Worksheet on

Geometry and Quotients

Written by Louan Mol

Last update on January 6, 2023.

Contents

1	Symplectic manifolds	2
	1.1 Symplectic structure	2
	1.2 Group action	2
	1.3 Momentum map	3
	1.4 Symplectic quotient	
2	Riemannian quotients	5
3	Kähler manifolds	5
	3.1 Complex structure	5
		7
	3.3 Kähler quotients	9
4	Hyperkähler manifolds	9
	4.1 Quaternionic structure	9
		9
	4.3 Hyperkähler quotients	12
5	Kähler geometry and $\mathcal{N}=1$ field theories	12
6	Hyperkähler geometry and $\mathcal{N}=2$ field theories	12

1 | Symplectic manifolds

1.1 | Symplectic structure

Let M be a smooth manifold.

Definition 1.1. A 2-form ω on M is said to be non-degenerate if is satisfies the following property: if there exists $X_p \in T_pM$ such that $\omega(X_p, Y_p) = 0$ for all $Y_p \in T_pM$ then $X_p = 0$.

Proposition 1.1. A 2-form ω is non-degenerate if and only if the matrix formed by its components ω_{ab} such that $\omega = \omega_{ab} dx^a \wedge dx^b$ is invertible.

In odd dimensions, skew-symmetric matrices are always singular, the requirement that ω be non-degenerate therefore implies that M must have even dimension. The existence of a symplectic form therefore strongly constrains the manifold.

Definition 1.2. A symplectic form ω on a smooth manifold M is a closed non-degenerate 2-form. (M, ω) is then called a symplectic manifold.

Definition 1.3. A diffeomorphism between two symplectic manifolds $f:(M,\omega)\to (M',\omega')$ is called a symplectomorphism if $f^*\omega'=\omega$. The set of symplectomorphisms from M to M form a pseudo-group¹, called the symplectomorphism group.

Definition 1.4. A vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is called *symplectic* if

$$\mathcal{L}_X\omega=0.$$

Proposition 1.2. A vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is symplectic if and only if its flow $\phi_t : M \to M$ is a symplectomorphism for every $t \in R$.

This means that the infinitesimal version of symplectomorphisms are symplectic vector fields. These vector fields form a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{X}(M)$.

Definition 1.5. Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold and G a group. We say that an action $\phi : G \times M \to M$ is *symplectic* each map $\phi(g, \cdot)$ is a symplectomorphism.

That is to say, G is a subgroup of the group of symplectomorphisms of (M, ω) .

1.2 Group action

Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold and $\cdot: G \times M \to M$ a symplectic action of G on M. If \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra of G, let

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{g}^* \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{R} \tag{1.1}$$

be the canonical pairing between \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{g}^* .

The first thing to notice is that any $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ induces a vector field $\rho(\xi) \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ on M describing the infinitesimal action of ξ on M. More precisely, at a point $p \in M$ the tangent vector $\rho(\xi)_p$ is defined as

$$\rho(\xi)_p \equiv \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \right|_{t=0} (\exp(t\xi) \cdot p), \tag{1.2}$$

where $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \to G$ is the exponential map. Let $\iota_{\rho(\xi)}\omega$ denotes the contraction of this vector field with ω .

Proposition 1.3. If the action \cdot is symplectic action, then $\iota_{\rho(\xi)}\omega$ is closed for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Proof. This can be seen directly by using the Cartan formula $\mathcal{L}_X \omega = d(\iota_X \omega) + \iota_X d\omega$, which holds for every differential form ω and any vector field X.

¹A pseudo-group is a set of diffeomorphisms between open sets of a space, satisfying group-like and sheaf-like properties. It is a generalisation of the concept of a group.

1.3 Momentum map 3

The 1-form $\iota_{\rho(\xi)}\omega$ is therefore always closed, but not necessarily exact. It is exact only for a subclass of symplectic actions, which we know turn to.

Definition 1.6. The G-action is said to be *Hamiltonian* if and only if the following conditions hold:

• for every $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ the one-form $\iota_{\rho(\xi)}\omega$ is exact, meaning that

$$\iota_{\rho(\xi)}\omega = \mathrm{d}H_{\xi} \tag{1.3}$$

for some function $H_{\xi} \in C^{\infty}(M,\mathbb{R})$. If this holds, then one may choose the H_{ξ} to make the map $H: \xi \mapsto H_{\xi}$ linear. Note that this just means that $\rho(\xi)$ is a Hamiltonian vector field with Hamiltonian H_{ξ} .

• the map

$$H: \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{g} & \longrightarrow & C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R}) \\ \xi & \longmapsto & H_{\xi} \end{array}\right)$$
 (1.4)

is a Lie algebra homomorphism, $C^{\infty}(M,\mathbb{R})$ being understood as the algebra of smooth functions on M with the Poisson bracket.

