Solution Sheet #2

Advanced Cryptography 2021

Solution 1 Primes

- 1. Note that $\overline{\text{PRIMES}}$ is the language of composite numbers (and 1, but this case is easy to deal with) and we have to show that it's in NP. A trivial witness is to give the factors of the number. Verifying these factors is done in polynomial time.
- 2. Recall that \mathbb{Z}_p^* is a cyclic group of order p-1 if and only if p is prime.

As a witness, we will use a generator g of \mathbb{Z}_p^* and we check whether it indeed generates \mathbb{Z}_p^* . To check that it generates \mathbb{Z}_p^* , we need to have full factorization of the prime decomposition of p-1. Let $p-1=\prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i}$. Then, we can then check that $g^{(p-1)/p_i} \neq 1$ for all prime divisor p_i and that $p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot p_k^{\alpha_k} = p-1$. Hence, up to now, our witness consists of generator g, primes p_i s and their powers α_i .

The problem is that we need now to be sure that the p_i values are prime. We do this by performing the same technique on each of them (making recursive calls to our own verificatin algorithm). Let W(p) be our witness. We have

$$W(p) = (g, p_1, \dots, p_k, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, W(p_1), \dots, W(p_k)).$$

```
1: procedure Verify_Prime(p,W(p))
          If p = 2 return 1
                                                                                  ▷ Condition for end of recursion
 2:
          W(p) \rightarrow (g, p_1, \dots, p_k, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, W(p_1), \dots W(p_k))
 3:
          Check p-1=\prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i}

Check g^{\frac{p-1}{p_i}} \neq 1 for all i=1,\ldots,k

Check g^{p-1} \mod p = 1
 4:
 5:
 6:
          Check Verify_Prime(p_i, W(p_i)) = 1 for all i = 1, ..., k
 7:
          Return 1 if all satisfed
 8:
          Return 0 if any check fails
 9:
10: end procedure
```

Figure 1: A verification algorithm for PRIMES

Witness size: We need now to compute the size of our witness and make sure it's polynomial. First, note that there can be at most log(p) prime factors and each of them

can be written using log(p) bits. Let T(p) be the size of our witness. We have

$$T(p) \le (\log p)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{j} T(p_i)$$
.

By the second hint, we get $T(p) = O((\log p)^3)$ which is polynomial in the size of the input. **Computation time:** The computation can be divided into three parts:

- Checking $p-1=\prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i}$ takes $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i$ multiplication, where each element can be at most $\log p$ bits. Multiplying two integers a and b takes exactly $\log a \times \log b$ operations, therefore this step takes at most $(\log p)^2 \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i$ operations. We also know that $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \le (\log p)^2$, therefore we can bound this step by $(\log p)^4$.
- Each check $g^{\frac{p-1}{p_i}} \neq 1$ takes $O((\log p)^3)$ multiplications, and there can be at most $\log p$ different prime factors; hence this step is bounded by $O((\log p)^4)$ operations.
- Similar operations should be done for the verification of $W(p_i)$ witnesses. We notice that these upper bounds also directly apply to any sub-computations as well, since all elements are also at most $\log p$ size. Therefore for each recursion of Verify_Prime, the computations are bounded by $O((\log p)^4)$.
- The final step is to show that number of recursions are bounded polynomially. We use the given hint to bound this. Namely, define function T(p) as the number of calls made to Verify_Prime with input (p, W(p)). We have that $T(p) \leq \log p + \sum_{i=1}^k T(p_i)$. Given hint implies that $T(p) \leq (\log p)^3$.

Gathering all together, we conclude that running time of Verify_Sign is loosely bounded by $O((\log p)^3) \times O((\log p)^4) = O((\log p)^7)$. Thus, PRIMES \in NP.

Solution 2 Fixed Point Attack on RSA

Since $c^{e^k} \equiv c \pmod{N}$, then $c^{e^k} \equiv m^e \pmod{N} \Rightarrow c^{e^{k-1}e} \equiv m^e \pmod{N} \Rightarrow (c^{e^{k-1}})^e \equiv m^e \pmod{N}$ and $c^{e^{k-1}} \equiv m \pmod{N}$.

Hence, it suffices to iterate the RSA encryption until we obtain again the ciphertext. This will give us k. The previous value $(c^{e^{k-1}})$ was the plaintext m.

Nevertheless, it has been shown that the probability for such an attack to succeed is negligible if the primes p and q are chosen at random with a sufficient size (see Rivest-Silverman "Are strong primes needed for RSA").

Solution 3 Turing Machines

- 1. By definition, we know that the recursively enumerable language requires the existence of a Turing machine, such that it eventually enters a final state q_{accept} (and halts) for all inputs in the language, but it may never halt on the input that is not in the language. Therefore, a recursive language is always recursively enumerable.
- 2. From the last question, we know that there exists a Turing machine (denoted M) that accepts L, which has two halting states q_{accept} and q_{reject} . We modify M as follows (where M' denotes the modified Turing machine): for all the state transitions involving q_{accept} or q_{reject} , we replace q_{accept} (respectively, q_{reject}) by q_{reject} (resp. q_{accept}). To complete the proof, it suffices to check the following:

- for any $\omega \notin \overline{L}$ (i.e., $\omega \in L$), M' eventually enters the halting state q_{reject} and rejects it;
- for any $\omega \in \overline{L}$ (i.e., $\omega \notin L$), M' eventually enters the halting state q_{accept} , accepts it and halts.