3. The function K locally defining the symplectic form on a Kähler manifold as in (2.13) is called the *Kähler potential*.

A symplectic structure on a complex manifold implies that the symplectic form necessarily comes from a Kähler potential. The latter is defined up to translation by holomorphic or antiholomorphic functions.

Example 2.2. Let us treat \mathbb{C}^n as a Kähler manifold. The Kähler potential associated to the flat metric

$$g = \sum_a ((dx)^2 + (dy)^2) = \sum_a \delta_{a\bar{a}} dz^a d\bar{z}^{\bar{a}} \quad (2.15)$$

on \mathbb{R}^{2n} is

$$K(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_a |z^a|^2 \quad (2.16)$$

and the symplectic form is

$$\omega = \sum_i dx^i \wedge dy^i = \sum_a \delta_{a\bar{a}} dz^a \wedge d\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}. \quad (2.17)$$

Example 2.3. We can also treat \mathbb{CP}^n as a Kähler manifold with the Kähler potential

$$K(z, \bar{z}) = \ln \left(1 + \sum_{a=1}^n |z^a|^2 \right) \quad (2.18)$$

on the coordinate patch $\mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$ (it covers the complement of an hyperplane in \mathbb{CP}^n). The resulting metric is called the *Fubini-Study metric*.

2.2 | Kähler quotients

3 | Hyperkähler manifolds

3.1 | Hyperhähler structure

A hyperkähler manifold is a manifold (necessarily of dimension a multiple of four) which admits an action on the tangent space of the i, j and k (quaternions) in a manner which is compatible with the metric.

Definition 3.1. A *hyperkähler manifold* is a riemannian manifold (M, g) provided with three orthogonal automorphisms $I, J, K : TM \rightarrow TM$ of the tangent bundle that are covariant constant with respect to the Levi-Civita connection, i.e.

$$\nabla I = \nabla J = \nabla K = 0,$$

and which satisfy the quaternionic identities

$$I^2 = J^2 = K^2 = IJK = -1.$$

These condition imply in particular

- each these tangent bundle automorphisms define an integrable complex structure on M ;
- the metric g is Kähler with respect to all three;
- the three Kähler forms ω_1, ω_2 and ω_3 are therefore closed and give three symplectic structures on M .

We can also have the following, equivalent, definition in terms of G -structure:

Definition 3.2. The *symplectic group* $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, F)$ is a classical group defined as the set of linear transformations that of a $2n$ -dimensional symplectic vector space V over the field F which preserve the non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form (symplectic point at a point). If the latter is denoted Ω , then

$$\mathrm{Sp}(2n, F) \equiv \{M \in M_{2n \times 2n}(F) | m^T \Omega M = \Omega\}.$$

We use the notation $\mathrm{Sp}(n) \equiv \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$.

In the case where

$$\Omega = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1}_n \\ \mathbb{1}_n & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.1)$$

we have $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, F) = \mathrm{SL}(2, F)$.

Definition 3.3 (alternative definition). A *hyperkähler manifold* is a quaternionic manifold with a torsion-free $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ -structure.

A hyperkähler manifold is simultaneously a hypercomplex manifold and a quaternionic Kähler manifold. From this definition, every hyperkähler manifold M has a 2-sphere of complex structures (i.e. integrable almost complex structures) with respect to which the metric is Kähler. In particular, it is a hypercomplex manifold, meaning that there are three distinct complex structures, I, J , and K , which satisfy the quaternion relations

$$I^2 = J^2 = K^2 = IJK = -1. \quad (3.2)$$

Thus closing the loop with our first definition.