1.3 | Momentum map

Let us consider a Hamiltonian action of a Lie group G on (M,ω) . The 1-form $\iota_{\rho(\xi)}\omega$ can then we written as

$$\iota_{\rho(\xi)}\omega = \mathrm{d}H_{\xi} \tag{1.5}$$

for some function $H_{\xi} \in C^{\infty}(M,\mathbb{R})$, and $H : \mathfrak{g} \to C^{\infty}(M,\mathbb{R})$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism. We then have the following definition.

Definition 1.7. A momentum map for the action of G on (M,ω) is a map $\mu: M \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ such that

$$\iota_{\rho(\xi)}\omega = d(\langle \mu, \xi \rangle) \tag{1.6}$$

for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. Here $\langle \mu, \xi \rangle$ is the function from M to \mathbb{R} defined by $\langle \mu, \xi \rangle(p) \equiv \langle \mu(p), \xi \rangle$, which is thing else than the Hamiltonian H_{ξ} .

The momentum map is uniquely defined up to an additive constant of integration. A momentum map is often also required to be G-equivariant, where G acts on \mathfrak{g}^* via the coadjoint action (dual of the adjoint action). If the group is compact or semisimple, then the constant of integration can always be chosen to make the momentum map coadjoint equivariant. However, in general the coadjoint action must be modified to make the map equivariant (this is the case for example for the Euclidean group). The modification is by a 1-cocycle on the group with values in \mathfrak{g}^* .

Example 1.1. In the case of the Hamiltonian action of the circle G = U(1), the Lie algebra dual \mathfrak{g}^* is naturally identified with \mathbb{R} , and the momentum map is simply the Hamiltonian function that generates the circle action.

Example 1.2. Another classical case occurs when M is the cotangent bundle of \mathbb{R}^3 and G is the Euclidean group generated by rotations and translations. That is, G is a six-dimensional group, the semidirect product of SO(3) and \mathbb{R}^3 . The six components of the momentum map are then the three angular momenta and the three linear momenta.

Proposition 1.4. We have the following properties:

- Let G be a group with Hamiltonian action on (M_1, ω_1) and H be a group with Hamiltonian action on (M_2, ω_2) . Then the natural action of $G \times H$ on $(M_1 \times M_2, \omega_1 \times \omega_2)$ is Hamiltonian, with momentum map the direct sum of the two momentum maps. Here $\omega_1 \times \omega_2 \equiv \pi_1^* \omega_1 + \pi_2^* \omega_2$, where $\pi_i : M_1 \times M_2 \to M_i$ denotes the projection map.
- Let G be a group with Hamiltonian action on (M, ω) , and N a submanifold of M invariant under G such that the restriction of the symplectic form on M to N is non-degenerate. This imparts a symplectic structure to N in a natural way. Then the action of G on N is also Hamiltonian, with momentum map the composition of the inclusion map with M's momentum map.

1.4 | Symplectic quotient

Suppose that the action of a compact Lie group G on the symplectic manifold (M, ω) is Hamiltonian, as defined above, with momentum map $\mu: M \to \mathfrak{g}^*$. From the Hamiltonian condition, it follows that the zero-level set of the momentum map

$$M_0 \equiv \mu^{-1}(0) \tag{1.7}$$

is invariant under G.

Assume now that 0 is regular value of μ and that G acts freely and properly² on M_0 . Thus M_0 and its quotient M_0/G are both manifolds. The quotient inherits a symplectic form from M. That is, there is a unique symplectic form on the quotient whose pullback to M_0 equals the restriction of ω to M_0 . Thus the quotient is a symplectic manifold.

Definition 1.8. The symplectic manifold M_0/G is called *symplectic quotient* (also called *Marsden-Weinstein quotient* or *symplectic reduction*) of M by G and is denoted M//G.

Its dimension equals the dimension of M minus twice the dimension of G:

$$\dim(M//G) = \dim M - 2\dim G. \tag{1.8}$$

Note that the construction carries over if one replaces the zero-level set M_0 by the preimage $\mu^{-1}(\xi)$ under μ of some non-zero invariant element ξ of \mathfrak{g}^* (which corresponds to the residual freedom in the definition of the momentum map), or even by the premimage of the whole orbit of an element of \mathfrak{g}^* under G.

