

Machine Learning

Mohamad Ghassany

2018-11-02

Contents

Welcome	7
Course Overview	7
Introduction	9
What is Machine Learning ?	9
Supervised Learning	9
Unsupervised Learning	15
 I Supervised Learning	 19
 Regression	 23
1 Linear Regression	23
1.1 Notation	23
1.2 Model Representation	24
1.3 Why Estimate f ?	26
Prediction	26
Inference	27
1.4 Simple Linear Regression Model	27
1.5 Estimating the Coefficients	27
1.6 Assessing the Accuracy of the Coefficient Estimates	28
Hypothesis testing	29
1.7 ANOVA and model fit	30
1.7.1 ANOVA	30
1.7.2 The R^2 Statistic	32
 PW 1	 35
1.8 Some R basics	35
1.8.1 Basic Commands	35
1.8.2 Vectors	36
1.8.3 Matrices, data frames and lists	36
1.8.4 Graphics	39
1.8.5 Distributions	40
1.8.6 Working directory	42
1.8.7 Loading Data	42
1.9 Regression	43
1.9.1 The <code>lm</code> function	43
1.9.2 Predicting House Value: Boston dataset	46
 2 Multiple Linear Regression	 51

2.1	The Model	51
2.2	Estimating the Regression Coefficients	52
2.3	Some important questions	53
2.3.1	Other Considerations in Regression Model	56
2.4	How to select the best performing model	56
	Use the Adjusted R_{adj}^2 for univariate models	56
	Have a look at the residuals or error terms	57
	Histogram of residuals	59
PW 2		61
	Multiple Linear Regression	61
	Reporting	62
Classification		67
3 Logistic Regression		67
3.1	Introduction	67
3.2	Logistic Regression	67
3.2.1	The Logistic Model	67
3.2.2	Estimating the Regression Coefficients	69
3.2.3	Prediction	69
3.3	Multiple Logistic Regression	70
3.4	Example	71
3.4.1	Case study: <i>The Challenger disaster</i>	71
PW 3		79
	Social Networks Ads	79
4 Discriminant Analysis		85
4.1	Introduction	85
4.2	Bayes' Theorem	85
4.3	LDA for $p = 1$	86
4.4	Estimating the parameters	88
4.5	LDA for $p > 1$	89
4.6	Making predictions	90
4.7	Other forms of Discriminant Analysis	90
4.7.1	Quadratic Discriminant Analysis (QDA)	91
4.7.2	Naive Bayes	91
4.8	LDA vs Logistic Regression	91
PW 4		93
	Logistic Regression	93
	Decision Boundary of Logistic Regression	95
	Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA)	96
	Quadratic Discriminant Analysis (QDA)	98
	Comparison	98
PW 5		99
II Dimensionality Reduction		101
5 Principal Components Analysis		103
5.1	Introduction	103

5.2	Principal Components	103
	Notations and Procedure	103
	First Principal Component (PC_1): Y_1	105
	Second Principal Component (PC_2): Y_2	105
	i^{th} Principal Component (PC_i): Y_i	106
5.3	How do we find the coefficients?	106
	Why It May Be Possible to Reduce Dimensions	107
	Procedure	108
5.4	Standardization of the features	108
5.5	Projection of the data	109
	Scores	109
	Visualization	109
	Extra	109
5.6	Case study	110
	Employment in European countries in the late 70s	110
Appendix		121
A Introduction to RStudio		123
B Review on hypothesis testing		125
C Use of qualitative predictors		127
D Model Selection		129
	Linear Model Selection and Best Subset Selection	129
	Forward Stepwise Selection	129
	Backward Stepwise Selection	129
	Estimating Test Error Using Mallows's C_p , AIC, BIC, Adjusted R-squared	129
	Estimating Test Error Using Cross-Validation	129
	Examples	129
	Best Subset Selection	129
	Forward Stepwise Selection and Model Selection Using Validation Set	129
	Model Selection Using Cross-Validation	129
E References		131

Welcome

Welcome! In this course you will learn about the state of the art of Machine Learning and also gain practice implementing and deploying machine learning algorithms.

The aim of Machine Learning is to build computer systems that can adapt to their environments and learn from experience. Learning techniques and methods from this field are successfully applied to a variety of learning tasks in a broad range of areas, including, for example, spam recognition, text classification, gene discovery, financial forecasting. The course will give an overview of many concepts, techniques, and algorithms in machine learning, beginning with topics such as linear regression and classification and ending up with topics such as kmeans and Expectation Maximization. The course will give you the basic ideas and intuition behind these methods, as well as a more formal statistical and computational understanding. You will have an opportunity to experiment with machine learning techniques in R and apply them to a selected problem.

Course Overview

Introduction

What is Machine Learning ?

What is Machine Learning?

Two definitions of Machine Learning are offered. Arthur Samuel described it as: “the field of study that gives computers the ability to learn without being explicitly programmed.” This is an older, informal definition.

Tom Mitchell provides a more modern definition: “A computer program is said to learn from experience E with respect to some class of tasks T and performance measure P , if its performance at tasks in T , as measured by P , improves with experience E .”

Machine Learning is also called Statistical Learning.

Example: playing checkers.

E = the experience of playing many games of checkers

T = the task of playing checkers.


P = the probability that the program will win the next game.

In general, any machine learning problem can be assigned to one of two broad classifications:

Supervised learning and Unsupervised learning.


Supervised Learning

Supervised Learning is probably the most common type of machine learning problem. Let's start with an example of what is it. Let's say we want to predict housing prices. We plot a data set and it looks like this.




Here on the horizontal axis, the size of different houses in square feet, and on the vertical axis, the price of different houses in thousands of dollars.

So. Given this data, let's say we own a house that is, say 750 square feet and hoping to sell the house and we want to know how much we can get for the house.




So how can the learning algorithm help?

One thing a learning algorithm might be able to do is put a straight line through the data or to “fit” a straight line to the data and, based on that, it looks like maybe the house can be sold for maybe about \$150,000.



But maybe this isn't the only learning algorithm we can use. There might be a better one. For example, instead of sending a straight line to the data, we might decide that it's better to fit a *quadratic function* or a *second-order polynomial* to this data.



If we do that, and make a prediction here, then it looks like, well, maybe we can sell the house for closer to \$200,000.

This is an example of a supervised learning algorithm.


The term supervised learning refers to the fact that we gave the algorithm a data set in which the “**right answers**” were given.

The example above is also called a regression problem. A regression problem is when we try to predict a **continuous** value output. Namely the price in the example.

Here's another supervised learning example. Let's say we want to look at medical records and try to predict

of a breast cancer as malignant or benign. If someone discovers a breast tumor, a lump in their breast, a malignant tumor is a tumor that is harmful and dangerous and a benign tumor is a tumor that is harmless. Let's see a collected data set and suppose in the data set we have the size of the tumor on the horizontal axis and on the vertical axis we plot one or zero, yes or no, whether or not these are examples of tumors we've seen before are malignant (which is one) or zero if not malignant or benign.


Breast cancer (malignant, benign)



In this data set we have five examples of benign tumors, and five examples of malignant tumors.

Let's say a person who tragically has a breast tumor, and let's say her breast tumor size is known (rose arrow in the following figure).

Breast cancer (malignant, benign)



The machine learning question is, can you estimate what is the probability that a tumor is malignant versus benign? To introduce a bit more terminology this is an example of a **classification** problem.


The term classification refers to the fact that here we're trying to predict a **discrete** value output: zero or one, malignant or benign. And it turns out that in classification problems sometimes you can have more than two values for the two possible values for the output.

In classification problems there is another way to plot this data. Let's use a slightly different set of symbols to plot this data. So if tumor size is going to be the attribute that we are going to use to predict malignancy

or benignness, we can also draw the data like this.




All we did was we took the data set on top and just mapped it down using different symbols. So instead of drawing crosses, we are now going to draw 0's for the benign tumors.




Now, in this example we use only one **feature** or one attribute, mainly, the *tumor size* in order to predict whether the tumor is malignant or benign.

In other machine learning problems we may have more than one feature.


Here's an example. Let's say that instead of just knowing the tumor size, we know both the age of the patients and the tumor size. In that case maybe the data set will look like this.



So, let's say a person who tragically has a tumor. And maybe, their tumor size and age falls around there (rose point):



So given a data set like this, what the learning algorithm might do is throw a straight line through the data to try to separate out the malignant tumors from the benign ones. And with this, hopefully we can decide that the person's tumor falls on this benign side and is therefore more likely to be benign than malignant.



In this example we had **two features**, namely, the age of the patient and the size of the tumor. In other machine learning problems we will often have more features.

Most interesting learning algorithms is a learning algorithm that can deal with, not just two or three or five features, but an **infinite number of features**. So how do you deal with an infinite number of features. How do you even store an infinite number of things on the computer when your computer is gonna run out of memory.


Unsupervised Learning

The second major type of machine learning problem is called Unsupervised Learning.

The difference between Unsupervised Learning and Supervised Learning is that in Supervised Learning we are told explicitly what is the so-called right answers (data are labeled).

In Unsupervised Learning, we're given data that doesn't have any labels or that all has the same label or really no labels. Like in this example:


Unsupervised Learning



So we're given the data set and we're not told what to do with it and we're not told what each data point is. Instead we're just told, here is a data set. Can you find some structure in the data?

Given this data set, an Unsupervised Learning algorithm might decide that the data lives in two different clusters.


Unsupervised Learning



This is called a **clustering** algorithm.

Here are two examples where Unsupervised Learning or clustering is used.

Social network analysis:



So given knowledge about which friends you email the most or given your Facebook friends or your Google+ circles, can we automatically identify which are cohesive groups of friends, also which are groups of people that all know each other?

Market segmentation:



Market segmentation

Many companies have huge databases of customer information. So, can you look at this customer data set and automatically discover market segments and automatically group your customers into different market segments so that you can automatically and more efficiently sell or market your different market segments together?

This is Unsupervised Learning because we have all this customer data, but we don't know in advance what are the market segments and for the customers in our data set, we don't know in advance who is in market segment one, who is in market segment two, and so on. But we have to let the algorithm discover all this just from the data.

Part I

Supervised Learning

Regression

Chapter 1

Linear Regression

1.1 Notation

In general, we will let x_{ij} represent the value of the j th variable for the i th observation, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$. We will use i to index the samples or observations (from 1 to n) and j will be used to index the variables (or features) (from 1 to p). We let \mathbf{X} denote a $n \times p$ matrix whose (i, j) th element is x_{ij} . That is,

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} & \dots & x_{1p} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} & \dots & x_{2p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & x_{n2} & x_{n3} & \dots & x_{np} \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that it is useful to visualize \mathbf{X} as a spreadsheet of numbers with n rows and p columns. We will write the rows of \mathbf{X} as x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n . Here x_i is a vector of length p , containing the p variable measurements for the i th observation. That is,

$$x_i = \begin{pmatrix} x_{i1} \\ x_{i2} \\ \vdots \\ x_{ip} \end{pmatrix}$$

(Vectors are by default represented as columns.)

We will write the columns of \mathbf{X} as $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p$. Each is a vector of length n . That is,

$$\mathbf{x}_j = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{1j} \\ \mathbf{x}_{2j} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_{nj} \end{pmatrix}$$

Using this notation, the matrix \mathbf{X} can be written as

$$\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{x}_2 \dots \mathbf{x}_p)$$

or

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^T \\ x_2^T \\ \vdots \\ x_n^T \end{pmatrix}$$

The T notation denotes the transpose of a matrix or vector.

We use y_i to denote the i th observation of the variable on which we wish to make predictions. We write the set of all n observations in vector form as

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1^T \\ y_2^T \\ \vdots \\ y_n^T \end{pmatrix}$$

Then the observed data consists of $\{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)\}$, where each x_i is a vector of length p . (If $p = 1$, then x_i is simply a scalar).

1.2 Model Representation

Let's consider the example about predicting housing prices. We're going to use this data set as an example,




Figure 1.1:

Suppose that there is a person trying to sell a house of size 1250 square feet and he wants to know how much he might be able to sell the house for. One thing we could do is fit a model. Maybe fit a straight line to this data. Looks something like this,




Figure 1.2:

and based on that, maybe he can sell the house for around \$220,000. Recall that this is an example of a supervised learning algorithm. And it's supervised learning because we're given the "right answer" for each of our examples. More precisely, this is an example of a regression problem where the term regression refers to the fact that we are predicting a real-valued output namely the price.


More formally, in supervised learning, we have a data set and this data set is called a **training set**. So for housing prices example, we have a training set of different housing prices and our job is to learn from this data how to predict prices of the houses.

Let's define some notation from this data set:

- The size of the house is the input variable.
- The house price is the output variable.
- The input variables are typically denoted using the variable symbol X ,
- The inputs go by different names, such as *predictors*, *independent variables*, *features*, or sometimes just *variables*.
- The output variable is often called the *response*, *dependent variable* or *target*, and is typically denoted using the symbol Y .
- (x_i, y_i) is the i th training example.
- The set of $\{(x_i, y_i)\}$ is the training set.
- n is the number of training examples.

So here's how this supervised learning algorithm works. Suppose that we observe a quantitative response Y and p different predictors, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p . We assume that there is some relationship between Y and $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p)$, which can be written in the very general form

$$Y = f(X) + \epsilon$$



Here f is some fixed but unknown function of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p , and ϵ is a random error term, which is independent of X and has mean zero. The f function is also called *hypothesis* in Machine Learning. In general, the function f may involve more than one input variable. In essence, Supervised Learning refers to a set of approaches for estimating f .

1.3 Why Estimate f ?

There are two main reasons that we may wish to estimate f : *prediction* and *inference*.

Prediction

In many situations, a set of inputs X are readily available, but the output Y cannot be easily obtained. In this setting, since the error term averages to zero, we can predict Y using

$$\hat{Y} = \hat{f}(X)$$

where \hat{f} represents our estimate for f , and \hat{Y} represents the resulting prediction for Y . Like in the example above about predicting housing prices.

We can measure the accuracy of \hat{Y} by using a **cost function**. In the regression models, the most commonly-used measure is the *mean squared error* (MSE), given by

$$MSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{f}(x_i))^2$$

Inference

We are often interested in understanding the way that Y is affected as X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p change. In this situation we wish to estimate f , but our goal is not necessarily to make predictions for Y . We instead want to understand the relationship between X and Y , or more specifically, to understand how Y changes as a function of X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p . In this case, one may be interested in answering the following questions:

- Which predictors are associated with the response?
- What is the relationship between the response and each predictor?
- Can the relationship between Y and each predictor be adequately summarized using a linear equation, or is the relationship more complicated?

1.4 Simple Linear Regression Model

Simple linear regression is a very straightforward approach for predicting a quantitative response Y on the basis of a single predictor variable X . It assumes that there is approximately a linear relationship between X and Y . Mathematically, we can write this linear relationship as

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \epsilon$$

$$Y \approx \beta_0 + \beta_1 X$$

where β_0 and β_1 are two unknown constants that represent the *intercept* and *slope*, also known as **coefficients** or *parameters*, and ϵ is the error term.

Given some estimates $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ for the model coefficients, we predict future inputs x using

$$\hat{y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x$$

where \hat{y} indicates a prediction of Y on the basis of $X = x$. The *hat* symbol, $\hat{\cdot}$, denotes an estimated value.

1.5 Estimating the Coefficients

Let $\hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_i$ be the prediction for Y based on the i th value of X . Then $e_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i$ represents the i th **residual**.

We define the **Residual Sum of Squares (RSS)**¹ as

$$\begin{aligned} RSS &= e_1^2 + e_2^2 + \dots + e_n^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2 \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently as

$$\begin{aligned} RSS &= (y_1 - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_1)^2 + (y_2 - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_2)^2 + \dots + (y_n - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_n)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_i)^2 \end{aligned}$$

¹Also known as **SSE**: Sum of Squared Errors.

The *least squares* approach chooses $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ to minimize the RSS. The minimizing values can be shown to be²

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} = \frac{s_{xy}}{s_x^2} = (s_x^2)^{-1} s_{xy}$$

and

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}$$

where:

- $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ is the *sample mean*.
- $s_x^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$ is the *sample variance*. The sample standard deviation is $s_x = \sqrt{s_x^2}$.
- $s_{xy} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})$ is the *sample covariance*. It measures the degree of linear association between x_1, \dots, x_n and y_1, \dots, y_n . Once scaled by $s_x s_y$, it gives the *sample correlation coefficient*, $r_{xy} = \frac{s_{xy}}{s_x s_y}$.



1- To find the optimal estimates for β_0 and β_1 we need a choice-criterion. In the case of the *least squares* approach (more precisely, the *ordinary least squares OLS*) this criterion is the residual sum of squares *RSS*: we calculate β_0 and β_1 that minimise the *RSS*.

2- Minimizing the *RSS* function requires to calculate the first order derivatives with respect to β_0 and β_1 and set them to zero.

3- Click [□](#) here and watch the video to understand more about the residuals and least squares.

4- Click [here](#) to see the influence of the distance employed in the sum of squares. Try to minimize the sum of squares for the different datasets. The choices of intercept and slope that minimize the sum of squared distances for a kind of distance are not the optimal for a different kind of distance.

1.6 Assessing the Accuracy of the Coefficient Estimates

The standard error of an estimator reflects how it varies under repeated sampling. We have

$$\text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_1)^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

$$\text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_0)^2 = \sigma^2 \left[\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \right]$$

where $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(\epsilon)$

In general, σ^2 is unknown, but can be estimated from the data. The estimate of σ is known as the *residual standard error*, and is given by

$$\text{RSE} = \sqrt{\frac{\text{RSS}}{(n-2)}}$$

These standard errors can be used to compute *confidence intervals*. A 95% confidence interval is defined as a range of values such that with 95% probability, the range will contain the true unknown value of the parameter. It has the form

²They are unique and always exist. They can be obtained by solving $\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_0} \text{RSS}(\beta_0, \beta_1) = 0$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_1} \text{RSS}(\beta_0, \beta_1) = 0$.

$$\hat{\beta}_1 \pm 2 \cdot \text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_1)$$

That is, there is approximately a 95% chance that the interval

$$\left[\hat{\beta}_1 - 2 \cdot \text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_1), \hat{\beta}_1 + 2 \cdot \text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_1) \right]$$

will contain the true value of β_1 . Similarly, a confidence interval for β_0 approximately takes the form

$$\hat{\beta}_0 \pm 2 \cdot \text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_0)$$

Hypothesis testing

Standard errors can also be used to perform *hypothesis tests* on the coefficients. The most common hypothesis test involves testing the *null hypothesis* of

$$H_0 : \text{There is no relationship between } X \text{ and } Y$$

versus the *alternative hypothesis*

$$H_1 : \text{There is some relationship between } X \text{ and } Y$$

Mathematically, this corresponds to testing

$$H_0 : \beta_1 = 0$$

versus

$$H_1 : \beta_1 \neq 0$$

since if $\beta_1 = 0$ then the simple linear regression model reduces to $Y = \beta_0 + \epsilon$, and X is not associated with Y .

To test the null hypothesis H_0 , we compute a ***t-statistic***, given by

$$t = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - 0}{\text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_1)}$$

This will have a *t*-distribution (*Student*) with $n - 2$ degrees of freedom, assuming $\beta_1 = 0$.

Using statistical software, it is easy to compute the probability of observing any value equal to $|t|$ or larger. We call this probability the ***p-value***.

If p-value is small enough (typically under 0.01 (1% error) or 0.05 (5% error)) we reject the null hypothesis, that is we declare a relationship to exist between X and Y .

1.7 ANOVA and model fit

1.7.1 ANOVA

In this section we will see how the variance of Y is decomposed into two parts, each one corresponding to the regression and to the error, respectively. This decomposition is called the *ANalysis Of VAriance* (ANOVA).

Before explaining ANOVA, it is important to recall an interesting result: *the mean of the fitted values $\hat{Y}_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_n$ is the mean of Y_1, \dots, Y_n* . This is easily seen if we plug-in the expression of $\hat{\beta}_0$:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{Y}_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_i) = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{X} = (\bar{Y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{X}) + \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{X} = \bar{Y}.$$

The ANOVA decomposition considers the following measures of variation related with the response:

- $SST = TSS = \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2$, the **Total Sum of Squares**. This is the *total variation* of Y_1, \dots, Y_n , since $SST = ns_y^2$, where s_y^2 is the sample variance of Y_1, \dots, Y_n .
- $SSR = ESS = \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{Y}_i - \bar{Y})^2$, the **Regression Sum of Squares** or **Explained Sum of Squares**³. This is the variation explained by the regression line, that is, *the variation from \bar{Y} that is explained by the estimated conditional mean $\hat{Y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_i$* . $SSR = ns_{\hat{y}}^2$, where $s_{\hat{y}}^2$ is the sample variance of $\hat{Y}_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_n$.
- $SSE = RSS = \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2$, the **Sum of Squared Errors** or **Residual Sum of Squares**⁴. Is the variation around the conditional mean. Recall that $SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i^2 = (n-2)\hat{\sigma}^2$, where $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is the sample variance of $\hat{\varepsilon}_1, \dots, \hat{\varepsilon}_n$.