Remark 3.1. Recall that a riemannian manifold provided with just one such automorphism of the tangent bundle is a Kähler manifold. The name “hyperkähler” comes from the fact that the metric is Kählerian for several complex structures. There is, however, an essential difference between Kähler and hyperkähler manifolds. A Kähler metric on a given complex manifold can be modified to another Kähler metric simply by adding a hermitian form $\partial\bar{\partial}f$ to the initial metric, for a sufficiently small smooth function f . Thus the space of Kähler metrics is infinite-dimensional. It is moreover easy to find examples of Kähler manifolds. For example, any complex submanifold of \mathbb{CP}^n inherits a Kähler metric. By contrast, hyperkähler metrics are much more rigid. On a compact manifold, if one such metric exists,

then up to isometry there is only a finite-dimensional space of them. Nor is it easy to find examples. Certainly we will never find them as quaternionic submanifolds of the quaternionic projective space $\mathbb{H}P^n$.

The group $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ is also an intersection of $\mathrm{U}(2n)$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(2n\mathbb{C})$, the transformations of \mathbb{C}^{2n} which preserve a non-degenerate skew-symmetric form. Thus a hyperkähler manifold is naturally a complex manifold with a holomorphic symplectic form. One can see this explicitly by taking three Kähler two-forms

$$\omega_1(X, Y) = g(IX, Y) \quad (3.3)$$

$$\omega_2(X, Y) = g(JX, Y) \quad (3.4)$$

$$\omega_3(X, Y) = g(KX, Y) \quad (3.5)$$

$$(3.6)$$

defined for the complex structure I, J and K . With respect to the complex structure I , the complex form

$$\omega_c \equiv \omega_2 + i\omega_3 \quad (3.7)$$

is non-degenerate and covariant constant, hence closed and holomorphic.

This point of view provides guidance in the search of examples of hyperkähler manifolds, and elucidates the sort of differential equation which needs to be solved. In the first place the holomorphic volume form ω_c^n must give a covariant constant trivialization of the canonical line bundle. The curvature of this bundle for any Kähler metric is the Ricci form and so hyperkähler metric has in particular vanishing tensor.

Proposition 3.1. All hyperkähler manifolds are Ricci-flat.

In the lowest dimension, i.e. four dimensions, this means that such metrics satisfy the Riemannian version of the Einstein vacuum equations. We have the even more useful result:

Proposition 3.2. In four dimensions, a simply connected Riemannian manifold admits a hyperkähler metric if the Riemannian curvature tensor is either self-dual or anti-self-dual.

Consequently, a complete hyperkähler 4-manifold is a self-dual, Euclidean, solution to Einstein's equations. This is very useful to construct ALE spaces, see section ??.

Given a compact Kähler manifold with holomorphically trivial canonical line bundle, the Calabi-Yau theorem provides the existence of a Kähler metric with vanishing Ricci tensor. Furthermore, a much older theorem (Bochner) shows that any holomorphic form on a compact Kähler manifold with zero Ricci tensor is covariant constant. Therefore, for every compact Kähler manifold with a holomorphic symplectic form, an application of these two theorems yields a hyperkähler metric on the same manifold. This satisfactory state of affairs can be used to prove the existence of hyperkähler on many examples of complex manifolds. The most fundamental is the K3 surface – the only non-trivial example in four real dimensions. In higher dimensions, the Hilbert scheme of zero cycles on a K3-surface or a 2-dimensional complex torus yields a natural class of holomorphic symplectic manifolds and hence hyperkähler metrics.

Theorem 3.1 (Kurnosov, Soldatenkov, Verbitsky). The cohomology of any compact hyperkähler manifold embeds into the cohomology of a torus, in a way that preserves the Hodge structure.

Hyperkähler manifolds. A hyperkähler manifold is a manifold which admits an action on the tangent space of the i, j and k in a manner which is compatible with the metric. All hyperkähler manifolds are Ricci-flat so in four dimensions (lowest dimension for a hyperkähler manifold), this means that the metric satisfies the Euclidean version of the Einstein vacuum equations. Moreover, in four dimensions, a simply connected Riemannian manifold admits a hyperkähler metric if the Riemannian curvature tensor is either self-dual or anti-self-dual.