Example 1.3. Let us consider the group $G = \mathbb{R}$ acting on $(\mathbb{R}^4, dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + dx^3 \wedge dx^4)$ as

$$(x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4) \mapsto (x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4 + t).$$
 (1.9)

where t denotes the coordinate on G. I.e., G is the group of translations in the x^4 -coordinate, which are clearly symplectomorphisms. The most general element of \mathfrak{g} is $\lambda \partial_t$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. On can see that

$$\rho(\lambda \partial_t) = \partial_{x^4}. \tag{1.10}$$

It follows that

$$\iota_{\rho(\lambda\partial_t)} = -\lambda \mathrm{d}x^2. \tag{1.11}$$

We know want to find a momentum map $\mu: \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ such that

$$d\langle \mu, \lambda \partial_t \rangle = -\lambda dx^2 \tag{1.12}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \qquad \langle \mu, \lambda \partial_t \rangle = -\lambda x^2 + C \tag{1.13}$$

where $C \in \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary constant, this gives

$$\mu = (C - x^2)\mathrm{d}t. \tag{1.14}$$

The zero-level set of μ is therefore $M_0 = \{(x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4) \in M | x^2 = C\}$, which can be identified with \mathbb{R}^3 . 0 is clearly a regular value of μ since $\mu_* = -\mathrm{d}x^2$ which does not vanish on M_0 , or anywhere as a matter of fact. It is also clear that G acts freely on M_0 , so we can quotient M_0 by G, i.e. project M_0 through the x^4 direction. The resulting space is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 and we have the projection

$$\pi: \left(\begin{array}{ccc} M_0 \cong \mathbb{R}^3 & \longrightarrow & M_0/G \cong \mathbb{R}^2 \\ (x^1, x^2, C, x^4) & \longmapsto & (x^1, x^2) \end{array}\right). \tag{1.15}$$

The only symplectic structure on M_0/G whose pullback through π is $\omega|_{M_0}{}^3$ is $\omega' = \mathrm{d} x^1 \wedge \mathrm{d} x^2$. Finally, we found that

$$(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^2 + \mathrm{d}x^3 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^4) / / \mathbb{R} = (\mathbb{R}^2, \mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^2). \tag{1.16}$$

 $^{^2\}mathrm{Note}$ that any continuous action of a Lie group on a smooth manifold is proper.

³Note that this 2-form is never a sympletic form because it is always degenerate.

2 | Riemannian quotients

Before moving to Kähler geometry which combines complex geometry, riemannian geometry and symplectic geometry, let us just say a few words the riemannian quotient. We start with a riemannian manifold (M,g) and a Lie group G which acts on M. We can call this action isometric if it acts through isometries, in which case G is a subgroup of the isometry group of (M,g). Up until now, the situation is completely analog to the symplectic case. For any $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, one can again define a vector field $\rho(\xi)$ in the same way. The are the infinitesimal generators of the isometry generated by ξ , i.e. $\rho(\xi)$ is a Killing vector

If G acts freely on M, one can construct a canonical metric g' on the quotient manifold M/G, by requiring that the projection

$$\pi = M \to M/G \tag{2.1}$$

is a riemannian submersion. The metric g' on the quotient in obtained as follows: if X', Y' are vector fields on M/G, they can be horizontally lifted to X, Y, i.e. choosing two vector fields X, Y orthogonal to the Killing vectors and projecting to X', Y'. We then define g'(X', Y') = g(X, Y). This ensure that the projection from M_0 to M/G is a riemannian submersion.

Note that the pullback of the metric g' to M_0 is not the restriction of g to M_0 , sinced this pulled-backsymmetric form is degenerate along the action of the group.

3 | Kähler manifolds

3.1 | Complex structure

Definition 3.1. A linear complex structure on a vector space V is linear map $J: V \to V$ such that $J^2 = -\mathrm{id}_V$ (such that its eigenvalues are $\pm i$).

Definition 3.2. An almost complex structure J on a smooth manifold M is a linear complex structure J_p on each tangent space T_pM which varies smoothly. In other words, it is a map $J:TM\to TM$ such that $J^2=-\mathrm{id}_{TM}$. (M,J) is then called an almost complex manifold.

It is important to notice that imposing the existence of a complex structure strongly constrains the manifold. If M admits an almost complex structure, it must be even-dimensional and orientable.

One can show that any even-dimensional vector space admits a linear complex structure. Therefore, an even dimensional manifold always admits a (1,1)-rank tensor pointwise (which is just a linear transformation on each tangent space) such that $J_p^2=-1$ at each point p. Only when this local tensor can be patched together to be defined globally does the pointwise linear complex structure yield an almost complex structure, which is then uniquely determined.

Example 3.1. If $M = \mathbb{R}^2$, then $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right\}$ forms a basis of TM. Let us define an almost complex structure J and \mathbb{R}^2 by

$$J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \qquad J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x},$$
 (3.1)

therefore J here rotates \mathbb{R}^2 anticlockwise through $\pi/2$ around the origin. Its eigenvectors are

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right), \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)$$
(3.2)

with eigenvalues $\pm i$ respectively. Notice the the eigenvectors are complex linear combinations of our basis.