The ANOVA decomposition is

$$\underbrace{SST}_{\text{Variation of } Y'_i s} = \underbrace{SSR}_{\text{Variation of } \hat{Y}'_i s} + \underbrace{SSE}_{\text{Variation of } \hat{\varepsilon}'_i s}$$

The graphical interpretation of this equation is shown in the following figures.

³Recall that SSR is different from RSS (Residual Sum of Squares)

⁴Recall that SSE and RSS (for $(\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1)$) are just different names for referring to the same quantity: $SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 X_i)^2 = RSS(\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1)$.




Figure 1.3: Visualization of the ANOVA decomposition. SST measures the variation of Y_1, \dots, Y_n with respect to \bar{Y} . SSR measures the variation with respect to the conditional means, $\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X_i$. SSE collects the variation of the residuals.



Below the ANOVA decomposition and its dependence on σ^2 and $\hat{\sigma}^2$. Application is also available here.

Note that the **animation** will not be displayed the first time it is browsed (The reason is because it is hosted at **https** websites with auto-signed SSL certificates). **To see it**, click on the link above. You will get a warning from your browser saying that “*Your connection is not private*”. Click in “*Advanced*” and **allow an exception** in your browser. The next time the animation will show up correctly.

The ANOVA table summarizes the decomposition of the variance. Here is given in the layout employed by R.

Degrees of freedom	Sum Squares	Mean Squares	F -value	p -value
Predictor	SSR = $\sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{Y}_i - \bar{Y})^2$	MSR = $\frac{SSR}{1}$	$\frac{SSR/1}{SSE/(n-2)}$	p
Residuals 2	SSE = $\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2$	MSE = $\frac{SSE}{n-2}$		
Total $n - 1$	SST = $\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2$			

The `anova` function in R takes a model as an input and returns the ANOVA table.

The “ F -value” of the ANOVA table represents the value of the F -statistic $\frac{SSR/1}{SSE/(n-2)}$. This statistic is employed to test

$$H_0 : \beta_1 = 0 \quad \text{vs.} \quad H_1 : \beta_1 \neq 0,$$

that is, the hypothesis of no linear dependence of Y on X . The result of this test is completely equivalent to the t -test for β_1 that we saw previously in the Hypothesis testing (this is something *specific for simple linear regression* – the F -test will not be equivalent to the t -test for β_1 in the Multiple Linear Regression).

It happens that

$$F = \frac{SSR/1}{SSE/(n-2)} \stackrel{H_0}{\sim} F_{1,n-2},$$

where $F_{1,n-2}$ is the *Snedecor's F distribution*⁵ with 1 and $n - 2$ degrees of freedom.

If H_0 is true, then F is expected to be *small* since SSR will be close to zero. The p -value of this test is the same as the p -value of the t -test for $H_0 : \beta_1 = 0$.

1.7.2 The R^2 Statistic

To calculate R^2 , we use the formula

⁵The $F_{n,m}$ distribution arises as the quotient of two independent random variables χ_n^2 and χ_m^2 , $\frac{\chi_n^2/n}{\chi_m^2/m}$.

$$R^2 = \frac{\text{TSS} - \text{RSS}}{\text{TSS}} = 1 - \frac{\text{RSS}}{\text{TSS}}$$

where $\text{TSS} = \sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2$ is the *total sum of squares*.

R^2 measures the *proportion of variability in Y that can be explained using X* . An R^2 statistic that is close to 1 indicates that a large proportion of the variability in the response has been explained by the regression. A number near 0 indicates that the regression did not explain much of the variability in the response; this might occur because the linear model is wrong, or the inherent error σ^2 is high, or both.

It can be shown that in this simple linear regression setting that $R^2 = r^2$, where r is the correlation between X and Y :

$$r = \frac{\text{cov}(X, Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}$$



R^2 does not measure the correctness of a linear model but its **usefulness** (for prediction, for *explaining the variance* of Y), assuming the model is correct.

Trusting blindly the R^2 can lead to catastrophic conclusions, since the model may not be correct.

So remember:



A large R^2 means *nothing* if the **assumptions of the model do not hold**. R^2 is the proportion of variance of Y explained by X , but, of course, *only when the linear model is correct*.

PW 1

1.8 Some R basics

1.8.1 Basic Commands

R uses functions to perform operations. To run a function called `funcname`, we type `funcname(input1, input2)`, where the inputs (or arguments) `input1` and `input2` tell R how to run the function. A function can have any number of inputs. For example, to create a vector of numbers, we use the function `c()` (for *concatenate*).

```
x <- c(1,3,2,5)
x
#ans> [1] 1 3 2 5
```

Note that the `>` is not part of the command; rather, it is printed by R to indicate that it is ready for another command to be entered. We can also save things using `=` rather than `<-`. Note that the answer in the code above is followed by `#ans>` while in the R console it is not.

```
x = c(1,6,2)
x
#ans> [1] 1 6 2
y = c(1,4,3)
length(x)
#ans> [1] 3
length(y)
#ans> [1] 3
x+y
#ans> [1] 2 10 5
```

Hitting the *up arrow* multiple times will display the previous commands, which can then be edited. This is useful since one often wishes to repeat a similar command.

The `ls()` function allows us to look at a list of all of the objects, such as `ls()` as data and functions, that we have saved so far. The `rm()` function can be used to delete any object that we don't want.

```
ls()
#ans> [1] "x" "y"
rm(x)
ls()
#ans> [1] "y"
```

1.8.2 Vectors

```
# A handy way of creating sequences is the operator :
# Sequence from 1 to 5
1:5
#ans> [1] 1 2 3 4 5

# Storing some vectors
vec <- c(-4.12, 0, 1.1, 1, 3, 4)
vec
#ans> [1] -4.12 0.00 1.10 1.00 3.00 4.00

# Entry-wise operations
vec + 1
#ans> [1] -3.12 1.00 2.10 2.00 4.00 5.00
vec^2
#ans> [1] 16.97 0.00 1.21 1.00 9.00 16.00

# If you want to access a position of a vector, use [position]
vec[6]
#ans> [1] 4

# You also can change elements
vec[2] <- -1
vec
#ans> [1] -4.12 -1.00 1.10 1.00 3.00 4.00

# If you want to access all the elements except a position, use [-position]
vec[-2]
#ans> [1] -4.12 1.10 1.00 3.00 4.00

# Also with vectors as indexes
vec[1:2]
#ans> [1] -4.12 -1.00

# And also
vec[-c(1, 2)]
#ans> [1] 1.1 1.0 3.0 4.0
```



Do the following:

- Create the vector $x = (1, 7, 3, 4)$.
- Create the vector $y = (100, 99, 98, \dots, 2, 1)$.
- Compute $x_3 + y_4$ and $\cos(x_3) + \sin(x_2)e^{-y_2}$. (Answers: 100, -0.9899925)
- Set $x_3 = 0$ and $y_2 = -1$. Recompute the previous expressions. (Answers: 97, 2.785875)
- Index y by $x + 1$ and store it as z . What is the output? (Answer: z is $c(-1, 93, 100, 96)$)

1.8.3 Matrices, data frames and lists

```
# A matrix is an array of vectors
A <- matrix(1:4, nrow = 2, ncol = 2)
```

```

A
#ans>      [,1] [,2]
#ans> [1,]    1    3
#ans> [2,]    2    4

# Another matrix
B <- matrix(1:4, nrow = 2, ncol = 2, byrow = TRUE)
B
#ans>      [,1] [,2]
#ans> [1,]    1    2
#ans> [2,]    3    4

# Binding by rows or columns
rbind(1:3, 4:6)
#ans>      [,1] [,2] [,3]
#ans> [1,]    1    2    3
#ans> [2,]    4    5    6
cbind(1:3, 4:6)
#ans>      [,1] [,2]
#ans> [1,]    1    4
#ans> [2,]    2    5
#ans> [3,]    3    6

# Entry-wise operations
A + 1
#ans>      [,1] [,2]
#ans> [1,]    2    4
#ans> [2,]    3    5
A * B
#ans>      [,1] [,2]
#ans> [1,]    1    6
#ans> [2,]    6   16

# Accessing elements
A[2, 1] # Element (2, 1)
#ans> [1] 2
A[1, ] # First row
#ans> [1] 1 3
A[, 2] # Second column
#ans> [1] 3 4

# A data frame is a matrix with column names
# Useful when you have multiple variables
myDf <- data.frame(var1 = 1:2, var2 = 3:4)
myDf
#ans>   var1 var2
#ans> 1     1    3
#ans> 2     2    4

# You can change names
names(myDf) <- c("newname1", "newname2")
myDf
#ans> newname1 newname2

```

```

#ans> 1      1      3
#ans> 2      2      4

# The nice thing is that you can access variables by its name with the $ operator
myDf$newname1
#ans> [1] 1 2

# And create new variables also (it has to be of the same
# length as the rest of variables)
myDf$myNewVariable <- c(0, 1)
myDf
#ans>  newname1 newname2 myNewVariable
#ans> 1      1      3      0
#ans> 2      2      4      1

# A list is a collection of arbitrary variables
myList <- list(vec = vec, A = A, myDf = myDf)

# Access elements by names
myList$vec
#ans> [1] -4.12 -1.00  1.10  1.00  3.00  4.00
myList$A
#ans>      [,1] [,2]
#ans> [1,]    1    3
#ans> [2,]    2    4
myList$myDf
#ans>  newname1 newname2 myNewVariable
#ans> 1      1      3      0
#ans> 2      2      4      1

# Reveal the structure of an object
str(myList)
#ans> List of 3
#ans> $ vec : num [1:6] -4.12 -1 1.1 1 3 4
#ans> $ A   : int [1:2, 1:2] 1 2 3 4
#ans> $ myDf:'data.frame': 2 obs. of  3 variables:
#ans> ..$ newname1      : int [1:2] 1 2
#ans> ..$ newname2      : int [1:2] 3 4
#ans> ..$ myNewVariable: num [1:2] 0 1
str(myDf)
#ans> 'data.frame': 2 obs. of  3 variables:
#ans> $ newname1      : int  1 2
#ans> $ newname2      : int  3 4
#ans> $ myNewVariable: num  0 1


# A less lengthy output
names(myList)
#ans> [1] "vec"  "A"    "myDf"

```


1.8.4 Graphics

The `plot()` function is the primary way to plot data in R. For instance, `plot(x,y)` produces a scatterplot of the numbers in `x` versus the numbers in `y`. There are many additional options that can be passed in to the `plot()` function. For example, passing in the argument `xlab` will result in a label on the `x-axis`. To find out more information about the `plot()` function, type `?plot`.

```
x=rnorm(100)
# The rnorm() function generates a vector of random normal variables,
# rnorm() with first argument n the sample size. Each time we call this
# function, we will get a different answer.
y=rnorm(100)
plot(x,y)
```



```
# with titles
plot(x,y,xlab="this is the x-axis",ylab="this is the y-axis",
main="Plot of X vs Y")
```




1.8.5 Distributions

```
# R allows to sample [r], compute density/probability mass [d],
# compute distribution function [p] and compute quantiles [q] for several
# continuous and discrete distributions. The format employed is [rdpq]name,
# where name stands for:
# - norm -> Normal
# - unif -> Uniform
# - exp -> Exponential
# - t -> Student's t
# - f -> Snedecor's F (Fisher)
# - chisq -> Chi squared
# - pois -> Poisson
# - binom -> Binomial
# More distributions: ?Distributions

# Sampling from a Normal - 100 random points from a N(0, 1)
rnorm(n = 10, mean = 0, sd = 1)
#ans> [1] -0.02861 -0.35848 0.89207 0.00277 0.21199 -1.73837 -0.32862
#ans> [8] -1.45277 0.32805 0.30720

# If you want to have always the same result, set the seed of the random number
# generator
set.seed(45678)
rnorm(n = 10, mean = 0, sd = 1)
#ans> [1] 1.440 -0.720 0.671 -0.422 0.378 -1.667 -0.508 0.443 -1.799 -0.618


# Plotting the density of a N(0, 1) - the Gauss bell
x <- seq(-4, 4, l = 100)
y <- dnorm(x = x, mean = 0, sd = 1)
plot(x, y, type = "l")
```



```
# Plotting the distribution function of a N(0, 1)
x <- seq(-4, 4, l = 100)
y <- pnorm(q = x, mean = 0, sd = 1)
```



```
plot(x, y, type = "l", lwd = 3, main="The distribution function of a N(0, 1)")
```




```
# Computing the 95% quantile for a N(0, 1)
qnorm(p = 0.95, mean = 0, sd = 1)
#ans> [1] 1.64

# All distributions have the same syntax: rname(n,...), dname(x,...), dname(p,...)
# and qname(p,...), but the parameters in ... change. Look them in ?Distributions
# For example, here is que same for the uniform distribution

# Sampling from a U(0, 1)
set.seed(45678)
runif(n = 10, min = 0, max = 1)
#ans> [1] 0.9251 0.3340 0.2359 0.3366 0.7489 0.9327 0.3365 0.2246 0.6474 0.0808

# Plotting the density of a U(0, 1)
x <- seq(-2, 2, l = 100)
y <- dunif(x = x, min = 0, max = 1)
plot(x, y, type = "l")
```



```
# Computing the 95% quantile for a U(0, 1)
qunif(p = 0.95, min = 0, max = 1)
#ans> [1] 0.95
```



Do the following:

- Compute the 90%, 95% and 99% quantiles of a F distribution with $df1 = 1$ and $df2 = 5$. (Answer: `c(4.060420, 6.607891, 16.258177)`)
- Sample 100 points from a Poisson with $\lambda = 5$.
- Plot the density of a t distribution with $df = 1$ (use a sequence spanning from -4 to 4). Add lines of different colors with the densities for $df = 5$, $df = 10$, $df = 50$ and $df = 100$.

1.8.6 Working directory

Your *working directory* is the folder on your computer in which you are currently working. When you ask R to open a certain file, it will look in the working directory for this file, and when you tell R to save a data file or figure, it will save it in the working directory.

To set your working directory within RStudio you can go to **Tools / Set working directory**, or use the command `setwd()`, we put the complete path of the directory between the brackets, do not forget to put the path into quotation marks `"`.

To know the actual working directory we use `getwd()`.

1.8.7 Loading Data

The `read.table()` function is one of the primary ways to import a data set into R. The help file `?read.table()` contains details about how to use this function. We can use the function `write.table()` to export data.

Next we will show how to load the data set `Auto.data` (Download it from [here](#)).

```
Auto=read.table("Auto.data",header=T,na.strings = "?")
# For this file we needed to tell R that the first row is the
# names of the variables.
```

```
# na.strings tells R that any time it sees a particular character
# or set of characters (such as a question mark), it should be
# treated as a missing element of the data matrix.
```



- If the file is of csv format, we use `read.csv`.
- Always try to look to the file before importing it to R (Open it in a text editor. See for example if the first row contains the variables names, if the columns are separated by `,` or `;` or `..`
- For text editors, I suggest `Sublime Text` or `Atom`.

```
dim(Auto) # To see the dimensions of the data set
#ans> [1] 397 9
nrow(Auto) # To see the number of rows
#ans> [1] 397
ncol(Auto) # To see the number of columns
#ans> [1] 9
Auto[1:4,] # The first 4 rows of the data set
#ans> mpg cylinders displacement horsepower weight acceleration year origin
#ans> 1 18 8 307 130 3504 12.0 70 1
#ans> 2 15 8 350 165 3693 11.5 70 1
#ans> 3 18 8 318 150 3436 11.0 70 1
#ans> 4 16 8 304 150 3433 12.0 70 1
#ans> name
#ans> 1 chevrolet chevelle malibu
#ans> 2 buick skylark 320
#ans> 3 plymouth satellite
#ans> 4 amc rebel sst

# Once the data are loaded correctly, we can use names()
# to check the variable names.
names(Auto)
#ans> [1] "mpg" "cylinders" "displacement" "horsepower"
#ans> [5] "weight" "acceleration" "year" "origin"
#ans> [9] "name"
```



Take a look at this (very) short introduction to R. It can be useful.

1.9 Regression

1.9.1 The `lm` function

We are going to employ the EU dataset. The EU dataset contains 28 rows with the member states of the European Union (Country), the number of seats assigned under different years (Seats2011, Seats2014), the Cambridge Compromise apportionment (CamCom2011), and the countries population (Population2010,Population2013). Click [here](#) to download the EU dataset.

```
# Load the dataset, when we load an .RData using load()
# function we do not attribute it to a name like we did
# when we used read.table() or when we use read.csv()
```

```
load("EU.RData")
```



There is two ways to tell R where is the file you want to load/use/import or where to save a file when you write/export/save :

1. write the complete path of the files.
2. set a working directory and put the files in it.

```
# lm (for linear model) has the syntax:
# lm(formula = response ~ predictor, data = data)
# The response is the y in the model. The predictor is x.
# For example (after loading the EU dataset)
mod <- lm(formula = Seats2011 ~ Population2010, data = EU)

# We have saved the linear model into mod, which now contains all the output of lm
# You can see it by typing
mod
#ans>
#ans> Call:
#ans> lm(formula = Seats2011 ~ Population2010, data = EU)
#ans>
#ans> Coefficients:
#ans>      (Intercept)  Population2010
#ans>          7.91e+00          1.08e-06

# mod is indeed a list of objects whose names are
names(mod)
#ans> [1] "coefficients" "residuals"      "effects"        "rank"
#ans> [5] "fitted.values" "assign"          "qr"             "df.residual"
#ans> [9] "na.action"     "xlevels"         "call"           "terms"
#ans> [13] "model"

# We can access these elements by $
# For example
mod$coefficients
#ans>      (Intercept)  Population2010
#ans>          7.91e+00          1.08e-06

# The residuals
mod$residuals
#ans>      Germany      France United Kingdom      Italy      Spain
#ans>      2.8675      -3.7031      -1.7847      0.0139      -3.5084
#ans>      Poland      Romania      Netherlands      Greece      Belgium
#ans>      1.9272      1.9434      0.2142      1.8977      2.3994
#ans>      Portugal Czech Republic      Hungary      Sweden      Austria
#ans>      2.6175      2.7587      3.2898      2.0163      2.0575
#ans>      Bulgaria      Denmark      Slovakia      Finland      Ireland
#ans>      1.9328      -0.8790      -0.7606      -0.6813      -0.7284
#ans>      Lithuania      Latvia      Slovenia      Estonia      Cyprus
#ans>      0.4998      -1.3347      -2.1175      -3.3552      -2.7761
#ans>      Luxembourg      Malta
#ans>      -2.4514      -2.3553
```

```

# The fitted values
mod$fitted.values
#ans>      Germany      France United Kingdom      Italy      Spain
#ans>      96.13      77.70      74.78      72.99      57.51
#ans>      Poland      Romania      Netherlands      Greece      Belgium
#ans>      49.07      31.06      25.79      20.10      19.60
#ans>      Portugal Czech Republic      Hungary      Sweden      Austria
#ans>      19.38      19.24      18.71      17.98      16.94
#ans>      Bulgaria      Denmark      Slovakia      Finland      Ireland
#ans>      16.07      13.88      13.76      13.68      12.73
#ans>      Lithuania      Latvia      Slovenia      Estonia      Cyprus
#ans>      11.50      10.33      10.12      9.36      8.78
#ans>      Luxembourg      Malta
#ans>      8.45      8.36

# Summary of the model
sumMod <- summary(mod)
sumMod
#ans>
#ans> Call:
#ans> lm(formula = Seats2011 ~ Population2010, data = EU)
#ans>
#ans> Residuals:
#ans>      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
#ans> -3.703 -1.951  0.014  1.980  3.290
#ans>
#ans> Coefficients:
#ans>              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
#ans> (Intercept)    7.91e+00   5.66e-01   14.0 2.6e-13 ***
#ans> Population2010 1.08e-06   1.92e-08   56.3 < 2e-16 ***
#ans> ---
#ans> Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
#ans>
#ans> Residual standard error: 2.29 on 25 degrees of freedom
#ans> (1 observation deleted due to missingness)
#ans> Multiple R-squared:  0.992, Adjusted R-squared:  0.992
#ans> F-statistic: 3.17e+03 on 1 and 25 DF, p-value: <2e-16

```

The following table contains a handy cheat sheet of equivalences between R code and some of the statistical concepts associated to linear regression.