We shall seek something more than existence. We would like to construct solutions in a more explicit manner, in order to gain better understanding of hyperkähler manifolds and to experience the richness of their geometry. There are two main routes to constructing hyperkähler metrics:

1. **Twistor theory.** This approach is based on R. Penrose's original work in relativity. It provides an encoding of the data for such a metric in terms of holomorphic geometry. Deriving global properties of the metric such as completeness is almost impossible. On the other hand, the quotient

construction yields this sort of property quite easily, even if it is not as general as the twistor method.

2. **Hyperkähler quotients.** This construction arose also out of questions of mathematical physics, in this case supersymmetry. In practical terms there are two ways of using it. The first is a finite-dimensional construction, whereby determining the actual metric involves solving algebraic equations. The second involves the use of the method in infinite dimensions, even though the quotient itself may be finite-dimensional. Here, one needs to solve differential equations to find the metric. They are, however, equations for which in many cases methods of solution are known so that we have in principle more information than an existence theorem.

We shall illustrate these constructions by a representative collection of examples which are chosen according to our guiding principle of seeking complex symplectic manifolds. These hyperkähler manifolds are all a priori complex manifolds with holomorphic symplectic forms:

- resolution of rational surface singularities;
- coadjoint orbits of complex Lie groups;
- spaces of representations of a surface group in a complex Lie group;
- space of based loops in complex Lie group.

The construction of hyperkahler metrics on these spaces is contained in the work of P. B. Kronheimer, S. K. Donaldson, and others. What is perhaps remarkable is that these diverse spaces nearly all inherit a hyperkahler metric through special cases of solutions to the anti-self-dual Yang-Mills equations in \mathbb{R}^4 . Those physically motivated equations themselves are ultimately based on the identification of \mathbb{R}^4 with the quaternions. Hamilton's ghost may yet rest content.

3.2 | Hyperkähler quotients

We saw the definition of the quotient manifold (section ??), the symplectic quotient (section 1.4) and the Kähler quotient (section ??), we now see the hyperkähler quotient.

The quotient construction emphasizes not the complex structures but instead the corresponding Kähler forms ω_1, ω_2 and ω_3 . In this case, by contraction with the inverse forms on cotangent vectors, we can recover I, J and K and the metric itself. Put another way, $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ is the stabilizer of $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ whereas $\mathrm{GL}(n, H)$ is the stabilizer of I, J, K .

A hyperkahler manifold can be characterized in a very straightforward manner using these forms:

Theorem 3.2. Let M^{4n} be a manifold with 2-forms $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ whose stabiliser in $\mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{R})$ at each point $p \in M$ is conjugate to $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$. Then the forms define a hyperkähler structure if and only if they are closed.

This theorem places the theory of hyperkahler manifolds firmly within the context of symplectic geometry.

Hyperkähler quotient is modelled on the symplectic quotient (see section 1.4). Recall that if (M, ω) is a symplectic manifold with symplectic action of Lie group G , then under mild assumptions one can define an momentum map (see section ??)

$$\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \tag{3.8}$$

The symplectic quotient construction consists of a new symplectic manifold $M//G \equiv \mu^{-1}(0)/G$. The same kind of manipulation can be done for hyperkähler manifolds.

Suppose that M is a hyperkähler manifolds, with Lie group G acting so as to preserve the three Kähler forms ω_1, ω_2 and ω_3 . We obtain three moment maps μ_1, μ_2 and μ_3 , or equivalently a vector-valued moment map

$$\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{3.9}$$

called the *hyperkähler moment map*.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\mathfrak{z} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^*$ be the set of G -invariant elements of \mathfrak{g}^* . If $\zeta \in \mathfrak{z} \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$ is a regular value for the hyperkähler moment map μ , then $\mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G$ is a hyperkähler manifold.

Note that

$$\dim(\mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G) = \dim M - 4 \dim G. \quad (3.10)$$

4 | $\mathcal{N} = 1$ field theories and Kähler geometry

5 | $\mathcal{N} = 2$ field theories and Hyperähler geometry