The reason these structures are said to be "almost" complex and not "fully" complex is the following: they are not necessarily related to complex manifolds⁴.

⁴ A complex manifold is a manifold with an atlas of charts to the open unit disc in \mathbb{C}^n such that the transition maps are holomorphic.

Let us be ore precise. It is clear that every complex manifold is in particular an almost complex manifold. Indeed, given some holomorphic coordinates $z^{\mu} = x^{\mu} + iy^{\mu}$, we can always define an almost complex structure J by

$$J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\mu}}, \qquad J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\mu}}\right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} \tag{3.3}$$

or, equivalently,

$$J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\mu}}\right) = i\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\mu}}, \qquad J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}^{\mu}}\right) = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}^{\mu}}. \tag{3.4}$$

The canonical almost complex structure J defined by (3.3) for a complex manifold is said to be *induced* by the complex structure.

Definition 3.3. Coordinates such that the almost complex structure of a manifold takes the canonical form (3.3) in an entire neighborhood of a point $p \in M$ are called *local holomorphic coordinates* for J at p.

The converse question however, whether the almost complex structure implies the existence of a complex structure is much less trivial and not true in general. For any almost complex manifold (M, J), one can always construct coordinates around a point $p \in M$ such that the almost complex structure J takes the canonical form at the point p. However, it is in general not possible to find coordinates such takes this form in an entire neighborhood of p, i.e. local holomorphic coordinates. This is only possible for a subset of almost complex structures.

Definition 3.4. An almost complex structure J is said to be *integrable* if M can be covered by local holomorphic coordinates for J, i.e. if there is complex structure on M that induces J.

Proposition 3.1. If an almost complex manifold (M, J) is integrable, then the patches of local holomorphic coordinates can be patch together to form an atlas for M, therefore giving it a complex structure. Moreover, this complex structure induces J.

In conclusion, integrable almost complex structures and complex structures are equivalent.

Finally, let us quickly discuss some consequences of having an complex structure. Given a complex manifold M of complex dimension n, its tangent bundle as a smooth vector bundle is a real rank 2n vector bundle TM on M. More precisely, it is the complexification $TM \otimes \mathbb{C} \to M$ of the real tangent bundle $TM \to M$. The fibres of $TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$ are the vector spaces $T_pM \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Recall that

$$T_n M \otimes \mathbb{C}$$
 on $\mathbb{R} \cong T_n M$ on \mathbb{C} .

To summarize, the complexified tangent bundle in the vector bundle whose fibres are the complexified vector spaces. We use the notation $iX_p \equiv X_p \otimes i$ for any $X_p \in T_pM^5$.

We have seen that having a complex structure is equivalent to having a integrable almost complex structure J. At each point $p \in M$, J can be used to split the tangent space into its holomorphic and antiholomorphic parts:

$$T_p^{1,0}M = \left\{ X_p \in T_p M | X_p = \frac{1}{2} (1 - iJ) X_p \right\},$$

$$T_p^{0,1}M = \left\{ X_p \in T_p M | X_p = \frac{1}{2} (1 + iJ) X_p \right\}.$$

This splitting of the tangent spaces induces a splitting of the whole tangent bundle, which is exactly the one we discussed above.

The endomorphism J that was initially defined on the tangent bundle may be extended complex-linearly to an endomorphism $J: TM \otimes \mathbb{C} \to TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$ on the complexified tangent bundle by defining J(X+iY) =

⁵Note that it is really important to have a tensor product (instead of a Cartesian product for example), in order to have properties like fX + igX = (f + ig)X.

3.2 Kähler structure 7

J(X)+iJ(Y) for vectors X,Y in TM. Since $J^2=-\mathrm{id}$, J has eigenvalues i,-i on the complexified tangent bundle, and $TM\otimes\mathbb{C}$ therefore splits as a direct sum

$$TM \otimes \mathbb{C} = T^{1,0}M \oplus T^{0,1}M. \tag{3.5}$$

In a local holomorphic chart

$$\phi = (z^1, \dots, z^n) : U \to \mathbb{C}^n \tag{3.6}$$

of M, one has distinguished real coordinates $(x^1, \ldots, x^n, y^1, \ldots, y^n)$ defined by $z^j = x^j + iy^j$ for each $j = 1, \ldots, n$. These give distinguished complex-valued one-forms $dz^j = dx^j + idy^j$, $d\overline{z}^j = dx^j - idy^j$ on U. Dual to these complex-valued one-forms are the complex-valued vector fields (that is, sections of the complexified tangent bundle),