R	Statistical concept
x	Predictor X_1, \dots, X_n
y	Response Y_1, \dots, Y_n
data <- data.frame(x = x, y = y)	Sample $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$
model <- lm(y ~ x, data = data)	Fitted linear model
model\$coefficients	Fitted coefficients $\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1$
model\$residuals	Fitted residuals $\hat{\varepsilon}_1, \dots, \hat{\varepsilon}_n$
model\$fitted.values	Fitted values $\hat{Y}_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_n$
model\$df.residual	Degrees of freedom $n - 2$
summaryModel <- summary(model)	Summary of the fitted linear model
summaryModel\$sigma	Fitted residual standard deviation $\hat{\sigma}$
summaryModel\$r.squared	Coefficient of determination R^2

R	Statistical concept
<code>summaryModel\$fstatistic</code>	F -test
<code>anova(model)</code>	ANOVA table



Do the following:

- Download The ‘EU’ dataset from [here](#) as an `.RData` file and load it using the function `load`.
- Compute the regression of `CamCom2011` into `Population2010`. Save that model as the variable `myModel`.
- Access the objects `residuals` and `coefficients` of `myModel`.
- Compute the summary of `myModel` and store it as the variable `summaryMyModel`.
- Access the object `sigma` of `myModel`.

1.9.2 Predicting House Value: Boston dataset

We are going to use a dataset called `Boston` which is part of the `MASS` package. It records the median value of houses for 506 neighborhoods around Boston. Our task is to predict the median house value (`medv`) using only one predictor (`lstat`: percent of households with low socioeconomic status).

```
# First, install the MASS package using the command: install.packages("MASS")

# load MASS package
library(MASS)

# Check the dimensions of the Boston dataset
dim(Boston)
#ans> [1] 506 14
```

STEP 1: Split the dataset

```
# Split the data by using the first 400 observations as the training
# data and the remaining as the testing data
train = 1:400
test = -train

# Specify that we are going to use only two variables (lstat and medv)
variables = which(names(Boston) == c("lstat", "medv"))
training_data = Boston[train, variables]
testing_data = Boston[test, variables]


# Check the dimensions of the new dataset
dim(training_data)
#ans> [1] 400 2
```

STEP 2: Check for Linearity

In order to perform linear regression in R, we will use the function `lm()` to fit a simple linear regression with `medv` as the response (dependent variable) and `lstat` as the predictor or independent variable, and then save it in `model`.

But before we run our model, let's visually check if the relationship between `x` and `y` is linear.

```
# Scatterplot of lstat vs. medv
plot(training_data$lstat, training_data$medv)
```




On the figure above, modify the following:

- Figure title.
- Axis titles.
- Shape of observations and their colors.
- Sizes of the chosen shape.

According to the plot, we see that the relationship is not linear. Let's try a transformation of our explanatory variable `lstat`.

```
# Scatterplot of log(lstat) vs. medv
plot(log(training_data$lstat), training_data$medv)
```



Look at the plot, it is more linear, so we can proceed and perform `lm()`:

STEP 3: Run the linear regression model

```

model = lm(medv ~ log(lstat), data = training_data)
model
#ans>
#ans> Call:
#ans> lm(formula = medv ~ log(lstat), data = training_data)
#ans>
#ans> Coefficients:
#ans> (Intercept)    log(lstat)
#ans>         51.8         -12.2

```

Notice that basic information when we print `model`. This only give us the slope (-12.2) and the intercept (51.8) of the linear model. Note that here we are looking at `log(lstat)` and not `lstat` anymore. So for every one unit increase in `lstat`, the median value of the house will decrease by $e^{12.2}$. For more detailed information, we can use the `summary()` function:

```

summary(model)
#ans>
#ans> Call:
#ans> lm(formula = medv ~ log(lstat), data = training_data)
#ans>
#ans> Residuals:
#ans>      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
#ans> -11.385  -3.908  -0.779   2.245  25.728
#ans>
#ans> Coefficients:
#ans>              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
#ans> (Intercept)   51.783      1.097    47.2  <2e-16 ***
#ans> log(lstat)   -12.203      0.472   -25.9  <2e-16 ***
#ans> ---
#ans> Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
#ans>
#ans> Residual standard error: 5.6 on 398 degrees of freedom
#ans> Multiple R-squared:  0.627,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.626
#ans> F-statistic: 669 on 1 and 398 DF,  p-value: <2e-16

```

Now, we have access to p-values and standard errors for the coefficients, as well as the R^2 .

- The output states that the slope is statistically significant and different from 0 and with a t-value = -25.9 (p-value < 0.05), which means that there is a significant relationship between the percentage of households with low socioeconomic income and the median house value.
- This relationship is negative. That is as the percentage of household with low socioeconomic income increases, the median house value decreases.
- Looking at R^2 , we can deduce that 62.7% of the model variation is being explained by the predictor `log(lstat)`. This is probably low, but indeed it would increase if we had more independent (explanatory) variables. We can use the `names()` function to see what other pieces of information are stored in our linear model (`model`).

```

names(model)
#ans> [1] "coefficients" "residuals"    "effects"      "rank"
#ans> [5] "fitted.values" "assign"        "qr"           "df.residual"
#ans> [9] "xlevels"      "call"          "terms"        "model"

model$coefficients
#ans> (Intercept)    log(lstat)
#ans>         51.8         -12.2

```


To obtain the confidence interval for the linear model (`model`), we can use the `confint()` function:

```
confint(model, level = 0.95)
#ans>          2.5 % 97.5 %
#ans> (Intercept) 49.6   53.9
#ans> log(lstat)  -13.1 -11.3
```


So, a 95% confidence interval for the slope of `log(lstat)` is $(-13.13, -11.28)$. Notice that this confidence interval gives us the same result as the hypothesis test performed earlier, by stating that we are 95% confident that the slope of `lstat` is not zero (in fact it is less than zero, which means that the relationship is negative.)

STEP 4: Plot the regression model

Now, let's plot our regression line on top of our data.

```
# Scatterplot of lstat vs. medv
plot(log(training_data$lstat), training_data$medv)


# Add the regression line to the existing scatterplot
abline(model)
```



Let's play with the look of the plot, and makes it prettier!

```
# Scatterplot of lstat vs. medv
plot(log(training_data$lstat), training_data$medv,
      xlab = "Log Transform of % of Houshold with Low Socioeconomic Income",
      ylab = "Median House Value",
      col = "red",
      pch = 20)

# Make the line color blue, and the line's width =3 (play with the width!)
abline(model, col = "blue", lwd = 3)
```



STEP 5: Assess the model

Final thing we will do is to predict using our fitted model. We can use the `predict()` function for this purpose:

```
# Predict what is the median value of the house with lstat= 5%
predict(model, data.frame(lstat = c(5)))
#ans>      1
#ans> 32.1
```

```
# Predict what is the median values of houses with lstat= 5%, 10%, and 15%
predict(model, data.frame(lstat = c(5,10,15), interval = "prediction"))
#ans>      1      2      3
#ans> 32.1 23.7 18.7
```

Now let's assess our model, by computing the mean squared error (MSE). To assess the model we created, then we will be using the test data!

```
# Save the testing median values for houses (testing y) in y
y = testing_data$medv

# Compute the predicted value for this y (y hat)
y_hat = predict(model, data.frame(lstat = testing_data$lstat))

# Now we have both y and y_hat for our testing data.
# let's find the mean square error
error = y-y_hat
error_squared = error^2
MSE = mean(error_squared)
MSE
#ans> [1] 17.7
```

Chapter 2

Multiple Linear Regression

Simple linear regression is a useful approach for predicting a response on the basis of a single predictor variable. However, in practice we often have more than one predictor. In the previous chapter, we took for example the prediction of housing prices considering we had the size of each house. We had a single feature X , the size of the house. But now imagine if we had not only the size of the house as a feature but we also knew the number of bedrooms, the number of floors and the age of the house in years. It seems like this would give us a lot more information with which to predict the price.

2.1 The Model

In general, suppose that we have p distinct predictors. Then the multiple linear regression model takes the form

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \cdots + \beta_p X_p + \epsilon$$

where X_j represents the j th predictor and β_j quantifies the association between that variable and the response. We interpret β_j as the average effect on Y of a one unit increase in X_j , *holding all other predictors fixed*.

In matrix terms, supposing we have n observations and p variables, we need to define the following matrices:

$$\mathbf{Y}_{n \times 1} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \vdots \\ Y_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{X}_{n \times (p+1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X_{11} & X_{12} & \cdots & X_{1p} \\ 1 & X_{21} & X_{22} & \cdots & X_{2p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & X_{n1} & X_{n2} & \cdots & X_{np} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\beta_{(p+1) \times 1} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_p \end{pmatrix} \quad \epsilon_{n \times 1} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_n \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.2)$$

In matrix terms, the general linear regression model is

$$\mathbf{Y}_{n \times 1} = \mathbf{X}_{n \times (p+1)} \beta_{(p+1) \times 1} + \epsilon_{n \times 1}$$

where,

- \mathbf{Y} is a vector of responses.
- β is a vector of parameters.
- \mathbf{X} is a matrix of constants.
- ϵ is a vector of independent *normal* (Gaussian) random variables.

2.2 Estimating the Regression Coefficients

As was the case in the simple linear regression setting, the regression coefficients $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p$ are unknown, and must be estimated. Given estimates $\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_p$, we can make predictions using the formula

$$\hat{y} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 x_2 + \dots, \hat{\beta}_p x_p$$

We choose $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p$ to minimize the residual sum of squares

$$\begin{aligned} RSS &= \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 \hat{x}_{i1} - \hat{\beta}_2 \hat{x}_{i2} - \dots - \hat{\beta}_p \hat{x}_{ip})^2 \end{aligned}$$

The values $\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_p$ that minimize the RSS are the multiple least squares regression coefficient estimates, they are calculated using this formula (in matrix terms):

$$\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$$

To obtain $\hat{\beta}$, we can write the residual sum of squares as

$$RSS = (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^T (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)$$

This is a quadratic function in the $p + 1$ parameters. Differentiating with respect to β we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial RSS}{\partial \beta} &= -2\mathbf{X}^T (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta) \\ \frac{\partial^2 RSS}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^T} &= 2\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming (for the moment) that \mathbf{X} has full column rank, and hence $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$ is positive definite¹, we set the first derivative to zero

$$\mathbf{X}^T (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta) = 0$$

to obtain the unique solution

$$\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$$

Note 1:

¹Important to be sure that $\hat{\beta}$ is minimising RSS.



It is a remarkable property of matrix algebra that the results for the general linear regression model in matrix notation appear exactly as those for the simple linear regression model. Only the degrees of freedom and other constants related to the number of X variables and the dimensions of some matrices are different. Which means there are some similarities between $\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$ and $\hat{\beta}_1 = (s_x^2)^{-1} s_{xy}$ from the simple linear model: both are related to the covariance between \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} weighted by the variance of \mathbf{X} .

Note 2:



If $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$ is noninvertible, the common causes might be having:

- Redundant features, where two features are very closely related (i.e. they are linearly dependent)
- Too many features (e.g. $p \geq n$). In this case, we delete some features or we use “regularization” (to be, maybe, explained in a later lesson).

2.3 Some important questions

When we perform multiple linear regression, we usually are interested in answering a few important questions.

1. Is at least one of the predictors X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p useful in predicting the response?
2. Do all the predictors help to explain Y , or is only a subset of the predictors useful?
3. How well does the model fit the data?
4. Given a set of predictor values, what response value should we predict, and how accurate is our prediction?

Relationship Between the Response and Predictors?

F-Statistic

Recall that in the simple linear regression setting, in order to determine whether there is a relationship between the response and the predictor we can simply check whether $\beta_1 = 0$. In the multiple regression setting with p predictors, we need to ask whether all of the regression coefficients are zero, i.e. whether $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_p = 0$. As in the simple linear regression setting, we use a hypothesis test to answer this question. We test the null hypothesis,

$$H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_p = 0$$

versus the alternative hypothesis

$$H_1 : \text{at least one } \beta_j \text{ is non-zero}$$

This hypothesis test is performed by computing the F -statistic (*Fisher*):

$$F = \frac{(\text{TSS} - \text{RSS})/p}{\text{RSS}/(n - p - 1)} \sim F_{p, n-p-1}$$

where, as with simple linear regression, $\text{TSS} = \sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2$ and $\text{RSS} = \sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$.

Note that $F_{p,n-p-1}$ represents the *Fisher-Snedecor's F distribution* with p and $n - p - 1$ degrees of freedom. If H_0 is true, then F is expected to be *small* since ESS^2 will be close to zero (little variation is explained by the regression model since $\hat{\beta} \approx \mathbf{0}$).

So the question we ask here: *Is the whole regression explaining anything at all?* The answer comes from the F -test in the ANOVA (ANalysis Of VAriance) table. This is what we get in an ANOVA table:

Source	df	SS	MS	F	p-value
Factor (Explained)	p	$ESS=SSR$	$MSR=ESS/(p)$	$F=MSR/MSE$	p-value
Error (Unexplained)	$n - p - 1$	$RSS=SSE$	$MSE=RSS/(n - p - 1)$		
Total	$n - 1$	$TSS=SST$			

The ANOVA table has many pieces of information. What we care about is the F Ratio and the corresponding p-value. We compare the F Ratio with $F_{(p,n-p-1)}$ and a corresponding α value (error).



The “ANOVA table” is a broad concept in statistics, with different variants. Here we are only covering the basic ANOVA table from the relation $SST = SSR + SSE$. However, further sophistications are possible when SSR is decomposed into the variations contributed by *each* predictor. In particular, for multiple linear regression R's `anova` implements a *sequential (type I) ANOVA table*, which is **not** the previous table!

The `anova` function in R takes a model as an input and returns the following *sequential* ANOVA table³:

	Degrees of freedom	Sum Squares	Mean Squares	F -value	p -value
Predictor 1	1	ESS_1	$\frac{ESS_1}{1}$	$\frac{ESS_1/1}{RSS/(n-p-1)}$	p_1
Predictor 2	1	ESS_2	$\frac{ESS_2}{1}$	$\frac{ESS_2/1}{RSS/(n-p-1)}$	p_2
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
Predictor p	1	ESS_p	$\frac{ESS_p}{1}$	$\frac{ESS_p/1}{RSS/(n-p-1)}$	p_p
Residuals	$n - p - 1$	RSS	$\frac{RSS}{n-p-1}$		

Here the ESS_j represents the explained sum of squares (same as regression sum of squares) associated to the inclusion of X_j in the model with predictors X_1, \dots, X_{j-1} , this is:

$$ESS_j = ESS(X_1, \dots, X_j) - ESS(X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}).$$

The p -values p_1, \dots, p_p correspond to the testing of the hypotheses

$$H_0 : \beta_j = 0 \quad \text{vs.} \quad H_1 : \beta_j \neq 0,$$

carried out *inside the linear model* $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_j X_j + \varepsilon$. This is like the t -test for β_j for the model with predictors X_1, \dots, X_j . Recall that there is no F -test in this version of the ANOVA table.

p-values

The p -values provide information about whether each individual predictor is related to the response, after adjusting for the other predictors. Let's look at the following table we obtain in general using a statistical software for example

²Recal that ESS is the explained sum of squares, $ESS = TSS - RSS$.

³More complex – included here just for clarification of the `anova`'s output.

	Coefficient	Std. error	<i>t</i> -statistic	p-value
Constant	2.939	0.3119	9.42	<0.0001
X_1	0.046	0.0014	32.81	<0.0001
X_2	0.189	0.0086	21.89	<0.0001
X_3	-0.001	0.0059	-0.18	0.8599

In this table we have the following model

$$Y = 2.939 + 0.046X_1 + 0.189X_2 - 0.001X_3$$

Note that for each individual predictor a *t*-statistic and a p-value were reported. These p-values indicate that X_1 and X_2 are related to Y , but that there is no evidence that X_3 is associated with Y , in the presence of these two.

Deciding on Important Variables

The most direct approach is called *all subsets* or *best subsets* regression: we compute the least squares fit for all possible subsets and then choose between them based on some criterion that balances training error with model size.

However we often can't examine all possible models, since they are 2^p of them; for example when $p = 40$ there are over a billion models! Instead we need an automated approach that searches through a subset of them. Here are two commonly use approaches:

Forward selection:

- Begin with the *null model* — a model that contains an intercept (constant) but no predictors.
- Fit p simple linear regressions and add to the null model the variable that results in the lowest RSS.
- Add to that model the variable that results in the lowest RSS amongst all two-variable models.
- Continue until some stopping rule is satisfied, for example when all remaining variables have a p-value above some threshold.

Backward selection:

- Start with all variables in the model.
- Remove the variable with the largest p-value — that is, the variable that is the least statistically significant.
- The new ($p-1$)-variable model is fit, and the variable with the largest p-value is removed.
- Continue until a stopping rule is reached. For instance, we may stop when all remaining variables have a significant p-value defined by some significance threshold.



There are more systematic criteria for choosing an “optimal” member in the path of models produced by forward or backward stepwise selection. These include *Mallow's C_p* , *Akaike information criterion (AIC)*, *Bayesian information criterion (BIC)*, *adjusted R^2* and *Cross-validation (CV)*.

Model Fit

Two of the most common numerical measures of model fit are the RSE and R^2 , the fraction of variance explained. These quantities are computed and interpreted in the same fashion as for simple linear regression. Recall that in simple regression, R^2 is the square of the correlation of the response and the variable. In multiple linear regression, it turns out that it equals $Cor(Y, \hat{Y})^2$, the square of the correlation between the response and the fitted linear model; in fact one property of the fitted linear model is that it maximizes this correlation among all possible linear models. An R^2 value close to 1 indicates that the model explains a large portion of the variance in the response variable.

In general RSE is defined as

$$\text{RSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n - p - 1} \text{RSS}}$$

2.3.1 Other Considerations in Regression Model

Qualitative Predictors

- If we have a categorical (qualitative) variable (feature), how do we fit into a regression equation?
- For example, if X_1 is the gender (male or female).
- We can code, for example, male = 0 and female = 1.
- Suppose X_2 is a quantitative variable, the regression equation becomes:

$$Y_i \approx \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 = \begin{cases} \beta_0 + \beta_2 X_2 & \text{if male} \\ \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 & \text{if female} \end{cases}$$

- Another possible coding scheme is to let male = -1 and female = 1, the regression equation is then:

$$Y_i \approx \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 = \begin{cases} \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 & \text{if male} \\ \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 & \text{if female} \end{cases}$$

Interaction Terms

- When the effect on Y of increasing X_1 depends on another X_2 .
- We may in this case try the model

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_1 X_2$$

- $X_1 X_2$ is the Interaction term.

2.4 How to select the best performing model

After trying different linear models, you need to make a choice which model you want to use. More specifically, the questions that one can ask: “How to determine which model suits best to my data? Do I just look at the R square, SSE, etc.?” and “As the interpretation of that model (quadratic, root, etc.) will be very different, won’t it be an issue?”

The second question can be answered easily. First, find a model that best suits to your data and then interpret its results. It is good if you have ideas how your data might be explained. However, interpret the best model, only. Now we will address the first question. Note that there are multiple ways to select a best model. In addition, this approach only applies to univariate models (simple models) which just one input variable.

Use the following interactive application and play around with different datasets and models. Notice how parameters change and become more confident with assessing simple linear models.

Use the Adjusted R_{adj}^2 for univariate models

If you only use one input variable, the adjusted R_{adj}^2 value gives you a good indication of how well your model performs. It illustrates how much variation is explained by your model.

In contrast to the simple R^2 , the adjusted R^2_{adj} ⁵ takes the number of input factors into account. It penalizes too many input factors and favors parsimonious models.

The adjusted R^2_{adj} is sensitive to the amount of noise in the data. As such, only compare this indicator of models for the same dataset than comparing it across different datasets.




Figure 2.1: Comparison of R^2 and R^2_{adj} for $n = 200$ and p ranging from 1 to 198. $M = 100$ datasets were simulated with **only the first two** predictors being significant. The thicker curves are the mean of each color's curves.

