Group 1 HW 3 - Q2

Siow Meng Low 17 December 2016

Forecasting Model

In the Bass Model article, the forecasting model is given as below:

$$F_t = (p + q[C_{t-1}/m])(m - C_{t-1})$$

$$F_t = pm + (q - p)C_{t-1} - \frac{q}{m}C_{t-1}^2$$

The paraemters used in the above equation is described below:

- F_t : Forecasted number of new adopters during time period t, denoted as N(t) in the class lecture notes
- C_{t-1} : Cumulative number of people who have adopted the product up to time t-1
- p: Coefficient of innovation (a.k.a. Rate of spontatneous adoption in the class lecture notes)
- q: Coefficient of imitation (a.k.a. Rate of imitation in the class lecture notes)
- m: Market size, the number of people estimated to eventually adopt the new product

From the above equation, we can see that F_t is a function of C_{t-1} and C_{t-1}^2 . In the article, the authors proposed to minimise the sum of squared forecast errors $\sum_{t=1}^{N} E_t^2$ where:

- S_t : the actual number of new adopters in period t, denoted as S(t) in the class lecture notes
- $E_t = F_t S_t$, E_t is the forecast error for period t

This is the same technique used in the Ordinary Least Square Estimation of Linear Regression, where we take F_t (the forecasted number of new adopters in period t) to be \hat{S}_t (the estimated number of adopters in period t). The equation for linear regression can be written as:

$$F_{t} = \hat{p}\hat{m} + (\hat{q} - \hat{p})C_{t-1} - \frac{\hat{q}}{\hat{m}}C_{t-1}^{2}$$
$$\hat{S}_{t} = \hat{a} + \hat{b}C_{t-1} + \hat{c}C_{t-1}^{2}$$

where:

$$\hat{S}_t = F_t$$

$$\hat{a} = \hat{p}\hat{m}$$

$$\hat{b} = \hat{q} - \hat{p}$$

$$\hat{c} = -\frac{\hat{q}}{\hat{m}}$$

The system of equations involving \hat{p} , \hat{q} , and \hat{m} can be rewritten as follows:

$$\hat{m} = \frac{-\hat{b} \pm \sqrt{\hat{b}^2 - 4\hat{a}\hat{c}}}{2\hat{c}}$$

$$\hat{p} = \frac{\hat{a}}{\hat{m}}$$

$$\hat{q} = -\hat{c}\hat{m}$$

Note that for \hat{m} , we are only interested in its non-negative real root, since the market size should always be greater than or equal to zero.

In the following section, we shall perform least square regression (dependent variable is S_t and independent variables are C_{t-1} and C_{t-1}^2) using R, in order to obtain the rolling horizon estimates of p, q, m, and F_t .

Rolling Horizon Estimate using Ordinary Least Square Regression

The table that contains the box office revenue data of "The Doctor" is included in the CSV file, *The Doctor-Data.csv*. First, we shall read the revenue data from the CSV and calculate C_{t-1} for each period.

Table 1: Revenues and Cumulative Revenues in \$ Millions for THE DOCTOR

| Week | St | Ct | Ctlag |
|------|------|-------|-------|
| 1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0 |
| 2 | 3 | 3.1 | 0.1 |
| 3 | 5.2 | 8.3 | 3.1 |
| 4 | 7 | 15.3 | 8.3 |
| 5 | 5.25 | 20.55 | 15.3 |
| 6 | 4.9 | 25.45 | 20.55 |
| 7 | 3 | 28.45 | 25.45 |
| 8 | 2.4 | 30.85 | 28.45 |
| 9 | 1.9 | 32.75 | 30.85 |
| 10 | 1.3 | 34.05 | 32.75 |
| 11 | 0.8 | 34.85 | 34.05 |
| 12 | 0.6 | 35.45 | 34.85 |

Next, starting at week 5 (t = 5), we shall use the observed cumulative revenues, C_{t-1} to obtain the rolloing-horizon estimates of the parameters.

In the following section, OLS regressions are performed (for t = 5, 6, ..., 12) and the eight sets of estimated parameters are tabulated below:

```
finalTable = data.frame()
# Start forecasting at t = 5
```

```
tValues <- doctorData$Week[5 : nrow(doctorData)]
for (tValue in tValues) {
    modelDoctor <- lm(St ~ I(Ctlag) + I(Ctlag^2), data = doctorData[1 : (tValue - 1), ])</pre>
    a <- modelDoctor$coefficients[1]</pre>
    b <- modelDoctor$coefficients[2]</pre>
    c <- modelDoctor$coefficients[3]</pre>
    m \leftarrow c((-b + sqrt(b^2 - 4 * a * c)) / (2 * c), (-b - sqrt(b^2 - 4 * a * c)) / (2 * c))
    m <- m[!is.nan(m) & m >= 0] # Only get the positive root
    p <- a / m
    q \leftarrow -c * m
    Ft <- predict(modelDoctor, newdata = data.frame(Ctlag = doctorData$Ctlag[tValue]))</pre>
    finalTable <- rbind(finalTable, data.frame(week = tValue, p = p, q = q, m = m,</pre>
                                                  Ft = Ft, St = doctorData$St[tValue],
                                                  row.names = NULL))
}
panderOptions('round', 3)
pander(finalTable, caption = "Rolling Horizon Estimates of p, q, m, and Ft")
```

Table 2: Rolling Horizon Estimates of p, q, m, and Ft

| week | p | q | m | Ft | St |
|------|-------|-------|-------|--------|------|
| 5 | 0.094 | 1.668 | 15.3 | -0.008 | 5.25 |
| 6 | 0.079 | 1.309 | 20.12 | -0.611 | 4.9 |
| 7 | 0.074 | 0.928 | 25.82 | 0.361 | 3 |
| 8 | 0.072 | 0.774 | 28.76 | 0.262 | 2.4 |
| 9 | 0.072 | 0.674 | 30.84 | -0.003 | 1.9 |
| 10 | 0.072 | 0.598 | 32.49 | -0.175 | 1.3 |
| 11 | 0.073 | 0.547 | 33.62 | -0.272 | 0.8 |
| 12 | 0.073 | 0.516 | 34.31 | -0.321 | 0.6 |

From the above estimates, we can see that the estimated F_t is quite different from the actual S_t . The rolloing-horizon approach may not be suitable in this case.