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{j}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{j}} \right), \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}^{j}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{j}} \right). \tag{3.7}$$

Taken together, these vector fields form a frame for $TM \otimes \mathbb{C}|_U$, the restriction of the complexified tangent bundle to U. As such, these vector fields also split the complexified tangent bundle into two subbundles

$$T^{1,0}M|_U \equiv \operatorname{span}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}\right\}, \qquad T^{0,1}M|_U \equiv \operatorname{span}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}^j}\right\}.$$
 (3.8)

Under a holomorphic change of coordinates, these two subbundles of $TM \otimes \mathbb{C}|_U$ are preserved, and so by covering M by holomorphic charts one obtains a splitting of the complexified tangent bundle. This is precisely the splitting into the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic tangent bundles previously described. From this perspective, the name holomorphic tangent bundle becomes transparent because the transition functions for the holomorphic tangent bundle are also holomorphic. Thus the holomorphic tangent bundle is a genuine holomorphic vector bundle.

To summarize, if our almost complex structure is integrable, then

$$TM \otimes \mathbb{C} = T^{1,0}M \oplus T^{0,1}M \tag{3.9}$$

Finally, the splitting of the tangent bundle induces a splitting of the cotangent bundle and therefore also of all the tensor spaces, which are constructed from TM and T^*M . In particular, the space of complex k-forms $\Omega^k(M,\mathbb{C}) = \bigwedge^k V \otimes \mathbb{C}$ can be decomposed as

$$\Omega^k(M,\mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} \Omega^{(p,q)}(M)$$

where

$$\Omega^{(p,q)}(M) = \bigwedge^p T^{*1,0} M \bigwedge^q T^{*0,1} M$$

in the space of (p,q)-forms on M. This is the *Hodge decomposition*. In terms of components, this means that any $\omega \in \Omega^{(p,q)}(M)$ can be written as

$$\omega = \omega_{a_1 \dots a_p \overline{b}_1 \dots \overline{b}_q}(z, \overline{z}) \, dz^{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz^{a_p} \wedge d\overline{z}^{\overline{b}_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\overline{z}^{\overline{b}_q}$$
(3.10)

where the functions $\omega_{a_1...a_p\bar{b}_1...\bar{b}_q}(z,\overline{z})$ have p holomorphic and q antiholomorphic indices. The usual de Rham cohomology also undergoes this splitting, giving rise to the Dolbeault cohomology.

3.2 Kähler structure

Definition 3.5. An almost complex structure J is said to be *compatible* with the symplectic ω if

$$\omega(JX, JY) = \omega(X, Y)$$

for all $X, Y \in TM$.

3.2 Kähler structure 8

Compatible almost complex structure are very important because it means that the bilinear form g on the tangent space of M defined as

$$g(X_p, Y_p) = \omega(X_p, JY_p) \tag{3.11}$$

is symmetric and positive-definite. In other words, g is a riemannian metric. Given a symplectic structure and a compatible almost complex structure therefore naturally provides us with a riemannian structure too. The component of the metric are, logically, fully determined by the ones of the symplectic form:

$$g_{a\bar{b}} = \partial_a \partial_{\bar{b}} K \tag{3.12}$$

in terms of local holomorphic coordinates (z^a, \overline{z}^a) and were K defines the symplectic form (see (3.23)). For such a metric, one can show that the only non-vanishing coefficient of the Levi-Civita connection are

$$\Gamma_{bc}^{a} = g^{a\overline{d}}\partial_{b}g_{c\overline{d}},\tag{3.13}$$

$$\Gamma^{\overline{a}}_{\overline{b}\overline{c}} = g^{\overline{a}d} \partial_{\overline{b}} g_{d\overline{c}}. \tag{3.14}$$

The condition $\omega(JX, JY) = \omega(X, Y)$ also says that under the decomposition

$$\Omega^2(M) = \Omega^{2,0} \oplus \Omega^{1,1} \oplus \Omega^{0,2} \tag{3.15}$$

of 2-forms into their holomorphic and antiholomorphic parts, the symplectic form ω actually lies in $\Omega^{1,1}$.

Definition 3.6. A Kähler manifold is a symplectic manifold equipped with a compatible, integrable almost complex structure.

A Kähler manifold is therefore the union of three structures: a riemannian structure, a symplectic structure and a complex structure. It is the fact the we are provided with a compatible complex structure that allows us to construct a metric from the symplectic form. We can therefore use the three points of view at will.

A very important fact is that the data the three structure J, ω and g form a triangle and that the data of any two vertex uniquely fixes the last one:

Proposition 3.2. Given any two out of the three structures (complex structure J, symplectic form ω , metric g), it uniquely fixes the last one by imposing compatibility.