Figure 2.1 contains the results of an experiment where 100 datasets were simulated with **only the first two** predictors being significant. As you can see R^2 increases linearly with the number of predictors considered, although only the first two ones were important! On the contrary, R^2_{adj} only increases in the first two variables and then is flat on average, but it has a huge variability when p approaches $n - 2$. The experiment evidences that R^2_{adj} is more adequate than the R^2 for evaluating the fit of a multiple linear regression.


Have a look at the residuals or error terms

What is often ignored are error terms or so-called residuals. They often tell you more than what you might think. The residuals are the difference between your predicted values and the actual values. Their benefit is that they can show both the magnitude as well as the direction of the errors.


Let's have a look at an example:

⁴Recall that $R^2 = 1 - \frac{RSS}{TSS}$

⁵It is defined as $R^2_{adj} = 1 - \frac{RSS/(n-p-1)}{TSS/(n-1)} = 1 - \frac{RSS}{TSS} \times \frac{n-1}{n-p-1}$



Analysis of Residuals



Here, we try to predict a polynomial dataset with a linear function. Analyzing the residuals shows that there are areas where the model has an upward or downward bias.

For $50 < x < 100$, the residuals are above zero. So in this area, the actual values have been higher than the predicted values—our model has a downward bias.


For $100 < x < 150$, however, the residuals are below zero. Thus, the actual values have been lower than the predicted values—the model has an upward bias.

It is always good to know, whether your model suggests too high or too low values. But you usually do not

want to have patterns like this.

The residuals should be zero on average (as indicated by the mean) and they should be equally distributed. Predicting the same dataset with a polynomial function of **3 degrees** suggests a much better fit:


Analysis of Residuals



In addition, you can observe whether the variance of your errors increases. In statistics, this is called Heteroscedasticity. You can fix this easily with robust standard errors. Otherwise, your hypothesis tests are likely to be wrong.

Histogram of residuals

Finally, the histogram summarizes the magnitude of your error terms. It provides information about the bandwidth of errors and indicates how often which errors occurred.



The above screenshots show two models for the same dataset. In the first histogram, errors occur within a range of -338 and 520. In the second histogram, errors occur within -293 and 401. So the outliers are much lower. Furthermore, most errors in the model of the second histogram are closer to zero. So we would favor the second model.

PW 2

Multiple Linear Regression

In this practical work, we will continue the analysis of the Boston data set that we started last week (section 1.9.2). Recall that this dataset records the median value of houses for 506 neighborhoods around Boston. Our task is to predict the median house value (`medv`).

1. Load the Boston dataset from `MASS` package.
2. Split the dataset into training set and testing set. (keep all the variables of the Boston data set)
3. Check if there is a linear relationship between the variables `medv` and `age`. (use `cor()` function).
4. Fit a model of housing prices in function of age and plot the observations and the regression line.
5. Train a regression model using both `lstat` and `age` as predictors of median house value. (Remember that we transformed `lstat`, use the same transformation here). What is the obtained model?
6. Print the summary of the obtained regression model.
7. Are the predictors significant ?
8. Is the model as a whole significant? Answer on this question must be detailed.
9. Train a new model using all the variables of the dataset. (We can use `.` as a short cut instead of writing down all the variables names)
10. When using all the variables as predictors, we didn't transform `lstat`. Re train the model using `log(lstat)` instead of `lstat`.
11. Did R^2 improve ?
12. To see if there is correlated variables print the correlation matrix using the `cor()` function (round the correlations with 2 digits).
13. Visualize the correlations using the `corrplot` package. To do so, install the `corrplot` package, load it, then use the function `corrplot.mixed()`. See this link for examples and to understand how to use it.
14. What is the correlation between `tax` and `rad`?
15. Run the model again without `tax`. What happens to the R^2 ? and for the F-statistic?



Of course R^2 should go a little lower because we deleted one of the variables. But check for the model significance (F-statistic) gets higher, which means the p-values gets lower and thus the model is more significant without `rad`.

16. Calculate the mean squared error (MSE) for the last model.

Anova

Next we will apply an analysis of variances (ANOVA) in order to test if there is a significant difference of means between two groups i and j (Consider group i is the suburbs bounding the river and j the suburbs which not). The hypotheses are

$$H_0 : \mu_i = \mu_j$$

$$H_1 : \mu_i \neq \mu_j$$

Where μ_i is the mean of `medv` in group i .

17. In the Boston data set there is a categorical variable `chas` which corresponds to Charles River (= 1 if a suburb bounds the river; 0 otherwise). Use command `str()` to see how this variable is present in the dataset. How many of the suburbs in this data set bound the Charles river?

18. Create Boxplots of the median value of houses with respect to the variable `chas`. Do we observe some difference between the median value of houses with respect to the neighborhood to Charles River?

19. Calculate μ_i and μ_j (in one line using the function `aggregate()`).

20. Apply an ANOVA test of `medv` whith respect to `chas` (use the function `aov()`). Print the result and the summary of it. what do you conclude ?

Qualitative predictors

□ **Before starting the next question, please read section 2.3.1 and Appendix C about using qualitative predictors in regression.**



We are going to use the categorical variable `chas` which corresponds to Charles River (= 1 if a suburb bounds the river; 0 otherwise). Using the `str()` command you can notice that this variable is not codified as a factor, but it has values 0 or 1, so it is already dummyfied.

21. Fit a new model where the predictors are the Charles River and the Crime Rate. Interpret the coefficients of this model and conclude if the presence of the river adds a valuable information for explaining the house price.

22. Is `chas` is significant as well in the presence of more predictors?

Interaction terms

As you saw in section 2.3.1 we may sometimes try models with intercation terms. Let's say we have two predictors X_1 and X_2 , the way of adding these interactions in `lm` is through `:` and `*`. The operator `:` only adds the term X_1X_2 and `*` adds X_1 , X_2 , and X_1X_2 .

23. Fit a model whith first order interaction term where predictors are `lstat` and `age`. Print its summary.

24. Fit a model with all the first order interaction terms.

Reporting

In R there is some packages to make it **easy to create reproducible web-based reports**. To do so, click on `File -> Knit document` or `File -> Compile report...` The output is a html report containing the results of your R codes. If your file is named `report.R`, your report is named `report.html`.

25. Compile a report based on your script.



- Make sure to have the latest version of **Rstudio**.
- If you have problems with compiling (problem in installing packages, etc..) close your **Rstudio** and reopen it with administrative tools and retry.
- Be ready to submit your report (your **.html** file) at the end of each class.
- Your report must be named: **YouLastName_YourFirstName_WeekNumber.html**

Classification

Chapter 3

Logistic Regression

3.1 Introduction

In the previous chapters we discussed the linear regression model, which assumes that the response variable Y is quantitative. But in many situations, the response variable is instead qualitative (categorical). For example, eye color is qualitative, taking on values blue, brown, or green.

The process for predicting qualitative responses is known as *classification*.

Given a feature vector X and a qualitative response Y taking values in the set \mathcal{C} , the classification task is to build a function $C(X)$ that takes as input the feature vector X and predicts its value for Y ; i.e. $C(X) \in \mathcal{C}$. We are often more interested in estimating the probabilities that X belongs to each category in \mathcal{C} .

If c is a category ($c \in \mathcal{C}$), by the probability that X belongs to c we mean $p(X \in c) = \mathbb{P}(Y = c|X)$.

In the binomial or binary logistic regression, the outcome can have only two possible types of values (e.g. “Yes” or “No”, “Success” or “Failure”). Multinomial logistic refers to cases where the outcome can have three or more possible types of values (e.g., “good” vs. “very good” vs. “best”). Generally outcome is coded as “0” and “1” in binary logistic regression.

3.2 Logistic Regression

Consider a data set where the response falls into one of two categories, Yes or No. Rather than modeling the response Y directly, logistic regression models the *probability* that Y belongs to a particular category.

3.2.1 The Logistic Model

Let us suppose the response has two categories and we use the generic 0/1 coding for the response. How should we model the relationship between $p(X) = \mathbb{P}(Y = 1|X)$ and X ?

The simplest situation is when Y is *binary*: it can only take two values, codified for convenience as 1 (success) and 0 (failure).

More formally, a binary variable is known as a *Bernoulli variable*, which is the simplest non-trivial random variable. We say that $Y \sim \text{Ber}(p)$, $0 \leq p \leq 1$, if

$$Y = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{with probability } p, \\ 0, & \text{with probability } 1 - p, \end{cases}$$

or, equivalently, if $\mathbb{P}[Y = 1] = p$ and $\mathbb{P}[Y = 0] = 1 - p$, which can be written compactly as

$$\mathbb{P}[Y = y] = p^y(1 - p)^{1-y}, \quad y = 0, 1.$$

Recall that a *binomial variable with size n and probability p* , $\text{Bi}(n, p)$, was obtained by adding n independent $\text{Ber}(p)$ (so $\text{Ber}(p)$ is the same as $\text{Bi}(1, p)$).



A Bernoulli variable Y is completely determined by p . **So its mean and variance:**

- $\mathbb{E}[Y] = p \times 1 + (1 - p) \times 0 = p$
- $\mathbb{V}\text{ar}[Y] = p(1 - p)$.

In particular, recall that $\mathbb{P}[Y = 1] = \mathbb{E}[Y] = p$.

Assume then that Y is a binary/Bernoulli variable and that X are predictors associated to them (no particular assumptions on them). The purpose in *logistic regression* is to estimate

$$p(x) = \mathbb{P}[Y = 1|X = x] = \mathbb{E}[Y|X = x],$$

this is, how the probability of $Y = 1$ is changing according to particular values, denoted by x , of the random variables X .

Why not linear regression? A tempting possibility is to consider the model

$$p(x) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x.$$

However, such a model will run into problems inevitably: negative probabilities and probabilities larger than one ($p(x) < 0$ for some values of X and $p(X) > 1$ for others). To avoid this problem, the solution is to consider a function to encapsulate the value of $z = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$, in \mathbb{R} , and map it to $[0, 1]$. There are several alternatives to do so, based on distribution functions $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ that deliver $y = F(z) \in [0, 1]$. Many functions meet this description. In logistic regression, we use the *logistic function*,

$$p(X) = \frac{e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X}}{1 + e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X}}$$



- No matter what values β_0 , β_1 or X take, $p(X)$ will have values between 0 and 1.
- The logistic function will always produce an *S-shaped* curve.
- The logistic *distribution* function is:

$$F(z) = \text{logistic}(z) = \frac{e^z}{1 + e^z} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}.$$

After a bit of manipulation of the previous equation, we find that

$$\frac{p(X)}{1 - p(X)} = e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X}$$



The quantity $p(X)/[1 - p(X)]$ is called the *odds*, and can take on any value between 0 and ∞ .

By taking the logarithm of both sides of the equation, we arrive at

$$\log\left(\frac{p(X)}{1 - p(X)}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X$$



The left-hand side is called the *log-odds* or *logit*. We see that the logistic regression model has a logit that is linear in X .

3.2.2 Estimating the Regression Coefficients

We estimate β_0 and β_1 using the *Maximum Likelihood Estimation* method (MLE). The basic intuition behind using maximum likelihood to fit a logistic regression model is as follows: we seek estimates for β_0 and β_1 such that the predicted probability $\hat{p}(x_i)$ of the response for each individual, corresponds as closely as possible to the individual's observed response status (recall that the response Y is categorical). The *likelihood function* is

$$l(\beta_0, \beta_1) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i)^{Y_i} (1 - p(x_i))^{1-Y_i}.$$

This likelihood is **the probability of the data based on the model**. It gives the probability of the observed zeros and ones in the data. The estimates $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ are chosen to *maximize* this likelihood function. The interpretation of the likelihood function is the following:

- $\prod_{i=1}^n$ appears because the sample elements are assumed to be independent and we are computing the probability of observing the whole sample $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$. This probability is equal to the product of the *probabilities of observing each* (x_i, y_i) .
- $p(x_i)^{Y_i} (1 - p(x_i))^{1-Y_i}$ is the probability of observing (x_i, Y_i) .



In the linear regression setting, the least squares approach is a special case of maximum likelihood.

We will not give mathematical details about the maximum likelihood and how to estimate the parameters. We will use R to fit the logistic regression models (using `glm` function).

Use the following application (also available [here](#)) to see how the log-likelihood changes with respect to the values for (β_0, β_1) in three data patterns. The logistic regression fit and its dependence on β_0 (horizontal displacement) and β_1 (steepness of the curve). Recall the effect of the sign of β_1 in the curve: if positive, the logistic curve has an *s* form; if negative, the form is a reflected *s*.

`#ans> PhantomJS not found. You can install it with webshot::install_phantomjs(). If it is installed, pl`

Note that the **animation** will not be displayed the first time it is browsed (The reason is because it is hosted at <https> websites with auto-signed SSL certificates). **To see it**, click on the link above. You will get a warning from your browser saying that “*Your connection is not private*”. Click in “*Advanced*” and **allow an exception** in your browser. The next time the animation will show up correctly.

3.2.3 Prediction

Example

	Coefficient	Std. error	Z-statistic	p-value
Constant	-10.6513	0.3612	-29.5	<0.0001
X	0.0055	0.0002	24.9	<0.0001

In this example, $\hat{\beta}_0 = -10.6513$ and $\hat{\beta}_1 = 0.0055$. It produces the blue curve that separates that data in the

following figure,




Figure 3.1:

As for prediction, we use the model built with the estimated parameters to predict probabilities. For example, If $X = 1000$,

$$\hat{p}(X) = \frac{e^{\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X}}{1 + e^{\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X}} = \frac{e^{-10.6513 + 0.0055 \times 1000}}{1 + e^{-10.6513 + 0.0055 \times 1000}} = 0.006$$

If $X = 2000$,

$$\hat{p}(X) = \frac{e^{\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X}}{1 + e^{\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 X}} = \frac{e^{-10.6513 + 0.0055 \times 2000}}{1 + e^{-10.6513 + 0.0055 \times 2000}} = 0.586$$

3.3 Multiple Logistic Regression

We now consider the problem of predicting a binary response using multiple predictors. By analogy with the extension from simple to multiple linear regression in the previous chapters, we can generalize the simple

logistic regression equation as follows:

$$\log\left(\frac{p(X)}{1-p(X)}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_p X_p$$

where $X = (X_1, \dots, X_p)$ are p predictors. The equation above can be rewritten as

$$p(X) = \frac{e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_p X_p}}{1 + e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_p X_p}}$$

Just as in the simple logistic regression we use the maximum likelihood method to estimate $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p$.

3.4 Example

3.4.1 Case study: *The Challenger disaster*

The *Challenger* disaster occurred on the 28th January of 1986, when the NASA Space Shuttle orbiter *Challenger* broke apart and disintegrated at 73 seconds into its flight, leading to the deaths of its seven crew members. The accident deeply shocked the US society, in part due to the attention the mission had received because of the presence of Christa McAuliffe, who would have been the first astronaut-teacher. Because of this, NASA TV broadcasted live the launch to US public schools, which resulted in millions of school children witnessing the accident. The accident had serious consequences for the NASA credibility and resulted in an interruption of 32 months in the shuttle program. The Presidential *Rogers Commission* (formed by astronaut Neil A. Armstrong and Nobel laureate Richard P. Feynman, among others) was created to investigate the disaster.

Challenger launch and posterior explosion, as broadcasted live by NBC in 28/01/1986.

The Rogers Commission elaborated a report (Presidential Commission on the Space Shuttle Challenger Accident, 1986) with all the findings. The commission determined that the disintegration began with the **failure of an O-ring seal in the solid rocket motor due to the unusual cold temperatures (-0.6 Celsius degrees)** during the launch. This failure produced a breach of burning gas through the solid rocket motor that compromised the whole shuttle structure, resulting in its disintegration due to the extreme aerodynamic forces. The **problematic with O-rings was something known**: the night before the launch, there was a three-hour teleconference between motor engineers and NASA management, discussing the effect of low temperature forecasted for the launch on the O-ring performance. The conclusion, influenced by Figure 3.2a, was:

“Temperature data [are] not conclusive on predicting primary O-ring blowby.”




Figure 3.2: Number of incidents in the O-rings (fired joints) versus temperatures. Panel *a* includes only flights with incidents. Panel *b* contains all flights (with and without incidents).

The Rogers Commission noted a major flaw in Figure 3.2a: the **flights with zero incidents were excluded** from the plot because *it was felt that these flights did not contribute any information about the temperature effect* (Figure 3.2b). The Rogers Commission concluded:

“A careful analysis of the flight history of O-ring performance would have revealed the correlation of O-ring damage in low temperature”.

The purpose of this case study, inspired by Dalal et al. (1989), is to quantify what was the influence of the temperature in the probability of having at least one incident related with the O-rings. Specifically, we want to address the following questions:

- Q1. *Is the temperature associated with O-ring incidents?*
- Q2. *In which way was the temperature affecting the probability of O-ring incidents?*
- Q3. *What was the predicted probability of an incident in an O-ring for the temperature of the launch day?*

To try to answer these questions we have the **challenger** (\square dataset). The dataset contains (as shown in the table below) information regarding the state of the solid rocket boosters after launch¹ for 23 flights. Each row has, among others, the following variables:

¹After the shuttle exits the atmosphere, the solid rocket boosters separate and descend to land using a parachute where they are carefully analyzed.


- `fail.field`, `fail.nozzle`: binary variables indicating whether there was an incident with the O-rings in the field joints or in the nozzles of the solid rocket boosters. 1 codifies an incident and 0 its absence. On the analysis, we focus on the O-rings of the field joint as being the most determinants for the accident.
- `temp`: temperature in the day of launch. Measured in Celsius degrees.
- `pres.field`, `pres.nozzle`: leak-check pressure tests of the O-rings. These tests assured that the rings would seal the joint.

Table 3.2: The `challenger` dataset.


flight	date	fail.field	fail.nozzle	temp
1	12/04/81	0	0	18.9
2	12/11/81	1	0	21.1
3	22/03/82	0	0	20.6
5	11/11/82	0	0	20.0
6	04/04/83	0	1	19.4
7	18/06/83	0	0	22.2
8	30/08/83	0	0	22.8
9	28/11/83	0	0	21.1
41-B	03/02/84	1	1	13.9
41-C	06/04/84	1	1	17.2
41-D	30/08/84	1	1	21.1
41-G	05/10/84	0	0	25.6
51-A	08/11/84	0	0	19.4
51-C	24/01/85	1	1	11.7
51-D	12/04/85	0	1	19.4
51-B	29/04/85	0	1	23.9
51-G	17/06/85	0	1	21.1
51-F	29/07/85	0	0	27.2
51-I	27/08/85	0	0	24.4
51-J	03/10/85	0	0	26.1
61-A	30/10/85	1	0	23.9
61-B	26/11/85	0	1	24.4
61-C	12/01/86	1	1	14.4

Let's begin the analysis by replicating Figures 3.2a and 3.2b and checking that linear regression is not the right tool for answering Q1–Q3. For that, we make two scatterplots of `nfails.field` (number of total incidents in the field joints) in function of `temp`, the first one excluding the launches without incidents (`subset = nfails.field > 0`) and the second one for all the data.

```
require(car)
#ans> Loading required package: car
scatterplot(nfails.field ~ temp, reg.line = lm, smooth = FALSE, spread = FALSE,
            boxplots = FALSE, data = challenger, subset = nfails.field > 0)
```




```
scatterplot(nfails.field ~ temp, reg.line = lm, smooth = FALSE, spread = FALSE,
            boxplots = FALSE, data = challenger)
```



There is a fundamental problem in using linear regression for this data: **the response is not continuous**. As a consequence, there is no linearity and the errors around the mean are not normal (indeed, they are strongly non normal). We can check this with the corresponding diagnostic plots:

```
mod <- lm(nfails.field ~ temp, data = challenger)
par(mfrow = 1:2)
plot(mod, 1)
plot(mod, 2)
```



Although linear regression is not the adequate tool for this data, it is able to detect the obvious difference between the two plots:

1. **The trend for launches with incidents is flat, hence suggesting there is no dependence on the temperature** (Figure 3.2a). This was one of the arguments behind NASA's decision of launching the rocket at a temperature of -0.6 degrees.
2. However, **the trend for *all* launches indicates a clear negative dependence between temperature and number of incidents!** (Figure 3.2b). Think about it in this way: the minimum temperature for a launch without incidents ever recorded was above 18 degrees, and the Challenger was launched at -0.6 without clearly knowing the effects of such low temperatures.