For real manifolds, the Poincaré lemma states that any closed form is locally exact. On a complex manifold, we have the decomposition

$$d = \partial + \overline{\partial} \tag{3.16}$$

into the exterior derivative in the holomorphic and antiholomorphic directions.

Example 3.2. If $M = \mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$d = dx \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + dy \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = dz \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + d\overline{z} \frac{\partial}{\partial \overline{z}} = \partial + \overline{\partial}$$
 (3.17)

where dz = dx + idy and $d\overline{z} = dx + idy$.

In particular, we have

$$0 = d^{2} = \partial^{2} + (\partial \overline{\partial} + \overline{\partial} \partial) + \overline{\partial}^{2}$$
(3.18)

but these operators lies in different space:

$$\partial^2: \Omega^{p,q} \to \Omega^{p+2,q},\tag{3.19}$$

$$\partial \overline{\partial} + \overline{\partial} \partial : \Omega^{p,q} \to \Omega^{p+1,q+1},$$
 (3.20)

$$\overline{\partial}^2: \Omega^{p,q} \to \Omega^{p,q+2}$$
 (3.21)

so they must be zero separately:

$$\partial^2 = 0, \qquad \partial \overline{\partial} + \overline{\partial} \partial = 0, \qquad \overline{\partial}^2 = 0.$$
 (3.22)

In particular, for a closed form ω , one must have $\partial \omega = 0$ and $\overline{\partial} \omega = 0$ separately. Combined with the Poincaré lemma, on finds that the symplectic form can always be locally written as

$$\omega = i\partial \overline{\partial} K \tag{3.23}$$

for some function K, on the Kähler manifold. Notice that K is defined up to the transformations

$$K(z,\overline{z}) \mapsto K(z,\overline{z}) + f(z) + f(\overline{z})$$
 (3.24)

where f is holomorphic.

Definition 3.7. The function K locally defining the symplectic form on a Kähler manifold as in (3.23) is called the $K\ddot{a}hler\ potential$.

A symplectic structure on a complex manifold implies that the symplectic form necessarily comes from a Kähler potential. The latter is defined up to translation by holomorphic or antiholomorphic functions.

Example 3.3. Let us treat \mathbb{C}^n as a Kähler manifold. The Kähler potential associated to the flat metric

$$g = \sum_{a} ((\mathrm{d}x)^2 + (\mathrm{d}y)^2) = \sum_{a} \delta_{a\overline{a}} \mathrm{d}z^a \mathrm{d}\overline{z}^{\overline{a}}$$
(3.25)

on \mathbb{R}^{2n} is

$$K(z,\overline{z}) = \sum_{a} |z^{a}|^{2} \tag{3.26}$$

and the symplectic form is

$$\omega = \sum_{i} dx^{i} \wedge dy^{i} = \sum_{a} \delta_{a\overline{a}} dz^{a} \wedge d\overline{z}^{\overline{a}}.$$
 (3.27)

Example 3.4. We can also treat \mathbb{CP}^n as a Kähler manifold with the Kähler potential

$$K(z,\overline{z}) = \ln\left(1 + \sum_{a=1}^{n} |z^a|^2\right)$$
(3.28)

on the coordinate patch $\mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$ (it covers the complement of an hyperplane in \mathbb{CP}^n). The resulting metric is called the *Fubini-Study metric*.

3.3 Kähler quotients

4 | Hyperkähler manifolds

4.1 Quaternionic structure

4.2 Hyperhähler structure

A hyperkähler manifold is a manifold (necessarily of dimension a multiple of four) which admits an action on the tangent space of the i, j and k (quaternions) in a manner which is compatible with the metric.

Definition 4.1. A hyperkähler manifold is a riemannian manifold (M, g) provided with three orthogonal automorphisms $I, J, K : TM \to TM$ of the tangent bundle that are covariant constant with respect to the Levi-Civita connection, i.e.

$$\nabla I = \nabla J = \nabla K = 0,$$

and which satisfy the quaternion identities

$$I^2 = J^2 = K^2 = IJK = -1.$$

These condition imply in particular

- each these tangent bundle automorphisms define an integrable complex structure on M;
- the metric g is Kähler with respect to all three;
- the three Kähler forms ω_1, ω_2 and ω_3 are therefore closed and give three symplectic structures on M.

We can also have the following, equivalent, definition in terms of G-structure:

Definition 4.2. The symplectic group symplectic group $\operatorname{Sp}(2n, F)$ is a classical group defined as the set of linear transformations that of a 2n-dimensional symplectic vector space V over the field F which preserve the non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form (symplectic point at a point). If the latter is denoted Ω , then

$$\operatorname{Sp}(2n, F) \equiv \{ M \in M_{2n \times 2n}(F) | m^T \Omega M = \Omega \}.$$

We use the notation $\mathrm{Sp}(n) \equiv \mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$.