Instead of trying to predict the number of incidents, we will concentrate on modeling the *probability of expecting at least one incident given the temperature*, a simpler but also revealing approach. In other words, we look to estimate the following curve:

$$p(x) = \mathbb{P}(\text{incident} = 1 | \text{temperature} = x)$$

from `fail.field` and `temp`. This probability can not be properly modeled as a linear function like $\beta_0 + \beta_1 x$, since inevitably will fall outside $[0, 1]$ for some value of x (some will have negative probabilities or probabilities larger than one). The technique that solves this problem is the **logistic regression**. The logistic model in this case is

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{incident} = 1 | \text{temperature} = x) = \text{logistic}(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x)}},$$

with β_0 and β_1 unknown.

Let's fit the model to the data by estimating β_0 and β_1 .

```
nasa <- glm(fail.field ~ temp, family = "binomial", data = challenger)
summary(nasa)
#ans>
#ans> Call:
#ans> glm(formula = fail.field ~ temp, family = "binomial", data = challenger)
#ans>
#ans> Deviance Residuals:
#ans>    Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
#ans> -1.057  -0.757  -0.382   0.457   2.220
#ans>
#ans> Coefficients:
#ans>             Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
```

```

#ans> (Intercept)      7.584      3.915      1.94      0.053 .
#ans> temp            -0.417      0.194     -2.15      0.032 *
#ans> ---
#ans> Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
#ans>
#ans> (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
#ans>
#ans>      Null deviance: 28.267 on 22 degrees of freedom
#ans> Residual deviance: 20.335 on 21 degrees of freedom
#ans> AIC: 24.33
#ans>
#ans> Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 5
exp(coef(nasa)) # Exponentiated coefficients ("odds ratios")
#ans> (Intercept)      temp
#ans> 1965.974      0.659

```



The `glm()` function fits generalized linear models, a class of models that includes logistic regression. The syntax of the `glm()` function is similar to that of `lm()`, except that we must pass in the argument `family=binomial` in order to tell R to run a logistic regression rather than some other type of generalized linear model.

The summary of the logistic model is notably different from the linear regression, as the methodology behind is quite different. Nevertheless, we have tests for the significance of each coefficient. Here we obtain that `temp` is significantly different from zero, at least at a level $\alpha = 0.05$. Therefore we can conclude that **the temperature is indeed affecting the probability of an incident with the O-rings** (answers Q1).

The coefficient of `temp`, $\hat{\beta}_1$, can be regarded the “correlation between the temperature and the probability of having at least one incident”. This correlation, as evidenced by the sign of $\hat{\beta}_1$, is negative. Let’s plot the fitted logistic curve to see that indeed the probability of incident and temperature are negatively correlated:


```

# Plot data
plot(challenger$temp, challenger$fail.field, xlim = c(-1, 30), xlab = "Temperature",
     ylab = "Incident probability")

# Draw the fitted logistic curve
x <- seq(-1, 30, l = 200)
y <- exp(-(nasa$coefficients[1] + nasa$coefficients[2] * x))
y <- 1 / (1 + y)
lines(x, y, col = 2, lwd = 2)

# The Challenger
points(-0.6, 1, pch = 16)
text(-0.6, 1, labels = "Challenger", pos = 4)

```



At the sight of this curve and the summary of the model we can conclude that **the temperature was increasing the probability of an O-ring incident** (Q2). Indeed, the confidence intervals for the coefficients show a significative negative correlation at level $\alpha = 0.05$:

```
confint(nasa, level = 0.95)
#ans>          2.5 % 97.5 %
#ans> (Intercept) 1.336 17.783
#ans> temp       -0.924 -0.109
```

Finally, the probability of having at least one incident with the O-rings in the launch day was 0.9996 according to the fitted logistic model (Q3). This is easily obtained:

```
predict(nasa, newdata = data.frame(temp = -0.6), type = "response")
#ans> 1
#ans> 1
```

Be aware that `type = "response"` has a different meaning in logistic regression. In linear models it returns a CI for the prediction. But, `type = "response"` means that the *probability* should be returned, instead of the value of the link function, which is returned with `type = "link"` (the default).

Recall that there is a serious problem of **extrapolation** in the prediction, which makes it less precise (or more variable). But this extrapolation, together with the evidences raised by a simple analysis like we did, should have been strong arguments for postponing the launch.

PW 3

Social Networks Ads

In this PW we are going to analyse the `Social_Network_Ads` dataset . This dataset contains informations of users of a social network. The social network has several business clients and its business clients put ads on the social network for marketing campaigns purposes. For this dataset, a company has put ads for one of its new products and the social network gathered some informations about wich users responded positively to the ad by buying the product and those who responded negatively by not buying the product.

1. Download the `Social_Network_Ads` dataset and import it into R.
2. **Explore** and **Describe** the dataset (you can use `str()` and `summary()` functions, you can calculate and visualize the correlations, show some histograms, scatterplots, pie charts, etc..).



We will consider the variables `Age` and `EstimatedSalary` as input variables (features) to see the correlations between them and the decision of the user to buy (or not) the product.

3. Now we are going to split the dataset into training set and test set. Last week we did it manually. From now on we will split it randomly, you can use this code (after understanding it of course):

```
library(caTools) # install it first in the console
set.seed(123)
# we use the function set.seed() with the same seed number
# to randomly generate the same values, you already know that right?
# and you know why we want to generate the same values, am I wrong?
split = sample.split(dataset$Purchased, SplitRatio = 0.75)
# here we chose the SplitRatio to 75% of the dataset,
# and 25% for the test set.
training_set = subset(dataset, split == TRUE)
# we use subset to split the dataset
test_set = subset(dataset, split == FALSE)
```

4. Scale the input variables in both training set and test set. Do you know what is scaling? Explain it one sentence.
5. Now fit a **simple** logistic regression model of `Purchased` in function of `Age`.
6. As you saw in the Logistic Regression chapter and in the previous question, we choose argument `family` to be `binomial` when we use the function `glm`. Explain why!
7. Write in a equation the model you obtained in question 5? (Read the following note concerning this question).



Note that there is three different ways to express the equation of a Logistic Regression model.

8. Is the feature **Age** significant to the model? Justify your answer.

9. What is the value of AIC of the model?



The **AIC** is the **Akaike Information Criterion**. You will use this while comparing multiple models. The model with lower value of AIC is better. Suppose that we have a statistical model of some data. Let \hat{L} be the maximum value of the likelihood function for the model; let k be the number of estimated parameters in the model. Then the AIC value of the model is the following.

$$\text{AIC} = 2k - 2 \ln(\hat{L})$$

where

- \hat{L} = the maximized value of the likelihood function of the model M , i.e. $\hat{L} = p(x|\hat{\beta}, M)$, where $\hat{\beta}$ are the parameter values that maximize the likelihood function.
- x = the observed data.
- k = the number of free parameters to be estimated. If the model under consideration is a linear regression, k is the number of regressors, including the intercept.

10. Plot **Purchased** in function of **Age** and add the curve of the obtained logistic regression model.

(Hints: One way to do it is to first plot the observations, then use the `curve()` function with option `add=TRUE` in order to add the curve to the plot. Pay attention that the argument “type” of the function `predict()` must be `reponse`)

You must obtain something like




Figure 3.3:



Extra: A great R package for visualization is `ggplot2`. Take a look on this link for some examples. With this library we obtain the following plot for our model,




Figure 3.4:

I obtained the last figure with these lines of code,

```
library(ggplot2)
ggplot(training_set, aes(x=Age, y=Purchased)) +
  geom_point() +
  stat_smooth(method="glm", method.args=list(family="binomial"), se=FALSE)
```

11. Now let us take another feature into account in the model. Fit a logistic regression model of purchasing the product in function of the age of a user and its salary.

12. Are the predictors significant to the new model?

13. Do we obtain a better model by adding the estimated salary?

14. Predictions: On the test set, predict the probability of purchasing the product by the users using the obtained model.

15. Take a look on your predicted values for the variable `Purchased`. We predicted the probability that the user will purchase the product right? Now in order to compare your results with the real answers, transform the predicted values to 0 or 1 (1 if >0.5).

Hint: You can easily do it with the `ifelse()` function.

Now read the following about the evaluation of a classifier (of a classification model).



Confusion matrix: is a tabular representation of Actual vs Predicted values. This helps us to find the accuracy of the model. The different results from a binary classifier are true positives, true negatives, false positives, and false negatives. This is how the confusion matrix looks like:

		True condition			
		Total population	Condition positive	Condition negative	Prevalence $= \frac{\Sigma \text{Condition positive}}{\Sigma \text{Total population}}$
Predicted condition	Predicted condition positive	True positive	False positive (Type I error)	Positive predictive value (PPV), Precision $= \frac{\Sigma \text{True positive}}{\Sigma \text{Test outcome positive}}$	False discovery rate (FDR) = $\frac{\Sigma \text{False positive}}{\Sigma \text{Test outcome positive}}$
	Predicted condition negative	False negative (Type II error)	True negative	False omission rate (FOR) $= \frac{\Sigma \text{False negative}}{\Sigma \text{Test outcome negative}}$	Negative predictive value (NPV) $= \frac{\Sigma \text{True negative}}{\Sigma \text{Test outcome negative}}$
		Accuracy (ACC) = $\frac{\Sigma \text{True positive} + \Sigma \text{True negative}}{\Sigma \text{Total population}}$	True positive rate (TPR), Sensitivity, Recall $= \frac{\Sigma \text{True positive}}{\Sigma \text{Condition positive}}$ False negative rate (FNR), Miss rate $= \frac{\Sigma \text{False negative}}{\Sigma \text{Condition positive}}$	False positive rate (FPR), Fall-out $= \frac{\Sigma \text{False positive}}{\Sigma \text{Condition negative}}$ True negative rate (TNR), Specificity (SPC) $= \frac{\Sigma \text{True negative}}{\Sigma \text{Condition negative}}$	Positive likelihood ratio (LR+) $= \frac{\text{TPR}}{\text{FPR}}$ Negative likelihood ratio (LR-) $= \frac{\text{FNR}}{\text{TNR}}$ Diagnostic odds ratio (DOR) $= \frac{\text{LR}^+}{\text{LR}^-}$

(Image source: Wikipedia)

You can calculate the **accuracy** of your model with:

$$\frac{\text{True Positive} + \text{True Negatives}}{\text{True Positive} + \text{True Negatives} + \text{False Positives} + \text{False Negatives}}$$

Figure 3.5:

Accuracy is a key measure of performance, and is more specifically the rate at which the model is able to predict the correct value (classification or regression) for a given data point or observation. In other words, accuracy is the proportion of correct predictions out of all predictions made.

The other two metrics from the confusion matrix worth discussing are **Precision** and **Recall**.

Precision (positive predictive value) is the ratio of true positives to the total amount of positive predictions made (i.e., true or false). Said another way, precision measures the proportion of accurate positive predictions out of all positive predictions made.

Recall on the other hand, or true positive rate, is the ratio of true positives to the total amount of actual positives, whether predicted correctly or not. So in other words, recall measures the proportion of accurate positive predictions out of all actual positive observations.

A metric that is associated with precision and recall is called the F-score (also called F1 score), which combines them mathematically, and somewhat like a weighted average, in order to produce a single measure of performance based on the simultaneous values of both. Its values range from 0 (worst) to 1 (best).

Another important concept to know about is the **Receiver Operating Characteristic**, which when plotted, results in what's known as an **ROC** curve.

ROC Curve: An ROC curve is a two-dimensional plot of *sensitivity* (recall, or true positive rate) vs *1 - specificity* (false positive rate). The area under the curve is referred to as the **AUC**, and is a numeric metric used to represent the quality and performance of the classifier (model).




Figure 3.6:

An AUC of 0.5 is essentially the same as random guessing without a model, whereas an AUC of 1.0 is considered a perfect classifier. Generally, the higher the AUC value the better, and an AUC above 0.8 is considered quite good.

The higher the AUC value, the closer the curve gets to the upper left corner of the plot. One can easily see from the ROC curves then that the goal is to find and tune a model that maximizes the true positive rate, while simultaneously minimizing the false positive rate. Said another way, the goal as shown by the ROC curve is to correctly predict as many of the actual positives as possible, while also predicting as many of the actual negatives as possible, and therefore minimize errors (incorrect classifications) for both.

16. Now in order to evaluate the model and its predictions, compute the confusion matrix. What do you

obtain ?

(**Hint:** you can use the `table()` function).

17. Calculate the accuracy, specificity, sensitivity and the precision of the model.

(**Note:** You can create a function that takes a confusion matrix in input and returns the needed metrics)

18. Plot the ROC curve and calculate AUC value.



Hints: to plot it, install the `ROCR` package. Load and use the functions:

- `prediction()` to calculate the elements of the confusion matrix.
- `performance()` to calculate the AUC.
- `plot()` to plot the ROC curve, you can plot the performance calculated before.
- `abline()` to plot a line of equation $y=x$.

19. Compare the AUC of the two models you fitted (one with only age and one with age and estimated salary) and plot their ROC curves in the same figure.

Chapter 4

Discriminant Analysis

Discriminant analysis is a popular method for multiple-class classification. We will start first by the *Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA)*.

4.1 Introduction

As we saw in the previous chapter, Logistic regression involves directly modeling $\mathbb{P}(Y = k|X = x)$ using the *logistic function*, for the case of two response classes. In logistic regression, we model the conditional distribution of the response Y , given the predictor(s) X . We now consider an alternative and less direct approach to estimating these probabilities. In this alternative approach, ***we model the distribution*** of the predictors X ***separately*** in each of the response classes (i.e. given Y), and then use **Bayes' theorem** to flip these around into estimates for $\mathbb{P}(Y = k|X = x)$. When these distributions are assumed to be *Normal*, it turns out that the model is very similar in form to logistic regression.

Why not logistic regression? Why do we need another method, when we have logistic regression? There are several reasons:

- When the classes are well-separated, the parameter estimates for the logistic regression model are surprisingly unstable. Linear discriminant analysis does not suffer from this problem.
- If n is small and the distribution of the predictors X is approximately normal in each of the classes, the linear discriminant model is again more stable than the logistic regression model.
- Linear discriminant analysis is popular when we have *more than two response classes*.

4.2 Bayes' Theorem

Bayes' theorem is stated mathematically as the following equation:

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)} = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$$

where A and B are events and $P(B) \neq 0$.

- $P(A|B)$, a conditional probability, is the probability of observing event A given that B is true. It is called the **posterior** probability.
- $P(A)$, is called the **prior**, is the initial degree of belief in A .
- $P(B)$ is the **likelihood**.



The posterior probability can be written in the memorable form as :

Posterior probability \propto Likelihood \times Prior probability.

Extended form:

Suppose we have a partition $\{A_i\}$ of the sample space, the even space is given or conceptualized in terms of $P(A_j)$ and $P(B|A_j)$. It is then useful to compute $P(B)$ using the law of total probability:

$$P(B) = \sum_j P(B|A_j)P(A_j)$$

$$\Rightarrow P(A_i|B) = \frac{P(B|A_i)P(A_i)}{\sum_j P(B|A_j)P(A_j)}$$

Bayes' Theorem for Classification:

Suppose that we wish to classify an observation into one of K classes, where $K \geq 2$. In other words, the qualitative response variable Y can take on K possible distinct and unordered values.

Let π_k represent the overall or *prior* probability that a randomly chosen observation comes from the k -th class; this is the probability that a given observation is associated with the k -th category of the response variable Y .

Let $f_k(X) \equiv P(X = x|Y = k)$ denote the *density function* of X for an observation that comes from the k -th class. In other words, $f_k(x)$ is relatively large if there is a high probability that an observation in the k -th class has $X \approx x$, and $f_k(x)$ is small if it is very unlikely that an observation in the k -th class has $X \approx x$. Then *Bayes' theorem* states that

$$P(Y = k|X = x) = \frac{\pi_k f_k(x)}{\sum_{c=1}^K \pi_c f_c(x)} \quad (4.1)$$

As we did in the last chapter, we will use the abbreviation $p_k(X) = P(Y = k|X)$.

The equation above stated by *Bayes' theorem* suggests that instead of directly computing $p_k(X)$ as we did in the logistic regression, we can simply plug in estimates of π_k and $f_k(X)$ into the equation. In general, estimating π_k is easy (the fraction of the training observations that belong to the k -th class). But estimating $f_k(X)$ tends to be more challenging.

Recall that $p_k(x)$ is the *posterior* probability that an observation $X = x$ belongs to k -th class.

If we can find a way to estimate $f_k(X)$, we can develop a classifier with the lowest possible error rate out of all classifiers.

4.3 LDA for $p = 1$

Assume that $p = 1$, which mean we have only one predictor. We would like to obtain an estimate for $f_k(x)$ that we can plug into the Equation (4.1) in order to estimate $p_k(x)$. **We will then classify an observation to the class for which $p_k(x)$ is greatest.**

In order to estimate $f_k(x)$, we will first make some assumptions about its form.

Suppose we assume that $f_k(x)$ is *normal* (*Gaussian*). In the one-dimensional setting, the normal density take the form

$$f_k(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma_k \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma_k^2}(x - \mu_k)^2\right) \quad (4.2)$$

where μ_k and σ_k^2 are the mean and variance parameters for k -th class. Let us assume that $\sigma_1^2 = \dots = \sigma_K^2 = \sigma$ (which means there is a shared variance term across all K classes). Plugging Eq. (4.2) into the Bayes formula in Eq. (4.1) we get,

$$p_k(x) = \frac{\pi_k \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu_k}{\sigma}\right)^2}}{\sum_{c=1}^K \pi_c \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu_c}{\sigma}\right)^2}} \quad (4.3)$$



Note that π_k and π_c denote the prior probabilities. And π is the mathematical constant $\pi \approx 3.14159$.


To classify at the value $X = x$, we need to see which of the $p_k(x)$ is largest. Taking logs, and discarding terms that do not depend on k , we see that this is equivalent to assigning x to the class with the largest **discriminant score**:

$$\delta_k(x) = x \cdot \frac{\mu_k}{\sigma^2} - \frac{\mu_k^2}{2\sigma^2} + \log(\pi_k) \quad (4.4)$$


Note that $\delta_k(x)$ is a *linear* function of x .



- The decision surfaces for a linear discriminant classifiers are defined by the linear equations $\delta_k(x) = \delta_c(x)$.
- Example: If $K = 2$ and $\pi_1 = \pi_2$, then the **decision boundary** is at $x = \frac{\mu_1 + \mu_2}{2}$ (**Prove it!**).
- An example where $\mu_1 = -1.5$, $\mu_2 = 1.5$, $\pi_1 = \pi_2 = 0.5$ and $\sigma^2 = 1$ is shown in this following figure



- See this [video](#) to understand more about *decision boundary* (Applied on logistic regression).
- As we classify a new point according to which density is highest, when the priors are different we take them into account as well, and compare $\pi_k f_k(x)$. On the right of the following figure, we favor the pink class (remark that the decision boundary has shifted to the left).



4.4 Estimating the parameters

Typically we don't know these parameters; we just have the training data. In that case we simply estimate the parameters and plug them into the rule.

Let n the total number of training observations, and n_k the number of training observations in the k -th class. The following estimates are used:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\pi}_k &= \frac{n_k}{n} \\ \hat{\mu}_k &= \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i:y_i=k} x_i \\ \hat{\sigma}^2 &= \frac{1}{n-K} \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i:y_i=k} (x_i - \hat{\mu}_k)^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{n_k - 1}{n - K} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_k^2\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_k^2 = \frac{1}{n_k - 1} \sum_{i:y_i=k} (x_i - \hat{\mu}_k)^2$ is the usual formula for the estimated variance in the k -th class.

The linear discriminant analysis (LDA) classifier plugs these estimates in Eq. (4.4) and assigns an observation $X = x$ to the class for which

$$\hat{\delta}_k(x) = x \cdot \frac{\hat{\mu}_k}{\hat{\sigma}^2} - \frac{\hat{\mu}_k^2}{2\hat{\sigma}^2} + \log(\hat{\pi}_k) \quad (4.5)$$

is largest.

The *discriminant functions* in Eq. (4.5) are linear functions of x .

Recall that we assumed that the observations come from a normal distribution with a common variance σ^2 .

4.5 LDA for $p > 1$

Let us now suppose that we have multiple predictors. We assume that $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p)$ is drawn from *multivariate Gaussian* distribution (assuming they have a common covariance matrix, e.g. same variances as in the case of $p = 1$). The multivariate Gaussian distribution assumes that each individual predictor follows a one-dimensional normal distribution as in Eq. (4.2), with some correlation between each pair of predictors.