In the case where

$$\Omega = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1}_n \\ \mathbb{1}_n & 0 \end{bmatrix},\tag{4.1}$$

we have Sp(2n, F) = SL(2, F).

Definition 4.3 (alternative definition). A hyperkähler manifold is a quaternionic manifold with a torsion-free Sp(n)-structure.

A hyperkähler manifold is simultaneously a hypercomplex manifold and a quaternionic Kähler manifold. From this definition, every hyperkähler manifold M has a 2-sphere of complex structures (i.e. integrable almost complex structures) with respect to which the metric is Kähler. In particular, it is a hypercomplex manifold, meaning that there are three distinct complex structures, I, J, and K, which satisfy the quaternion relations

$$I^2 = J^2 = K^2 = IJK = -1. (4.2)$$

Thus closing the loop with our first definition.

Remark 4.1. Recall that a riemannian manifold provided withjust one such automorphism of the tangent bundle is a Kähler manifold. The name "hyperkähler" comes from the fact that the metric is Kählerian for several complex strctures. There is, however, an essential difference bewteen Kähler and hyperkähler manifolds. A Kähler matric on a given complex manifold can be modofied to another Kähler metric simply by adding a hermician form $\partial \overline{\partial} f$ to the initial metric, for a sufficiently small smooth function f. Thus the space of Kähler metrics is infinite-dimensional. It is morevoer easy to find examples of Kähler manifolds. For example, any complex submanifold of \mathbb{CP}^n inherits a Kähler metric. By contrast, hyperkähler metrics are much more rigid. On a compact manifold, if one such emtric exists, then up to isometry there is only a finite-dimensional space of them. Nor is it easy to find examples. Certainly we wil never find then as queternionic submanifolds of the quaternione projective space \mathbb{HP}^n .

The group $\operatorname{Sp}(n)$ is also an intersection of $\operatorname{U}(2n)$ and $\operatorname{Sp}(2n\mathbb{C})$, the transformations of \mathbb{C}^{2n} which preserve a non-degenrate skew-symmetric form. Thus a hyperkähler manifold is naturally a complex manifold with a holomorphic sympectic form. One can see this explicitly by taking three Kähler two-forms

$$\omega_1(X,Y) = g(IX,Y) \tag{4.3}$$

$$\omega_2(X,Y) = g(JX,Y) \tag{4.4}$$

$$\omega_3(X,Y) = g(KX,Y) \tag{4.5}$$

(4.6)

defined for the complex stricture I, J and K. With repsect to the complex structure I, the complex form

$$\omega_c \equiv \omega_2 + i\omega_3 \tag{4.7}$$

is non-degenerate and covaraint constant, hence closed and holomorphic.

This point of view provides guidance in the search of exmaples of hyperkähler manifodls, and elucidates the sort of differential equation which needs to be solved. In the first place the holomorphic volume form

 ω_c^n must give a covariant constant tryialization of the canonical line bundle. The curvature of this bundl for any Kähler matric is the Ricci form and so hyperkähler metric has in particular vanishing tensor.

Proposition 4.1. All hyperkähler manifolds are Ricci-flat.

In the lowest dimension, i.e. four dimensions, this means that such metrics satisfy the riemannian version of the Einstein vacuum equations. We have the even more useful result:

Proposition 4.2. In four dimensions, a simply connected riemannain manifold admit a hyperkähler metric if the riemannian curvature tensor is either self-dual or anti-self-dual.

Consequently, a complete hyperkähler 4-manifold is a self-dual, euclidean, solution to Einstein's equations. This is very usefull to construct ALE spaces, see section ??.

Given a compact Kähler manifold with holomorphically trivial canonical line bundle, the Calabi-Yau theorem provides the existence of a Kähler matric with vanishing Ricci tensor. Futhermore, a mush older theorem (Bochner) shows that any holomorphic form on a compact Kähler manifold with zero Ricci tensor is covariant constant. Therefore, for every copact Kähler manifold with a holomorphic symplectic form, an application of these two theorems yields a hyperkähler metric on the same manifold. This satisfactiry state of affairs can be used the prove the existence of hyperkähleron many exmaples of complex manifolds. The must fundamental is the K3 surface – the only non-trivial example in four realdimensions. In higher dimensions, the Hilbert scheme of zero cycles on a K3-surface or a 2-dimensional complex torus yields anatural class of holomorphic symplectic manifolds and hence hyperkähler metrics.

Theorem 4.1 (Kurnosov, Soldatenkov, Verbitsky). The cohomology of any compact hyperkähler manifold embeds into the cohomology of a torus, in a way that preserves the Hodge structure.

Hyperkähler manifolds. A hyperkähler manifold is a manifold which admits an action on the tangent space of the i, j and k in a manner which is compatible with the metric. All hyperkähler manifolds and are Ricci-flat so in four dimensions (lowest dimension for a hyperkähler manifold), this means that the metric satisfies the euclidean version of the Einstein vacuum equations. Morevover, in four dimensions, a simply connected riemannain manifold admit a hyperkähler metric if the riemannian curvature tensor is either self-dual or anti-self-dual.

We shall seek something more than existence. We would like to construct solutions in a more explicit manner, in order to gain better understanding of hyperkähler manifolds and to experience the richness of their geometry. There are two main routes to constructing hyperkähler metrics:

- 1. **Twistor theory.** This approach is based on R. Penrose's original work in relativity. It provides an encoding of the date for such a metric in terms of holomorphic geometry. Deriving global properties of the metric such as completeness is almost impossible. On the other hand, the quotient construction yields this sort of property quite easily, even if it is not as general as the twistor method.
- 2. **Hyperkähler quotients.** This construction arose also out of questions of mathematical physics, in this case supersymmetry. In practical terms there are two ways of using it. The first is a finite-dimensional construction, whereby determining the actual metric involves solving algebraic equations. The second involves the use of the method in infinite dimensions, even though the quotient itself may be finite-dimensional. Here, one needs to solve differential equations to find the metric. They are, however, equations for which in many cases methods of solution are known so that we have in principle more information than an existence theorem.

We shall illustrate these constructions by a representative collection of examples which are chosen according to our guiding principle of seeking complex symplectic manifolds. These hyperkähler manifolds are all a priori complex manifolds with holomorphic symplectic forms:

- resolution of rational surface singularities;
- coadjoint orbits of complex Lie groups;
- spaces of representations of a surface group in a complex Lie group;

• space of based loops in complex Lie group.

The construction of hyperkahler metrics on these spaces is contained in the work of P. B. Kronheimer, S. K. Donaldson, and others. What is perhaps remarkable is that these diverse spaces nearly all inherit a hyperkahler metric through special cases of solutions to the anti-self-dual Yang-Mills equations in \mathbb{R}^4 . Those physically motivated equations themselves are ultimately based on the identification of \mathbb{R}^4 with the quaternions. Hamilton's ghost may yet rest content.

4.3 | Hyperkähler quotients

We saw the definition of the quotient manifold (section ??), the symplectic quotient (section 1.4) and the Kähler quotient (section ??), we now see the hyperkähler quotient.

The quotient construction emphasizes not the complex structures but instead the corresponding Kähler forms ω_1, ω_2 and ω_3 . In this case, by contraction with the inverse forms on cotangent vectors, we can recover I, J and K and the metric itself. Put another way, $\operatorname{Sp}(n)$ is the stabilizer of $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ whereas $\operatorname{GL}(n, H)$ is the stabilizer of I, J, K.

A hyperkahler manifold can be characterized in a very straightforward manner using these forms:

Theorem 4.2. Let M^{4n} be a manifold with 2-forms $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ whose stabiliser in $GL(4, \mathbb{R})$ at each point $p \in M$ is conjugate to Sp(n). Then the forms define a hyperkähler structure if and only if they are closed.

This theorem places the theory of hyperkahler manifolds firmly within the context of symplectic geometry.

Hyperkähler quotient is modelled on the symplectic quotient (see section 1.4). Recall that if (M, ω) is a symplectic manifold with symplectic action of Lie group G, then under mild assumptions one can define an momentum map (see section ??)

$$\mu: M \to \mathfrak{g}^* \tag{4.8}$$

The symplectic quotient construction consists of a new symplectic manifold $M//G \equiv \mu^{-1}(0)/G$. The same kind of manipulation can be done for hyperkähler manifolds.

Suppose that M is a hyperkähler manifolds, with Lie group G acting so as to preserve the three Kähler forms ω_1, ω_2 and ω_3 . We obtain three moment maps μ_1, μ_2 and μ_3 , or equivalently a vector-valued moment map

$$\mu: M \to \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{4.9}$$

called the hyperkähler moment map.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\mathfrak{z} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^*$ be the set of G-invariant elements of \mathfrak{g}^* . If $\zeta \in \mathfrak{z} \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$ is a regular value for the hyperkähler moment map μ , then $\mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G$ is a hyperkähler manifold.

Note that

$$\dim(\mu^{-1}(\zeta)/G) = \dim M - 4\dim G. \tag{4.10}$$

- 5 | Kähler geometry and $\mathcal{N} = 1$ field theories
- 6 | Hyperkähler geometry and $\mathcal{N}=2$ field theories