To indicate that a p -dimensional random variable X has a multivariate Gaussian distribution, we write $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$. Where

$$\mu = E(X) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mu_p \end{pmatrix}$$

and,

$$\Sigma = Cov(X) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & Cov[X_1, X_2] & \dots & Cov[X_1, X_p] \\ Cov[X_2, X_1] & \sigma_2^2 & \dots & Cov[X_2, X_p] \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Cov[X_p, X_1] & Cov[X_p, X_2] & \dots & \sigma_p^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Σ is the $p \times p$ covariance matrix of X .

Formally, the multivariate Gaussian density is defined as


$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2} |\Sigma|^{1/2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu) \right)$$

Plugging the density function for the k -th class, $f_k(X = x)$, into Eq. (4.1) reveals that the Bayes classifier assigns an observation $X = x$ to the class for which

$$\delta_k(x) = x^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_k - \frac{1}{2} \mu_k^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_k + \log \pi_k \quad (4.6)$$

is largest. This is the vector/matrix version of (4.4).

An example is shown in the following figure. Three equally-sized Gaussian classes are shown with class-specific mean vectors and a common covariance matrix ($\pi_1 = \pi_2 = \pi_3 = 1/3$). The three ellipses represent regions that contain 95% of the probability for each of the three classes. The dashed lines are the Bayes decision boundaries.



Recall that the decision boundaries represent the set of values x for which $\delta_k(x) = \delta_c(x)$; i.e. for $k \neq c$

$$x^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_k - \frac{1}{2} \mu_k^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_k = x^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_c - \frac{1}{2} \mu_c^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_c$$

Note that there are three lines representing the Bayes decision boundaries because there are three pairs of classes among the three classes. That is, one Bayes decision boundary separates class 1 from class 2, one separates class 1 from class 3, and one separates class 2 from class 3. These three Bayes decision boundaries divide the predictor space into three regions. The Bayes classifier will classify an observation according to the region in which it is located.

Once again, we need to estimate the unknown parameters μ_1, \dots, μ_k , and π_1, \dots, π_k , and Σ ; the formulas are similar to those used in the one-dimensional case. To assign a new observation $X = x$, LDA plugs these estimates into Eq. (4.6) and classifies to the class for which $\delta_k(x)$ is largest.

Note that in Eq. (4.6) $\delta_k(x)$ is a *linear* function of x ; that is, the LDA decision rule depends on x only through a linear combination of its elements. This is the reason for the word linear in LDA.

4.6 Making predictions

Once we have estimates $\hat{\delta}_k(x)$, we can turn these into estimates for class probabilities:

$$\hat{P}(Y = k | X = x) = \frac{e^{\hat{\delta}_k(x)}}{\sum_{c=1}^K e^{\hat{\delta}_c(x)}}$$

So classifying to the largest $\hat{\delta}_k(x)$ amounts to classifying to the class for which $\hat{P}(Y = k | X = x)$ is largest.

When $K = 2$, we classify to class 2 if $\hat{P}(Y = 2 | X = x) \geq 0.5$, else to class 1.

4.7 Other forms of Discriminant Analysis

$$P(Y = k | X = x) = \frac{\pi_k f_k(x)}{\sum_{c=1}^K \pi_c f_c(x)}$$

We saw before that when $f_k(x)$ are Gaussian densities, with the same covariance matrix Σ in each class, this leads to Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA).

By altering the forms for $f_k(x)$, we get different classifiers.

- With Gaussians but different Σ_k in each class, we get *Quadratic Discriminant Analysis (QDA)*.
- With $f_k(x) = \prod_{j=1}^p f_{jk}(x_j)$ (conditional independence model) in each class we get *Naive Bayes*. (For Gaussian, this mean the Σ_k are diagonal, e.g. $Cov(X_i, X_j) = 0 \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq p$).
- Many other forms by proposing specific density models for $f_k(x)$, including *nonparametric approaches*.

4.7.1 Quadratic Discriminant Analysis (QDA)

Like LDA, the QDA classifier results from assuming that the observations from each class are drawn from a Gaussian distribution, and plugging estimates for the parameters into Bayes' theorem in order to perform prediction.

However, unlike LDA, QDA assumes that each class has its own covariance matrix. Under this assumption, the Bayes classifier assigns an observation $X = x$ to the class for which

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_k(x) &= -\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)^T \Sigma_k^{-1}(x - \mu) - \frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma_k| + \log \pi_k \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}x^T \Sigma_k^{-1}x + \frac{1}{2}x^T \Sigma_k^{-1}\mu_k - \frac{1}{2}\mu_k^T \Sigma_k^{-1}\mu_k - \frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma_k| + \log \pi_k \end{aligned}$$

is largest.

Unlike in LDA, the quantity x appears as a quadratic function in QDA. This is where QDA gets its name.



The decision boundary in QDA is non-linear. It is quadratic (a curve).

4.7.2 Naive Bayes

We use Naive Bayes classifier if the features are independant in each class. It is useful when p is large (unklike LDA and QDA).

Naive Bayes assumes that each Σ_k is diagonal, so

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_k(x) &\propto \log \left[\pi_k \prod_{j=1}^p f_{kj}(x_j) \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{(x_j - \mu_{kj})^2}{\sigma_{kj}^2} + \log \pi_k \end{aligned}$$

It can used for mixed feature vectors (qualitative and quantitative). If X_j is qualitative, we replace $f_{kj}(x_j)$ by probability mass function (histogram) over discrete categories.

4.8 LDA vs Logistic Regression

the logistic regression and LDA methods are closely connected. Consider the two-class setting with $p = 1$ predictor, and let $p_1(x)$ and $p_2(x) = 1 - p_1(x)$ be the probabilities that the observation $X = x$ belongs to class

1 and class 2, respectively. In the LDA framework, we can see from Eq. (4.4) (and a bit of simple algebra) that the log odds is given by

$$\log \left(\frac{p_1(x)}{1 - p_1(x)} \right) = \log \left(\frac{p_1(x)}{p_2(x)} \right) = c_0 + c_1 x$$

where c_0 and c_1 are functions of μ_1, μ_2 , and σ^2 .

On the other hand, we know that in logistic regression

$$\log \left(\frac{p_1}{1 - p_1} \right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$$

Both of the equations above are linear functions of x . Hence both logistic regression and LDA produce linear decision boundaries. The only difference between the two approaches lies in the fact that β_0 and β_1 are estimated using *maximum likelihood*, whereas c_0 and c_1 are computed using the estimated mean and variance from a *normal distribution*. This same connection between LDA and logistic regression also holds for multidimensional data with $p > 1$.



- Logistic regression uses the conditional likelihood based on $P(Y|X)$ (known as *discriminative learning*).
- LDA uses the full likelihood based on $P(X, Y)$ (known as *generative learning*).
- Despite these differences, in practice the results are often very similar.

Remark: Logistic regression can also

fit quadratic boundaries like QDA, by explicitly including quadratic terms in the model.

PW 4

This week we are going to continue the analysis of the `Social_Network_Ads` dataset . Recall that this dataset contains informations of users of a social network and if they bought a specified product. Last week we built a Logistic Regression model for the variable `Purchased` in function of `Age` and `EstimatedSalary`. We will consider the same variables this week but we will fit different models using methods such as LDA, QDA, and Naive Bayes.

Logistic Regression

1. First, let's do the pre-processing steps you were asked to do during the last session and fit a **logistic regression** model. Please read and understand very well the following code (read the comments!). Then copy what is necessary for today's session to your report (but remove my comments!).

If you lost the dataset, you can download it from [here](http://www.mghassany.com) .

```
# Loading the dataset.. I have putted it into a folder called "datasets"
dataset <- read.csv('http://www.mghassany.com/MLcourse/datasets/Social_Network_Ads.csv')

# Describing and Exploring the dataset

str(dataset) # to show the structure of the dataset.
summary(dataset) # will show some statistics of every column.
# Remark what it shows when the column is a numerical or categorical variable.
# Remark that it has no sense for the variable User.ID

boxplot(Age ~ Purchased, data=dataset, col = "blue", main="Boxplot Age ~ Purchased")
# You know what is a boxplot right? I will let you interpret it.
boxplot(EstimatedSalary ~ Purchased, data=dataset,col = "red", main="Boxplot EstimatedSalary ~ Purchased")
# Another boxplot

aov(EstimatedSalary ~Purchased, data=dataset)
# Anova test, but we need to show the summary of
# it in order to see the p-value and to interpret.

summary(aov(EstimatedSalary ~Purchased, data=dataset))
# What do you conclude ?
# Now another anova test for the variable Age
summary(aov(Age ~Purchased, data=dataset))

# There is a categorical variable in the dataset, which is Gender.
# Of course we cannot show a boxplot of Gender and Purchased.
# But we can show a table, or a mosaic plot, both tell the same thing.
```

```

table(dataset$Gender, dataset$Purchased)
# Remark for the function table(), that
# in lines we have the first argument, and in columns we have the second argument.
# Don't forget this when you use table() to show a confusion matrix!
mosaicplot(~ Purchased + Gender, data=dataset, main = "MosaicPlot of two categorical variables: Purchased
and Gender")

# since these 2 variables are categorical, we can apply
# a Chi-square test. The null hypothesis is the independance between
# these variables. You will notice that p-value = 0.4562 which is higher than 0.5
# so we cannot reject the null hypothesis.
# conclusion: there is no dependance between Gender and Purchased (who
# said that women buy more than men? hah!)

chisq.test(dataset$Purchased, dataset$Gender)

# Let's say we want to remove the first two columns as we are not going to use them.
# But, we can in fact use a categorical variable as a predictor in logistic regression.
# It will treat it the same way as in regression. Check Appendix C.
# Try it by yourself if you would like to.
dataset = dataset[3:5]
str(dataset) # show the new structure of dataset

# splitting the dataset into training and testing sets
library(caTools)
set.seed(123) # CHANGE THE VALUE OF SEED. PUT YOUR STUDENT'S NUMBER INSTEAD OF 123.
split = sample.split(dataset$Purchased, SplitRatio = 0.75)
training_set = subset(dataset, split == TRUE)
test_set = subset(dataset, split == FALSE)

# scaling
# So here, we have two continuous predictors, Age and EstimatedSalary.
# There is a very big difference in their scales (units).
# That's why we scale them. But it is not always necessary.

training_set[-3] <- scale(training_set[-3]) #only first two columns
test_set[-3] <- scale(test_set[-3])

# Note that, we replace the columns of Age and EstimatedSalary in the training and
# test sets but their scaled versions. I noticed in a lot of reports that you scaled
# but you did not do the replacing.
# Note too that if you do it column by column you will have a problem because
# it will replace the column by a matrix, you need to retransform it to a vector then.
# Last note, to call the columns Age and EstimatedSalary we can it like I did or
# training_set[c(1,2)] or training_set[,c(1,2)] or training_set[,c("Age", "EstimatedSalary")]

# logistic regression

classifier.logreg <- glm(Purchased ~ Age + EstimatedSalary, family = binomial, data=training_set)
classifier.logreg
summary(classifier.logreg)

```

```

# prediction
pred.glm = predict(classifier.logreg, newdata = test_set[, -3], type="response")
# Do not forget to put type response.
# By the way, you know what you get when you do not put it, right?

# Now let's assign observations to classes with respect to the probabilities
pred.glm_0_1 = ifelse(pred.glm >= 0.5, 1, 0)
# I created a new vector, because we need the probabilities later for the ROC curve.

# show some values of the vectors
head(pred.glm)
head(pred.glm_0_1)

# confusion matrix
cm = table(test_set[, 3], pred.glm_0_1)
cm
# First line to store it into cm, second line to show the matrix!

# You remember my note about table() function and the order of the arguments?
cm = table(pred.glm_0_1, test_set[, 3])
cm

# You can show the confusion matrix in a mosaic plot by the way
mosaicplot(cm, col=sample(1:8, 2)) # colors are random between 8 colors.

# ROC
require(ROCR)
score <- prediction(pred.glm, test_set[, 3]) # we use the predicted probabilities not the 0 or 1
performance(score, "auc") # y.values
plot(performance(score, "tpr", "fpr"), col="green")
abline(0, 1, lty=8)

```

So now we have a logistic regression model stored in `classifier.logreg`. It is a model of `Purchased` in function of `Age` and `EstimatedSalary`. We will use this model to show the decision boundary in the next part of this PW. Then we will compare this model to other models obtained by Discriminant Analysis approaches.

Decision Boundary of Logistic Regression

Now you are going to visualize the decision boundary for logistic regression.



- Since the decision boundary of logistic regression is a linear (*you know why right?*) and the dimension of the feature space is 2 (`Age` and `EstimatedSalary`), the decision boundary in this 2-dimensional space is a line that separates the predicted classes “0” and “1” (values of the response `Purchased`).
- For logistic regression, we predict $y = 1$ if $\beta^T X \geq 0$ (right side of the line) and $y = 0$ if $\beta^T < 0$ (left side of the line). Where

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

So we predict $y = 1$ if $\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 \geq 0$ which means that the equation of the decision boundary (a line here) is $X_2 = -\frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2} X_1 - \frac{\beta_0}{\beta_2}$

2. Plot the decision boundary obtained with logistic regression. In order to do so, calculate the intercept and the slope of the line presenting the decision boundary, then plot `EstimatedSalary` in function of `Age` (from the `test_set`) and add the line using `abline()`.

3. In order to verify that your line (decision boundary) is well plotted, color the points on the last Figure with respect to the predicted response.

Hints:

- If your predictions are stored in `y_pred`, you can do it using `bg = ifelse(y_pred == 1, 'color1', 'color2')`, and precise the argument `pch` to be 21 (you can choose `pch` to be a value between 21 and 25, try it).
- Then, add the line using `abline()`, put the line width = 2 to make it more visible. Do not forget to title the Figure).

4. Now make the same plot but color the points with respect to their real labels (the variable `Purchased`). From this figure, count the number of the false positive predictions and compare it to the value obtained in the confusion matrix.

Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA)

Let us apply linear discriminant analysis (LDA) now. First we will make use of the `lda()` function in the package `MASS`. Second, you are going to create the model and predict the classes by yourself without using the `lda()` function. And we will visualize the decision boundary of LDA.

5. Fit a LDA model of `Purchased` in function of `Age` and `EstimatedSalary`. Name the model `classifier.lda`.

```
library(MASS)
classifier.lda <- lda(Purchased~Age+EstimatedSalary, data=training_set)
```

6. Call `classifier.lda` and see what does it compute.

Plus: If you enter the following you will be returned with a list of summary information concerning the computation:

```
classifier.lda$prior
classifier.lda$means
```

7. On the test set, predict the probability of purchasing the product by the users using the model `classifier.lda`. Remark that when we predict using LDA, we obtain a list instead of a matrix, do `str()` for your predictions to see what do you get.

Remark: we get the predicted class here, without being obligated to round the predictions as we did for logistic regression.

8. Compute the confusion matrix and compare the predictions results obtained by LDA to the ones obtained by logistic regression. What do you remark?

(**Hint:** compare the accuracy)

9. Now let us plot the decision boundary obtained with LDA. You saw in the course that decision boundary for LDA represent the set of values x where $\delta_k(x) = \delta_c(x)$. Recall that

$$\delta_k(X) = x^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_k - \frac{1}{2} \mu_k^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_k + \log \pi_k$$

Here in our case, we have 2 classes ($K = 2$) and 2 predictors ($p = 2$). So the decision boundary (which is linear in the case of LDA, and line in our case since $p = 2$) will verify the equation $\delta_0(x) = \delta_1(x)$. Since we have two classes “0” and “1”. In the case of LDA this leads to linear boundary and is easy to be plotted. But in more complicated cases it is difficult to manually simplify the equations and plot the decision boundary. Anyway, there is a smart method to plot (but a little bit costly) the decision boundary in R using the function `contour()`, the corresponding code is the following (you must adapt it and use it to plot your decision boundary):

```
# create a grid corresponding to the scales of Age and EstimatedSalary
# and fill this grid with lot of points
X1 = seq(min(training_set[, 1]) - 1, max(training_set[, 1]) + 1, by = 0.01)
X2 = seq(min(training_set[, 2]) - 1, max(training_set[, 2]) + 1, by = 0.01)
grid_set = expand.grid(X1, X2)
# Adapt the variable names
colnames(grid_set) = c('Age', 'EstimatedSalary')

# plot 'Estimated Salary' ~ 'Age'
plot(test_set[, 1:2],
     main = 'Decision Boundary LDA',
     xlab = 'Age', ylab = 'Estimated Salary',
     xlim = range(X1), ylim = range(X2))

# color the plotted points with their real label (class)
points(test_set[1:2], pch = 21, bg = ifelse(test_set[, 3] == 1, 'green4', 'red3'))

# Make predictions on the points of the grid, this will take some time
pred_grid = predict(classifier.lda, newdata = grid_set)$class

# Separate the predictions by a contour
contour(X1, X2, matrix(as.numeric(pred_grid), length(X1), length(X2)), add = TRUE)
```

10. Now let us build a LDA model for our data set without using the `lda()` function. You are free to do it by creating a function or without creating one. Go back to question 6 and see what did you obtain by using `lda()`. It computes the prior probability of group membership and the estimated group means for each of the two groups. Additional information that is not provided, but may be important, is the single covariance matrix that is being used for the various groupings.



In LDA, we compute for every observation x its discriminant score $\delta_k(x)$. Then we attribute x to the class that has the highest δ . Recall that

$$\delta_k(x) = x^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_k - \frac{1}{2} \mu_k^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_k + \log \pi_k$$

So to compute $\delta_k(x)$ we need to estimate π_k , μ_k and Σ .

Note that

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and here X_1 =Age and X_2 =EstimatedSalary.

So let us do it step by step, first we will do the estimates:

10.1 Subset the training set into two sets: `class0` where `Purchased = 0` and `class1` where `Purchased = 1`).

10.2 Compute π_0 and π_1 .

$$\pi_i = N_i/N, \text{ where } N_i \text{ is the number of data points in group } i$$

10.3 Compute μ_0 and μ_1 .

$$\mu_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0(X_1) \\ \mu_0(X_2) \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mu_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1(X_1) \\ \mu_1(X_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

where, for example, $\mu_0(X_1)$ is the mean of the variable X_1 in the group 0 (the subset `class0`).

10.4 Compute Σ . In the case of two classes like here, it is computed by calculating the following:

$$\Sigma = \frac{(N_0 - 1)\Sigma_0 + (N_1 - 1)\Sigma_1}{N_0 + N_1 - 2}$$

where Σ_i is the estimated covariance matrix for specific group i .

Remark: Recall that in LDA we use the same Σ . But in QDA we do not.

10.5. Now that we have computed all the needed estimates, we can calculate $\delta_0(x)$ and $\delta_1(x)$ for any observation x . And we will attribute x to the class with the highest δ . First, try it for x where $x^T = (1, 1.5)$, what is class prediction for this specific x ?

10.6. Compute the discriminant scores δ for the test set (a matrix 100×2), predict the classes and compare your results with the results obtained with the `lda()` function.

Quadratic Discriminant Analysis (QDA)

Training and assessing a QDA model in R is very similar in syntax to training and assessing a LDA model. The only difference is in the function name `qda()`

11. Fit a QDA model of `Purchased` in function of `Age` and `EstimatedSalary`. Name the model `classifier.qda`.

```
# qda() is a function of library(MASS)
classifier.qda <- qda(Purchased~., data = training_set)
```

12. Make predictions on the `test_set` using the QDA model `classifier.qda`. Show the confusion matrix and compare the results with the predictions obtained using the LDA model `classifier.lda`.

13. Plot the decision boundary obtained with QDA. Color the points with the real labels.

Comparison

14. In order to compare the methods we used, plot on the same Figure the ROC curve for each classifier we fitted and compare the correspondent AUC. What was the best model for this dataset? Can you justify it?

Remark: If you use the `ROCR` package:

- For Logistic regression, use the predicted probabilities in the `prediction()` (and not the round values “0” or “1”).
- For LDA and QDA, put `pred.lda$posterior[,2]` in the `prediction()` function (those are the posterior probabilities that observations belong to class “1”).

PW 5

This session is special. You are given a dataset on Devinci Online, go download it and analyze it. You must submit a report (html) of your analysis at the end of the session. This report is the most important one for your evaluation.

Pay attention to the objective of the dataset, your analysis depends on your dataset's understanding. The data analysis must include all the following steps: importing the dataset, exploring the dataset and describing it, data preprocessing, data splitting, building models and assessing models.

Here are some rules for this special session:

- The dataset will be available on Devinci Online during the session.
- When you use the function `set.seed()` to split the dataset, use your **student's number**.
- The professor will not give you any hint. You can ask questions but the professor will not answer all of your questions. It depends on the question.
- Of course every student must work individually and no discussions are allowed.
- You have the right to access internet, the course's website, and even googling some R tips for your codes. But you are not allowed to open any Social Network website neither your email. If you open one of these you will get directly zero.
- The professor has the right to verify by himself if you are opening any Social network/email website.
- The report must be written in english of course.
- There won't be a quiz in this special session in order to give you the complete 3 hours to analyze the dataset.
- Your report must be clear. Every step or decision you make must be explained. Your code must be commented and your results must be interpreted.

Good Luck!

Part II

Dimensionality Reduction

Chapter 5

Principal Components Analysis

5.1 Introduction

The central idea of principal component analysis (PCA) is to reduce the dimensionality of a data set consisting of a large number of interrelated variables, while retaining as much as possible of the *variation* present in the data set. This is achieved by *transforming* to a new set of variables, the principal components (PCs), which are uncorrelated, and which are ordered so that the first few retain most of the variation present in all of the original variables.

Suppose that we wish to visualize n observations with measurements on a set of p features, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p , as part of an exploratory data analysis. We could do this by examining two-dimensional scatterplots of the data, each of which contains the n observations' measurements on two of the features. However, there are $C_p^2 = p(p-1)/2$ such scatterplots. For example, with $p = 10$ there are 45 plots! If p is large, then it will certainly not be possible to look at all of them; moreover, most likely none of them will be informative since they each contain just a small fraction of the total information present in the data set. Clearly, a better method is required to visualize the n observations when p is large. In particular, we would like to find a low-dimensional representation of the data that captures as much of the information as possible. PCA provides a tool to do just this.

PCA finds a low-dimensional representation of a data set that contains as much as possible of the variation. The idea is that each of the n observations lives in p -dimensional space, but not all of these dimensions are equally interesting. PCA seeks a small number of dimensions that are as interesting as possible, where the concept of interesting is measured by the amount that the observations vary along each dimension. Each of the dimensions found by PCA is a **linear combination of the p features**. We now explain the manner in which these dimensions, or principal components, are found.

5.2 Principal Components

Notations and Procedure

Suppose that we have a random vector of the features X .

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ \vdots \\ X_p \end{pmatrix}$$

with population variance-covariance matrix

$$\text{var}(\mathbf{X}) = \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_{12} & \cdots & \sigma_{1p} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_2^2 & \cdots & \sigma_{2p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{p1} & \sigma_{p2} & \cdots & \sigma_p^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Consider the linear combinations

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1 &= a_{11}X_1 + a_{12}X_2 + \cdots + a_{1p}X_p \\ Y_2 &= a_{21}X_1 + a_{22}X_2 + \cdots + a_{2p}X_p \\ &\vdots \\ Y_p &= a_{p1}X_1 + a_{p2}X_2 + \cdots + a_{pp}X_p \end{aligned}$$

Note that Y_i is a function of our random data, and so is also random. Therefore it has a population variance

$$\text{var}(Y_i) = \sum_{k=1}^p \sum_{l=1}^p a_{ik}a_{il}\sigma_{kl} = \mathbf{a}_i^T \Sigma \mathbf{a}_i$$

Moreover, Y_i and Y_j will have a population covariance

$$\text{cov}(Y_i, Y_j) = \sum_{k=1}^p \sum_{l=1}^p a_{ik}a_{jl}\sigma_{kl} = \mathbf{a}_i^T \Sigma \mathbf{a}_j$$

and a correlation

$$\text{cor}(Y_i, Y_j) = \frac{\text{cov}(Y_i, Y_j)}{\sigma_i^2 \sigma_j^2}$$

Here the coefficients a_{ij} are collected into the vector

$$\mathbf{a}_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_{i1} \\ a_{i2} \\ \vdots \\ a_{ip} \end{pmatrix}$$

The coefficients a_{ij} are also called *loadings* of the principal component i and \mathbf{a}_i is a principal component loading vector.



- The total variation of X is the *trace* of the variance-covariance matrix Σ .
- The trace of Σ is the sum of the variances of the individual variables.
- $\text{trace}(\Sigma) = \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + \cdots + \sigma_p^2$

First Principal Component (PC₁): Y_1

The *first principal component* is the *normalized* linear combination of the features X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p that has maximum variance (among all linear combinations), so it accounts for as much variation in the data as possible.

Specifically we will define coefficients $a_{11}, a_{12}, \dots, a_{1p}$ for that component in such a way that its variance is maximized, subject to the constraint that the sum of the squared coefficients is equal to one (that is what we mean by *normalized*). This constraint is required so that a unique answer may be obtained.

More formally, select $a_{11}, a_{12}, \dots, a_{1p}$ that maximizes

$$\text{var}(Y_1) = \mathbf{a}_1^T \Sigma \mathbf{a}_1 = \sum_{k=1}^p \sum_{l=1}^p a_{1k} a_{1l} \sigma_{kl}$$

subject to the constraint that

$$\sum_{j=1}^p a_{1j}^2 = \mathbf{a}_1^T \mathbf{a}_1 = 1$$

Second Principal Component (PC₂): Y_2

The *second principal component* is the linear combination of the features X_1, X_2, \dots, X_p that accounts for as much of the remaining variation as possible, with the constraint that the correlation between the first and second component is 0. So the second principal component has maximal variance out of all linear combinations that are uncorrelated with Y_1 .

To compute the coefficients of the second principal component, we select $a_{21}, a_{22}, \dots, a_{2p}$ that maximizes the variance of this new component

$$\text{var}(Y_2) = \sum_{k=1}^p \sum_{l=1}^p a_{2k} a_{2l} \sigma_{kl} = \mathbf{a}_2^T \Sigma \mathbf{a}_2$$

subject to:

- The constraint that the sums of squared coefficients add up to one, $\sum_{j=1}^p a_{2j}^2 = \mathbf{a}_2^T \mathbf{a}_2 = 1$.
- Along with the additional constraint that these two components will be uncorrelated with one another:

$$\text{cov}(Y_1, Y_2) = \mathbf{a}_1^T \Sigma \mathbf{a}_2 = \sum_{k=1}^p \sum_{l=1}^p a_{1k} a_{2l} \sigma_{kl} = 0$$

All subsequent principal components have this same property: they are linear combinations that account for as much of the remaining variation as possible and they are not correlated with the other principal components.

We will do this in the same way with each additional component. For instance:

i^{th} Principal Component (PC _{i}): Y_i

We select $a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{ip}$ that maximizes

$$\text{var}(Y_i) = \sum_{k=1}^p \sum_{l=1}^p a_{ik} a_{il} \sigma_{kl} = \mathbf{a}_i^T \Sigma \mathbf{a}_i$$

subject to the constraint that the sums of squared coefficients add up to one, along with the additional constraint that this new component will be uncorrelated with all the previously defined components:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^p a_{ij}^2 \mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{a}_i &= \mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{a}_i = 1 \\ \text{cov}(Y_1, Y_i) &= \sum_{k=1}^p \sum_{l=1}^p a_{1k} a_{il} \sigma_{kl} = \mathbf{a}_1^T \Sigma \mathbf{a}_i = 0 \\ \text{cov}(Y_2, Y_i) &= \sum_{k=1}^p \sum_{l=1}^p a_{2k} a_{il} \sigma_{kl} = \mathbf{a}_2^T \Sigma \mathbf{a}_i = 0 \\ &\vdots \\ \text{cov}(Y_{i-1}, Y_i) &= \sum_{k=1}^p \sum_{l=1}^p a_{i-1,k} a_{il} \sigma_{kl} = \mathbf{a}_{i-1}^T \Sigma \mathbf{a}_i = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore all principal components are uncorrelated with one another.

5.3 How do we find the coefficients?

How do we find the coefficients a_{ij} for a principal component? The solution involves the **eigenvalues** and **eigenvectors** of the variance-covariance matrix Σ .

Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p$ denote the eigenvalues of the variance-covariance matrix Σ . These are ordered so that λ_1 has the largest eigenvalue and λ_p is the smallest.

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_p$$

We are also going to let the vectors $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_p$ denote the corresponding eigenvectors.

It turns out that the elements for these eigenvectors will be the coefficients of the principal components.



The elements for the eigenvectors of Σ are the coefficients of the principal components.

The variance for the i th principal component is equal to the i th eigenvalue.

$$\text{var}(Y_i) = \text{var}(a_{i1}X_1 + a_{i2}X_2 + \dots + a_{ip}X_p) = \lambda_i$$

Moreover, the principal components are uncorrelated with one another.

$$\text{cov}(Y_i, Y_j) = 0$$

The variance-covariance matrix may be written as a function of the eigenvalues and their corresponding eigenvectors. In fact, the variance-covariance matrix can be written as the sum over the p eigenvalues, multiplied by the product of the corresponding eigenvector times its transpose as shown in the following expression

$$\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{a}_i^T$$

If $\lambda_{k+1}, \lambda_{k+2}, \dots, \lambda_p$ are small, we might approximate Σ by

$$\Sigma \cong \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{a}_i^T$$

Earlier in the chapter we defined the total variation of X as the trace of the variance-covariance matrix. This is also equal to the sum of the eigenvalues as shown below:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace}(\Sigma) &= \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + \dots + \sigma_p^2 \\ &= \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_p \end{aligned}$$

This will give us an interpretation of the components in terms of the amount of the full variation explained by each component. The proportion of variation explained by the i th principal component is then going to be defined to be the eigenvalue for that component divided by the sum of the eigenvalues. In other words, the i th principal component explains the following proportion of the total variation:

$$\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_p}$$

A related quantity is the proportion of variation explained by the first k principal component. This would be the sum of the first k eigenvalues divided by its total variation.

$$\frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_k}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_p}$$

In practice, these proportions are often expressed as percentages.

Naturally, if the proportion of variation explained by the first k principal components is large, then not much information is lost by considering only the first k principal components.

Why It May Be Possible to Reduce Dimensions

When we have correlations (multicollinearity) between the features, the data may more or less fall on a line or plane in a lower number of dimensions. For instance, imagine a plot of two features that have a nearly perfect correlation. The data points will fall close to a straight line. That line could be used as a new (one-dimensional) axis to represent the variation among data points.



All of this is defined in terms of the population variance-covariance matrix Σ which is *unknown*. However, we may estimate Σ by the sample variance-covariance matrix which is given in the standard formula here:

$$\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{X}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})(\mathbf{X}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^T$$

Procedure

Compute the eigenvalues $\hat{\lambda}_1, \hat{\lambda}_2, \dots, \hat{\lambda}_p$ of the sample variance-covariance matrix \mathbf{S} , and the corresponding eigenvectors $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{a}}_2, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{a}}_p$.

Then we will define our estimated principal components using the eigenvectors as our coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{Y}_1 &= \hat{a}_{11}X_1 + \hat{a}_{12}X_2 + \dots + \hat{a}_{1p}X_p \\ \hat{Y}_2 &= \hat{a}_{21}X_1 + \hat{a}_{22}X_2 + \dots + \hat{a}_{2p}X_p \\ &\vdots \\ \hat{Y}_p &= \hat{a}_{p1}X_1 + \hat{a}_{p2}X_2 + \dots + \hat{a}_{pp}X_p\end{aligned}$$

Generally, we only retain the first k principal component. There are a number of criteria that may be used to decide how many components should be retained:

1. To obtain the simplest possible interpretation, we want k to be as small as possible. If we can explain most of the variation just by **two** principal components then this would give us a much simpler description of the data.
2. Retain the first k components which explain a “large” proportion of the total variation, say 70 – 80%.
3. Examine a scree plot. This is a plot of the eigenvalues versus the component number. The idea is to look for the “elbow” which corresponds to the point after which the eigenvalues decrease more slowly. Adding components after this point explains relatively little more of the variance. See the next figure for an example of a scree plot.

Scree plot showing eigenvalue by number of principal component.

5.4 Standardization of the features

If we use the raw data, the principal component analysis will tend to give more emphasis to the variables that have higher variances than to those variables that have very low variances.

In effect the results of the analysis will depend on what units of measurement are used to measure each variable. That would imply that a principal component analysis should only be used with the raw data if all variables have the same units of measure. And even in this case, only if you wish to give those variables which have higher variances more weight in the analysis.



- The results of principal component analysis depend on the scales at which the variables are measured.
- Variables with the highest sample variances will tend to be emphasized in the first few principal components.
- Principal component analysis using the covariance function should only be considered if all of the variables have the same units of measurement.

If the variables either have different units of measurement, or if we wish each variable to receive equal weight in the analysis, then the variables should be **standardized** (*scaled*) before a principal components analysis is carried out. Standardize the variables by subtracting its mean from that variable and dividing it by its standard deviation:

$$Z_{ij} = \frac{X_{ij} - \bar{x}_j}{\sigma_j}$$

where

- X_{ij} = Data for variable j in sample unit i
- \bar{x}_j = Sample mean for variable j
- σ_j = Sample standard deviation for variable j

Note: Z_j has mean = 0 and variance = 1.



The variance-covariance matrix of the standardized data is equal to the correlation matrix for the unstandardized data. Therefore, principal component analysis using the standardized data is equivalent to principal component analysis using the correlation matrix. **Remark:** You are going to prove it in the practical work session.

5.5 Projection of the data

Scores

Using the coefficients (loadings) of every principal component, we can project the observations on the axis of the principal component, those projections are called *scores*. For example, the scores of the first principal component are

$$\forall 1 \leq i \leq n \quad \hat{Y}_1^i = \hat{a}_{11}X_1^i + \hat{a}_{12}X_2^i + \cdots + \hat{a}_{1p}X_p^i$$

(X_1^i is the value of feature 1 for the observation i)

This can be written for all observations and all the principal components using the matrix formulation

$$\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \hat{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{X}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$ is the matrix of the coefficients \hat{a}_{ij} .

Visualization




Once we have computed the principal components, we can plot them against each other in order to produce low-dimensional views of the data.

We can plot the score vector Y_1 against Y_2 , Y_1 against Y_3 , Y_2 against Y_3 , and so forth. Geometrically, this amounts to projecting the original data down onto the subspace spanned by \mathbf{a}_1 , \mathbf{a}_2 , and \mathbf{a}_3 , and plotting the projected points.

To interpret the results obtained by PCA, we plot on the same figure both the principal component scores and the loading vectors. This figure is called a **biplot**. An example is given later in this chapter.

Extra



- You can read this  tutorial . In the document, there is an introduction about the mathematical concepts used in PCA. Plus a detailed example of PCA.
- You can watch these videos for a nice explanation of PCA  1  2.

5.6 Case study

Employment in European countries in the late 70s

The purpose of this case study is to reveal the structure of the job market and economy in different developed countries. The final aim is to have a meaningful and rigorous plot that is able to show the most important features of the countries in a concise form.

The dataset `eurojob` contains the data employed in this case study. It contains the percentage of workforce employed in 1979 in 9 industries for 26 European countries. The industries measured are:

- Agriculture (**Agr**)
- Mining (**Min**)
- Manufacturing (**Man**)
- Power supply industries (**Pow**)
- Construction (**Con**)
- Service industries (**Ser**)
- Finance (**Fin**)
- Social and personal services (**Soc**)
- Transport and communications (**Tra**)

If the dataset is imported into R and the case names are set as `Country` (important in order to have only numerical variables), then the data should look like this:

Table 5.1: The ‘eurojob’ dataset.

Country	Agr	Min	Man	Pow	Con	Ser	Fin	Soc	Tra
Belgium	3.3	0.9	27.6	0.9	8.2	19.1	6.2	26.6	7.2
Denmark	9.2	0.1	21.8	0.6	8.3	14.6	6.5	32.2	7.1
France	10.8	0.8	27.5	0.9	8.9	16.8	6.0	22.6	5.7
WGerm	6.7	1.3	35.8	0.9	7.3	14.4	5.0	22.3	6.1
Ireland	23.2	1.0	20.7	1.3	7.5	16.8	2.8	20.8	6.1
Italy	15.9	0.6	27.6	0.5	10.0	18.1	1.6	20.1	5.7
Luxem	7.7	3.1	30.8	0.8	9.2	18.5	4.6	19.2	6.2
Nether	6.3	0.1	22.5	1.0	9.9	18.0	6.8	28.5	6.8
UK	2.7	1.4	30.2	1.4	6.9	16.9	5.7	28.3	6.4
Austria	12.7	1.1	30.2	1.4	9.0	16.8	4.9	16.8	7.0
Finland	13.0	0.4	25.9	1.3	7.4	14.7	5.5	24.3	7.6
Greece	41.4	0.6	17.6	0.6	8.1	11.5	2.4	11.0	6.7
Norway	9.0	0.5	22.4	0.8	8.6	16.9	4.7	27.6	9.4
Portugal	27.8	0.3	24.5	0.6	8.4	13.3	2.7	16.7	5.7
Spain	22.9	0.8	28.5	0.7	11.5	9.7	8.5	11.8	5.5
Sweden	6.1	0.4	25.9	0.8	7.2	14.4	6.0	32.4	6.8
Switz	7.7	0.2	37.8	0.8	9.5	17.5	5.3	15.4	5.7
Turkey	66.8	0.7	7.9	0.1	2.8	5.2	1.1	11.9	3.2
Bulgaria	23.6	1.9	32.3	0.6	7.9	8.0	0.7	18.2	6.7
Czech	16.5	2.9	35.5	1.2	8.7	9.2	0.9	17.9	7.0

EGerm	4.2	2.9	41.2	1.3	7.6	11.2	1.2	22.1	8.4
Hungary	21.7	3.1	29.6	1.9	8.2	9.4	0.9	17.2	8.0
Poland	31.1	2.5	25.7	0.9	8.4	7.5	0.9	16.1	6.9
Romania	34.7	2.1	30.1	0.6	8.7	5.9	1.3	11.7	5.0
USSR	23.7	1.4	25.8	0.6	9.2	6.1	0.5	23.6	9.3
Yugoslavia	48.7	1.5	16.8	1.1	4.9	6.4	11.3	5.3	4.0

Note: To set the case names as `Country`, we do

```
row.names(eurojob) <- eurojob$Country
eurojob$Country <- NULL
```

So far, we know how to compute summaries for *each variable*, and how to quantify and visualize relations between variables with the correlation matrix and the scatterplot matrix. But even for a moderate number of variables like this, their results are hard to process.

Summary of the data - marginal

```
summary(eurojob)
```

```
#ans>      Agr      Min      Man      Pow
#ans> Min.   : 2.7   Min.   :0.100  Min.   : 7.9   Min.   :0.100
#ans> 1st Qu.: 7.7   1st Qu.:0.525  1st Qu.:23.0   1st Qu.:0.600
#ans> Median :14.4   Median :0.950  Median :27.6   Median :0.850
#ans> Mean   :19.1   Mean   :1.254  Mean   :27.0   Mean   :0.908
#ans> 3rd Qu.:23.7   3rd Qu.:1.800  3rd Qu.:30.2   3rd Qu.:1.175
#ans> Max.   :66.8   Max.   :3.100  Max.   :41.2   Max.   :1.900
#ans>      Con      Ser      Fin      Soc
#ans> Min.   : 2.80   Min.   : 5.20   Min.   : 0.50   Min.   : 5.3
#ans> 1st Qu.: 7.53   1st Qu.: 9.25   1st Qu.: 1.23   1st Qu.:16.2
#ans> Median : 8.35   Median :14.40   Median : 4.65   Median :19.6
#ans> Mean   : 8.17   Mean   :12.96   Mean   : 4.00   Mean   :20.0
#ans> 3rd Qu.: 8.97   3rd Qu.:16.88   3rd Qu.: 5.92   3rd Qu.:24.1
#ans> Max.   :11.50   Max.   :19.10   Max.   :11.30   Max.   :32.4
#ans>      Tra
#ans> Min.   :3.20
#ans> 1st Qu.:5.70
#ans> Median :6.70
#ans> Mean   :6.55
#ans> 3rd Qu.:7.08
#ans> Max.   :9.40
```

Correlation matrix


```
cor(eurojob)
```

```
#ans>      Agr      Min      Man      Pow      Con      Ser      Fin      Soc      Tra
#ans> Agr  1.0000  0.0358 -0.671 -0.4001 -0.5383 -0.737 -0.2198 -0.747 -0.565
#ans> Min  0.0358  1.0000  0.445  0.4055 -0.0256 -0.397 -0.4427 -0.281  0.157
#ans> Man -0.6711  0.4452  1.000  0.3853  0.4945  0.204 -0.1558  0.154  0.351
#ans> Pow -0.4001  0.4055  0.385  1.0000  0.0599  0.202  0.1099  0.132  0.375
#ans> Con -0.5383 -0.0256  0.494  0.0599  1.0000  0.356  0.0163  0.158  0.388
#ans> Ser -0.7370 -0.3966  0.204  0.2019  0.3560  1.000  0.3656  0.572  0.188
#ans> Fin -0.2198 -0.4427 -0.156  0.1099  0.0163  0.366  1.0000  0.108 -0.246
#ans> Soc -0.7468 -0.2810  0.154  0.1324  0.1582  0.572  0.1076  1.000  0.568
#ans> Tra -0.5649  0.1566  0.351  0.3752  0.3877  0.188 -0.2459  0.568  1.000
```

Scatterplot matrix

```
require(car)
```

```
scatterplotMatrix(eurojob, regLine = list(method=lm, lty=1, lwd=2, col="green"), smooth = FALSE,
  ellipse = FALSE, plot.points = T, col="black",
  diagonal = TRUE)
```



We definitely need a way of visualizing and quantifying the relations between variables for a moderate to large amount of variables. PCA will be a handy way. Recall what PCA does:

1. Takes the data for the variables X_1, \dots, X_p .
2. Using this data, looks for new variables PC_1, \dots, PC_p such that:
 - PC_j is a **linear combination** of X_1, \dots, X_k , $1 \leq j \leq p$. This is, $PC_j = a_{1j}X_1 + a_{2j}X_2 + \dots + a_{pj}X_p$.
 - PC_1, \dots, PC_p are **sorted decreasingly in terms of variance**. Hence PC_j has more variance than PC_{j+1} , $1 \leq j \leq p-1$,
 - PC_{j_1} and PC_{j_2} are **uncorrelated**, for $j_1 \neq j_2$.
 - PC_1, \dots, PC_p have the **same information**, measured in terms of **total variance**, as X_1, \dots, X_p .
3. Produces three key objects:
 - **Variances of the PCs**. They are sorted decreasingly and give an idea of which PCs are contain most of the information of the data (the ones with more variance).
 - **Weights of the variables in the PCs**. They give the interpretation of the PCs in terms of the original variables, as they are the coefficients of the linear combination. The weights of the variables X_1, \dots, X_p on the PC_j , a_{1j}, \dots, a_{pj} , are normalized: $a_{1j}^2 + \dots + a_{pj}^2 = 1$, $j = 1, \dots, p$. In R, they are called **loadings**.
 - **Scores of the data in the PCs**: this is the data with PC_1, \dots, PC_p variables instead of X_1, \dots, X_p . The **scores are uncorrelated**. Useful for knowing which PCs have more effect on a certain observation.

Hence, PCA rearranges our variables in an information-equivalent, but more convenient, layout where the

variables are **sorted according to the ammount of information they are able to explain**. From this position, the next step is clear: **stick only with a limited number of PCs such that they explain most of the information** (e.g., 70% of the total variance) and do *dimension reduction*. The effectiveness of PCA in practice varies from the structure present in the dataset. For example, in the case of highly dependent data, it could explain more than the 90% of variability of a dataset with tens of variables with just two PCs.

Let's see how to compute a full PCA in R.

```
# The main function - use cor = TRUE to avoid scale distortions
pca <- princomp(eurojob, cor = TRUE)

# What is inside?
str(pca)
#ans> List of 7
#ans> $ sdev      : Named num [1:9] 1.867 1.46 1.048 0.997 0.737 ...
#ans> ..- attr(*, "names")= chr [1:9] "Comp.1" "Comp.2" "Comp.3" "Comp.4" ...
#ans> $ loadings: 'loadings' num [1:9, 1:9] 0.52379 0.00132 -0.3475 -0.25572 -0.32518 ...
#ans> ..- attr(*, "dimnames")=List of 2
#ans> .. ..$ : chr [1:9] "Agr" "Min" "Man" "Pow" ...
#ans> .. ..$ : chr [1:9] "Comp.1" "Comp.2" "Comp.3" "Comp.4" ...
#ans> $ center    : Named num [1:9] 19.131 1.254 27.008 0.908 8.165 ...
#ans> ..- attr(*, "names")= chr [1:9] "Agr" "Min" "Man" "Pow" ...
#ans> $ scale     : Named num [1:9] 15.245 0.951 6.872 0.369 1.614 ...
#ans> ..- attr(*, "names")= chr [1:9] "Agr" "Min" "Man" "Pow" ...
#ans> $ n.obs     : int 26
#ans> $ scores    : num [1:26, 1:9] -1.71 -0.953 -0.755 -0.853 0.104 ...
#ans> ..- attr(*, "dimnames")=List of 2
#ans> .. ..$ : chr [1:26] "Belgium" "Denmark" "France" "WGerm" ...
#ans> .. ..$ : chr [1:9] "Comp.1" "Comp.2" "Comp.3" "Comp.4" ...
#ans> $ call      : language princomp(x = eurojob, cor = TRUE)
#ans> - attr(*, "class")= chr "princomp"

# The standard deviation of each PC
pca$sdev
#ans> Comp.1 Comp.2 Comp.3 Comp.4 Comp.5 Comp.6 Comp.7 Comp.8 Comp.9
#ans> 1.86739 1.45951 1.04831 0.99724 0.73703 0.61922 0.47514 0.36985 0.00675

# Weights: the expression of the original variables in the PCs
# E.g. Agr = -0.524 * PC1 + 0.213 * PC5 - 0.152 * PC6 + 0.806 * PC9
# And also: PC1 = -0.524 * Agr + 0.347 * Man + 0.256 * Pow + 0.325 * Con + ...
# (Because the matrix is orthogonal, so the transpose is the inverse)
pca$loadings
#ans>
#ans> Loadings:
#ans>      Comp.1 Comp.2 Comp.3 Comp.4 Comp.5 Comp.6 Comp.7 Comp.8 Comp.9
#ans> Agr  0.524          0.213  0.153          0.806
#ans> Min    0.618 -0.201    -0.164 -0.101 -0.726
#ans> Man -0.347  0.355 -0.150 -0.346 -0.385 -0.288  0.479  0.126  0.366
#ans> Pow -0.256  0.261 -0.561  0.393  0.295  0.357  0.256 -0.341
#ans> Con -0.325          0.153 -0.668  0.472  0.130 -0.221 -0.356
#ans> Ser -0.379 -0.350 -0.115    -0.284  0.615 -0.229  0.388  0.238
#ans> Fin    -0.454 -0.587          0.280 -0.526 -0.187  0.174  0.145
#ans> Soc -0.387 -0.222  0.312  0.412 -0.220 -0.263 -0.191 -0.506  0.351
#ans> Tra -0.367  0.203  0.375  0.314  0.513 -0.124          0.545
```


```

#ans>
#ans>               Comp.1 Comp.2 Comp.3 Comp.4 Comp.5 Comp.6 Comp.7 Comp.8
#ans> SS loadings   1.000  1.000  1.000  1.000  1.000  1.000  1.000  1.000
#ans> Proportion Var 0.111  0.111  0.111  0.111  0.111  0.111  0.111  0.111
#ans> Cumulative Var 0.111  0.222  0.333  0.444  0.556  0.667  0.778  0.889
#ans>               Comp.9
#ans> SS loadings   1.000
#ans> Proportion Var 0.111
#ans> Cumulative Var 1.000

# Scores of the data on the PCs: how is the data reexpressed into PCs
head(pca$scores, 10)
#ans>               Comp.1 Comp.2 Comp.3 Comp.4 Comp.5 Comp.6 Comp.7 Comp.8
#ans> Belgium -1.710 -1.2218 -0.1148  0.3395 -0.3245 -0.0473 -0.3401  0.403
#ans> Denmark -0.953 -2.1278  0.9507  0.5939  0.1027 -0.8273 -0.3029 -0.352
#ans> France -0.755 -1.1212 -0.4980 -0.5003 -0.2997  0.1158 -0.1855 -0.266
#ans> WGerm -0.853 -0.0114 -0.5795 -0.1105 -1.1652 -0.6181  0.4446  0.194
#ans> Ireland  0.104 -0.4140 -0.3840  0.9267  0.0152  1.4242 -0.0370 -0.334
#ans> Italy -0.375 -0.7695  1.0606 -1.4772 -0.6452  1.0021 -0.1418 -0.130
#ans> Luxem -1.059  0.7558 -0.6515 -0.8352 -0.8659  0.2188 -1.6942  0.547
#ans> Nether -1.688 -2.0048  0.0637 -0.0235  0.6352  0.2120 -0.3034 -0.591
#ans> UK -1.630 -0.3731 -1.1409  1.2669 -0.8129 -0.0361  0.0413 -0.349
#ans> Austria -1.176  0.1431 -1.0434 -0.1577  0.5210  0.8019  0.4150  0.215
#ans>               Comp.9
#ans> Belgium -0.001090
#ans> Denmark  0.015619
#ans> France -0.000507
#ans> WGerm -0.006539
#ans> Ireland  0.010879
#ans> Italy  0.005602
#ans> Luxem  0.003453
#ans> Nether -0.010931
#ans> UK -0.005478
#ans> Austria -0.002816

# Scatterplot matrix of the scores - See how they are uncorrelated!
scatterplotMatrix(pca$scores, regLine = list(method=lm, lty=1, lwd=2, col="green"), smooth = FALSE,
                  ellipse = FALSE, plot.points = T, col="black",
                  diagonal = TRUE)

```



PCA produces **uncorrelated** variables from the original set X_1, \dots, X_p . This implies that:

- The PCs are uncorrelated, **but not independent** (uncorrelated does not imply independent).
- An uncorrelated or independent variable in X_1, \dots, X_p will get a PC only associated to it. In the extreme case where all the X_1, \dots, X_p are uncorrelated, these coincide with the PCs (up to sign flips).


```
# Means of the variables - before PCA the variables are centered
pca$center
#ans>   Agr   Min   Man   Pow   Con   Ser   Fin   Soc   Tra
#ans> 19.131 1.254 27.008 0.908 8.165 12.958 4.000 20.023 6.546

# Rescalation done to each variable
# - if cor = FALSE (default), a vector of ones
# - if cor = TRUE, a vector with the standard deviations of the variables
pca$scale
#ans>   Agr   Min   Man   Pow   Con   Ser   Fin   Soc   Tra
#ans> 15.245 0.951 6.872 0.369 1.614 4.486 2.752 6.697 1.364


# Summary of the importance of components - the third row is key
summary(pca)
#ans> Importance of components:
#ans>
#ans>   Comp.1 Comp.2 Comp.3 Comp.4 Comp.5 Comp.6 Comp.7
#ans> Standard deviation    1.867  1.460  1.048  0.997  0.7370 0.6192 0.4751
```

```
#ans> Proportion of Variance 0.387 0.237 0.122 0.110 0.0604 0.0426 0.0251
#ans> Cumulative Proportion 0.387 0.624 0.746 0.857 0.9171 0.9597 0.9848
#ans>
#ans> Standard deviation 0.3699 6.75e-03
#ans> Proportion of Variance 0.0152 5.07e-06
#ans> Cumulative Proportion 1.0000 1.00e+00

# Scree plot - the variance of each component
plot(pca)
```



```
# With connected lines - useful for looking for the "elbow"
plot(pca, type = "l")
```



```
# PC1 and PC2 -- These are the weights of the variables on the first two Principal Components
pca$loadings[, 1:2]
#ans>      Comp.1  Comp.2
#ans> Agr  0.52379  0.0536
#ans> Min  0.00132  0.6178
#ans> Man -0.34750  0.3551
#ans> Pow -0.25572  0.2611
#ans> Con -0.32518  0.0513
#ans> Ser -0.37892 -0.3502
#ans> Fin -0.07437 -0.4537
#ans> Soc -0.38741 -0.2215
#ans> Tra -0.36682  0.2026
```

Based on the weights of the variables on the PCs shown above, we can extract the following interpretation:


- PC1 is roughly a linear combination of **Agr**, with *negative* weight, and (**Man**, **Pow**, **Con**, **Ser**, **Soc**, **Tra**), with *positive* weights. So it can be interpreted as an *indicator* of the kind of economy of the country: agricultural (negative values) or industrial (positive values).
- PC2 has *negative* weights on (**Min**, **Man**, **Pow**, **Tra**) and *positive* weights in (**Ser**, **Fin**, **Soc**). It can be interpreted as the contrast between relatively large or small service sectors. So it tends to be negative in communist countries and positive in capitalist countries.



The interpretation of the PCs involves inspecting the weights and interpreting the linear combination of the original variables, which might be separating between two clear characteristics of the data

To conclude, let's see how we can represent our original data into a plot called *biplot* that summarizes all the analysis for two PCs.

```
# Biplot - plot together the scores for PC1 and PC2 and the
# variables expressed in terms of PC1 and PC2
biplot(pca)
```




This biplot confirms the above interpretation.

Recall that the biplot is a superposition of two figures: the first one is the scatterplot of the principal component scores (the projections of the observations on the new low dimensional space - also known as graph of individuals) and the second one is the figure of loading vectors (also known as graph of variables). In fact, the graph of variables is a correlation circle, the variables whose unit vectors are close to each other are said to be positively correlated, meaning that their influence on the positioning of individuals is similar (again, these proximities are reflected in the projections of variables on the graph of individuals). However, variables far away from each other will be defined as being negatively correlated. Variables that have perpendicular unit vector are uncorrelated. To see both graphs we can use the **factoextra** package like follows:

```
# loading factoextra library
require(factoextra)
#ans> Loading required package: factoextra
#ans> Loading required package: ggplot2
#ans> Welcome! Related Books: `Practical Guide To Cluster Analysis in R` at https://goo.gl/13EFCZ
# Calculating pca using prcomp from factoextra
res.pca <- prcomp(eurojob, scale = TRUE)

# Graph of individuals
fviz_pca_ind(res.pca,
  col.ind = "contrib", # Color by their contribution to axes
  gradient.cols = c("#00AFBB", "#E7B800", "#FC4E07"),
  repel = TRUE
)
```




```
# Graph of variables
fviz_pca_var(res.pca,
  col.var = "contrib",
```

```

gradient.cols = c("#00AFBB", "#E7B800", "#FC4E07"),
repel = TRUE
)


```



```

# Biplot of individuals and variables
fviz_pca_biplot(res.pca, repel = TRUE,
  col.var = "#2E9FDF",
  col.ind = "#696969"
)

```

Appendix A

Introduction to RStudio

RStudio is the most employed Integrated Development Environment (IDE) for R nowadays. When you start RStudio you will see a window similar to Figure A.1. There are a lot of items in the GUI, most of them described in the RStudio IDE Cheat Sheet. The most important things to keep in mind are:

1. The code is written in scripts in the *source panel* (upper-right panel in Figure A.1);
2. for running a line or code selection from the script in the *console* (first tab in the lower-right panel in Figure A.1), you do it with the keyboard shortcut '**Ctrl+Enter**' (Windows and Linux) or '**Cmd+Enter**' (Mac OS X).




Figure A.1: Main window of 'RStudio'. The red shows the code panel and the yellow shows the console output. Extracted from [here](<https://www.rstudio.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/rstudio-IDE-cheatsheet.pdf>).

Appendix B

Review on hypothesis testing

The process of hypothesis testing has an interesting analogy with a trial that helps on understanding the elements present in a formal hypothesis test in an intuitive way.

Hypothesis testing	Trial
Null hypothesis H_0	Accused of committing a crime. It has the “presumption of innocence”, which means that it is <i>not guilty</i> until there is enough evidence to supporting its guilt
Sample X_1, \dots, X_n	Collection of small evidences supporting innocence and guilt . These evidences contain a certain degree of uncontrollable randomness because of how they were collected and the context regarding the case
Statistic T_n	Summary of the evidences presented by the prosecutor and defense lawyer
Distribution of T_n under H_0	The judge conducting the trial. Evaluates the evidence presented by both sides and presents a verdict for H_0
Significance level α	$1 - \alpha$ is the strength of evidences required by the judge for condemning H_0 . The judge allows evidences that on average condemn $100\alpha\%$ of the innocents, due to the randomness inherent to the evidence collection process. $\alpha = 0.05$ is considered a reasonable level
p -value	Decision of the judge that measures the degree of compatibility, in a scale 0–1, of the presumption of innocence with the summary of the evidences presented. If $p\text{-value} < \alpha$, H_0 is declared guilty. Otherwise, is declared not guilty
H_0 is rejected	H_0 is declared guilty: there are strong evidences supporting its guilt
H_0 is not rejected	H_0 is declared not guilty: either is innocent or there are no enough evidences supporting its guilt

More formally, the p -value of an hypothesis test about H_0 is defined as:

The p -value is the probability of obtaining a statistic more unfavourable to H_0 than the observed, assuming that H_0 is true.

Therefore, **if the p -value is small** (smaller than the chosen level α), **it is unlikely that the evidence against H_0 is due to randomness. As a consequence, H_0 is rejected.** If the p -value is large (larger

than α), then it is more possible that the evidences against H_0 are merely due to the randomness of the data. In this case, we do not reject H_0 .



If H_0 holds, then the p -value (which is a random variable) is distributed uniformly in $(0, 1)$. If H_0 does not hold, then the distribution of the p -value is not uniform but concentrated at 0 (where the rejections of H_0 take place).

Appendix C

Use of qualitative predictors

An important situation is how to deal with *qualitative*, and not *quantitative*, predictors when we fit a regression model. Qualitative predictors, also known as *categorical* variables or, in R's terminology, *factors*, are very common, for example in social sciences. Dealing with them requires some care and proper understanding of how these variables are represented.

The simplest case is the situation with **two levels**. A binary variable C with two levels (for example, a and b) can be represented as

$$D = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } C = b, \\ 0, & \text{if } C = a. \end{cases}$$

D now is a *dummy variable*: it codifies with zeros and ones the two possible levels of the categorical variable. An example of C could be *gender*, which has levels *male* and *female*. The dummy variable associated is $D = 0$ if the gender is male and $D = 1$ if the gender is female.

The advantage of this *dummification* is its interpretability in regression models. Since level a corresponds to 0, it can be seen as the *reference level* to which level b is compared. This is the key point in dummification: **set one level as the reference and codify the rest as departures from it** with ones.

R does the dummification automatically (translates a categorical variable C into its dummy version D) if it detects that a factor variable is present in the regression model.

Let's see now the case with **more than two levels**, for example, a categorical variable C with levels a , b , and c . If we take a as the reference level, this variable can be represented by *two* dummy variables:

$$D_1 = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } C = b, \\ 0, & \text{if } C \neq b \end{cases}$$

and

$$D_2 = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } C = c, \\ 0, & \text{if } C \neq c. \end{cases}$$

Then $C = a$ is represented by $D_1 = D_2 = 0$, $C = b$ is represented by $D_1 = 1, D_2 = 0$ and $C = c$ is represented by $D_1 = 0, D_2 = 1$.

In general, if we have a categorical variable with J levels, then the number of dummy variables required is $J - 1$. Again, R does the dummification automatically for you if it detects that a factor variable is present in the regression model.



It may happen that one dummy variable, say D_1 is not significant, while other dummy variables, say D_2 , are significant.



Do not codify a categorical variable as a discrete variable. This constitutes a major methodological fail that will flaw the subsequent statistical analysis.

For example if you have a categorical variable `party` with levels `partyA`, `partyB`, and `partyC`, do not encode it as a discrete variable taking the values 1, 2, and 3, respectively. If you do so:

- You assume implicitly an order in the levels of `party`, since `partyA` is closer to `partyB` than to `partyC`.
- You assume implicitly that `partyC` is three times larger than `partyA`.
- The codification is completely arbitrary – why not considering 1, 1.5, and 1.75 instead of?

The right way of dealing with categorical variables in regression is to set the variable as a factor and let R do internally the dummification.

Appendix D

Model Selection

Linear Model Selection and Best Subset Selection

Forward Stepwise Selection

Backward Stepwise Selection

Estimating Test Error Using Mallow's C_p , AIC, BIC, Adjusted R -squared

Estimating Test Error Using Cross-Validation

Examples

Best Subset Selection

Forward Stepwise Selection and Model Selection Using Validation Set

Model Selection Using Cross-Validation

Appendix E

References

Bibliography

- Dalal, S. R., Fowlkes, E. B., and Hoadley, B. (1989). Risk analysis of the space shuttle: Pre-challenger prediction of failure. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 84(408):945–957.
- Presidential Commission on the Space Shuttle Challenger Accident (1986). *Report of the Presidential Commission on the Space Shuttle Challenger Accident (Vols. 1 & 2)*. Washington, DC.